Assignment 7 Karan Sikka ksikka@andrew.cmu.edu E March 30, 2012

A Long Expected Party

a. (G, [,,]) follows the rules Lhach and Nar, so it is an Elen. **Proof of Llach**

$$[a,b,c] = a \circ b^{-1} \circ c$$

$$\implies [[a,b,c],d,e] = a \circ b^{-1} \circ c \circ d^{-1} \circ e.$$

$$[d, c, b] = d \circ c^{-1} \circ b$$

$$\Longrightarrow [a, [d, c, b], e] = a \circ (d \circ c^{-1} \circ b)^{-1} \circ e = a \circ b^{-1} \circ c \circ d^{-1} \circ e.$$

$$[c,d,e] = c \circ d^{-1} \circ e$$

$$\implies$$
 $[a, b, [c, d, e]] = a \circ b^{-1} \circ c \circ d^{-1} \circ e$

From the above, it is clear that [[a,b,c],d,e] = [a,[d,c,b],e] = [a,b,[c,d,e]]. Therefore, Llach is satisfied.

Proof of Nar

$$[a, a, b] = a \circ a^{-1} \circ b = 1 \circ b = b$$

$$[b, a, a] = b \circ a^{-1} \circ a = b \circ 1 = b$$

Since [a, a, b] = [b, a, a] = b, Nar is satisfied.

Since Lhach and Nar are both satisfied, (G, [,,]) is an Elen.

b. (A,*) is a group if it is closed, associative, contains the identity u, and has an inverse.

Let a, b, and c be in A.

Closure: (to show $a * b \in A$) This is true by the definition of *.

Associativity: (to show a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c)

$$b*c = [b, u, c]$$

$$\implies a*(b*c) = [a,u,[b,u,c]]$$

$$a\ast b=[a,u,b]$$

$$\implies (a*b)*c = [[a,u,b],u,c]$$

By the rule of Lhach, the above two results may be equated, and (A,*) is associative.

Identity: (to show a * u = a = u * a)

$$a * u = [a, u, u] = a \text{ (Nar)}$$

$$u*a = [u,u,a] = a \text{ (Nar)}$$

Therefore, a * u = a = u * a and the identity exists.

Inverse: (to show $a * a^{-1} = u = a^{-1} * a$)

```
a^{-1} = [u, a, u]
Right Inverse:
a * a^{-1} = a * [u, a, u] (substitution)
= [a, u, [u, a, u]] (Def of *)
= [a, [a, u, u], u] (Llach)
= [a, a * u, u] (Def of *)
= [a, a, u] (Identity)
= u \text{ (Nar)}
Left Inverse:
a^{-1} * a = [u, a, u] * a \text{ (Def of *)}
[[u, a, u], u, a] (Def of *)
= [u, [u, u, a], a] (Llach)
= [u, u * a, a] (Def of *)
= [u, u, a] (Identity)
= u \text{ (Nar)}
Since a * a^{-1} = u = a^{-1} * a, a^{-1} is an inverse such that a^{-1} = [u, a, u].
Therefore, we have shown that (A, *) is a group.
```

The Council of Elrond

Let there be n members in the Council, where n is a positive integer. Enumerate the members of the Council in ascending order starting from Frodo with 1. Instead of a circle, put them in a line:

Elrond chooses a person, skips k people, and chooses the next person, where k starts at 1 and increases by 1 every iteration. Call E(k) the index of the kth person chosen.

$$E(1) = 1$$

$$E(k) = k + E(k - 1)$$

Upon inspection, we see that this is also one more than the sum of positive integers up to k mod n.

$$E(k) = 1 + (\sum_{i=1}^{k} i \mod n) = 1 + (\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \mod n)$$

Claim: When n is a power of two, all members of Elrond are chosen eventually. In mathematical terms, When $n = 2^i$ for some integer i, E(k) will assume all values from 1 to n, where k is a positive number. More strongly, it is true that E(k) will cover all these values using k from 1 to 2k.

Claim: When n is not a power of two, at least one member of the council of Elrond will never be chosen. In math terms, there exists an m between 1 and n such that $E(k) \neq m$ for all positive integer values of k.

All members of the council will be chosen eventually if there a power of two members in the council.

