Karl Shiffler – Project on March Madness NCAA Tournament Data

I retrieved my data from the Kaggle March Machine Learning Mania competition (https://www.kaggle.com/c/march-machine-learning-mania-2015). The data took the form of multiple csv files which I needed to reorganize. The data available covered the seasons and tournaments for the years 2003-2014, as well as the 2015 regular season data. For regular season games and pre-2015 tournament games, we have access to per-game statistics: field goals made, field goals attempted, three pointers made, three pointers attempted, free throws made, free throws attempted, offensive rebounds, defensive rebounds, assists, turnovers, steals, blocks, and personal fouls for each game. Most of these statistics generally follow a normal distribution and there is no missing data. We also have access to tournament rankings. I have summarized the per-game tournament statistics data below by reporting mean and standard deviations.

FG = Field Goal

FT = Free Throw

O = Offensive

D = Defensive

TO = Turnover

For winning teams:

	score	FG made	FG attempt	3pt ma	ade 3	3pt attemp	t FIM	nade	FI attempt	
Mean	74.6102	25.9858	54.8423	6.7538	3 1	L7.4653	15.8	846	21.8846	
Std Dev	10.6864	4.7766	7.1855	2.8135	5 5	5.2325	6.14	56	7.7860	
									_	
	O Reboun	ds D Reb	ounds	Assists	TOs	Ste	als E	Blocks	Fouls	
Mean	10.9705	25.739	97	14.3782	11.98	884 6.5	525 3	.9128	16.4730	
Std Dev	4.0205	5.0018	3	4.2528	3.858	3.0	303 2	.6238	3.8647	
									_	
For losing teams:										
	Score	FG Made	FG At	tempt	3pt M	ade 3	pt Attem	pt	FT Made	
Mean	63.1884	22.6961	57.46	92	6.0012	2 1	19.7705		11.7948	
Std Dev	10.4897	4.1776	7.678	6	2.7411		5.7481		5.1202	
	FT Attempt	O Rebound	s D Rebo	ounds As	sists	TOs	Steals	Blocks	s Fouls	
Mean	FT Attempt	O Rebound 11.4794	s D Rebo 20.982		sists 4961	TOs 12.4717	Steals 5.9397	Blocks		

Possible Hypotheses and how I intend to test them:

Which stats most influence winning (besides score)?

Compute regressions on the game data with the target being a win or loss.

Is regular season performance a reliable indicator of tournament performance? How much predictive power does it have?

Compare the regular season and tournament statistics for the same teams in the same year. Perhaps train a classifier on a team's regular season data and then test it on their tournament matchups.

How do different stats change over the years? Why? How do different stats' predictive power change over the years?

Look at averages and how they change over the years. Perhaps research rule changes or new players that have changed the way the game was played to explain these changes. We can then investigate how these paradigm shifts affect the predictive power of these statistcs.

High volume of shot attempts vs efficiency? What's most effective and has that changed over the years? Compare predictive power in regressions of accuracy percentages (FG made/FG attempted) to number of shots taken. We can also track this through different seasons.

Conventional basketball wisdom claims that free throw percentages make or break teams. To what extent is this true, and has it changed over time?

Similarly, rebounds (missing defensive and allowing offensive) is often purported to be the deciding factor in games. Look at predictive power and disparity between winning and losing teams on average. Also look over time.

We can look at the coefficient of these numbers in a regression and also could attempt to calculate a regression based solely on free throw numbers (or rebound numbers) and see how well it can split the game results.

How many fouls are committed/called during regular seasons vs tournaments? Over time? How large is officials' effect on play?

This will be especially interesting to look at in the context of historical rule changes.

Better to have a few stars or many team players?

Look at assists vs unassisted FGs (FG made - assists). Again, we can look at the coefficients of these values in a regression or try to train a classifier based strictly on these numbers and see to what degree it can predict the outcome.