

List of topics in this lecture

- Classification of differential equations
 - Exact solutions of ODEs
 - Existence and uniqueness of solution, Lipschitz continuity
 - Numerical differentiation, numerical integration, discretization error, order of a numerical method
 - Numerical error estimation
-

Reviews and Preliminaries

Classification of differential equations according to various aspects

1. Number of variables

Single variable: $u(t)$

----> ODE (Ordinary Differential Equation)

Example:

$$u' = \sin(u^2 + t)$$

Multiple variables: $u(x, t)$, $u(x, y)$, ...

----> PDE (Partial Differential Equation)

Example:

$$u_t = u_{xx},$$

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$$

2. Number of unknown functions

Scalar unknown $u(t)$

----> a scalar differential equation

Vector unknown $\vec{u}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ u_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ u_N(t) \end{pmatrix}$

----> a system of differential equations

Example:

$$\begin{cases} u_1' = u_2 \\ u_2' = -u_1 \end{cases}$$

3. Order of derivatives

Highest order involved

----> order of the differential equation

Example:

First order ODE: $u' = \sin(u^2 + t)$

Second order ODE: $u'' = \sin(t)u' + u^2 + t$

Remark: A higher order differential equation can be converted into a first order system of differential equations.

Example: $u'' = \sin(t)u' + u^2 + t$ is a second order ODE.

Let $\vec{w}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ u'(t) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} w_1(t) \\ w_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$

The vector function $w(t)$ satisfies a first order ODE system

$$\begin{cases} w_1' = w_2 \\ w_2' = \sin(t)w_2 + w_1^2 + t \end{cases}$$

It has the form of

$$\vec{w}' = f(\vec{w}, t)$$

General form of n -th order ODE

$$F(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n)}(t)) = 0$$

Example:

$$\left(u'(t)\right)^2 + \sin(t)u'(t) + 3u(t) + \cos(t) = 0$$

A slightly less general form

$$u^{(n)}(t) = f\left(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(t)\right)$$

Example:

$$u'(t) = \sin(u(t)) + \cos(t)$$

4. Linearity:

ODE $F\left(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n)}(t)\right) = 0$ is called linear if and only if

$F\left(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n\right)$ is a linear function of (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n) .

Caution:

Definitions of linear function and linear mapping are slightly different.

A linear function is a polynomial of degree 1.

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n + b$$

A linear mapping (linear operator, linear transformation) L satisfies

$$L(c_1 u + c_2 v) = c_1 L(u) + c_2 L(v)$$

Example:

$f(x) = 3x + 2$ is a linear function but is NOT a linear mapping.

5. Homogeneity

A differential equation is homogeneous if and only if

$$u(t) \equiv 0 \text{ is a solution.}$$

Example:

$u'(t) = \sin(t)u(t) + t^2$ is a first order, linear, nonhomogeneous ODE,

6. Dependence of differential equation on t

$$u' = f(u)$$

Evolution equation is invariant with respect to t

----> autonomous

$$u' = f(u, t)$$

Evolution equation varies with t

----> non-autonomous

Remark: A non-autonomous system can be converted to a larger autonomous system.

Example:

$$\begin{cases} w_1' = w_2 \\ w_2' = \sin(t)w_2 + w_1^2 + \cos(t) \end{cases}$$

Let $w_3(t) = t$. We write the non-autonomous system as

$$\begin{cases} w_1' = w_2 \\ w_2' = \sin(w_3)w_2 + w_1^2 + \cos(w_3) \\ w_3' = 1 \end{cases}$$

which is an autonomous system.

