

Back to Customize (/audit/xhcg0rfg/fair\_processed/)

Home (/)

About (http://dsapp.uchicago.edu/aequitas)

# The Bias Report

Audit Date: 07 Dec 2022

Data Audited: 1000 rows

Attributes Audited: Sex, Age, Foreign

Audit Goal(s): Equal Parity - Ensure all protected groups are have equal representation in the selected set.

Proportional Parity - Ensure all protected groups are selected proportional to their percentage of the population.

False Positive Rate Parity - Ensure all protected groups have the same false positive rates as the reference group).

False Discovery Rate Parity - Ensure all protected groups have equally proportional false positives within the selected set (compared to the

reference group).

False Negative Rate Parity - Ensure all protected groups have the same false negative rates (as the reference group).

False Omission Rate Parity - Ensure all protected groups have equally proportional false negatives within the non-selected set (compared to the

reference group)

Reference Groups: Custom group - The reference groups you selected for each attribute will be used to calculate relative disparities in this audit.

Fairness 90%. If disparity for a group is within 90% and 111% of the value of the reference group on a group metric (e.g. False Positive Rate), this audit will

Threshold: pass

### Audit Results:

- 1. Summary
- 2. Details by Fairness Measures
- 3. Details by Protected Attributes
- 4. Bias Metrics Values
- 5. Base Metrics Calculated for Each Group

## Audit Results: Summary

Equal Parity - Ensure all protected groups are have equal representation in the selected set. Failed Details Proportional Parity - Ensure all protected groups are selected proportional to their percentage of the population. Passed Details False Positive Rate Parity - Ensure all protected groups have the same false positive rates as the reference group). Failed Details False Discovery Rate Parity - Ensure all protected groups have equally proportional false positives within the selected set (compared to the reference Failed Details group). False Negative Rate Parity - Ensure all protected groups have the same false negative rates (as the reference group). Failed Details False Omission Rate Parity - Ensure all protected groups have equally proportional false negatives within the non-selected set (compared to the Failed Details reference group).

Audit Results: Details by Fairness Measures

Equal Parity: Failed

#### What is it?

This criteria considers an attribute to have equal parity is every group is equally represented in the selected set. For example, if race (with possible values of white, black, other) has equal parity, it implies that all three races are equally represented (33% each)in the selected/intervention set.

#### When does it matter?

If your desired outcome is to intervene equally on people from all races, then you care about this criteria.

#### Which groups failed the audit:

For Sex (with reference group as male married/widowed)

female divorced/separated/married with 3.28X Disparity male single with 5.82X Disparity male divorced/separated with 0.53X Disparity

For Foreign (with reference group as no) yes with 24.50X Disparity

Go to Top

### Proportional Parity: Passed

#### What is it?

This criteria considers an attribute to have proportional parity if every group is represented proportionally to their share of the population. For example, if race with possible values of white, black, other being 50%, 30%, 20% of the population respectively) has proportional parity, it implies that all three races are represented in the same proportions (50%, 30%, 20%) in the selected set.

#### When does it matter?

If your desired outcome is to intervene proportionally on people from all races, then you care about this criteria.

### Which groups failed the audit:

Based on the fairness threshold used, all groups passed the audit for this metric.

Go to Top

### False Positive Rate Parity: Failed

#### What is it?

This criteria considers an attribute to have False Positive parity if every group has the same False Positive Error Rate. For example, if race has false positive parity, it implies that all three races have the same False Positive Error Rate.

#### When does it matter?

If your desired outcome is to make false positive errors equally on people from all races, then you care about this criteria. This is important in cases where your intervention is punitive and has a risk of adverse outcomes for individuals. Using this criteria allows you to make sure that you are not making false positive mistakes about any single group disproportionately.

## Which groups failed the audit:

For Sex (with reference group as male married/widowed)

female divorced/separated/married with **0.84X** Disparity

male divorced/separated with **0.88X**Disparity

male single with 0.71X Disparity

For Age (with reference group as Older)

Middle1 with **1.29X** Disparity Middle2 with **1.13X** Disparity Younger with **1.37X** Disparity

For Foreign (with reference group as no) yes with 2.16X Disparity

Go to Top

### False Discovery Rate Parity: Failed

#### What is it?

This criteria considers an attribute to have False Discovery Rate parity if every group has the same False Discovery Error Rate. For example, if race has false discovery parity, it implies that all three races have the same False Discovery Error Rate.

#### When does it matter?

If your desired outcome is to make false positive errors equally on people from all races, then you care about this criteria. This is important in cases where your intervention is punitive and can hurt individuals and where you are selecting a very small group for interventions.

#### Which groups failed the audit:

For Sex (with reference group as male married/widowed)

female divorced/separated/married with 1.11X Disparity male single with 0.71X Disparity male divorced/separated with 1.34X Disparity

For Age (with reference group as Older) Middle1 with 1.48X Disparity Younger with 1.97X Disparity

For Foreign (with reference group as no) yes with 6.53X Disparity

Go to Top

### False Negative Rate Parity: Failed

#### What is it?

This criteria considers an attribute to have False Negative parity if every group has the same False Negative Error Rate. For example, if race has false negative parity, it implies that all three races have the same False Negative Error Rate.

#### When does it matter?

If your desired outcome is to make false negative errors equally on people from all races, then you care about this criteria. This is important in cases where your intervention is assistive (providing helpful social services for example) and missing an individual could lead to adverse outcomes for them. Using this criteria allows you to make sure that you're not missing people from certain groups disproportionately.

