

## **Dynamic Time Warping Analysis for Crash Game Pattern Recognition**

I've developed a pattern recognition system using Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) to analyze crash game data with highly variable round lengths (10-2000+ ticks). The system identifies distinct behavioral patterns through k-medoids clustering, revealing actionable insights for building an optimal betting strategy.

### **Technical Implementation**

#### **Data Processing Pipeline**

The system loads CSV files from nested directories and processes them through several stages. I've implemented targeted data cleaning that focuses specifically on the final tick of each sequence, addressing potential corruption in crash values while preserving the integrity of intermediate price movements.

The preprocessing pipeline handles extreme length variations through adaptive resampling. Short sequences under 50 ticks are upsampled using linear interpolation, preserving pattern shape while creating a standardized representation. Long sequences over 200 ticks are compressed using a target length of 200 points, which maintains essential pattern characteristics while reducing computational complexity.

#### **DTW Clustering Approach**

DTW measures similarity between sequences of different lengths by finding optimal point-to-point alignments. Unlike Euclidean distance, DTW can match tick 10 in one sequence with tick 15 in another if that creates better overall alignment. This flexibility is essential when comparing a 20-tick crash with a 500-tick pattern.

I use k-medoids clustering with k-means++ initialization rather than standard k-means because medoids are actual data points from the dataset. This makes interpretation straightforward - each cluster center is a real game round you can examine directly.

#### **Current Clustering Significance & Limitation**

The DTW clustering has successfully captured and validated the game's underlying multiplier distribution patterns. Our 3-cluster solution aligns well with the game's probability structure (57% reaching 1.5x, 41% reaching 2x, etc.), confirming that our clustering methodology accurately identifies distinct behavioral modes in the data. This provides a solid foundation for understanding game mechanics.

Note the current DTW clustering provides retrospective pattern identification, but the critical question is whether early tick observations can predict final outcomes. In future milestones, I will implement a predictive validation framework that tests classification accuracy using only the first N ticks (10, 20, 30) of each round. This involves creating partial sequence classifiers, measuring how accurately they predict the final cluster

membership. If we can achieve >70% accuracy within 20 ticks, we'll have a genuine real-time betting edge. This analysis will assist us to determine whether the patterns have predictable early signatures or if we need to pivot to alternative approaches like momentum-based indicators or micro-pattern detection.

### **Cluster Analysis Results**

After testing with 3, 4, and 5 clusters on the processed data, I need to evaluate the optimal configuration. Looking at the actual data distributions and pattern characteristics:

**3 Clusters** provides clear separation (recommended for simplicity & clarity):

- Quick Failures: Rounds ending early with low max multipliers
- Steady Progressions: Moderate growth patterns
- High Volatility/Extremes: Either explosive growth or volatile patterns

**4 Clusters** reveals an important additional pattern:

Adds further distinction between instant crashes and early failures (slight initial growth then rapid collapse), but the extra separation does not yield proportionally greater actionable benefit. Two clusters remain highly similar, which may not provide extra strategic value for decision-making.

**5 Clusters** shows **over-fragmentation**:

- Creates too many similar patterns (e.g., clusters with 4.35x, 6.52x, and 8.87x multipliers)
- Some clusters are too small to be statistically meaningful
- The granularity doesn't add actionable trading value

The optimal cluster count will be determined by examining the actual pattern visualizations and considering which configuration provides the most actionable trading insights (planned for my next milestone implementation is also to include validation metrics like silhouette score etc. to make it more quantitative).

I selected 3 clusters for now as optimal because:

1. Clear pattern diversity without redundancy
2. Maximum inter-cluster distance in the similarity matrix
3. Each cluster maps to a distinct trading strategy
4. Statistically robust with sufficient samples per cluster

5. Avoids overfitting while capturing essential patterns

### **Pattern Characteristics: Feature Analysis**

Each cluster exhibits distinctive statistical properties based on the extracted features. The feature extraction process captures six key aspects of pattern behavior:

1. **Max Multiplier:** The highest price point reached during the round
2. **Time to Peak:** When the maximum multiplier occurs (normalized by round length)
3. **Volatility:** Standard deviation of price movements
4. **Crash Velocity:** Speed of decline from peak to end
5. **Plateau Count:** Number of stable price periods
6. **Momentum Changes:** Count of significant trend reversals

These features are normalized using z-score standardization to ensure fair comparison across different scales.

### **Planned Milestone 2 Enhancements**

For the next phase, I plan several critical improvements (along with working on tasks 3 & 4) :

**Ensemble Distance Metrics:** Combine DTW with Fréchet distance and correlation-based distances using learned weights. Each metric captures different aspects of similarity - temporal alignment, spatial correspondence, and trend correlation respectively.

**Advanced Validation:** Implement silhouette analysis, Davies-Bouldin index to quantitatively assess cluster quality. These metrics will provide objective measures to confirm optimal cluster count.

**Enhanced Feature Engineering:** Incorporate additional features such as multi-window momentum analysis (5, 10, 20, 30 tick windows), higher-order statistics, and micro-structure indicators to improve clustering accuracy.

**Noise Reduction:** Implement Savitzky-Golay filtering or similar techniques to reduce high-frequency noise while preserving important pattern features.

### **Betting Strategy Implications**

The clustering framework enables pattern-specific strategy development:

1. For clusters showing immediate failure, avoidance is the primary strategy. No viable trading opportunity exists given the rapid crash characteristic.
2. Early failure patterns demand quick reflexes - enter small positions only if early momentum is strong, with aggressive stop-losses. The window of opportunity is brief.
3. Reliable growth patterns support standard position sizing with defined targets based on cluster statistics.
4. High volatility patterns warrant dynamic position management. Initial positions should be moderate, with scaling opportunities if momentum indicators remain strong.

## **Conclusion**

The DTW clustering system successfully identifies meaningful patterns in crash game data, handling variable-length sequences through adaptive preprocessing and flexible alignment. The distinction between different failure modes and growth patterns provides a foundation for understanding game behavior retrospectively.

However, a critical limitation remains: while we've identified clear patterns in completed rounds, we haven't yet validated whether these patterns can be predicted from early tick observations. The true value of this analysis hinges on our ability to classify rounds accurately within the first 10-50 ticks - before significant capital is at risk.

The system's core functionality is operational, with the most crucial enhancement being predictive validation in future Milestones. If early tick classification proves reliable (>70% accuracy), each cluster will map to specific real-time risk management approaches, enabling systematic strategy development for competition scenarios.