Prediction of Barbell Lift Quality

ksplett1

Sunday, March 22, 2015

Practical Machine Learning Project

(Coursera predmachlearn-012)

Summary

This project predicts quality of barbell lifts for Unilateral Dumbbell Biceps Curl. The data set and related research can be found at http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har (http://groupware.les.inf.puc-rio.br/har) (section on Weight Lifting Exercise). As described in the "Qualitative Activity Recognition of Weight Lifting Exercises" paper, users were recorded while they performed the same activity correctly and incorrectly based on a set of common mistakes. Wearable sensors (belt, glove, arm-band, and dumbbell) provided measurements of each mistake.

In this project, 5 random forests are used as a classification model, based on 500 trees.

Random Forest was selected as the classification model for the following reasons:

- Classification trees can predict non-linear models
- Predictor variables do not require normalization and the trees automatically rank variable significance
- Random Forest models are top-performing models
- Random Forest models can handle many predictor variables

Cross validation was done by partitioning the training data set into separate sub-training and validation sets. The random forest model was trained on the sub-training set, and then the model prediction was run on the validation set. The predicted classe output was compared to the actual classe output in the validation set to produce a confusion matrix. The accuracy percent was also calculated. Cross-validation checks for Type III or out-of-sample errors (errors suggested by the data - i.e., over-fitting) estimated a 97.6% out-of-sample accuracy.

note: Because the training set is large, the training set selected to train the model was 30% (4907 observation and 54 variables) of the original training set size. The decision to reduce the training set size was made because the model took about 15 minutes to run on a laptop. The resulting model is highly accurate, so further iterations of the model were deemed unnecessary.

A variable reduction method could also have been applied to decrease the model training time and remove lesser significant variables. A single classification tree was initially run to identify significant variables rapidly; however, this approach was not chosen as the final model because it would have required more development time to find the best reduced set of predictor variables.

Another possible model approach (not chosen) would be to re-summarize the raw device measurements (ex. mean, std deviation, total acceleration ($sqrt(x^{2+y}2+z^{2})$, max+std), which seems to be a common way to analyze accelerometer data. Again, this model would have also required more development time.

Data Processing

Data Set Description:

- 19,621 observations collected from six participants. 160 variables.
- csv file format
- Measurements are taken from accelerometers on the belt, forearm, arm, and dumbbell
- classe output variable: Class A corresponds to the specified execution of the exercise, while the other 4 classes correspond to common mistakes (throw elbows to the front (Class B), lift dumbbell only halfway (Class C), lower dumbbell only halfway (Class D) and throw hips to the front (Class E)).
- Training data is sorted by class output

Type rfNews() to see new features/changes/bug fixes.

- Training data is sequentially timestamped within a time window (indicated by new window)
- Summarized measurements (kurtosis, skewness, variance, average, standard deviation, minimum, maximum) are calculated once per time window

```
library(caret)

## Warning: package 'caret' was built under R version 3.1.2

## Loading required package: lattice
## Loading required package: ggplot2

## Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 3.1.1

library(randomForest)

## Warning: package 'randomForest' was built under R version 3.1.3

## randomForest 4.6-10
```

```
# assume setwd done before running this program
setwd("C:/Users/ksplett1/machinelearning")
setwd("data")
df <- read.csv("pml-training.csv", header = TRUE, sep = ",", na.strings = "NA" )</pre>
df_test <- read.csv("pml-testing.csv", header = TRUE, sep = ",", na.strings = "NA" )</pre>
setwd("../")
# Remove window summary columns that start with new window, amplitude, kurtosis, skewness, var, avg,
stddev, min, max
df obs <- df[ , -grep("^(new window|amplitude|kurtosis|skewness|var|avg|stddev|min|max)", names(df))</pre>
df_tst <- df_test[ , -grep("^(new_window|amplitude|kurtosis|skewness|var|avg|stddev|min|max)", name</pre>
s(df_test)) ]
# Not performing sequence or time series analysis - remove timestamp columns
# remove raw timestamp part 1, raw timestamp part 2, cvtd timestamp, X, user name, num window
df obs <- df obs[ , -grep("^(raw timestamp part 1|raw timestamp part 2|cvtd timestamp|X|user name)",</pre>
names(df obs)) ]
df_tst <- df_tst[ , -grep("^(raw_timestamp_part_1|raw_timestamp_part_2|cvtd_timestamp|X|user_name)",</pre>
names(df tst)) ]
# Scale data set down to a runnable size
InTrain <- createDataPartition(y=df obs$classe, p=0.25, list=FALSE)</pre>
training <- df obs[InTrain,]</pre>
validating <- df_obs[-InTrain,]</pre>
dim(training)
```

```
## [1] 4907 54
```

