

# Income Logistic Regression Project

Kiro Shenouda

4/14/2022

My goal for this project is to create a classifier that predicts whether someone makes an annual income of below or above 50,000 dollars. I will create the classifier using logistic regression.

## Get the Data

```
adult = read.csv('adult_sal.csv')
head(adult)
```

```
##   X age   type_employer fnlwgt education education_num      marital
## 1 1  39      State-gov  77516 Bachelors           13      Never-married
## 2 2  50 Self-emp-not-inc  83311 Bachelors           13      Married-civ-spouse
## 3 3  38      Private 215646   HS-grad            9      Divorced
## 4 4  53      Private 234721     11th             7      Married-civ-spouse
## 5 5  28      Private 338409 Bachelors           13      Married-civ-spouse
## 6 6  37      Private 284582   Masters           14      Married-civ-spouse
##      occupation relationship race    sex capital_gain capital_loss
## 1      Adm-clerical Not-in-family White   Male         2174          0
## 2      Exec-managerial      Husband White   Male          0          0
## 3 Handlers-cleaners Not-in-family White   Male          0          0
## 4 Handlers-cleaners      Husband Black    Male          0          0
## 5      Prof-specialty      Wife Black Female          0          0
## 6      Exec-managerial      Wife White Female          0          0
##   hr_per_week      country income
## 1          40 United-States <=50K
## 2          13 United-States <=50K
## 3          40 United-States <=50K
## 4          40 United-States <=50K
## 5          40      Cuba <=50K
## 6          40 United-States <=50K
```

```
summary(adult)
```

```
##           X           age      type_employer      fnlwgt
## Min.      :    1  Min.      :17.00  Length:32561  Min.      : 12285
## 1st Qu.: 8141  1st Qu.:28.00   Class :character 1st Qu.: 117827
## Median :16281 Median :37.00   Mode  :character Median : 178356
## Mean      :16281 Mean      :38.58                Mean      : 189778
## 3rd Qu.:24421 3rd Qu.:48.00                3rd Qu.: 237051
```

```
## Max. :32561 Max. :90.00 Max. :1484705
## education education_num marital occupation
## Length:32561 Min. : 1.00 Length:32561 Length:32561
## Class :character 1st Qu.: 9.00 Class :character Class :character
## Mode :character Median :10.00 Mode :character Mode :character
## Mean :10.08
## 3rd Qu.:12.00
## Max. :16.00
## relationship race sex capital_gain
## Length:32561 Length:32561 Length:32561 Min. : 0
## Class :character Class :character Class :character 1st Qu.: 0
## Mode :character Mode :character Mode :character Median : 0
## Mean : 1078
## 3rd Qu.: 0
## Max. :99999
## capital_loss hr_per_week country income
## Min. : 0.0 Min. : 1.00 Length:32561 Length:32561
## 1st Qu.: 0.0 1st Qu.:40.00 Class :character Class :character
## Median : 0.0 Median :40.00 Mode :character Mode :character
## Mean : 87.3 Mean :40.44
## 3rd Qu.: 0.0 3rd Qu.:45.00
## Max. :4356.0 Max. :99.00
```

```
str(adult)
```

```
## 'data.frame': 32561 obs. of 16 variables:
## $ X : int 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
## $ age : int 39 50 38 53 28 37 49 52 31 42 ...
## $ type_employer: chr "State-gov" "Self-emp-not-inc" "Private" "Private" ...
## $ fnlwgt : int 77516 83311 215646 234721 338409 284582 160187 209642 45781 159449 ...
## $ education : chr "Bachelors" "Bachelors" "HS-grad" "11th" ...
## $ education_num: int 13 13 9 7 13 14 5 9 14 13 ...
## $ marital : chr "Never-married" "Married-civ-spouse" "Divorced" "Married-civ-spouse" ...
## $ occupation : chr "Adm-clerical" "Exec-managerial" "Handlers-cleaners" "Handlers-cleaners" ...
## $ relationship : chr "Not-in-family" "Husband" "Not-in-family" "Husband" ...
## $ race : chr "White" "White" "White" "Black" ...
## $ sex : chr "Male" "Male" "Male" "Male" ...
## $ capital_gain : int 2174 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 14084 5178 ...
## $ capital_loss : int 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ hr_per_week : int 40 13 40 40 40 40 16 45 50 40 ...
## $ country : chr "United-States" "United-States" "United-States" "United-States" ...
## $ income : chr "<=50K" "<=50K" "<=50K" "<=50K" ...
```

