

Compactness in Metric Spaces

Kyle Jiang

05/19/2024

Here, we wish to prove the equivalence of a number of seemingly unrelated notions of compactness in metric spaces. We first recall the definition of (topological) compactness, which deals with open covers:

Definition. A space X is *compact* if every open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ of X contains a finite subcover of X .

There is another notion known as limit point compactness:

Definition. A space X is *limit point compact* if every infinite set $A \subseteq X$ has a limit point in X .

Recall that a limit point x of a set A is such that every neighborhood of x intersects A at some point other than x itself. An equivalent definition is x is a limit point of A if $x \in \text{Cl}(A - \{x\})$.

Yet another form of compactness deals with sequences:

Definition. A space X is *sequentially compact* if every sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Finally, there is a form of compactness that is in general weaker than compactness, but which will prove to be very useful in deriving relationships among the other types of compactness:

Definition. A *countably compact* space X is one for which every countable (open) cover of X has a finite subcover.

Proposition. If a space X is T_1 , then countable compactness and limit point compactness are equivalent.

Proof. The converse requires X to be T_1 . The forward direction does not.

(\implies) Suppose X is not limit point compact. Then there exists some infinite set $A \subseteq X$ that does not have a limit point. Consider any countably infinite subset $B = \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \subseteq A$. It also cannot have a limit point. Using this set, we find a countable cover of X with no finite subcover. Indeed, for each $b_n \in B$, because b_n is not a limit point of B , there is some neighborhood U_n of b_n such that $B \cap U_n = \{b_n\}$; that is to say, singletons are open in B . Then, simply consider the open cover of B formed by the singletons $\{b_n\}$; this cover has no finite subcover.

(\impliedby) Suppose X is not countably compact. Suppose that the countable open cover $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ of X has no finite subcover. We use this cover to formulate an infinite set A with no limit point. Select arbitrarily, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, an element $a_n \in X - \bigcup_{i \leq n} U_i$. Such a choice is always possible since $\{U_n\}$ contains no finite subcover.

First, it is easy to check that the set $A = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ is infinite, for if not, then some element $x = a_n$ for infinitely many n . Since $\{U_n\}$ is a cover of X , then $x \in U_{n_x}$ for some $n_x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then $a_i \notin U_{n_x}$ for each $i \geq n_x$, which is a contradiction.

Then, we show that A has no limit point. For any $x \in X$, let $n_x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be such that $x \in U_{n_x}$ (this is possible because $\{U_n\}$ is a cover of X). Note that for any $i \geq n_x$, $a_i \notin U_{n_x}$. However, it could be the case that $x_i \in U_{n_x}$ for $i < n_x$. But because X is T_1 , the finite collection $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n_x-1}\} - \{x\}$ is closed, so its complement $V_x = (X - \{a_1, \dots, a_{n_x-1}\}) \cup \{x\}$ is a neighborhood of x . Then, consider that the

neighborhood $U_{n_x} \cap V$ of x excludes all elements of B (except possibly x itself), which shows that x is not a limit point of B .

Definition. An ω -accumulation point of a set $A \subseteq X$ is a point $p \in X$ such that each neighborhood of p intersects A in infinitely many points.

Proposition. The following are equivalent:

1. X is countably compact.
2. Every infinite set $A \subseteq X$ has an ω -accumulation point in X .
3. Every sequence in X has an accumulation point in X .

Proof. We show that (1) implies (2), (2) implies (3), and (3) implies (1).

(1 \implies 2) Let $A \subseteq X$ be infinite. Let $B \subseteq A$ be a countably infinite subset of A . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that B has no ω -accumulation points. Then for any $x \in X$, there is a neighborhood U_x of x that intersects B in only finitely many points. For each finite subset K of B (there are countably many of them), define V_K to be the union of all U_x such that $K = B \cap U_x$; note that each V_K is open. Also, the collection $\{V_K\}$ covers X because for each $x \in X$, the chosen $U_x \subseteq V_{K_x}$, where $K_x = B \cap U_x$. Since X is countably compact, there exists a finite subcover $\{V_{K_1}, \dots, V_{K_N}\} \subseteq \{V_K\}$ that covers X . Note, however, that

$$B \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^N V_{K_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (B \cap V_{K_i})$$

being a finite union of finite sets, is finite. This is a contradiction because B is infinite. Therefore, B must have an ω -accumulation point, which is obviously also an ω -accumulation point of A .

