

Q1. Proof of correctness for merge sort.

(Refer to smi file for algo).

① fun. Splitter splits a list into two equal (if even elements) else 2 lists with one extra element in l_1 .

Basis: if $ls = []$, $split([]) = ([], [])$

I.H.: let the size traversed till now be n , $0 \leq n \leq N$

$split(ls) = (l_1, l_2)$

I.S: Now let the function encounter two more elements in the list, let the counter 'i' be currently even, therefore, by algo,

$xs = x_1 :: x_2 :: ls$

$split(xs) = (x_1 :: l_1, x_2 :: l_2)$

$split(xs) = (x_1', x_2')$

still holds.

Hence proved (split function).

② Next we encounter the fun. merge which merges two sorted lists.

Basis:

In case of any of the 2 lists empty, non empty case output, of singleton

$\text{merge}(x::[], y::[]) = []$

if $x \leq y \rightarrow [x, y]$

else $[y, x]$

I.H.: let

$0 < \text{size}(l_1), \text{size}(l_2) \leq N$.

$\text{merge}(l_1, l_2)$ gives a sorted list of $\text{size}(l_1) + \text{size}(l_2)$

I.S: let wlog $\text{size}(l_1) = N+1$

$\text{merge}(l_1, l_2) = \text{merge}(x::xs, y::ys)$

assume $x \leq y$.

$\Rightarrow x::\text{merge}(xs, y::ys)$

↓

size increased by 1.

Hence true by I.H.

Similar proof by taking $\text{size}(l_2)$ as induction parameter.

③ Now for mergesort.

Basis : If $a_1 = []$, $\text{msort}(a_1) = []$,
If $a_1 = [x]$, $\text{msort}(a_1) = [x]$.

I.H : Let $0 \leq \text{size}(a_1) \leq N$
 $\text{msort}(a_1)$ returns a sorted list
of $\text{size}(a_1)$.

I.S : Let size of $a_1 = N+1$.
 $\text{msort}(a_1) = \text{msort}(p_1 :: p_2)$
 $= \text{merge}(\text{msort}(p_1), \text{msort}(p_2))$
 $(p_1, p_2) = \text{split}(a_1)$

as $\text{size}(p_1), \text{size}(p_2) \leq N$,
 $\text{msort}(p_1), \text{msort}(p_2)$ gives 2 sorted
lists by I.H,
and $\text{merge}(\quad)$ gives a sorted
list as proved in ②.

also $\text{size}(p_1) + \text{size}(p_2)$
 $= \text{size}(\text{merge}(\text{msort}(p_1), \text{msort}(p_2)))$

Hence proved by induction
on size of a_1 .

Q3. a) Addition of 2 numbers:

The algorithm is basically emulating the same adding procedure we learn in schools where we carry over a 1 when the sum of digits exceed 10 and write the remainder.

$$\text{let } a = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}]$$
$$b = [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}].$$

and let $x = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}]$ contain the sum till i th digits.

Dividing the sum into three parts:

$$\left(\sum_{0 \rightarrow i-1} \right) + \left(\begin{matrix} \text{ } \\ i \end{matrix} \right) + \left(\sum_{(i+1) \rightarrow \max(m,n)-1} \right).$$

Basis, \therefore when $i=0$,
 x is empty.

I.H.: let at $i=i-1$.

x contains the sum of first i digits and carryovers have been adjusted.

I.S. at the posn.

Case I: if $a_i + b_i < 10$

then digit at that place is updated by $(a_i + b_i)$.

Case II: if $a_i + b_i > 10$

let $a_i + b_i = 10p + q$.

$$p = (a_i + b_i) \text{ div } 10$$

$$q = (a_i + b_i) \text{ mod } 10$$

We update the digit at that place by q and carry over p at the next digit as $10p + q$ is still $a_i + b_i$.

Hence, I.H. still holds.

\therefore add $(a, b) \rightarrow$ gives the sum of 2 no.s.

Q3. b) Subtraction of 2 numbers.

This algorithm as well is emulating the same subtraction procedure we learn in schools, where we subtract the 2 digits and if the subtraction is less than 0, we carry over a 10 from the next digit. $(10 + 10) = 10$

let $a = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]$

$b = [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}]$

and let n contain the subtraction till $(i-1)$ digits.

Base: when $i=0$, n is empty.

