

FIT1008 - Intro to Computer Science
Assessed Prac 1 - Marking Rubric

Semester 1, 2018

CRITERIA	POOR	SATISFACTORY	GOOD
Task 1 - 10 marks			
Program quality and documentation	Low quality solution which could include no documentation or arising from a poorly written python code. (0 marks)	Acceptable level of documentation, and mostly faithful to a well-written and understandable Python code. (1 mark)	Well documented, faithful code arising from a clear and readable Python source. (2 marks)
Student understanding	No evidence for understanding the solution. (0 marks)	Small gaps in understanding the solution, student is capable of answering most questions about the code. (2.5 mark)	The student clearly articulates the logic behind the solution and can explain and tweak the approach undertaken. (5 marks)
Correctness	Does not produce the correct input for any given year. (0 marks)	Produces the correct output for some years. (1.5 marks)	Always produces the correct output. (3 marks)

Task 2 - 30 marks			
Program quality and documentation	Low quality solution which could include no documentation or arising from a poorly written python code. (0 marks)	Acceptable level of documentation, and mostly faithful to a well-written and understandable Python code. (3 marks)	Well documented, faithful code arising from a clear and readable Python source. (6 marks)
Student understanding	No evidence for understanding the solution. (0 marks)	Small gaps in understanding the solution, student is capable of answering most questions about the code. (7.5 marks)	The student clearly articulates the logic behind the solution and can explain and tweak the approach undertaken. (15 marks)
Correctness	Does not produce the correct range of any given lists. (0 marks)	Calculates the range for some inputs, however produces sometimes incorrect outputs (e.g., on negative values). (4.5 marks)	Correctly calculates the range for any given list. (9 marks)

Task 3 - 20 marks			
Program quality including documentation	Low quality solution which could include no documentatio or arising from a poorly written python code. (0 marks)	Acceptable level of documentation, and mostly faithful to a well-written and understandable Python code. (2 marks)	Well documented, faithful code arising from a clear and readable Python source. (4 marks)
Student understanding	No evidence for understanding the solution. (0 marks)	Small gaps in understanding the solution, student is capable of answering most questions about the code. (5 marks)	The student clearly articulates the logic behind the solution and can explain and tweak the approach undertaken. (10 marks)
Correctness	Does not calculate the correct number of temperatures over the given target. (0 marks)	Calculates the correct number in some cases (3 marks)	Calculates temperautes over target temperaute for a variety of inputs. (6 marks)

Task 4 - 20 marks			
Program quality and documentation	Low quality solution which could include no documentation or arising from a poorly written python code. (0 marks)	Acceptable level of documentation, and mostly faithful to a well-written and understandable Python code. (2 marks)	Well documented, faithful code arising from a clear and readable Python source. (4 marks)
Student understanding	No evidence for understanding the solution. (0 marks)	Small gaps in understanding the solution, student is capable of answering most questions about the code. (5 marks)	The student clearly articulates the logic behind the solution and can explain and tweak the approach undertaken. (10 marks)
Correctness	The solution does not use the frequency function. (0 marks)	The solution attempts to use a frequency function but fails to follow the function calling/returning convention. (3 marks)	Displays the correct frequencies and makes correct use of the frequency function. (6 marks)

Task 5 - 20 marks			
Program quality and documentation	Low quality solution which could include no documentation or arising from a poorly written python code. (0 marks)	Acceptable level of documentation, and mostly faithful to a well-written and understandable Python code. (2 marks)	Well documented, faithful code arising from a clear and readable Python source. (4 marks)
Student understanding	No evidence for understanding the solution. (0 marks)	Small gaps in understanding the solution, student is capable of answering most questions about the code. (5 marks)	The student clearly articulates the logic behind the solution and can explain and tweak the approach undertaken. (10 marks)
Correctness	Does not calculate the leap year correctly. (0 marks)	Doesn't use correct function calling convention or doesn't work for some test cases. (3 marks)	Calculates the correct leap year using correct function calling convention. (6 marks)