

	1.0 -1.0 start
	1.0 • 1.0 · 1.0
	-1.0 10
	start  -1.0  10  Additional Questions
	<b>Additional Questions Task 1.7 (1 pt):</b> The code above for REINFORCE does not implement an explicit exploration strategy. Explain how the exploration-exploitation trade-off was circumvented by your choice of parameters intialisation in a few sentences, and how this approach could fail for some initialisations. <i>Write in the Markdown cell below (use LaTeX-math mode for equations, etc.).</i> The exploration-exploitation trade-off in the REINFORCE implementation was implicitly managed through the initialization of the policy parameters $\theta$ . By initializing $\theta$ with small random values (e.g., uniformly between $-0.1 - 0.1$ and $0.1 0.1$ ), the initial policy generated by the softmax function is approximately uniform across all actions in each state. This means that the agent starts with a high degree of exploration as each action has nearly equal probability of being selected. Over time, as the agent collects experience and updates $\theta$ based on received rewards, the policy naturally shifts towards exploiting actions that yield higher returns.  This approach can fail with certain initializations. If $\theta$ is initialized with large magnitudes or biased values, the softmax function may produce a policy that heavily favors certain actions from the start, reducing exploration. For instance, if one action's parameter is significantly higher than others due to initialization, the agent might consistently select that action and miss out on exploring potentially better alternatives. Therefore, without an explicit exploration strategy, the agent's ability to explore effectively depends on
	careful initialization of <i>θ</i> , and inappropriate initial values could hinder learning by limiting exploration. <b>Task 1.8 (0.5 pt):</b> In a few sentences explain the shortcomings of the direct parametrisation. <i>Write in the Markdown cell below (use LaTeX-math mode for equations, etc.).</i> The direct parametrization has significant shortcomings due to its lack of scalability and generalization. By assigning a unique parameter to each state-action pair, it results in a parameter vector whose size grows exponentially with the number of states and actions. This makes it impractical for environments with large or continuous state spaces. Additionally, it doesn't capture similarities or shared structures between different states or actions, preventing the agent from generalizing learned behaviors to unseen situations. Consequently, learning becomes inefficient, requiring extensive data to cover all possible state-action combinations. <b>Part 2: CartPole with A2C</b> The Cart Pole Environment  The CartPole environment is a classical problem where a pole is attached by an un-actuated joint to a car which moves along a frictionless track. The pendulum is placed upright on the cart and the goal is to balance the pole by applying forces in the left and right direction on the cart.
In [20]:	env = gym.make( Cartrole-vi )
In [21]: Out[21]:	PyTorch Intro  We also introduce basic functionality for PyTorch. PyTorch is a widely used automatic differentiation librar which is very useful for training neural networks. This part is also for guidance and does not include questions. While we do introduce the basics of PyTorch, you are encouraged to explore more about the library following the official tutorials in case you haven't used it before.  PyTorch is built on top of the class torch. Tensor, which implements many operations on vectors, matrices and higher dimensional tensors. The functionality of operations on torch tensors closely mirrors the operations from the numpy package.  torch.zeros(5)  tensor([0., 0., 0., 0., 0.])
In [23]: Out[23]: In [24]:	<pre>a_matrix = [[1., 2.],[3., 4.]] torch.tensor(a_matrix)  tensor([[1., 2.],</pre>
	<pre>x = torch.ones(5)  # input tensor y = torch.zeros(3)  # expected output w = torch.randn(5, 3, requires_grad=True) b = torch.randn(3, requires_grad=True) z = torch.matmul(x, w)+b loss = torch.nn.functional.binary_cross_entropy_with_logits(z, y) print(loss)  tensor(1.0208, grad_fn=<binarycrossentropywithlogitsbackward0>)</binarycrossentropywithlogitsbackward0></pre>
	tensor([[0.1598, 0.2628, 0.1917],
	Advantage Actor-Critic (A2C)  A more stable alternative to vanilla policy gradients via REINFORCE is the so-called A2C algorithm, which a actor-critic method. First, you should familiarise yourself with the A2C algorithm reading the original paper.  Task 2.1 (3 pts): Explain in a few sentences each,  1. how the A2C algorithm addresses the shortcomings of vanilla policy gradient methods, 2. the difference between A2C and A3C, 3. why the A3C algorithm could be expected to perform even better than A2C.  Write in the Markdown cell below (use LaTeX-math mode for equations, etc.).  1. How the A2C algorithm addresses the shortcomings of vanilla policy gradient methods:  The A2C (Advantage Actor-Critic) algorithm improves upon vanilla policy gradients, the policy is updated using returns (the cumulative future rewards), which can have high variance and lead to unstable learning
	using returns (the cumulative future rewards), which can have high variance and lead to unstable learning A2C introduces a critic network that estimates the value function $V(s)$ , representing the expected return from state $s$ . By using the advantage function $A(s,a) = Q(s,a) - V(s)$ , where $Q(s,a)$ is the expected return afte taking action $a$ in state $s$ , the algorithm focuses updates on actions that perform better than expected. The approach reduces the variance in gradient estimates by subtracting the baseline value $V(s)$ , leading to mostable and efficient learning.  1. The difference between A2C and A3C:  A2C and A3C (Asynchronous Advantage Actor-Critic) are both actor-critic algorithms that utilize the advantage function to update policies. The primary difference lies in how they handle parallelism and updates:  A2C: A2C is a synchronous version of A3C. It runs multiple worker processes in parallel environments, but synchronizes the gradient updates. After a set number of steps, all workers send their gradients to a centroptimizer, which averages them and updates the global network parameters synchronously. This method benefits from efficient utilization of GPUs and simplifies implementation compared to asynchronous methods.  A3C: A3C operates with multiple workers running asynchronously. Each worker interacts with its own environment and updates the global network parameters independently without waiting for other worker.
