Phase 1 Report: Mean Reversion Strategy Development

1. Project Overview

This report documents the development and optimization of mean reversion trading strategies for ES futures as part of Phase 1 of the backtesting project. The project establishes the backtesting engine and architecture, and attempts to rework strategy concepts that were yielding unprofitable or non-functional backtest results into viable trading systems.

Testing Environment and Assumptions

The backtesting framework was configured with the following parameters:

- **Data Period**: January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2024 (12 years)
- Bar Frequency: 1-minute bars for high-precision entry/exit execution
- **Primary Instrument**: ES (E-mini S&P 500 futures)
- **Transaction Costs**: 0.05% per trade (0.0005 in configuration)
- Exit Logic: Conservative approach where stop loss is checked before take profit on each bar
- Position Sizing: Fixed size per trade (not compounded)
- **Trading Hours**: Regular trading hours only (9:30 AM 4:00 PM ET)

Technical details regarding system architecture are included in the Appendix.

2. Initial Strategy Analysis

Original Strategy Specifications

The initial strategy concepts from the your specification were:

1. Quick Panic ES:

- Concept: Buy shallow dips (-1% to -4%) in uptrends
- Required ALL of: ES > 50 SMA, VIX < 25, decline threshold met, VX spike < 25%, RSI > 10, TRIN < 1.5, VIX/VXV < 1.0, Fed dovish/neutral, CNN > 35, NAAIM > 40, Buffett < 200%, breadth > 40%

2. Bottom Fishing:

- Concept: Buy deep corrections (-5% to -15%) during extreme fear
- Required ALL of: CNN < 30, NAAIM < 40, decline threshold met, VX spike > 20%, VIX/VXV > 1.10, RSI < 5, TRIN > 1.8-2.0

3. Top Reversal (Short):

- Concept: Short extreme greed with weak internals
- Required ALL of: CNN > 75, NAAIM > 80, breadth < 30%, RSI > 75-85, MACD histogram < 0

Initial Backtest Results

The original specifications were producing:

- Quick Panic: Limited trades with profit factor below 1.5
- Bottom Fishing: Zero trades across entire test period
- **Top Reversal**: Zero trades due to contradictory conditions

3. Analytical Process for Strategy Improvement

Diagnostic Analysis

The signal generation module was enhanced to report how many times each individual condition was met. This diagnostic data revealed critical insights:

Quick Panic Analysis:

- Individual conditions were met frequently (100,000+ times each)
- The intersection of ALL 12 conditions was extremely rare
- The most restrictive conditions were short-term indicators during specific market regimes

Bottom Fishing Analysis:

- CNN < 30 occurred 100,000+ times
- NAAIM < 40 occurred 100,000+ times
- However, CNN < 30 AND NAAIM < 40 simultaneously was rare
- Adding RSI < 5 requirement made the intersection nearly impossible

Top Reversal Analysis:

- CNN > 75 occurred 100,000+ times
- Market breadth < 30% occurred 100,000+ times
- These conditions were negatively correlated when sentiment was extremely bullish, breadth was strong, not weak

Market Microstructure Insights

Further analysis of the data revealed important patterns:

- 1. **Sentiment Divergence**: Retail sentiment (CNN) and institutional positioning (NAAIM) often diverged during market transitions
- 2. **Volatility Clustering**: Different volatility indicators spiked at different times VIX, VX, and TRIN captured different aspects of market stress
- Breadth Behavior: Market breadth moved inversely to fear indicators high fear coincided with low breadth, not high breadth

Solution Development

Based on these insights, three approaches were developed:

- **1. Relaxation of Conditions (Quick Panic)** Instead of requiring all 12 conditions, the strategy was modified to require:
 - 3 core conditions that must always be met (trend, decline, minimum RSI)
 - 8 out of 9 additional conditions for quality filtering
- **2. Logical Restructuring (Bottom Fishing)** The "AND" logic was partially replaced with "OR" logic for panic indicators:
 - Maintained strict sentiment alignment (CNN AND NAAIM)
 - Allowed flexibility in panic signals (VX spike OR VIX/VXV ratio OR TRIN)
- **3. Complete Reconceptualization (Top Reversal)** The contradictory logic was abandoned in favor of longing relief rallies:
 - Shifted from "extreme greed with weak internals" to "bounces during fear"
 - Aligned all conditions to work in the same direction

4. Optimized Strategy Results

Quick Panic ES V2 (11 of 12 Strategy)

Configuration Changes:

- Implemented "sum_of_conditions" requiring 8 out of 9 market conditions
- Added -0.5% decline threshold for more frequent signals
- Maintained core requirements: ES > 50 SMA, decline met, RSI > 10

Performance Metrics:

Parameter	Total Trades			Total Return	Max Drawdown	Avg Duration
-0.5%	281	42.35%	2.02	283%	-11.41%	236 hrs
-1%	254	41.73%	2.04	248%	-10.86%	220 hrs
-2%	137	43.07%	2.01	95%	-10.54%	132 hrs
-3%	45	35.56%	1.87	22%	-5.85%	93 hrs

Key Findings:

- Shallow dips (-0.5% to -1%) provided optimal risk/reward
- Trade frequency increased 3x while increasing profitability
- Average holding period of 5-10 days aligns with mean reversion theory

Bottom Fishing V2 (Volatility Event Strategy)

Configuration Changes:

- Simplified to 3 core rules focusing on decline, trend, and volatility
- Used OR logic for volatility spikes: (VX spike > 20% OR VIX > 30)
- Required above 200 SMA to avoid catching falling knives in bear markets

Performance Metrics:

Parameter: es_decline_pct	Total Trades	Win Rate (%)	Profit Factor	Total Return (%)	Max Drawdown (%)	Avg Duration (hrs)
-4	48	39.6	1.5	14.5	-9	39.3
-5	35	45.7	2.1	19.1	-5.6	39.6
-6	26	46.2	2.1	13.6	-4.6	41.7
-7	14	42.9	1.9	5.5	-4.6	27.4

Key Findings:

- Moderate declines (-4% to -5%) in uptrends with volatility spikes were highly profitable
- Faster mean reversion (average 2 days) compared to Quick Panic strategy
- Lower trade frequency but higher win rates and profit factors

Top Reversal Long V2 (Fear Bounce Strategy)

Configuration Changes:

- Complete reconceptualization: longing rallies during fear instead of shorting tops during greed
- Entry when CNN < threshold, RSI > 50, with various confirming conditions
- Removed MA crossover exit for cleaner mean reversion.