(2 \implies 3) Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ be a sequence in X . Consider the set $A = \{a_n\}$. If A is finite, then there is some $x \in X$ such that $x = a_n$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Such a point is clearly an accumulation point of (a_n) . If A is infinite, then by hypothesis, it has an ω -accumulation point $p \in X$. This point is clearly an accumulation point of (a_n) .

(3 \implies 1) Suppose that X is not countably compact. Let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ be a countable cover of X that does not contain a finite cover. Choose, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $a_i \in X - \bigcup_{n=1}^i U_n$. This is always possible because $\{U_n\}$ has no finite subcover. We claim that (a_i) has no accumulation point. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that a is an accumulation point of (a_i) . Since $\{U_n\}$ cover X , there is some $n_a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $a \in U_{n_a}$. But by construction, U_{n_a} misses all elements a_i with $i > n_a$. Therefore, the neighborhood U_{n_a} intersects at most a finite number of elements of (a_i) , which contradicts the hypothesis that a is an accumulation point of (a_i) .

Proposition. If a space X is first countable, then countable compactness and sequential compactness are equivalent.

Proof. The forward direction requires X to be first countable. The converse does not.

(\implies) Suppose that X is countably compact. Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ be a sequence, and consider the set $A = \{a_n\}$. If A is finite, then there is some $x \in X$ such that $x = a_n$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then a subsequence formed by taking just these elements converges, trivially, to x . The more interesting case is when A is infinite. Then, we can apply the previous proposition (1 \implies 2) to show that A has an ω -accumulation point $a \in X$. We claim that there is a subsequence $(a_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ that converges to a .

Let $\{B_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ be a countable neighborhood basis of a (guaranteed because X is first countable). From this basis, we can create a nested neighborhood basis $\{C_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ by taking, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $C_i = \bigcap_{j=1}^i B_j$. Then, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, since C_j intersects A in infinitely many points, select $a_{n_j} \in A \cap C_j$ such that n_j is the smallest element of \mathbb{Z}^+ where $n_j > n_i$ for each $i < j$. The subsequence (a_{n_j}) converges to a , since any neighborhood U of a contains C_{j_U} for some $j_U \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and for any $j > j_U$, we have that $a_{n_j} \in C_j \subseteq C_{j_U} \subseteq U$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that X is sequentially compact. Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ be an arbitrary sequence in X . Then there exists a subsequence $(a_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ that converges to some point $p \in X$. This point is clearly an accumulation point of the sequence (a_n) , since for any neighborhood U of p , there exists some $j_U \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $a_{n_j} \in U$ for each $j > j_U$. By the previous proposition ($3 \implies 1$), X is countably compact.

Now, we arrive at what we wish to prove, which is that all of the forms of compactness defined above are equivalent for metric spaces.

Proposition. For a metric space X , the following are equivalent:

1. X is compact.
2. X is sequentially compact.
3. X is limit point compact.

Proof. We show that (1) implies (3), (3) implies (2), and finally that (2) implies (1).

(1 \implies 3) This is true for topological spaces in general and follows immediately from previous propositions.

(3 \implies 2) We note that all metric spaces are T_1 , since given any $x \neq y \in X$, the neighborhood $B_d(x, d(x, y))$ of x misses y and the neighborhood $B_d(y, d(x, y))$ of y misses x . This shows that X is countably compact. Also, all metric spaces are first countable, since for any element $x \in X$, the collection

$$\left\{ B_d\left(x, \frac{1}{n}\right) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$$

is a countable neighborhood basis of x . By a previous proposition, X is sequentially compact.