	The asynchronous updates introduce diversity in learning and help prevent workers from becoming stuck in the same local optima. This approach leverages CPU resources effectively and can lead to faster learning due to increased exploration.  1. Why the A3C algorithm could be expected to perform even better than A2C:  A3C can potentially outperform A2C because its asynchronous nature introduces greater exploration and diversity in learning. As workers operate independently, they experience different state transitions and update the global parameters based on varied experiences. This diversity helps in:  Escaping Local Optima: Independent exploration increases the chances of discovering better policies that might be missed in synchronous updates.  Reducing Parameter Update Conflicts: Asynchronous updates minimize the risk of workers overwriting ear other's progress in a synchronized manner.  Improving Learning Efficiency: Without the need to wait for synchronization, workers can perform update more frequently, leading to faster convergence.  Additionally, the inherent randomness and non-determinism in asynchronous updates can act as a form of the control of the
	Regularization, potentially improving the generalization of the learned policy. Neural Network Parameterization  We will use neural networks to parameterize both the value function and the policy. The ActorNet defines the policy $\pi_{\theta}(a s)$ . Given state $s$ as input, it will output a distribution over the action space. The CriticNet defines the approximation to the value function, which could be denoted $\hat{V}_w(s)$ . Given states as input, it will output an approximation of the state-value.  You can play with different network architectures and the activation functions. Note that the ActorNet should produce a probability distribution over the action space.  Task 2.2 (0.5 pt): Design your own neural networks for ActorNet and CriticNet in the code block below.  class ActorNet (torch.nn.Module):     definit(self, num_state: int, num_action: int):         super (ActorNet, self)init()  # Define the network architecture self.model = torch.nn.Sequential(
	torch.nn.Linear(num_state, 128), # Input layer to hidden layer torch.nn.ReLU(), # Activation function torch.nn.Linear(128, num_action), # Hidden layer to output layer torch.nn.Softmax(dim=-1) # Softmax to get probability dist. )  # Return a probability distribution over the action space def forward(self, state):     return self.model(state)  class CriticNet(torch.nn.Module):     definit(self, num_state: int):         super(CriticNet, self)init()  # Define the network architecture self.model = torch.nn.Sequential(
n [35]:	<pre>import torch.nn.functional as F  class A2C():  definit(self, env):     """Initialization code"""     # Initiate both nets     self.actor = ActorNet(env.observation_space.shape[0],</pre>
	env.action_space.n)  self.critic = CriticNet(env.observation_space.shape[0])  # Set gamma and learning rates  self.gamma = 0.99 # Discount factor  actor_lr = 0.005 # Learning rate for the actor  critic_lr = 0.005 # Learning rate for the critic  self.env = env  self.actor_opt = torch.optim.Adam(self.actor.parameters(), lr=actor_lr)  self.critic_opt = torch.optim.Adam(self.critic.parameters(), lr=critic_lr  def train(self, max_iter: int = 1000, max_episode_len: int = 500):  total_reward = []  pbar = tqdm.tqdm(range(max_iter), desc="Episode")  for num_iter in pbar:     # At each iteration, we roll out an episode using current policy     rewards = []  states = []     actions = []
	<pre>log_probs = [] values = []  s, _ = self.env.reset() for t in range(max_episode_len):     # Given current state, get action probabilities from actor     state = torch.tensor(s, dtype=torch.float32).unsqueeze(0)     probs = self.actor(state)     # Create the distribution as current policy     pi = torch.distributions.Categorical(probs)     # Sample one action from this policy     a = pi.sample()  log_prob = pi.log_prob(a)     value = self.critic(state)  # Interact with the environment     s, r, is_terminal, _, _ = self.env.step(a.item())  # Store data     rewards.append(r)     states.append(state)     actions.append(a)</pre>
	log_probs.append(log_prob) values.append(value)  if is_terminal:     break  # Store total_reward
