

CMSI 370-01
INTERACTION DESIGN
Fall 2012

Assignment 1 | 20 Feedback

Kaitlyn Higa

1e — The code that was pulled from your GitHub repository is in such an unfinished state that we can't begin to discuss how it demonstrates your understanding of affordances at this point. (O)

3a, 3b, 3d — For the same reason, there is insufficient code to assess your knowledge of user interface construction, event handling, and high- vs. low-level events. (O)

3c — Primarily because the original code was structured well, the submission as it stands shows good understanding of MVC. The (preliminary) changes that you have made have maintained this. (+)

4a — As pulled from your GitHub repository, your code would not even parse, showing multiple syntax errors (noted in my inline feedback). However, despite eliminating those errors, what has been done is still in an extremely preliminary state. The unfinished nature of the code would typically merit an O, but I feel compelled to emphasize that you should have at least left it in a state that parses and runs without errors, so we will mark this as: (–)

4b — As noted in *3c*, good MVC separation is mainly due to the original state of the code. However, your changes have maintained this separation well. (+)

4c — There is too little new code here to assess readability. (O)

4d — If this has you stuck, I wish you would contact me to see if we can spend time together to clean this up. I can't help you if I don't know that you need help. (/)

4e — We will leave this one unevaluated as well, because work is at such an early stage. (O)

4f — Something was submitted on time, but it is extremely preliminary. (/)