Exact solutions of ODEs

Method of integrating factor

- Exact solution of first order, linear scalar ODE with constant coefficient

$$u' = au + g(t)$$

$$\implies u' - au = g(t)$$

$$\implies e^{-at}u' - e^{-at}au = e^{-at}g(t)$$

$$\text{The key is } -e^{-at}a = (e^{-at})'$$

$$\implies e^{-at}u' + (e^{-at})'u = e^{-at}g(t)$$

$$\implies (e^{-at}u)' = e^{-at}g(t)$$

Integrating from 0 to t , we get

$$e^{-at}u(t) - u(0) = \int_0^t e^{-as}g(s)ds$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{u(t) = e^{at}u(0) + e^{at} \int_0^t e^{-as} g(s) ds}$$

- Exact solution of first order, linear ODE **system** with constant coefficients

$$\vec{u}' = A\vec{u} + \vec{g}(t)$$

$$\text{The key is } -e^{-At} A = \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-At})$$

...

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\vec{u}(t) = e^{At}\vec{u}(0) + e^{At} \int_0^t e^{-As} \vec{g}(s) ds}$$

where the exponential of a matrix is defined using the Taylor expansion

$$e^{At} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (At)^n$$

In particular, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{At} = e^{At} A = A e^{At}$$

- Exact solution of first order, linear, scalar ODE with **variable** coefficient

$$u' = a(t)u + g(t)$$

$$\text{Let } p(t) = \int a(t) dt$$

$$\Rightarrow u' - p'(t)u = g(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-p(t)} u' - p'(t) e^{-p(t)} u = e^{-p(t)} g(t)$$

$$\text{The key is } -p'(t) e^{-p(t)} = \frac{d}{dt} (e^{-p(t)})$$

$$\Rightarrow (e^{-p(t)} u)' = e^{-p(t)} g(t)$$

...

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{u(t) = e^{p(t)} u(0) + e^{p(t)} \int_0^t e^{-p(s)} g(s) ds}$$

- Exact solution of first order, linear, ODE **system with variable coefficients**

$$\vec{u}' = A(t)\vec{u} + \vec{g}(t)$$

Unfortunately, we don't have an analytical expression for the exact solution.

Caution: In general, matrix multiplication is not commutative.

$$\text{Let } P(t) = \int A(t) dt$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \exp(P(t)) \neq -\exp(P(t))A(t)$$

Existence and uniqueness of solution

We consider the initial value problem (IVP)

$$\begin{cases} u' = f(u, t) \\ u(t_0) = u_0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{E01})$$

We can set $t_0 = 0$.

The existence and uniqueness is governed by the Lipschitz continuity.

Definition (Lipschitz continuity)

$f(u, t): R^{n+1} \rightarrow R^n$ is Lipschitz continuous (LC) in variable u over domain $\Omega \times [t_0, t_2]$ if there exists a constant C_L such that

$$\|f(u, t) - f(v, t)\| \leq C_L \|u - v\|$$

for all $t \in [t_0, t_2]$, $u \in \Omega$, $v \in \Omega$

Theorem:

If $f(u, t)$ is Lipschitz continuous (LC), then IVP (E01) has a unique solution.

Note: when region Ω is bounded, it is easy to check Lipschitz continuity:

If region Ω is bounded and function $f(u, t)$ is continuously differentiable in $\Omega \times [t_0, t_2]$, then $f(u, t)$ is Lipschitz continuous (LC) over $\Omega \times [t_0, t_2]$.

Caution: This is true only over a bounded region Ω .

Example:

$$\begin{cases} u' = u^2 \\ u(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

$f(u) = u^2$ is not Lipschitz continuous over \mathbb{R} .

Let us look at the consequence of violating LC.

We use the method of separation of variables to solve it exactly.

$$\frac{du}{dt} = u^2$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{u^2} du = dt$$

Integrating both sides, we have

$$\frac{-1}{u} = t + c$$

$$\implies u = \frac{-1}{t + c}$$

Enforcing the initial condition $u(0) = 1$, we obtain

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$$

The solution blows up at $t = 1$.

\implies Solution does not exist beyond $t = 1$.

Let us see another example where the Lipschitz continuity (LC) is violated.

Example:

$$\begin{cases} u' = \sqrt{u} \\ u(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$f(u) = \sqrt{u}$ is not Lipschitz continuous in a neighborhood of $u = 0$:

$$|f(u) - f(0)| = \sqrt{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} \cdot u$$

which is not bounded by a constant multiple of u near $u = 0$.