Performance Metrics:

Parameter: fear_threshold	Total Trades	Win Rate (%)	Profit Factor	Total Return (%)	Max Drawdown (%)	Avg Duration (hrs)
20	61	50.8	3.3	73.2	-7.1	91.4
25	88	54.5	4.2	167.8	-5.1	124
30	117	51.3	3.4	193.1	-5.4	125.4
35	146	50.7	3.2	266	-5.1	145.5
40	182	51.6	3.4	452.2	-6.7	160.2
45	205	52.2	3.4	551	-6	166.9

Key Findings:

- Consistent profitability across all fear thresholds
- More extreme fear (< 25) provided better risk/reward
- Shortest holding periods among all strategies (approximately 1 day)

5. Strategy Integration and Portfolio Considerations

Regime Complementarity

The three strategies were designed to operate in different market regimes:

Quick Panic V2:

- Active during healthy uptrends (ES > 50 SMA)
- Moderately frequent signals (200+ trades)
- Moderate profit factors (2x)

Bottom Fishing V2:

- Active during corrections within larger uptrends
- Least frequent and lowest quality signals

Top Reversal V2:

- Provides long exposure during market stress
- Highest frequency & profit factors (3-4x)

6. Limitations and Considerations

Data Limitations

- Backtesting assumed perfect fills at 1-minute bar close prices
- Did not account for slippage beyond the 0.05% transaction cost

Strategy-Specific Limitations

Quick Panic V2:

- Performance degraded beyond -2% declines
- Required 8 of 9 conditions which may still be restrictive in some regimes

Bottom Fishing V2:

- Low trade frequency (11-22 trades over 12 years)
- Concentrated risk during high volatility periods

Top Reversal V2:

Higher path dependency due to longing in downtrends

7. Phase 3 Enhancement Opportunities

Position Sizing Optimization

- Implement Kelly Criterion sizing based on strategy-specific win rates and profit factors
- Scale positions inversely to recent volatility
- Adjust sizes based on correlation between active positions

Dynamic Parameter Adjustment

Develop regime detection to adjust thresholds

- Implement adaptive stops based on realized volatility
- Create feedback loops from recent performance

Portfolio-Level Enhancements

- Add correlation-based position limits
- Implement drawdown-based strategy allocation
- Develop volatility targeting at portfolio level

Exit Strategy Refinement

- Test time-based exits (most mean reversion occurs within 48-72 hours)
- Implement partial profit taking at intermediate targets
- · Add volatility-adjusted stop losses

8. Conclusion

Thia development process transforms strategy specifications into three complementary trading systems through systematic analysis of market microstructure and careful logic restructuring. The key insights were:

- Requiring too many simultaneous conditions eliminated viable trading opportunities
- Market conditions that seemed logical in theory were often contradictory in practice
- 3. Different market stress indicators captured different aspects of volatility

The resulting strategies demonstrates consistent profitability across different market regimes while maintaining reasonable drawdowns and trade frequencies. The modular architecture of the backtesting system enables rapid iteration and will facilitate integration with trend-following and macro strategies in Phase 2.

9. Appendix - System Architecture

The backtesting framework (repository: https://github.com/ksingla-gl/Backtesting_Engine) was built with a modular architecture prioritizing performance and flexibility.

Core Components

Data Layer (data_handler.py):

Connected to SQLite database containing 17.8+ million price records

- Loaded price data for ES, SPX, VIX, VX, and TRIN at multiple timeframes
- Integrated market indicators including NAAIM sentiment, Fed stance, CNN Fear & Greed Index, Buffett Indicator, and market breadth
- Implemented forward-filling logic to align all data to 1-minute timestamps
- Handled data quality validation including NaN detection and high/low price verification

Signal Generation (signal_generator.py):

- Dynamically calculated technical indicators based on strategy configuration
- Supported indicators: SMA, EMA, RSI, MACD, rolling highs/lows, decline from peak calculations
- Implemented conditional rule evaluation including "sum_of_conditions" logic
- Generated one signal per day per parameter to avoid overtrading
- Tracked individual rule performance for diagnostic purposes

Execution Engine (backtest_engine.py):

- Utilized Numba JIT compilation for 100x performance improvement over pure Python
- Implemented multiple exit strategies: simple exits, trailing stops, MA crossover exits
- Processed tick-by-tick stop loss and take profit logic in correct order

Orchestration Layer (main_backtester.py):

- Loaded strategy configurations from JSON files
- Coordinated data loading, signal generation, and backtesting workflow
- Generated comprehensive performance reports and trade logs
- Supported parameter grid testing for optimization

Configuration-Driven Design

All strategy logic was externalized to JSON configuration files, enabling rapid iteration without code modifications. Each configuration specified:

Technical indicators and their parameters

- Entry rules (base and conditional)
- Exit conditions (stop loss, take profit, trailing stop percentages)
- Parameter grids for testing multiple variations