## Data Cleaning

```
table(adult$type_employer)
```

```
##
##           ?      Federal-gov      Local-gov      Never-worked
##          1836          960          2093          7
##      Private      Self-emp-inc Self-emp-not-inc      State-gov
##      22696          1116          2541          1298
##      Without-pay
##           14
```

I will clean these columns by combining some of them together, thus reducing the number of factors used for classification.

```
unemployed = function(job) {
  job = as.character(job)
  if(job == 'Never-worked' | job == 'Without-pay') {
    return('Unemployed')
  } else {
    return(job)
  }
}
adult$type_employer = sapply(adult$type_employer, unemployed)

selfemploy = function(job) {
  job = as.character(job)
  if(job == 'Self-emp-inc' | job == 'Self-emp-not-inc') {
    return('Self-emp')
  } else {
    return(job)
  }
}
adult$type_employer = sapply(adult$type_employer, selfemploy)

local = function(job) {
  job = as.character(job)
  if(job == 'Local-gov' | job == 'State-gov') {
    return('SL-gov')
  } else {
    return(job)
  }
}
adult$type_employer = sapply(adult$type_employer, local)

table(adult$type_employer)
```

```
##
##           ? Federal-gov      Private      Self-emp      SL-gov      Unemployed
##          1836          960          22696          3657          3391          21
```

```
table(adult$marital)
```

```
##
##           Divorced      Married-AF-spouse      Married-civ-spouse
##           4443           23           14976
## Married-spouse-absent      Never-married      Separated
##           418           10683           1025
##           Widowed
##           993
```

Like the employment type column, I will clean the marital column by combining some of the columns together.

```
married = function(status) {
  status = as.character(status)
  if(status == 'Married-AF-spouse' |
     status == 'Married-civ-spouse' |
     status == 'Married-spouse-absent') {
    return('Married')
  } else if(status == 'Divorced' |
             status == 'Separated' |
             status == 'Widowed') {
    return('Not-Married')
  } else {
    return(status)
  }
}
adult$marital = sapply(adult$marital, married)

table(adult$marital)
```

```
##
##      Married Never-married      Not-Married
##      15417      10683      6461
```

```
table(adult$country)
```

```
##
##           ?           Cambodia
##           583           19
##           Canada           China
##           121           75
##           Columbia           Cuba
##           59           95
##           Dominican-Republic           Ecuador
##           70           28
##           El-Salvador           England
##           106           90
##           France           Germany
##           29           137
##           Greece           Guatemala
##           29           64
```

##	Haiti	Holand-Netherlands
##	44	1
##	Honduras	Hong
##	13	20
##	Hungary	India
##	13	100
##	Iran	Ireland
##	43	24
##	Italy	Jamaica
##	73	81
##	Japan	Laos
##	62	18
##	Mexico	Nicaragua
##	643	34
##	Outlying-US(Guam-USVI-etc)	Peru
##	14	31
##	Philippines	Poland
##	198	60
##	Portugal	Puerto-Rico
##	37	114
##	Scotland	South
##	12	80
##	Taiwan	Thailand
##	51	18
##	Trinidad&Tobago	United-States
##	19	29170
##	Vietnam	Yugoslavia
##	67	16

