

21-301 Assignment 05

Karan Sikka
ksikka@cmu.edu
November 1, 2013

1

We know that a maximal chain of $[n]$ contains $n + 1$ sets, and there is exactly one set of every size from 0 to n .

We know that the sizes of A_i strictly increase in the order they are given (from 1 to k). That is that A_1 is the smallest and A_k is the largest A_i .

We can construct all maximal chains of $[n]$ containing all A_i by the following method:

Let your chain contain all A_i . This is a chain given the subset relationship of all the A_i . Notice that this chain may not be maximal if there is not a set of every size from 0 to n . We know that we have sets of sizes $|A_1|, |A_2|, \dots, |A_k|$.

1. Now, add a maximal chain of 2^{A_1} .
2. Then find $|A_j| - |A_i|$ sets in $2^{[x]}$ of all sizes strictly between $(|A_i|, |A_j|)$ such that they form a chain, and add them to the chain.
3. Finally find $n - |A_k|$ sets in $2^{[x]}$ of all sizes strictly between $(|A_k|, n)$ such that they form a chain, and add them to the chain.

Note that by this method, you can form any maximal chain of $2^{[x]}$ which contains all the A_i . Now we count how many distinct outcomes there are of performing the above procedure.

1. There are $|A_1|!$ ways of doing step 1.
2. There are $\prod_{i,j|j-i=1} (|A_j| - |A_i|)!$ ways of doing step 2. This is because you can choose from $(|A_j| - |A_i|)$ elements for the first set you add, one fewer element for the next set, up til there is only one way to form the last set (then you have A_j).
3. There are $(n - |A_k|)!$ ways of doing step 3 by the same logic.

The product of those three quantities gives the answer:

$$|A_1|! \prod_{i,j|j-i=1} (|A_j| - |A_i|)!(n - |A_k|)!$$

2

We observe that the size of intersection of \mathcal{F} and any maximal chain of $2^{[n]}$, is at most 2, since if there were 3 sets in common with the chain, those 3 sets would form a chain which would break the constraint on \mathcal{F} given in the problem. With 2, you do not break the constraint.

We will use double-counting on the number of (maximal chain, set in \mathcal{F}) pairs such that the set is contained in the maximal chain.

An upper bound on this quantity is $2n!$, since there are $n!$ maximal chains, and at most 2 sets in \mathcal{F} can be found in each of them.

We can count this quantity more precisely by counting the number of maximal chains containing A for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$. This quantity is $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} |A|!(n - |A|)!$, as shown in the proof of Sperner's Theorem in lecture.

Then, we do some algebra:

$$1 \geq \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{|A|!(n - |A|)!}{2n!} = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}} = \frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}$$

Which implies that $|\mathcal{F}| \geq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ and since n is even, $|\mathcal{F}| \geq 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

3

Consider the n -length sequence where every element is 1, but if n is odd, $n - 2$ elements are 1, 1 element is -1, and 1 element is 1.5.

Proof:

Case n is even:

All numbers in the sequence are 1. Choose half of them to be multiplied by $\epsilon = -1$, and naturally the other half will be multiplied by $\epsilon = 1$. Then the dot product of the epsilon vector and the sequence will be 0. There are $\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}}$ ways to do this.

4

Since every set has a nonempty intersection with every other set, we realize that there must be at least 1 element which is common to all sets in \mathcal{F} . Then to construct an intersecting family, we have at most the freedom to decide for $n - 1$ elements whether or not they are in a set in \mathcal{F} . In other words, at the very least, one element's fate is decided (it is in every set by default). Then the upper bound on the size of an intersecting family is:

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq 1 \prod_{i=2}^n 2 = 2^{n-1}$$

5

Consider a bipartite graph (A, B) where A is the set of n elements and B is the set of $S_1 \dots S_n$. Notice that $|A| + |B| = 2n$. An edge exists from a vertex in A to B if an element in A is a member of a subset S_i in B . Notice that the degree of a vertex representing S_i in B is equal to $|S_i|$, since it is the number of incoming edges, or number of elements which are members of S_i .

The problem states that two distinct S_i, S_j may not have an intersection of more than one element. In our bipartite graph, if S_i, S_j in B had an intersection of their neighbor sets of two vertexes in

A , it would look like $K_{2,2}$, and it would violate the problem statement. Therefore, our bipartite graph does not have $K_{2,2}$.

We know from lecture that the maximum number of edges in a bipartite graph that does not have $K_{2,2}$ is $|V|^{3/2} + |V|$. We'll write this in terms of n and label constants for sake of clarity.

$$|E| \leq (2n)^{3/2} + 2n = c_1 n^{3/2} + c_2 n = n(c_3 \sqrt{n} + c_2)$$

We wish to find an upper bound on the degree of the smallest S_i in B , because doing so would prove that an S_i exists such that the claim in the problem is true. To maximize the degree of the smallest S_i , we consider the graph with the maximum possible number of edges such that $|E| = n(c_3 \sqrt{n} + c_2)$, and we distribute the edges evenly across vertexes in B . In the above scenario, each vertex in B would have degree $\frac{n(c_3 \sqrt{n} + c_2)}{n} = c_3 \sqrt{n} + c_2$. Thus we've shown that the degree of the minimum-degree vertex is upper-bounded by $c_3 \sqrt{n} + c_2$.

Therefore, in any scenario such as the one given in the question, there will always exist an S_i such that $d(S_i) = |S_i| \leq c_3 \sqrt{n} + c_2$. Since n is a positive integer, we can do the following algebra:

$$|S_i| \leq c_3 \sqrt{n} + c_2 \leq c_3 \sqrt{n} + c_2 \sqrt{n} = c_4 \sqrt{n} = C \sqrt{n}$$

Thus, we have proven the claim.