I.H.: let till $(i-1)$ digits, n contain the subtraction with carry overs adjusted and accounted for.

I.S at this posn.

Case I: of $a_i > b_i$.

then digit at that place is simply replaced by $(a_i - b_i)$.

Case II: of $a_i < b_i$.

we carry over a 1 from adjacent place and add 10 to it.

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{---} a_{i+1} \ a_i \text{---} \\ \text{---} b_{i+1} \ b_i \text{---} \end{array}$$

$$(10a_{i+1} + a_i) - (10b_{i+1} + b_i)$$

$$= 10(a_{i+1} - b_{i+1}) + (a_i - b_i)$$

$$= 10(a_{i+1} - b_{i+1}) + (a_i - b_i)$$

$$= 10((a_{i+1} - 1) - b_{i+1}) + (a_i + 10 - b_i)$$

Hence, induction hypothesis still holds.

Looking only at these 4 digits as the previous part is accounted for, and rest is not in picture yet in iterative version.

Q3. c) Multiplication of 2 integers.

Defined a function ~~int~~ update (l) which updates the list to make sure all the digits are five and less than 10 during operation.

Also, a representation: the list ~~by~~ being multiplied represented as $I(l)$.

Claim: $I(\text{list}) = I(\text{update}(\text{list}))$

Basis: $\text{update}([]) = []$

$$\text{update}([x]) =$$

$$\text{If } x \geq 10 \text{ then } (x \bmod 10) :: (x \div 10)$$

$$\text{let } x = 10a + b.$$

$$I(x) = 10a + b$$

$$I(\text{update}[x]) = b + 10a = I(x)$$

I.H.: let ^{for} $\text{size}(\text{list}) \leq N$

$$I(\text{list}) = I(\text{update}(\text{list}))$$

I.S.: $\text{size}(ls) = N + 1$

$$\text{let } ls = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N]$$

update (x::y::ns) =

① If $x > 10$ then $(x \text{ mod } 10) :: \text{update}((y + x \text{ div } 10) :: ns)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma(\text{update}(ns)) \\ &= b + 10(a_1 + a) + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i 10^i \\ &= a_0 + 10a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i 10^i \\ &= \Sigma(x) \end{aligned}$$

② If $x < 10$ then $x :: \text{update}(y :: ns)$
 $= \Sigma(x)$ by I.H.

Hence proved.

Now for multiply (ls, ls₂)
 $= \text{multiply_iter}(ls, ls_2, [], 0)$

where the functions

zero(n) = [0, 0, ..., 0] → n zeros.

mul(x::xs, y::ys) →

let $y = [y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n]$

⇒ $[xy_0, xy_1, \dots, xy_n]$

Now, proof for the iter part.

$$\text{let, } ds_1 = \sum_{i=0}^n y_i 10^i$$

$$ds_2 = \sum_{i=0}^m z_i 10^i$$

INV at $n = n_0$.

$$b = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_0} y_i 10^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m z_i 10^i \right)$$

thus at $n = N$, $b = I(ds_1) \times I(ds_2)$.

Basis: If $\text{size}(ds_1) = 0$ then b is trivial
for constant $ds_1 = [x]$.

$$\text{multiply}([x], ds_2) = \text{mul}([x], ds_2)$$

$$= x \cdot \sum_{i=0}^m z_i 10^i = x \cdot I(ds_2)$$

$$= I(ds_1) \cdot I(ds_2)$$

Hence basis holds.

I.H: let for $\text{size}(ds_1) \leq n$

$$\text{multiply}(ds_1, ds_2) = I(ds_1) \cdot I(ds_2)$$

I.S \therefore let $\text{size}(ds_2) = n+1$

$\text{multiply}(ds_1, ds_2)$

$ys_1 = y \dots ys$

$= \text{iter}(ds_1, ds_2, [], 0)$

$= \text{iter}(ys, ds_2, \text{add}([], \text{mul}(ds_1, ds_2), 1))$

size

of $ys = n$

hence holds
by I.H.

$\therefore \text{I}(ds_1) \cdot \text{I}(ds_2)$

Hence proved

Here we inducted on the length
of ds_1 .

Basically what the algorithm
is doing is multiplying 2

numbers the way we manually
do (taught in preschool).

and the $\text{putzero}(n)$ function
is accounting for the zeros in
each step.