#### Which groups failed the audit:

For Sex (with reference group as male married/widowed)

male divorced/separated with  ${\bf 0.74X}$  Disparity

female divorced/separated/married with **0.75X** Disparity

male single with 0.74X Disparity

For Age (with reference group as Older)
Younger with 0.81X Disparity
Middle1 with 1.26X Disparity
Middle2 with 1.21X Disparity

For Foreign (with reference group as no) yes with 1.14X Disparity

Go to Top

False Omission Rate Parity: Failed

#### What is it?

This criteria considers an attribute to have False Omission Rate parity if every group has the same False Omission Error Rate. For example, if race has false omission parity, it implies that all three races have the same False Omission Error Rate.

#### When does it matter?

If your desired outcome is to make false negative errors equally on people from all races, then you care about this criteria. This is important in cases where your intervention is assistive (providing help social services for example) and missing an individual could lead to adverse outcomes for them , and where you are selecting a very small group for interventions. Using this criteria allows you to make sure that you're not missing people from certain groups disproportionately.

### Which groups failed the audit:

For Sex (with reference group as male married/widowed)

female divorced/separated/married with **0.61X** Disparity

male single with **0.68X** Disparity male divorced/separated with **0.56X** Disparity

For Age (with reference group as Older)
Middle2 with 1.25X Disparity
Middle1 with 1.18X Disparity
Younger with 0.75X Disparity

For Foreign (with reference group as no) yes with 0.71X Disparity

Go to Top

## Audit Results: Details by Protected Attributes

### Sex

Attribute Value	Equal Parity	Proportional Parity	False Discovery Rate Parity	False Positive Rate Parity	False Omission Rate Parity
female divorced/separated/married	female divorced/separated/married	female divorced/separated/married	female divorced/separated/married	female divorced/separated/married	female divorced/separated/marrie
male divorced/separated	male divorced/separated	male divorced/separated	male divorced/separated	male divorced/separated	male divorced/separated
male married/widowed	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
male single	male single	male single	male single	male single	male single

Go to Top

## Age

Attribute Value	Equal Parity	Proportional Parity	False Discovery Rate Parity	False Positive Rate Parity	False Omission Rate Parity	False Negative Rate Parity
Middle1	Middle1	Middle1	Middle1	Middle1	Middle1	Middle1
Middle2	Middle2	Middle2	Middle2	Middle2	Middle2	Middle2
Older	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Younger	Younger	Younger	Younger	Younger	Younger	Younger

Go to Top

## Foreign

Attribute Value	Equal Parity	Proportional Parity	False Discovery Rate Parity	False Positive Rate Parity	False Omission Rate Parity	False Negative Rate Parity
no	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

Go to Top

## Audit Results: Bias Metrics Values

## Sex

Attribute Value	Predicted Positive Rate Disparity	Predicted Positive Group Rate Disparity	False Discovery Rate Disparity	False Positive Rate Disparity	False Omission Rate Disparity	False Negative Rate Disparity
female divorced/separated/married	3.28	0.97	1.11	0.84	0.61	0.75
male divorced/separated	0.53	0.97	1.34	0.88	0.56	0.74
male married/widowed	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
male single	5.82	0.98	0.71	0.71	0.68	0.74

Go to Previous

Go to Top

## Age

Attribute Value	Predicted Positive Rate Disparity	Predicted Positive Group Rate Disparity	False Discovery Rate Disparity	False Positive Rate Disparity	False Omission Rate Disparity	False Negative Rate Disparity
Middle1	0.94	1.0	1.48	1.29	1.18	1.26
Middle2	1.02	1.0	1.05	1.13	1.25	1.21
Older	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Younger	0.96	1.03	1.97	1.37	0.75	0.81

Go to Previous

Go to Top

## Foreign

Attribute Value	Predicted Positive Rate Disparity	Predicted Positive Group Rate Disparity	False Discovery Rate Disparity	False Positive Rate Disparity	False Omission Rate Disparity	False Negative Rate Disparity
no	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
yes	24.5	0.94	6.53	2.16	0.71	1.14

Go to Previous

Go to Top

# Audit Results: Group Metrics Values

## Sex

Attribute Value	Group Size Ratio	Predicted Positive Rate	Predicted Positive Group Rate	False Discovery Rate	False Positive Rate	False Omission Rate	False Negative Rate
female divorced/separated/married	0.31	0.31	0.76	0.26	0.57	0.36	0.13
male divorced/separated	0.05	0.05	0.76	0.32	0.6	0.33	0.13

Attribute Value	Group Size Ratio	Predicted Positive Rate	Predicted Positive Group Rate	False Discovery Rate	False Positive Rate	False Omission Rate	False Negative Rate
male married/widowed	0.09	0.09	0.78	0.24	0.68	0.6	0.18
male single	0.55	0.55	0.76	0.17	0.48	0.41	0.13

Go to Previous

Go to Top

## Age

Attribute Value	Group Size Ratio	Predicted Positive Rate	Predicted Positive Group Rate	False Discovery Rate	False Positive Rate	False Omission Rate	False Negative Rate
Middle1	0.24	0.24	0.76	0.23	0.57	0.46	0.16
Middle2	0.26	0.26	0.76	0.16	0.5	0.48	0.15
Older	0.26	0.25	0.76	0.15	0.44	0.39	0.13
Younger	0.24	0.25	0.78	0.3	0.61	0.29	0.1

Go to Previous

Go to Top

## Foreign

Attribute Value	Group Size Ratio	Predicted Positive Rate	Predicted Positive Group Rate	False Discovery Rate	False Positive Rate	False Omission Rate	False Negative Rate
no	0.04	0.04	0.81	0.03	0.25	0.57	0.12
yes	0.96	0.96	0.76	0.22	0.54	0.4	0.14

Go to Previous

Go to Top

© 2018 Center for Data Science and Public Policy - University of Chicago