Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the QR iterations are performed on $B = A - \rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n, n-1) \to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n - \rho|/|\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$.

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the *QR* iterations are performed on $B = A - \rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n, n-1) \to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n - \rho|/|\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$.

If $|\lambda_n - \rho| << |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$, then the convergence is fast and $b^{(j)}(n,n) \approx \lambda_n - \rho$, i.e., $b^{(j)}(n,n) + \rho \approx \lambda_n$ for large enough j.

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the *QR* iterations are performed on $B = A - \rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n, n-1) \to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n - \rho|/|\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$.

If $|\lambda_n - \rho| << |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$, then the convergence is fast and $b^{(j)}(n,n) \approx \lambda_n - \rho$, i.e., $b^{(j)}(n,n) + \rho \approx \lambda_n$ for large enough j.

Shifted QR algorithm: Let $A_0 = A$ and $\rho_j \in \mathbb{F}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$

- (i) Find a QR decomposition $A_{j-1} \rho_{j-1}I = Q_{j-1}R_{j-1}$
- (ii) Set $A_j = R_{j-1}Q_{j-1} + \rho_{j-1}I$.

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the *QR* iterations are performed on $B = A - \rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n, n-1) \to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n - \rho|/|\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$.

If $|\lambda_n - \rho| << |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho|$, then the convergence is fast and $b^{(j)}(n,n) \approx \lambda_n - \rho$, i.e., $b^{(j)}(n,n) + \rho \approx \lambda_n$ for large enough j.

Shifted QR algorithm: Let $A_0 = A$ and $\rho_j \in \mathbb{F}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$

- (i) Find a QR decomposition $A_{j-1} \rho_{j-1}I = Q_{j-1}R_{j-1}$
- (ii) Set $A_j = R_{j-1}Q_{j-1} + \rho_{j-1}I$.

Clearly $A_j = Q_{i-1}^* A_{j-1} Q_{j-1}$ and is again upper Hessenberg!



Let $\rho \in \mathbb{F}$. If λ_k be the eigenvalue of A closest to ρ , then let the eigenvalue set of A be reordered if necessary such that

$$|\lambda_1 - \rho| \ge |\lambda_2 - \rho| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_{n-1} - \rho| > |\lambda_n - \rho|.$$

If the QR iterations are performed on $B=A-\rho I$ to produce iterates B_j , then $b^{(j)}(n,n-1)\to 0$ linearly at the rate $|\lambda_n-\rho|/|\lambda_{n-1}-\rho|$. If $|\lambda_n-\rho|<<|\lambda_{n-1}-\rho|$, then the convergence is fast and $b^{(j)}(n,n)+\rho\approx\lambda_n$ for large enough j.

Shifted QR algorithm:

for j = 1, 2, ...

(i) Find reflectors
$$Q_{j-1}^{(1)}, Q_{j-1}^{(2)}, \dots Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)}$$
 such that

$$Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)}\cdots Q_{j-1}^{(2)}Q_{j-1}^{(1)}(A_{j-1}-\rho_{j-1}I)=R_{j-1}$$

(ii) Set
$$A_j = Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)} \cdots Q_{j-1}^{(2)} Q_{j-1}^{(1)} A_{j-1} Q_{j-1}^{(1)} Q_{j-1}^{(2)} \cdots Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)}$$
.

This costs $O(n^2)$ flops if A is upper Hessenberg and O(n) flops if A is tridiagonal.



Where do we get good shifts?

Raleigh quotient shifts: $\rho_j = a_{nn}^{(j)}$.

Wilkinson shifts: $\rho_j = \lambda$ where λ is the eigenvalue of

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{n-1,n-1}^{(j)} & a_{n-1,n}^{(j)} \\ a_{n,n-1}^{(j)} & a_{nn}^{(j)} \end{array}\right]$$

closest to $a_{n,n}^{(j)}$. In case of a tie, the eigenvalue smallest in magnitude is chosen.

For symmetric tridiagonal matrices *QR* iterations with Wilkinson shifts always converge. With Rayleigh Quotient shifts, they almost always converge. Also, whenever convergence occurs, the rate of convergence is usually cubic.

Where do we get good shifts?

Raleigh quotient shifts: $\rho_j = a_{nn}^{(j)}$.

Wilkinson shifts: $\rho_j = \lambda$ where λ is the eigenvalue of

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{n-1,n-1}^{(j)} & a_{n-1,n}^{(j)} \\ a_{n,n-1}^{(j)} & a_{nn}^{(j)} \end{array}\right]$$

closest to $a_{n,n}^{(j)}$. In case of a tie, the eigenvalue smallest in magnitude is chosen.

For symmetric tridiagonal matrices *QR* iterations with Wilkinson shifts always converge. With Rayleigh Quotient shifts, they almost always converge. Also, whenever convergence occurs, the rate of convergence is usually cubic.

However, for general matrices both shifting strategies can fail!





Vera Kublanovskaya 1920-2012

QR iterations with singular A

Theorem If A is nonsingular then there exists a unique QR decomposition A = QR of A such that R has positive diagonal entries.

QR iterations with singular A

Theorem If A is nonsingular then there exists a unique QR decomposition A = QR of A such that R has positive diagonal entries.

Theorem If A is a singular properly upper Hessenberg matrix, then the last row of the matrix A_1 obtained after one step of the QR algorithm is zero.

QR iterations with singular A

Theorem If A is nonsingular then there exists a unique QR decomposition A = QR of A such that R has positive diagonal entries.

Theorem If A is a singular properly upper Hessenberg matrix, then the last row of the matrix A_1 obtained after one step of the QR algorithm is zero.