(2 \implies 1) First, we show the following claim.

Proposition. Let X be a sequentially compact metric space. Let \mathcal{A} be an open cover of X . Then there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that every set $C \subseteq X$ with diameter smaller than δ is contained in some $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Assume the hypotheses of the claim. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a subset $C \subseteq X$ with diameter smaller than ε that is not contained in any $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We can use this fact to construct a sequence as follows. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, choose an arbitrary subset $C_n \subseteq X$ with diameter smaller than $\frac{1}{n} > 0$ that is not contained in any element of \mathcal{A} , and choose an arbitrary $x_n \in C_n$. Since X is sequentially compact, the sequence (x_n) has a subsequence, say, $(x_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$, that converges to $x_0 \in X$. Suppose that the open set $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ contains x_0 . Then, there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_d(x_0, \varepsilon) \subseteq A_0$. Since (x_{n_j}) converges to x_0 , there must exist some $J_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $x_{n_j} \in B_d(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)$ for each $j > J_1$. Also, take $J_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ to be such that $n_{J_2} > \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \implies \frac{1}{n_{J_2}} < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$; for each $j > J_2$, it follows that the set C_{n_j} , containing the element x_{n_j} and with diameter less than $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$, is contained in $B_d(x_{n_j}, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)$. For $j > \max\{J_1, J_2\}$, it follows that the set $C_{n_j} \subseteq B_d(x_0, \varepsilon) \implies C_{n_j} \subseteq A_0$, which contradicts the hypothesis that no C_n is contained in any $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Next, we prove that a sequentially compact metric space X is totally bounded.

Definition. A metric space X is *totally bounded* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite collection $\{B_d(x_n, \varepsilon)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ that covers X .

Proposition. A sequentially compact metric space X is totally bounded.

Proof. Suppose that X is not totally bounded; i.e., there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ for which no finite collection $\{B_d(x_1, \varepsilon), \dots, B_d(x_N, \varepsilon)\}$ covers X . We can construct a sequence as follows. Select $x_1 \in X$ arbitrarily. Now, for each positive integer $n > 1$, select $x_n \in X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} B_d(x_i, \varepsilon)$ (such a choice is always possible because of the hypothesis that no finite collection $\{B_d(x_1, \varepsilon), \dots, B_d(x_N, \varepsilon)\}$ covers X). Note that by construction, for any pair $k \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $d(x_k, x_m) \geq \varepsilon$. We claim that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ does not have a convergent subsequence. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that (x_n) has a convergent subsequence, say, $(x_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$, that converges to $x_0 \in X$. Then there must exist some $J \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for each $j > J$, $x_{n_j} \in B_d(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)$. Since $x_{n_{J+1}}, x_{n_{J+2}} \in B_d(x_0, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)$, then

$$d(x_{n_{J+1}}, x_{n_{J+2}}) \leq d(x_0, x_{n_{J+1}}) + d(x_0, x_{n_{J+2}}) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon = \varepsilon$$

which contradicts the previous implication $n_{J+1} \neq n_{J+2} \implies d(x_{n_{J+1}}, x_{n_{J+2}}) \geq \varepsilon$.

Now we return to the original statement that was to be proved.

Proof. Assume that X is a sequentially compact metric space. Let \mathcal{A} be an open cover of X . The first proposition guarantees some $\delta > 0$ such that every subset of X with diameter smaller than δ is contained in some element of \mathcal{A} . Use the second proposition to guarantee a finite collection $\{B_d(x_1, \frac{1}{3}\delta), \dots, B_d(x_N, \frac{1}{3}\delta)\}$ that covers X . Each element $B_d(x_i, \frac{1}{3}\delta)$ of this collection has a diameter that is no greater than $\frac{2}{3}\delta < \delta$, so each of them is contained in some element $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the finite subcollection $\{A_1, \dots, A_N\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ covers X .