Model Build

```
## Random Forest
##
## 4907 samples
##
     53 predictor
      5 classes: 'A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E'
##
##
## No pre-processing
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (5 fold)
##
## Summary of sample sizes: 3924, 3926, 3926, 3925, 3927
##
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
     mtry Accuracy Kappa
                             Accuracy SD
                                          Kappa SD
##
     2
           0.9694
                     0.9613 0.007548
                                          0.009556
##
     27
           0.9729
                     0.9657 0.006797
                                          0.008594
                     0.9590 0.002650
     52
           0.9676
                                          0.003359
##
##
## Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.
## The final value used for the model was mtry = 27.
```

```
print(rfModFit$finalModel)
```

```
##
## Call:
   randomForest(x = x, y = y, mtry = param$mtry, importance = TRUE,
                                                                            proximity = TRUE, allowPara
llel = TRUE, verbose = TRUE)
##
                  Type of random forest: classification
##
                        Number of trees: 500
## No. of variables tried at each split: 27
##
           OOB estimate of error rate: 2.2%
##
## Confusion matrix:
        Α
            В
                C
                    D
                        E class.error
##
## A 1380
            9
                3
                    1
                        2
                              0.01075
## B
       22 916 11
                    0
                        1
                              0.03579
        0 16 833
                              0.02687
## C
                    6
                        1
## D
            1 11 789
                        2
                              0.01866
        1
## E
            5
                6 10 881
                              0.02328
        a
```

Prediction Results

```
# Prediction output for Test set
# note: All test set outputs were predicted correctly
predModFit <- predict(rfModFit, df_tst)

# Prediction output for Validation set
predModFit <- predict(rfModFit, validating)

# Confusion Matrix
table( predModFit, validating$classe )</pre>
```

```
##
## predModFit
                     C
                                Ε
                   В
                            D
                 74
##
          A 4146
                       0
                            0
                                0
          В
            30 2740
##
                      31
                            8
                                3
          C 5
##
                  27 2509
                          69
                                3
##
          D
            3
                   3
                      26 2332
                               19
          Ε
                   3
                            3 2680
##
                       0
```

Validation accuracy = 0.9791

```
# variable importance
varImp(rfModFit)
```

```
## rf variable importance
##
     variables are sorted by maximum importance across the classes
##
     only 20 most important variables shown (out of 52)
##
##
                                               Ε
                         Α
                              В
                                   C
                                        D
##
## roll belt
                     72.19 84.3 75.9 70.9 100.00
## pitch_belt
                     26.10 87.6 52.4 42.2
## magnet_dumbbell_y 66.38 60.5 78.5 60.0
## pitch_forearm
                     57.20 67.8 78.1 52.2
                                          57.65
## magnet_dumbbell_z 69.87 48.2 68.2 47.4
                                          46.11
## yaw_belt
                     63.19 49.3 58.2 58.3 46.33
## accel_forearm_x
                     21.76 35.6 36.3 50.6 39.05
## roll_forearm
                     49.99 37.9 43.9 30.0 32.16
## yaw_arm
                     40.95 17.0 23.6 25.2 14.60
## accel dumbbell y 31.99 28.4 38.9 26.2 29.20
                    27.84 19.5 36.4 21.1 14.11
## gyros dumbbell y
## roll_dumbbell
                     25.99 33.5 28.7 33.5 33.02
## gyros_belt_z
                     20.72 23.1 28.1 24.7
                                           30.78
## magnet belt z
                     17.30 28.3 19.4 30.4
                                          23.56
## accel dumbbell z 30.00 30.2 20.0 27.4 27.81
## yaw_dumbbell
                     12.98 28.2 22.2 17.5
## magnet belt x
                     12.03 26.7 27.8 16.5
## magnet_dumbbell_x 25.14 22.9 25.8 27.4
## magnet_belt_y
                     17.01 27.2 26.7 23.8 23.97
## gyros forearm y
                      7.96 26.4 13.9 12.4
                                            5.89
```