Let us look at the consequence of violating LC.

We use the method of separation of variables to solve it exactly.

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \sqrt{u}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} du = dt$$

Caution:

when we divide both sides by \sqrt{u} , we restrict ourselves to non-zero solutions.

Integrating both sides, we get

$$2\sqrt{u} = t + c$$

$$\implies u = \frac{(t+c)^2}{4}$$

Enforcing the initial condition $u(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$u(t) = \frac{t^2}{4}$$

It is straightforward to verify that $u(t) \equiv 0$ is also a solution.

\implies Solution is not unique.

Numerical differentiation

Goal: to approximate $f'(x)$, $f''(x)$

A first order method for $f'(x)$

Recall the definition $f'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$

For small h , we have $f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$

We adopt the general formulation below for all numerical approximations

$$\underbrace{\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{f'(x)}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{e(h)}_{\text{Discretization error}}$$

Question: How to find the order of $e(h)$?

Answer: Taylor expansion

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + f'(x)h + f''(x)\frac{h^2}{2} + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow e(h) &= \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - f'(x) = \frac{f'(x)h + f''(x)\frac{h^2}{2} + \dots}{h} - f'(x) \\ &= f''(x)\frac{h}{2} + \dots = C \cdot h + \dots = O(h) \end{aligned}$$

Another first order method for $f'(x)$

$$\underbrace{\frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h}}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{f'(x)}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{e(h)}_{\text{Discretization error}}$$

$$e(h) = -f''(x)\frac{h}{2} + \dots = C \cdot h + \dots = O(h)$$

A second order method for $f'(x)$

$$\underbrace{\frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h}}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{f'(x)}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{e(h)}_{\text{Discretization error}}$$

$$e(h) = f'''(x)\frac{h^2}{6} + \dots = C \cdot h^2 + \dots = O(h^2)$$

A second order method for $f''(x)$

$$\underbrace{\frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h))}{h^2}}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{f''(x)}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{e(h)}_{\text{Discretization error}}$$

$$e(h) = f^{(4)}(x)\frac{h^2}{12} + \dots = C \cdot h^2 + \dots = O(h^2)$$

Numerical integration

Goal: to approximate $\int_a^b f(x)dx$

Divide $[a, b]$ into N sub-intervals

$$h = \frac{b-a}{N}$$

$$x_j = a + j h$$

$$x_0 = a, \quad x_1 = a + h, \quad \dots, \quad x_N = b$$

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x) dx$$

We only need to work out each piece (integral over a sub-interval).

Trapezoidal rule:

We use a linear approximation for $f(x)$.

$$f(x) \approx ax + b \quad \text{for } x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$$

...

$$\Rightarrow \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x) dx \approx \left(f(x_{j-1}) + f(x_j) \right) \frac{h}{2}$$

Notation: $f_j \equiv f(x_j)$

We write the numerical approximation into the general formulation.

$$\underbrace{\left(f_{j-1} + f_j \right) \frac{h}{2}}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x) dx}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{e_j(h)}_{\text{Discretization error}}$$

We can show that

$$e_j(h) = O(h^3)$$

To approximate $\int_a^b f(x) dx$, we sum over all sub-intervals.

Composite trapezoidal rule

$$\left(f_{j-1} + f_j \right) \frac{h}{2} = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x) dx + e_j(h) \quad (\text{Trapezoidal rule for sub-interval } j)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^N \left(f_{j-1} + f_j \right) \frac{h}{2} = \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^N e_j(h)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\left(f_0 + f_N + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} f_j \right) \frac{h}{2}}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{\int_a^b f(x) dx}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{E(h)}_{\text{Discretization error}}$$

where the error $E(h)$ is

$$E(h) = \sum_{j=1}^N e_j(h) = N \cdot O(h^3) = O(h^2)$$

Composite trapezoidal rule is a second order method for approximating $\int_a^b f(x)dx$.