I will reduce the number of countries by grouping countries of the same continent/region together.

```
Asia = c('Cambodia', 'China', 'Hong', 'India', 'Iran', 'Japan',
        'Laos', 'Philippines', 'Taiwan', 'Thailand', 'Vietnam')
North.America = c('Canada', 'Puerto-Rico', 'United-States')
Europe = c('England', 'France', 'Germany', 'Greece',
          'Holand-Netherlands', 'Hungary', 'Ireland', 'Italy',
          'Poland', 'Portugal', 'Scotland', 'Yugoslavia')
Latin.and.South.America = c('Columbia', 'Cuba',
                          'Dominican-Republic', 'Ecuador',
                          'El-Salvador', 'Guatemala', 'Haiti',
                          'Honduras', 'Mexico', 'Nicaragua',
                          'Outlying-US(Guam-USVI-etc)', 'Peru',
                          'Jamaica', 'Trinidad&Tobago')
Other = c('South')

region = function(country) {
  if(country %in% Asia) {
    return('Asia')
  } else if(country %in% North.America) {
    return('North.America')
  } else if(country %in% Europe) {
    return('Europe')
  } else if(country %in% Latin.and.South.America) {
    return('Latin.and.South.America')
  }
}
```

```

    } else {
      return('Other')
    }
  }
  adult$country = sapply(adult$country, region)

  table(adult$country)

```

```

##
##              Asia              Europe Latin.and.South.America
##              671              521              1301
##      North.America              Other
##              29405              663

```

Since most of the variables are still continuous, I will convert them to be factors for the classification model.

```

adult$type_employer = factor(adult$type_employer)
adult$education = factor(adult$education)
adult$marital = factor(adult$marital)
adult$occupation = factor(adult$occupation)
adult$relationship = factor(adult$relationship)
adult$race = factor(adult$race)
adult$sex = factor(adult$sex)
adult$country = factor(adult$country)
adult$income = factor(adult$income)

```

## Missing Data

```
adult[adult == '?' | adult == ' ?'] = NA
adult = na.omit(adult)
adult = adult[,-1]
names(adult)[names(adult) == 'country'] = 'region'
str(adult)
```

```
## 'data.frame': 30718 obs. of 15 variables:
## $ age : int 39 50 38 53 28 37 49 52 31 42 ...
## $ type_employer: Factor w/ 6 levels "?","Federal-gov",...: 5 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 3 ...
## $ fnlwt : int 77516 83311 215646 234721 338409 284582 160187 209642 45781 159449 ...
## $ education : Factor w/ 16 levels "10th","11th",...: 10 10 12 2 10 13 7 12 13 10 ...
## $ education_num: int 13 13 9 7 13 14 5 9 14 13 ...
## $ marital : Factor w/ 3 levels "Married","Never-married",...: 2 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 ...
## $ occupation : Factor w/ 15 levels "?","Adm-clerical",...: 2 5 7 7 11 5 9 5 11 5 ...
## $ relationship : Factor w/ 6 levels "Husband","Not-in-family",...: 2 1 2 1 6 6 2 1 2 1 ...
## $ race : Factor w/ 5 levels "Amer-Indian-Eskimo",...: 5 5 5 3 3 5 3 5 5 5 ...
## $ sex : Factor w/ 2 levels "Female","Male": 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 2 ...
## $ capital_gain : int 2174 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 14084 5178 ...
## $ capital_loss : int 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
## $ hr_per_week : int 40 13 40 40 40 40 16 45 50 40 ...
## $ region : Factor w/ 5 levels "Asia","Europe",...: 4 4 4 4 3 4 3 4 4 4 ...
## $ income : Factor w/ 2 levels "<=50K", ">50K": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 ...
```