	<pre>total_reward.append(sum(rewards))  pbar.set_postfix_str(f"Last reward: {total_reward[-1]}, Mean last {min}  # Compute returns and advantages returns = [] R = 0 for r in reversed(rewards):     R = r + self.gamma * R     returns.insert(0, R) returns = torch.tensor(returns, dtype=torch.float32)  # Convert lists to tensors log_probs = torch.stack(log_probs) values = torch.stack(values).squeeze()</pre>
	<pre>pbar.set_postfix_str(f"Last reward: {total_reward[-1]}, Mean last {min}  # Compute returns and advantages returns = [] R = 0 for r in reversed(rewards): R = r + self.gamma * R returns.insert(0, R) returns = torch.tensor(returns, dtype=torch.float32)  # Convert lists to tensors log probs = torch.stack(log probs) values = torch.stack(values).squeeze()  # Compute advantages advantages = returns - values.detach()  # Compute actor loss (policy gradient with baseline) actor_loss = - (log_probs * advantages).mean()  # Compute critic loss (value function approximation) critic_loss = F.mse_loss(values, returns)  # Update actor network self.actor_opt.zero_grad() actor_loss.backward() self.actor_opt.step()  # Update critic_opt.zero_grad() critic_loss.backward() self.critic_opt.zero_grad() self.critic_opt.step()  self.env.close()</pre>
n [36]:	pbar.set_postfix_str(f"Last reward: {total_reward[-1]}, Mean last {min}  # Compute returns and advantages returns = {1} R = 0 for r in reversed(rewards): R = r + self.gamma * R returns.insert(0, R) returns = torch.tensor(returns, dtype=torch.float32)  # Convert lists to tensors log_probs = torch.stack(log_probs) values = torch.stack(values).squeeze()  # Compute advantages advantages advantages advantages = returns - values.detach()  # Compute actor loss (policy gradient with baseline) actor_loss = - (log_probs * advantages).mean()  # Compute critic loss (value function approximation) critic_loss = F.mse_loss(values, returns)  # Update actor network self.actor_opt.zero_grad() actor_loss.backward() self.acritic_opt.zero_grad() critic_loss.backward() self.critic_opt.step()  # Update critic network self.critic_opt.step() self.env.close()  return total_reward
n [36]:	pbar.set_postfix_str(""sat reward: (total_reward[-1]), Mean last (min)  # Compute returns and advantages returns = []  # = 0  for r in reversed(rewards):  # R = r + self.gumma * E  returns.insert(0, E)  returns = torch.tensor(returns, dtype=torch.float32)  # Convert lists to temsors  log_probs = torch.stack(pap.probs) values = torch.stack(pap.probs) values = torch.stack(pap.probs) values = torch.stack(values).aqueeze()  # Compute advantages advantages = returns - values.detach()  # Compute actor loss (policy gradient with Easeline) actor_loss = - (log_probs * advantages).mean()  # Compute actor network self.actor_opt.sec.guad() actor_loss.backward() self.actor_opt.sec.guad() actor_loss.backward() self.actor_opt.sec.grad() critic_loss.backward() self.actor_opt.sec.grad() critic_loss.backward() self.ortitc_opt.step()  # Update critic network self.ortitc_opt.step()  # Update critic_network self.ortitc_opt.step()  # Update critic_ne
	pubs.set.pubs.pubs.fix.sur("Flast reward; [bbtal_reward[-1]), Mean lost [wind of the content of
	phar.not_postfix_str(f*last.roward: (total_roward:-11), Wean last (min
	photomorphalists profities revenues (lotal_revery = 1.1, News tast print  # Compact processes and advantages
	processes growering striffwart revends (total geverat(=1)), dean less (min of commonts processes and commonted to the processes of the common
n [31]:	plant cells gins ("To see!" "construction of all measurable ("To all measurable")  **Construct presents and administration  **Ref = 1s remains and administration  **Construct observations  **Ref = 1s remains and administration  **Construct observations  **Ref = 1s remains and administration  **Ref = 1s remains and administration administ
n [31]:	pleasures_providing_state_file_control_(postal
n [31]:	Service producing and all accordances of the control of the contro
n [31]:	state and processes of the control o
n [31]:	above the property of the prop
n [31]:	page of the provided provided and an expert in the control of the
n [31]:	Analyse your method and state and a substitution of the state
n [37]:	Section of protein more and content which content and and and a section of the content
n [37]:	The control of the co
n [37]: n [37]:	For the control of th
n [31]: n [37]:	The second secon
n [37]: n [37]:	And the control of th