Corollary Let ρ be an eigenvalue of A and B be the matrix obtained after one step of shifted QR with shift ρ . Then the last row of B is $[0, 0, \cdots \rho]$.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\rho, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider one iteration of Shifted QR with shift ρ followed by another with shift τ :

$$A - \rho I = Q_{\rho} R_{\rho}, \hat{A} = R_{\rho} Q_{\rho} + \rho I$$
$$\hat{A} - \tau I = Q_{\tau} R_{\tau}, \tilde{A} = R_{\tau} Q_{\tau} + \tau I$$

Let $Q=Q_{\rho}Q_{\tau}$ and $R=R_{\tau}R_{\rho}.$ Then,

$$(A - \rho I)(A - \tau I) = QR$$
 and $\tilde{A} = Q^*AQ$.

Also if A is real and $\tau = \bar{\rho}$, then $(A - \rho I)(A - \tau I)$ and \tilde{A} are real.

Additionally, if ρ and τ are not eigenvalues of A, then given any QR decomposition $(A - \rho I)(A - \tau I) = Q_1 R_1$ of $(A - \rho I)(A - \tau I)$, if $A_1 := Q_1^* A Q_1$, then there exists diagonal matrix D with $D(i,i) = \pm 1, i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that $A_1 = \bar{D}\tilde{A}D$.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\rho, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider one iteration of Shifted QR with shift ρ followed by another with shift τ :

$$A - \rho I = Q_{\rho} R_{\rho}, \hat{A} = R_{\rho} Q_{\rho} + \rho I$$

 $\hat{A} - \tau I = Q_{\tau} R_{\tau}, \tilde{A} = R_{\tau} Q_{\tau} + \tau I$

Let $Q=Q_{
ho}Q_{ au}$ and $R=R_{ au}R_{
ho}.$ Then,

$$(A - \rho I)(A - \tau I) = QR$$
 and $\tilde{A} = Q^*AQ$.

Also if A is real and $\tau = \bar{\rho}$, then $(A - \rho I)(A - \tau I)$ and \tilde{A} are real.

Additionally, if ρ and τ are not eigenvalues of A, then given any QR decomposition $(A - \rho I)(A - \tau I) = Q_1 R_1$ of $(A - \rho I)(A - \tau I)$, if $A_1 := Q_1^* A Q_1$, then there exists diagonal matrix D with $D(i,i) = \pm 1, i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that $A_1 = \bar{D}\tilde{A}D$.

Exercise: Prove the above statements!



Let
$$A_0 = A$$
 and $\rho_j, \tau_j \in \mathbb{F}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots$

for
$$j = 1, 2, ...$$

- (i) Form $M = (A_{j-1} \rho_{j-1}I)(A_{j-1} \tau_{j-1}I)$.
- (ii) Find a reflectors $Q_{j-1}^{(1)}, Q_{j-1}^{(2)}, \dots, Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)}$ such that

$$\mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(n-1)} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(2)} \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(1)} M$$

is upper triangular.

$$\textit{(iii)} \ \textit{Find} \ \textit{A}_{j} = \textbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(n-1)} \cdots \textbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(2)} \textbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(1)} \textit{A}_{j-1} \textbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(1)} \textbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(2)} \cdots \textbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(n-1)}.$$

Let
$$A_0 = A$$
 and $\rho_j, \tau_j \in \mathbb{F}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots$

for j = 1, 2, ...

- (i) Form $M = (A_{j-1} \rho_{j-1}I)(A_{j-1} \tau_{j-1}I)$.
- (ii) Find a reflectors $Q_{j-1}^{(1)}, Q_{j-1}^{(2)}, \dots, Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)}$ such that

$$\mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(n-1)}\cdots\mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(2)}\mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(1)}M$$

is upper triangular.

(iii) Find
$$A_j = \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(n-1)} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(2)} \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(1)} A_{j-1} \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(1)} \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(2)} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(n-1)}$$
.

Generally the shifts ρ_{j-1} and τ_{j-1} are taken to be the eigenvalues of

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{n-1,n-1}^{(j-1)} & a_{n-1,n}^{(j-1)} \\ a_{n,n-1}^{(j-1)} & a_{nn}^{(j-1)} \end{array}\right].$$

This is called generalized Rayleigh Quotient shifting strategy.



Let
$$A_0 = A$$
 and $\rho_j, \tau_j \in \mathbb{F}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots$

for
$$j = 1, 2, ...$$

(i) Form
$$M = (A_{j-1} - \rho_{j-1}I)(A_{j-1} - \tau_{j-1}I)$$
.

(Costs $O(n^3)$ flops and may be severely affected by rounding error. Also M is not upper Hessenberg!)

(ii) Find reflectors $Q_{j-1}^{(1)}, Q_{j-1}^{(2)}, \dots, Q_{j-1}^{(n-1)}$ such that

$$\mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(n-1)} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(2)} \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(1)} \mathit{M}$$

is upper triangular.

(iii) Find
$$A_j = \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(n-1)} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(2)} \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(1)} A_{j-1} \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(1)} \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(2)} \cdots \mathbf{Q}_{j-1}^{(n-1)}$$
.

Generally the shifts ρ_{j-1} and τ_{j-1} are taken to be the eigenvalues of

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} a_{n-1,n-1}^{(j-1)} & a_{n-1,n}^{(j-1)} \\ a_{n,n-1}^{(j-1)} & a_{nn}^{(j-1)} \end{array}\right].$$

This is called generalized Rayleigh Quotient shifting strategy.