Battle of the Hornburg

a. Let $a, b \in G$ where G is a group under the operation *, with the special property described in the problem. We know $\forall u \in G, u^2 = u * u = e$ where e is the identity, since each element has an order of at most 2. Consider: .

$$a * b = e * a * b * e$$
 (identity)
 $= b^2 * a * b * a^2$ (order at most 2)
 $= b * (b * a)^2 * a$ (closure and associativity)
 $= b * e * a$ (order at most 2)
 $= b * a$ (identity)

- b. To prove that h is a Thalion Gul iff G is abelian, it is sufficient to prove both:
 - 1. If h is a Thalion Gul, then G is abelian.
 - 2. If G is abelian, then h is a Thalion Gul.

Let $a, b \in G$, where G is a group under *.

Proof of 1

Let h be a Thalion Gul where $h(x) = x^{-1}$.

From the definition of Thalion Gul, we know $\forall a, b \in G$, h(a*b) = h(a)*h(b). Thus, it follows that:

$$h(a*b) = h(a)*h(b)$$
 def of Thalion Gul

$$\Rightarrow (a*b)^{-1} = a^{-1}*b^{-1}$$
 (def of h)

$$\Rightarrow (a*b)^{-1} = (b*a)^{-1}$$
 (definition of inverse)

$$\Rightarrow h[(a*b)^{-1}] = h[(b*a)^{-1}]$$
 (apply h to both sides)

$$\Rightarrow [(a*b)^{-1}]^{-1} = [(b*a)^{-1}]^{-1}$$
 (inverse of inverse of u is u)

$$\Rightarrow a*b = b(a$$
 (def of h)

Thus, we see that if h is a Thalion Gul, then G satisfies commutativity and G is Abelian.

Proof of 2

Let G be Abelian.

Therefore a * b = b * a.

$$h(a * b) = h(b * a)$$
 (*G* is abelian)
 $= (a * b)^{-1} = (b * a)^{-1}$ (def of *h*)
 $= b^{-1} * a^{-1} = a^{-1} * b^{-1}$ (Theorem 4)
 $= h(b) * h(a) = h(a) * h(b)$ def of *h*

Shelob's Lair

Sym 5 is the group of transformations on the permutations of [5]. We will define the group under the operation of composition (*).

Starting from an arbitrary permutation $p \in \text{Sym } 5$, you can transform p to be one of 5! possible permutations. Therefore the order of Sym 5 is 5! = 120.

G is the group of transformations on the labelings of the graph of Shelob's Lair. We will define the group under the operation of composition (*').

We label Shelob's graph under the following rules. Each node will have an unordered pair of distinct numbers from the set of [5]. Additionally, for all nodes n, n must not have any numbers in common with the neighbors of n. For example, if n is labeled as $\{1,2\}$, then it's neighbor's labelings must not have 1 or 2.

We will show that G also has order 120. Say you have an arbitrary labeling l. For an arbitrary node n in the graph, choose 2 elements from [5] numbers to represent the node. There are $\binom{5}{2} = 10$ ways to do this. Since n has degree 3, there are 3 other nodes which must have labels, with numbers excluding the first two chosen. So there are $\binom{3}{2} = 3$ different labelings to choose from, and n has 3 neighbors. Therefore, there are 3! ways to label the neighbors of n. For each of these nodes in the neighborset of n, there are 2 neighbors which are not n and only 2 labelings left. Then there are 2 ways to do this step. It is clear to see that there is only 1 way to label the rest of the nodes. In total, that makes

$$10 * 3! * 2 = 120$$

Woah!

$$|G| = |Sym5| = 120$$

Now we will try to show that there exists a function $f: Sym5 \to G$ which is an isomorphism. That is to say there exists a **homomorphism** f which is bijective. To be a homomorphism f must satisfy the property that f(a * b) = f(a) *' f(b) where a and b are elements of Sym 5. Specifically, f is a function which maps a permutation to a labeling of schelob's graph.

Say you have two permutations in Sym 5 called a and b. Recall that * is the composition operator for permutations and *' is the composition operator for graph labelings. If you do a*b, you get a permutation c because groups satisfy closure. If you do f(a), you get a graph labeling a'. If you do f(b) you get a graph labeling b'. When you compose these two labelings, you get a labeling c'. Without a thorough proof, f(c) = c'.