Simpson's rule:

We use a quadratic approximation for $f(x)$.

$$f(x) \approx ax^2 + bx + c \quad \text{for } x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$$

...

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\left(f_{j-1} + f_j + 4f_{j-1/2} \right) \frac{h}{6}}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(x)dx}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{e_j(h)}_{\text{Discretization error}}$$

Notation: $f_{j-1/2} = f(x_{j-1/2}), \quad x_{j-1/2} = a + \left(j - \frac{1}{2} \right) h$

We can show that

$$e_j(h) = O(h^5)$$

We sum over all sub-intervals and obtain the composite Simpson's rule.

Composite Simpson's rule:

$$\underbrace{\left(f_0 + f_N + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} f_j + 4 \sum_{j=1}^N f_{j-1/2} \right) \frac{h}{6}}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{\int_a^b f(x)dx}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{E(h)}_{\text{Discretization error}}$$

where the error $E(h)$ is

$$E(h) = \sum_{j=1}^N e_j(h) = N \cdot O(h^5) = O(h^4)$$

Composite Simpson's rule is a fourth order method for approximating $\int_a^b f(x)dx$.

Caution:

Be careful with indices when implementing the composite Simpson's rule.

There are $(N-1)$ internal grid-points in summation $\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} f_j$

There are N middle points of sub-intervals in summation $\sum_{j=1}^N f_{j-1/2}$

Advantage of higher order methods:

In numerical integration, when we refine the numerical resolution,

- Grid size: $h \rightarrow h/2$
- Number of points: $N \rightarrow 2N$ (approximately)
The amount of computation is doubled
- Error: $E(h) \rightarrow E(h/2)$

For a second order method,

$$E\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) \approx C \cdot \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2^2} C \cdot h^2 \approx \frac{1}{4} E(h)$$

The error is reduced by a factor of 4 (approximately).

For a fourth order method,

$$E\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) \approx C \cdot \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^4 = \frac{1}{2^4} C \cdot h^4 \approx \frac{1}{16} E(h)$$

The error is reduced by a factor of 16 (approximately).

Numerical error estimation

We discuss it in the general formulation for all numerical approximations.

Let $T(h)$ be a numerical approximation to quantity I , obtained with step size h using a p -th order numerical method. Exact value of quantity I is unknown.

$$\underbrace{T(h)}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{I}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{E(h)}_{\text{Error}}$$

$$E(h) = C \cdot h^p + \dots \approx C \cdot h^p$$

Goal: to estimate the error $E(h)$ when quantity I is unknown.

Example: We write the composite trapezoidal rule as

$$\underbrace{T(h)}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \underbrace{I}_{\text{Exact}} + \underbrace{E(h)}_{\text{Error}}$$

where

$$\underbrace{I}_{\text{Exact}} = \int_a^b f(x) dx, \quad \underbrace{T(h)}_{\text{Numerical approximation}} = \left(f_0 + f_N + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} f_j \right) \frac{h}{2}$$

We like to estimate the error in $T(h)$ without knowing the value of quantity I .

Strategy:

We carry out computation with two numerical resolutions.

Specifically, we calculate $T(h)$ and $T(h/2)$.

$$T(h) = I + C \cdot h^p + \dots$$

$$T\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) = I + C \cdot \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^p + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow T(h) - T\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) = C \cdot h^p \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^p}\right) + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow C \cdot h^p \approx \frac{T(h) - T\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)}{1 - \frac{1}{2^p}}$$

$$E(h) \approx \frac{T(h) - T\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)}{1 - \frac{1}{2^p}}$$

This is the method for estimating the error numerically when the exact quantity I is unknown. If the order of the method p is also unknown, we simply use

$$E(h) \approx T(h) - T\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)$$

This simple formula may under-estimate the error by a factor of up to 2.

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2^p}} = 2 \quad \text{when } p = 1$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2^p}} = \frac{4}{3} \quad \text{when } p = 2$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2^p}} = \frac{16}{15} \quad \text{when } p = 4$$