## Building a Model

```
library(caret)
```

```
## Loading required package: ggplot2
```

```
## Loading required package: lattice
```

```
set.seed(2022)
index = createDataPartition(adult$income, p = 0.8, list = FALSE)
train = adult[index, ]
test = adult[-index, ]
```

```
model1 = glm(income ~., family = binomial(logit), data = train)
```

```
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
```

```
summary(model1)
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = income ~ ., family = binomial(logit), data = train)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -5.1172  -0.5178  -0.1937   0.0000   3.6950
##
## Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)   -5.435e+00  4.042e-01 -13.446 < 2e-16 ***
## age           2.647e-02  1.861e-03  14.222 < 2e-16 ***
## type_employerPrivate -5.310e-01  1.052e-01 -5.049 4.43e-07 ***
## type_employerSelf-emp -7.834e-01  1.166e-01 -6.720 1.82e-11 ***
## type_employerSL-gov   -7.758e-01  1.184e-01 -6.554 5.59e-11 ***
## type_employerUnemployed -1.300e+01  2.394e+02 -0.054 0.956698
## fnlwt         7.038e-07  1.935e-07   3.638 0.000275 ***
## education11th  -1.698e-02  2.349e-01 -0.072 0.942379
## education12th    1.330e-01  3.193e-01  0.416 0.677078
## education1st-4th  -6.743e-01  5.334e-01 -1.264 0.206124
## education5th-6th  -4.426e-01  3.774e-01 -1.173 0.240891
## education7th-8th  -6.481e-01  2.622e-01 -2.472 0.013447 *
## education9th     -3.197e-01  2.854e-01 -1.120 0.262710
## educationAssoc-acdm  1.180e+00  1.949e-01  6.054 1.41e-09 ***
## educationAssoc-voc   1.128e+00  1.874e-01  6.020 1.75e-09 ***
## educationBachelors   1.772e+00  1.739e-01 10.192 < 2e-16 ***
## educationDoctorate   2.835e+00  2.389e-01 11.864 < 2e-16 ***
## educationHS-grad     6.174e-01  1.692e-01  3.649 0.000263 ***
## educationMasters     2.142e+00  1.863e-01 11.499 < 2e-16 ***
## educationPreschool  -1.781e+01  1.003e+02 -0.178 0.858984
## educationProf-school  2.616e+00  2.239e-01 11.685 < 2e-16 ***
```



```

## educationSome-college      9.926e-01  1.717e-01  5.781 7.43e-09 ***
## education_num              NA          NA      NA      NA
## maritalNever-married      -1.278e+00  1.883e-01 -6.789 1.13e-11 ***
## maritalNot-Married        -7.802e-01  1.874e-01 -4.163 3.14e-05 ***
## occupationArmed-Forces     -1.216e+01  3.728e+02 -0.033 0.973990
## occupationCraft-repair     1.096e-01  8.949e-02  1.224 0.220840
## occupationExec-managerial  8.242e-01  8.645e-02  9.534 < 2e-16 ***
## occupationFarming-fishing -1.181e+00  1.593e-01 -7.413 1.24e-13 ***
## occupationHandlers-cleaners -7.035e-01  1.608e-01 -4.376 1.21e-05 ***
## occupationMachine-op-inspct -3.197e-01  1.143e-01 -2.796 0.005170 **
## occupationOther-service    -7.590e-01  1.302e-01 -5.830 5.53e-09 ***
## occupationPriv-house-serv -3.787e+00  1.828e+00 -2.071 0.038337 *
## occupationProf-specialty   5.414e-01  9.172e-02  5.902 3.59e-09 ***
## occupationProtective-serv  5.938e-01  1.415e-01  4.195 2.73e-05 ***
## occupationSales            3.301e-01  9.226e-02  3.578 0.000347 ***
## occupationTech-support     6.884e-01  1.233e-01  5.584 2.35e-08 ***
## occupationTransport-moving -1.109e-01  1.127e-01 -0.984 0.325013
## relationshipNot-in-family  -8.689e-01  1.845e-01 -4.709 2.49e-06 ***
## relationshipOther-relative -1.250e+00  2.589e-01 -4.829 1.37e-06 ***
## relationshipOwn-child      -1.877e+00  2.317e-01 -8.102 5.40e-16 ***
## relationshipUnmarried      -9.009e-01  2.056e-01 -4.383 1.17e-05 ***
## relationshipWife           1.404e+00  1.165e-01 12.049 < 2e-16 ***
## raceAsian-Pac-Islander     6.055e-01  2.978e-01  2.033 0.042047 *
## raceBlack                  3.927e-01  2.624e-01  1.496 0.134538
## raceOther                  -3.554e-02  3.971e-01 -0.089 0.928687
## raceWhite                  5.789e-01  2.501e-01  2.315 0.020612 *
## sexMale                    8.968e-01  8.909e-02 10.066 < 2e-16 ***
## capital_gain               3.211e-04  1.176e-05 27.306 < 2e-16 ***
## capital_loss               6.311e-04  4.238e-05 14.892 < 2e-16 ***
## hr_per_week                3.109e-02  1.879e-03 16.552 < 2e-16 ***
## regionEurope               1.461e-01  2.419e-01  0.604 0.545931
## regionLatin.and.South.America -3.588e-01  2.428e-01 -1.478 0.139534
## regionNorth.America        1.806e-01  1.954e-01  0.924 0.355391
## regionOther                -4.006e-01  2.185e-01 -1.834 0.066690 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
## Null deviance: 27586 on 24574 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 15944 on 24521 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 16052
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 13

