

02-512 Assignment 06

Karan Sikka

ksikka@cmu.edu

November 26, 2014

1

(a) The variables we are trying to find are the rate of infection, λ_1 and the rate of recovery, λ_2 .

We can run the CTMM as a simulation and compare it with real data. The output of the simulation will be sequence of states over time where each state will be (S_t, I_t, R_t) .

Let (Sr_t, Ir_t, Rr_t) be the real data. One possible objective function to minimize is

$$L(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \sum_{\text{realdatapoints}} (S_t - Sr_t)^2 + (I_t - Ir_t)^2 + (R_t - Rr_t)^2$$

You can use steepest/gradient descent, Newton-Raphson's method, or a similar algorithm to find parameters yielding a local minimum. Rather than analytically computing the gradient, you'd have to approximate it using finite difference methods. Performance may be a concern, depending on how long the simulation has to run for.

(b) Let G be the growth rate, x_1 be the conc of nutrient 1 x_2 be the conc of nutrient 2.

$$G = \theta_1 x_1^2 + \theta_2 x_1 + \theta_3 x_2^2 + \theta_4 x_2 + \theta_5$$

Where $\vec{\theta}$ are the parameters we're trying to estimate.

Let $Gr(x_1, x_2)$ be the experimenally determined growth rate.

One possible objective function to minimize is

$$L(x_1, x_2) = \sum (Gr(x_1, x_2) - G(x_1, x_2))^2$$

You can use steepest descent once again, like in part a.

(c) Call parameters we are estimating, f_B and f_b , which are the frequencies of the B allele and b allele respectively.

Let B be the number of people observed with brown eyes, and b be the number of people observed with blue eyes.

The likelihood function is as follows:

$$Pr(B = B, b = b | p = p) =$$

2

(a) Say there are m biomarkers. Let $\vec{\theta}$ be an $m + 1$ dimensional vector of parameters.

Let $\mu = \theta_{m+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i x_i$ in the following

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2; \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \left(\frac{1}{e} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

(b) Say there are m biomarkers. Let $\vec{\theta}$ be an $2m + 1$ dimensional vector of parameters.

Let $\mu = \theta_{2m+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^{2m} \theta_i x_i$ in the same likelihood function from part a.

(c) Performance, over/underfitting.

(d) Metropolis

(e) Sampling vs solving

3

Given two points $(t1, x1), (t2, x2)$, the eqn of a line for general t, x is as follows:

$$t - t2 = (x2 - x1)/(t2 - t1) * (x - x2)$$

The the following is the piecewise linear function interpolation, found by plugging in values from the table into the above equation:

If $0 \leq t < 2$, $t - 2 = (5/2)(x - 5)$

If $2 \leq t < 5$, $t - 5 = ((6 - 5)/(5 - 2))(x - 6)$

If $5 \leq t < 8$, $t - 8 = ((10 - 6)/(8 - 5))(x - 10)$

If $8 \leq t \leq 10$, $t - 10 = ((20 - 10)/(8 - 10))(x - 20)$

(b) Let $(t_1, x_1) = (0, 0), (t_2, x_2) = (2, 5), \dots, (t_5, x_5) = (10, 20)$

Let $[5]$ be short notation for $1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Then:

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{\prod_{j \in [5]: j \neq i} (t - t_j)}{\prod_{j \in [5]: j \neq i} (t_i - t_j)} x_i$$

(c) We have 4 quadratic equations of the form

$$S_{i,i+1}(t) = c_{i,0} + c_{i,1}t + c_{i,2}t^2$$

for $i = 1$ to $i = 4$. The derivative of each equation is of the form

$$\frac{dS_{i,i+1}}{dt} = c_{i,1} + 2c_{i,2}t$$

.

Given the constraints of the problem, we can solve for all $3 * 4 = 12$ parameters by expressing the constraints as equations:

$$S_{1,2}(0) = 0$$

$$S_{1,2}(2) = 5$$

$$S_{2,3}(2) = 5$$

$$S_{2,3}(5) = 6$$

$$S_{3,4}(5) = 6$$

$$S_{3,4}(8) = 10$$

$$S_{4,5}(8) = 10$$

$$S_{4,5}(10) = 20$$

Constraints for the derivative continuity:

$$\frac{dS_{1,2}}{dt}(2) = \frac{dS_{2,3}}{dt}(2)$$

$$\frac{dS_{2,3}}{dt}(5) = \frac{dS_{3,4}}{dt}(8)$$

$$\frac{dS_{3,4}}{dt}(8) = \frac{dS_{4,5}}{dt}(10)$$

Additional constraint:

$$\frac{dS_{1,2}}{dt}(0) = 0$$

Now we have 12 parameters and 12 constraints, we represent it as a linear system and solve using a gaussian elimination.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & & & & & & & & & \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_2 & 0 & -1 & -2x_2 & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & x_3 & x_3^2 & & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 2x_3 & 0 & -1 & -2x_3 & & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & x_3 & x_3^2 & & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & x_4 & x_4^2 & & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & 1 & 2x_4 & 0 & -1 & -2x_4 \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 & x_4 & x_4^2 \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 & x_5 & x_5^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x_1 & & & & & & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

4

(a)

If b_i is 0, there are no boojum on island i . Based on this observation, we note the following:

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(b_i = 0|f) &= (1 - f)^{s_i} \\ Pr(b_i = 1|f) &= 1 - Pr(b_i = 0|f) = 1 - (1 - f)^{s_i} \\ Pr(b|f) &= \prod_{i=1}^n Pr(b_i = b_i) \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\hat{f} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n s_i}$$

(c)

$$E[y_i] = b_i * \hat{f} * s_i$$

(d)

Submitted online

(e)

TODO