## 15-451 Assignment 08

Karan Sikka ksikka@cmu.edu

Collaborated with Dave Cummings and Sandeep Rao.

Recitation: A November 13, 2014

1: Streaming Medians		
(a)		
(b)		
(c)		
(d)		
2: Counting Substrings		
3: LDIS		

(a)

Choosing a random prime: Let  $|\Sigma|$  be the size of the alphabet. Note that it is upper-bounded by a constant.

Turn the string into a binary string by replacing each character with a binary string of p bits where  $p = lg(|\Sigma|)$ .

If the size of the original string was T, it is now t where  $t = Tlg(|\Sigma|)$  which is in the order of T since log sigma is upper bounded by a constant.

Choose a random prime between 1 and  $K = 5 * lg(\Sigma) * n * ln(lg(\Sigma)n)$ .

You can do this by picking a random integer, checking if it's prime, and trying again if not. This algorithm is expected O(log(K)) which is O(log(p) + log(t) + log(log(p) + log(t)) which is in O(log(t))

Modified Karp-Rabin: Now we will compute the Karp-Rabin hashes of each prefix and the string of the same length following it.

Starting at i = 1, compute h(s[0:i]), h(s[i:2i])

Increment i and compute the hashes again. Note that this takes constant time since  $s[0:i] \implies s[0:i+1]$  and  $s[i:2i] \implies s[i+1:2i+2]$  so to compute the hash we only have to do a constant time adjustment to the previously computed hash.

Repeat until i > t/2. Return the max i for which the two hash computed at the ith iteration are equal.

Karan Sikka

ksikka@cmu.edu

**Proof that Pr[false positive]** <1/2: Next we will show the probability of a false positive is less than 1/2.

Let the length of the string be n.

Suppose for any fixed locations i and 2i the probability of an incorrect match is upper-bounded by some  $\delta$ .

Then by summing over n/2 locations the probability of any incorrect match is at most  $n/2 * \delta$ . We want  $n/2 * \delta < 1/2$  or equivalently  $\delta < 1/n$ .

We make an incorrect match when the hash a of one substring is equal to the hash b of another, modulo some random prime q.

Formally, this occurs when q|a-b.

A string like a or b is at most n/2 characters which is represented in binary with at most  $lg(\Sigma)*n/2$  bits. Let  $p = lg(\Sigma)*n/2$ .

Then a - b has at most p distinct prime divisors since it's a p-bit number and each prime divisor is at least 2.

In the case of a false answer, q|a-b, then q must have been one of the p prime divisors of a-b.

We want to choose a prime such that the chance that it's one of the p prime divisors is less than 1/n, so that the total probability of failure is less than n/2 \* 1/n which is less than 1/2.

Equivalently we want to choose K large enough so that there are at least pn primes between 2 and K.

If there are  $\pi(x)$  primes between one and x, then  $\pi(x) \geq \frac{7}{8} \frac{n}{\ln(n)}$ . Thus we want to choose K such that  $\pi(K) \geq \frac{7}{8} \frac{K}{\ln(K)} > pt$ .

Setting  $K = 5 * lg(\Sigma) * n * ln(lg(\Sigma)n)$  acheives this result.

(b)

Construct a suffix tree for s in linear time. We will preprocess the suffix tree by running two O(n) DFSs.

Do a DFS where you keep track of the length of the prefix at a node, called L, cache the index of the last occurrence of P starting on or before index L+1.

Ask your children, what's your last occurrence, and since your L+1 is strictly greater than my L+1, I'll filter out the invalid answers and still have the correct last occurrence before L+1.

```
a => yes
a a => yes
a a a => no
a a a a c => no
a a a a c c => no
```

Keep running max length duplicate prefix

- 1. traverse a, then aa, then aaa...
- 2. each time, check if the following condition is true:

check if there exists another suffix prefix starting at 2\*len(prefix) (assuming string is 1-

```
^{prefix}.*
```

3. If the condition is true, update the max-length duplicate prefix to len(prefix)

TODO polish the above.

<sup>.{</sup>len(prefix)\*2}{prefix}.\*