```

Based on the first logistic regression model, the predictors with the most amount of significance in predicting one's income is age, employment type, completion of higher education, never being married, most occupations (executive manager, farming/fishing, handlers/cleaners, machine operator, professor, protective service, sales, and tech support), relationship status (not in a family, having other relatives, having a child, unmarried, and having a wife), being a male, capital gain/loss, and number of hours worked per week. All of these factors play a strong role in predicting someone's income. The rest of the predictors do not play a significant role in predicting income.

In order to make a more accurate model, I will create a second model using step-wise regression by selecting the more relevant predictors for income.

```
model2 = step(model1)
```

```
## Start:  AIC=16052.11
## income ~ age + type_employer + fnlwgt + education + education_num +
## marital + occupation + relationship + race + sex + capital_gain +
## capital_loss + hr_per_week + region

## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred

##
## Step:  AIC=16052.11
## income ~ age + type_employer + fnlwgt + education + marital +
## occupation + relationship + race + sex + capital_gain + capital_loss +
## hr_per_week + region

## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
```

```
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
## Warning: glm.fit: fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred
```

```
##           Df Deviance   AIC
## <none>          15944 16052
## - race           4   15959 16059
## - fnlwgt         1   15957 16063
## - region         4   15972 16072
## - marital        2   16000 16104
## - type_employer  4   16007 16107
## - sex            1   16050 16156
## - age            1   16148 16254
## - capital_loss   1   16172 16278
## - hr_per_week    1   16227 16333
## - relationship   5   16240 16338
## - occupation     13   16468 16550
## - education      15   16761 16839
## - capital_gain   1   17393 17499
```

```
summary(model2)
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = income ~ age + type_employer + fnlwgt + education +
##      marital + occupation + relationship + race + sex + capital_gain +
##      capital_loss + hr_per_week + region, family = binomial(logit),
##      data = train)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -5.1172  -0.5178  -0.1937   0.0000   3.6950
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)   -5.435e+00  4.042e-01 -13.446 < 2e-16 ***
## age            2.647e-02  1.861e-03  14.222 < 2e-16 ***
## type_employerPrivate -5.310e-01  1.052e-01 -5.049 4.43e-07 ***
## type_employerSelf-emp -7.834e-01  1.166e-01 -6.720 1.82e-11 ***
## type_employerSL-gov  -7.758e-01  1.184e-01 -6.554 5.59e-11 ***
## type_employerUnemployed -1.300e+01  2.394e+02 -0.054 0.956698
## fnlwgt         7.038e-07  1.935e-07   3.638 0.000275 ***
## education11th  -1.698e-02  2.349e-01 -0.072 0.942379
## education12th   1.330e-01  3.193e-01   0.416 0.677078
```

```

## education1st-4th      -6.743e-01  5.334e-01  -1.264  0.206124
## education5th-6th      -4.426e-01  3.774e-01  -1.173  0.240891
## education7th-8th      -6.481e-01  2.622e-01  -2.472  0.013447 *
## education9th          -3.197e-01  2.854e-01  -1.120  0.262710
## educationAssoc-acdm    1.180e+00  1.949e-01   6.054  1.41e-09 ***
## educationAssoc-voc     1.128e+00  1.874e-01   6.020  1.75e-09 ***
## educationBachelors     1.772e+00  1.739e-01  10.192 < 2e-16 ***
## educationDoctorate     2.835e+00  2.389e-01  11.864 < 2e-16 ***
## educationHS-grad       6.174e-01  1.692e-01   3.649  0.000263 ***
## educationMasters       2.142e+00  1.863e-01  11.499 < 2e-16 ***
## educationPreschool    -1.781e+01  1.003e+02  -0.178  0.858984
## educationProf-school   2.616e+00  2.239e-01  