

## 21-301 Assignment 06

Karan Sikka

ksikka@cmu.edu

November 15, 2013

---

### 1

---

We will construct a sequence of  $kl$  by constructing  $l$  increasing sequences each of length  $k$ .

Starting from some starting number, which we will call  $a$ , consider the increasing sequence  $\langle a, a + 1, \dots, a + k \rangle$ .

Consider another similarly constructed sequence, but instead start from  $a - 1 - k$

Do it again, but instead start from  $a - 1 - 2k$

Repeat until you construct a sequence starting from  $a - 1 - lk$ . Note that we constructed  $l$  sequences of length  $k$ .

The longest increasing subsequence is an individual increasing sequence of length  $k$ , and the longest decreasing subsequence consists of one from every such sequence of which there are  $l$ .

---

### 2

---

At most, a Hasse diagram of a poset can have  $\alpha * \alpha$  edges between two levels, and the number of times this can occur is equal to the height  $\gamma$ . This is because you can't have edges which cross levels, since that would break the property that edges must be predecessors.

Then we invoke the Erdos-Szekeres theorem to get the following intermediate result:

$$|E| \leq \gamma \alpha \leq n \alpha$$

We see that the maximum number of edges depends on the  $n$  and width of the poset. We know that a large poset is either tall or wide, and to maximize number of edges, this equation tells us it must be as wide as possible. Therefore, we know that a poset of maximum size should have height of 1.

Visually, this poset looks like a bipartite graph. To maximize the number of edges, we wish the bipartitions to be of equal size. Therefore, each bipartition is of size  $\frac{n}{2}$ , and the maximum number of edges occurs in the complete graph case where every node in  $A$  is connected to every node in  $B$ . The maximum number of edges in this graph is

$$\frac{n}{2} \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n^2}{4}$$

.

To see an example, we define the poset where  $X$  is  $n/2$  distinct sets of size 1, and  $n/2$  distinct sets of size 2. We define the relation to be  $xRy$  iff  $|x| < |y| \vee x = y$ . We see that it is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive. The Hasse diagram resembles a complete bipartite graph such as the one in the proof, since all the sets of size 1 are predecessors of all the sets of size 2.

---

### 3

---

---

4

---

---

5

---

---

6

---