# Quiz 3

# Data Science for Studying Language & the Mind

	٠	
М	7	
	,	

#### Estimated time: 40 minutes

You may need more time if programming is completely new to you, or less if you have some experience already.

#### Instructions

- The quiz is closed book/note/computer/phone
- If you need to use the restroom, leave your exam and phone with the TA
- You have 60 minutes to complete the quiz. If you finish early, you may turn in your quiz and leave early

Name:	
PennKey:	
Lab section TA: _	


### Score by topic area

Model Fitting	
Model Fitting in R	
Model Accuracy	
Model Accuracy in R	
Total	

#### The data

Suppose we want to study the effect hours practicing an instrument has on your ultimate skill level with the instrument. We study 500 participants who are learning to play either piano or guitar. Below we explore these data in a few ways.

```
glimpse(data)
```



```
data %>%
   group_by(instrument) %>%
   summarise(
        n = n(),
        mean_skill = mean(skill), sd_skill = sd(skill),
        mean_hours = mean(hours), sd_hours = sd(hours))
```

# A tibble: 2 x 6


	instrument	n	mean_skill	sd_skill	mean_hours	sd_hours
	<chr></chr>	<int></int>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>
1	guitar	233	99.2	34.8	51.0	28.4
2	piano	267	99.1	30.9	50.1	28.3

## 1 Model Fitting

Suppose we fit a model represented by the following equation, where  $x_1$  is the number of hours spent practicing,  $x_2$  is the instrument, and y is the skill acheived:

$$y = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2$$

- (a) Which of the following would work to estimate the free parameters of this model? Choose one.
  - □ only gradient descent
  - $\square$  only ordinary least squares
  - $\square$  both gradient descent and ordinary least squares
- (b) True or false, when performing gradient descent on a **nonlinear** model, we might arrive at a local minimum and miss the global one.
  - ☐ True
  - □ False
- (c) True or False, given the model above, gradient descent and ordinary least squares would both converge on approximately the same parameter estimates.
  - □ True
  - □ False
- (d) The following plots a linear model of the formula  $y \sim 1 + x$  and one data point. Which dashed line represents the model's **residual** for this point? Circle one.



#### 2 Model Fitting in R

Questions in section 2 refer to the code below.

```
model
Call:
lm(formula = skill ~ hours + instrument_recoded, data = data)
Coefficients:
       (Intercept)
                                             instrument_recoded
                                     hours
            58.9493
                                   0.7885
                                                          0.6834
  #fit model with optimg
  optimg(data = data, par = c(1,1,1), fn=SSE, method = "STGD")
$par
[1] 58.9470428 0.7884720 0.6866089
$value
[1] 286497.6
$counts
[1] 16
$convergence
[1] 0
 (a) Which of the following could be the model specification in R? Choose all the apply.
       ☐ skill ~ hours + instrument_recoded
       ☐ skill ~ hours * instrument_recoded
       ☐ skill ~ 1 + hours + instrument_recoded
 (b) In the code, SSE() is a function we have defined to calculate the sum of squared errors.
     Which of the following correctly describes the steps of calculating SSE? Choose one.
       □ 1) calculate the residuals, 2) square each of the residuals, 3) add them up
       \square 1) calculate the residuals, 2) add them up, 3) square the sum of residuals
       □ 1) calculate the residuals, 2) calculate their standard deviation, 3) square it
       □ 1) calculate the residuals, 2) calculate their mean, 3) square it
```

(c) Using the estimated parameters from lm(), fill in the blanks to calculate the model's predicted value of skill for a participant who played the piano for 20 hours. You may round to the first decimal place.
skill = + ( * 20 ) + ( 0.7 * )
(d) Which of the following is the most likely value of the sum of squared errors when the parameters $b_0$ , $b_1$ , and $b_2$ are all set to 0? Choose one.
<ul> <li>□ exactly 0</li> <li>□ exactly 286497.6</li> <li>□ a value higher than 286497.6</li> <li>□ a value lower than 286497.6</li> </ul>
3 Model Accuracy
Questions in section 3 refer to the following summary() of the same model from section 2:
(a) Which of the following is a correct interpretation of the model's $\mathbb{R}^2$ value? Choose one
<ul> <li>□ The model has a 46.49% chance of explaining the true pattern in the data.</li> <li>□ The model explains 46.49% of the variance found in the data.</li> <li>□ The sample shows 46.49% of the variance found in the population.</li> </ul>
(b) Which of the following is true about the model's $\mathbb{R}^2$ ? Choose all that apply.
$\Box$ tends to overestimate $R^2$ on the population $\Box$ tends to underestimate $R^2$ on the population $\Box$ tends to overestimate $R^2$ on the sample $\Box$ tends to underestimate $R^2$ on the sample

(c) Which one of the following is true about  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ? Use the below formula as a guide and choose one.

```
R^2 = 1 - \frac{unexplained\ variance}{total\ variance}

\Box The unexplained variance refers to the fact that linear model haveh low accuracy.

\Box The total variance is about the overall variability of the data in the population.

\Box R^2 of 0 means that the model predicts the mean of the data but nothing else.

\Box R^2 of 1 means that the model will be perfect at predicting new data points.
```

(d) Which of the following is a correct statement about estimating  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for the population? Choose all that apply.

```
\square We can use OLS \square We can use bootstrapping \square We can use cross-validation \square We must go out and collect more samples from the population
```

## 4 Model Accuracy in R

Questions in section 4 refer to the following code:

```
# we divide the data
set.seed(2)
splits \leftarrow vfold_cv(data, v = 20)
# model secification
model_spec <-</pre>
  linear_reg() %>%
  set_engine(engine = "lm")
# add a workflow
our workflow <-
  workflow() %>%
  add model(model spec) %>%
  add_formula(skill ~ hours + instrument_recoded)
# fit models
fitted models <-
  fit_resamples(object = our_workflow,
                 resamples = splits)
fitted_models %>%
```

# collect\_metrics()

#	A tibble	e: 2 x 6					
	$.{ t metric}$	$.\mathtt{estimator}$	mean	n	$\operatorname{std}_{\operatorname{err}}$	.config	
	<chr></chr>	<chr></chr>	<dbl> &lt;</dbl>	int>	<dbl></dbl>	<chr></chr>	
1	rmse	standard	23.8	20	0.762	Preprocessor1_Model1	
2	rsq	standard	0.468	20	0.0267	Preprocessor1_Model1	
	(a) In the	e output abov	e, what is	the F	$R^2$ estimat	e for the population?	
		23.8					
		0.468					
		0.468 + 0.026	7				
	(b) In the one.	e code above,	which me	thod d	did we use	to estimate $\mathbb{R}^2$ on the population	? Choose
		x-fold cross-va eave one out poostrapping		ation			
	(c) In the	e code above,	how many	y mod	els did we	e fit when calling fit_resamples()	)?
		10					
		20					
		100					
	(d) You a	re no longer	doing a va	lid cro	oss-valida	tion if you change (choose all that	apply):
		How many ite	erations yo	u wan	it to do.		
		How much da	ta you wa	nt to 1	use for ea	ch part of training vs. testing.	
		Whether mod	els are fitt	ed to	the entire	sample instead of a part of the sa	mple
		Whether mod	els are tes	ted or	the train	ning data instead of the testing dat	a