11.685 < 2e-16 ***
## educationSome-college  9.926e-01  1.717e-01   5.781  7.43e-09 ***
## maritalNever-married  -1.278e+00  1.883e-01  -6.789  1.13e-11 ***
## maritalNot-Married     -7.802e-01  1.874e-01  -4.163  3.14e-05 ***
## occupationArmed-Forces -1.216e+01  3.728e+02  -0.033  0.973990
## occupationCraft-repair  1.096e-01  8.949e-02   1.224  0.220840
## occupationExec-managerial 8.242e-01  8.645e-02   9.534 < 2e-16 ***
## occupationFarming-fishing -1.181e+00  1.593e-01  -7.413  1.24e-13 ***
## occupationHandlers-cleaners -7.035e-01  1.608e-01  -4.376  1.21e-05 ***
## occupationMachine-op-inspct -3.197e-01  1.143e-01  -2.796  0.005170 **
## occupationOther-service -7.590e-01  1.302e-01  -5.830  5.53e-09 ***
## occupationPriv-house-serv -3.787e+00  1.828e+00  -2.071  0.038337 *
## occupationProf-specialty 5.414e-01  9.172e-02   5.902  3.59e-09 ***
## occupationProtective-serv 5.938e-01  1.415e-01   4.195  2.73e-05 ***
## occupationSales        3.301e-01  9.226e-02   3.578  0.000347 ***
## occupationTech-support  6.884e-01  1.233e-01   5.584  2.35e-08 ***
## occupationTransport-moving -1.109e-01  1.127e-01  -0.984  0.325013
## relationshipNot-in-family -8.689e-01  1.845e-01  -4.709  2.49e-06 ***
## relationshipOther-relative -1.250e+00  2.589e-01  -4.829  1.37e-06 ***
## relationshipOwn-child   -1.877e+00  2.317e-01  -8.102  5.40e-16 ***
## relationshipUnmarried   -9.009e-01  2.056e-01  -4.383  1.17e-05 ***
## relationshipWife        1.404e+00  1.165e-01  12.049 < 2e-16 ***
## raceAsian-Pac-Islander  6.055e-01  2.978e-01   2.033  0.042047 *
## raceBlack              3.927e-01  2.624e-01   1.496  0.134538
## raceOther              -3.554e-02  3.971e-01  -0.089  0.928687
## raceWhite              5.789e-01  2.501e-01   2.315  0.020612 *
## sexMale                8.968e-01  8.909e-02  10.066 < 2e-16 ***
## capital_gain            3.211e-04  1.176e-05  27.306 < 2e-16 ***
## capital_loss            6.311e-04  4.238e-05  14.892 < 2e-16 ***
## hr_per_week            3.109e-02  1.879e-03  16.552 < 2e-16 ***
## regionEurope           1.461e-01  2.419e-01   0.604  0.545931
## regionLatin.and.South.America -3.588e-01  2.428e-01  -1.478  0.139534
## regionNorth.America     1.806e-01  1.954e-01   0.924  0.355391
## regionOther            -4.006e-01  2.185e-01  -1.834  0.066690 .
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
## Null deviance: 27586 on 24574 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 15944 on 24521 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 16052
##

```

```
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 13
```

After running a second model with step-wise regression, it seems that the most significant predictors remained. Running this step-wise regression model did not appear to improve or worsen the previous model. Now I will build a confusion matrix to compute accuracy, misclassification rate, recall, and precision.

```
test$predict = predict(object = model1, newdata = test, type = 'response')
```

```
## Warning in predict.lm(object, newdata, se.fit, scale = 1, type = if (type == :  
## prediction from a rank-deficient fit may be misleading
```

```
table(test$income, test$predict > 0.5)
```

```
##  
##          FALSE TRUE  
## <=50K    4286   327  
## >50K      650   880
```

The accuracy of this model is  $\frac{4286+880}{4286+880+650+327} = 0.8409572$

The misclassification rate of this model is 1 - accuracy:  $1 - 0.8409572 = 0.1590428$

The recall of this model is  $\frac{4286}{4286+327} = 0.9291134$

The precision of this model is  $\frac{4286}{4286+650} = 0.8683144$

Overall, this is a good model in predicting whether person makes below or above \$50,000, but the accuracy can be higher. I think one way this can be achieved is by entirely removing the predictors that have little to no significance in predicting income.