Bijectivity:

Injectivity:

Say you have two different permutations. Each generates a different graph labeling. Therefore two distinct permutations generate two distinct graph labelings.

This fact, combined with the fact that |Sym5| = |G| leads to the conclusion that the homomorphism is a bijection. Therefore, there exists an isomorphism between Sym 5 and G.

The Siege of Gondor

Part a.

For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the consecutive set S of n integers

$$S = \{(n+1)! + 2, (n+1)! + 3, \dots, (n+1)! + (n+1)\}$$

By the definition of factorial, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq n+1$

$$k|(n+1)!$$

By the definition of divides, for each k, $\exists c \geq 1$ such that:

$$(n+1)! = ck$$

Therefore,

$$(n+1)! + k = ck + k = k(c+1)$$

Therefore, k|((n+1)!+k) by the definition of divides. Therefore, every element in S defined above is composite.

Therefore, S is a set of n composite, consecutive integers which can be generated for any given n. Therefore S exists.

Part b.

First, we prove the following: $x^b - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{b-1} + x^{b-2} + \cdots + 1)$

The proof is by algebra:

$$(x-1)(x^{b-1} + x^{b-2} + \dots + 1) = x(x^{b-1} + x^{b-2} + \dots + 1) - (x^{b-1} + x^{b-2} + \dots + 1)$$
$$= (x^b + x^{b-1} + \dots + x) - (x^{b-1} + x^{b-2} + \dots + 1)$$
$$= x^b - 1$$

Since n is composite, we know $\exists a, b > 1$ such that n = ab.

$$2^{n} - 1 = 2^{ab} - 1 = (2^{a})^{b} - 1$$

Let $x = 2^a$, and then by the result proven above,

$$(2^a)^b - 1 = (2^a - 1)((2^a)^{b-1} + (2^a)^{b-2} + \dots + 1)$$

The factor on the left is greater than 1, since a > 1 and $2^a - 1 \ge 4 - 1 = 3 > 1$. The factor on the right is a sum of positive numbers, each greater than one.

Therefore, if n is composite, $2^n - 1$ can be factored into two integers greater than 1. By definition, it is composite.

Part c.

Mount Doom

Part a.

The proof is by induction.

Claim:

$$P(n) = "C_n \ge 2^n" \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Base Case:

$$P(0) \iff C_0 \ge 2^0 \iff 1 \ge 1$$

P(0)
$$\iff C_0 \ge 2^0 \iff 1 \ge 1$$

P(1) $\iff C_1 \ge 2^1 \iff {2*1 \choose 1} \ge 2 \iff 2 \ge 2$
The claim holds for the $n = 0$ and $n = 1$.

Inductive Hypothesis:

Assume $P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{k} \geq \mathbf{1}$.

Inductive Step:

(To show $C_{k+1} \ge 2^{k+1}$)

$$C_{k+1} \geq 2^{k+1}$$

$$\iff C_{k+1} \geq 2^k \cdot 2$$

$$\iff C_{k+1} \geq 2C_k$$

$$\iff \frac{(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!(k+1)!} \geq \frac{2(2k)!}{k!k!}$$

$$\iff \frac{(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!(k+1)!} \geq \frac{2(2k)!}{k!k!} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(k+1)}{(k+1)(k+1)}$$

$$\iff \frac{(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!(k+1)!} \geq \frac{2(k+1)^2(2k)!}{(k+1)!(k+1)!}$$

$$\iff \frac{(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!(k+1)!} \geq \frac{2(k+1)^2(2k)!}{(k+1)!(k+1)!}$$

$$\iff (2k+2)! \geq 2(k+1)^2(2k)!$$

$$(2k+2)(2k+1) \geq 2(k+1)^2$$

$$\iff (2k+2)(2k+1) \geq 2(k+1)^2$$

$$\iff 4k^2 + 6k + 2 \geq 2k^2 + 4k + 2$$

$$\iff 2k^2 + 2k \geq 0$$

$$\iff true$$
(Algebra)
(Algebra)
(Civide by $(2k)!$)
$$\iff (2k+2)(2k+1) \geq 2(k+1)^2$$

$$\iff (2k+2)(2k+1)$$

Note that since k > 1, all division above is legal. Since all the steps are reversible, we have proved that $P(k) \implies P(k+1)$.

By mathematical induction, the claim holds.