ComS573 Lab4 Q1

April 15, 2020

ComS 573

Lab 4

Kanak Choudhury

1 Problem 1

```
[15]: import numpy as np
      import pandas as pd
      import sklearn.preprocessing
      import matplotlib
      import keras
      import re
      import sys
      import gc
      import time
      print('python ' +sys.version)
      print('numpy '+ np.__version__)
      print('pandas '+ pd.__version__)
      print('sklearn '+ sklearn.__version__)
      print('matplotlib '+ matplotlib.__version__)
      print('re '+ re.__version__)
      from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
      from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
      from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
      from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier
      from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
      from itertools import product
      def print_out(model, model_name, hyper_prem, x_dt_tr, y_dt_tr, x_dt_ts,_u
       →y_dt_ts):
          print("For "+model_name+" hyper-parameters:\n",hyper_prem)
          scores = model.score(x_dt_ts, y_dt_ts)
          print("\n Test Accuracy: %.2f%%" % (scores*100))
```

```
A = model.predict(x_dt_tr)
         cm = confusion_matrix(y_dt_tr, A)
         print("\n Train confusion matrix: \n", cm)
         acc_train = np.diagonal(cm)/cm.sum(axis=1)
         print("\n Class Accuracy for Training Data is:")
         for i in range(2):
             print('Class %d: %.2f%%' %(i, acc_train[i]*100))
         A = model.predict(x_dt_ts)
         cm = confusion matrix(y dt ts, A)
         print("\n Test confusion matrix: \n", cm)
         acc_test = np.diagonal(cm)/cm.sum(axis=1)
         print("\n Class Accuracy for Testing Data is:")
         for i in range(2):
             print('Class %d: %.2f%%' %(i, acc_test[i]*100))
         python 3.6.9 | Anaconda, Inc. | (default, Jul 30 2019, 14:00:49) [MSC v.1915 64
     bit (AMD64)]
     numpy 1.16.5
     pandas 0.25.1
     sklearn 0.21.3
     matplotlib 3.1.1
     re 2.2.1
[16]: path = 'D:/ISU/COMS 573 - Machine Learning/HW/Lab4/'
     df_train = pd.read_csv(path + 'lab4-train.csv', sep=',', header=0)
     df_test = pd.read_csv(path + 'lab4-test.csv', sep=',', header=0)
     tr size = df train.shape
     ts_size = df_test.shape
     x_train = np.array(df_train[['R','F','M','T']])
     y_train = np.array(df_train['Class'])
     x_test = np.array(df_test[['R','F','M','T']])
     y_test = np.array(df_test['Class'])
     x_t=12 = x_t=12
     y_train12 = y_train
     x_test12 = x_test
     y_{test12} = y_{test}
```

1.1 Random Forest

```
[17]: n_estimators=[50, 100, 150, 200]
      criterion=['gini', 'entropy']
      \max_{depth} = [1, 2, 3, 4]
      min_samples_split=[5, 7, 10, 12]
      min_samples_leaf=[1, 2, 3]
      def expand_grid(dictionary):
         return pd.DataFrame([row for row in product(*dictionary.values())],
                              columns=dictionary.keys())
      dictionary = {'n_estimators': n_estimators,
                    'criterion': criterion,
                   'max_depth': max_depth,
                   'min samples split': min samples split,
                   'min_samples_leaf': min_samples_leaf}
      prem1 = expand_grid(dictionary)
      size_prem = prem1.shape[0]
      prem = prem1
      prem['train_acc'] = np.NaN
      prem['test_acc'] = np.NaN
      11 = 0
      best_fit = None
      best_ts_acc = 0
      for i in range(prem.shape[0]):
          ts_acc1 = 0
          rf=RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=prem.iloc[i,0], criterion=prem.
       \rightarrowiloc[i,1],
                                     max_depth=prem.iloc[i,2],
                                     min_samples_split=prem.iloc[i,3],_
       →min_samples_leaf=prem.iloc[i,4],
                                     max_features='auto', bootstrap=True)
          model_rf = rf.fit(x_train, y_train)
          ts_acc1 = model_rf.score(x_test, y_test)*100
          if (ts_acc1 > best_ts_acc):
              best_ts_acc = ts_acc1
              best_fit = model_rf
          prem.loc[i,5:7] = [model_rf.score(x_train, y_train)*100, model_rf.
       ⇒score(x_test, y_test)*100]
          11 = 11+1
          sys.stdout.write("\r Progress: %.2f%%" %round(float(l1)/size_prem*100,2))
          sys.stdout.flush()
```

Progress: 100.00%

```
[18]: top10_mse = prem.nlargest(10, 'test_acc')
      print('\n Best 10 hyper-parameter combination for Random Forest:\n', ___
      →round(top10_mse, 4))
      print_out(model = best_fit, model_name = 'Random Forest',
                hyper_prem = top10_mse.iloc[0,:], x_dt_tr = x_train,
                y_dt_tr = y_train, x_dt_ts = x_test, y_dt_ts = y_test)
      Best 10 hyper-parameter combination for Random Forest:
           n_estimators criterion max_depth min_samples_split min_samples_leaf \
     335
                   200
                                                             12
                             gini
                         entropy
                                                              10
                                                                                 3
     380
                   200
                                           4
                                                              5
                                                                                 3
     134
                   100
                            gini
                                           4
                                                              5
                                                                                 3
     230
                   150
                            gini
     329
                   200
                                           4
                                                              7
                                                                                 3
                            gini
     36
                                           4
                                                              5
                    50
                             gini
                                                                                 1
     85
                    50
                                           4
                                                              5
                                                                                 2
                         entropy
     90
                    50
                                           4
                                                             10
                                                                                 1
                         entropy
                                           4
                                                                                 3
     92
                    50
                         entropy
                                                             10
     95
                                           4
                                                             12
                                                                                 3
                    50
                         entropy
          train_acc test_acc
            79.6421
                     84.3854
     335
            80.3132
                     84.3854
     380
     134
            79.8658
                     84.0532
     230
            80.0895
                     84.0532
     329
            80.0895
                     84.0532
     36
            79.4183
                     83.7209
     85
            80.3132
                      83.7209
     90
            79.6421
                     83.7209
     92
            79.8658
                      83.7209
     95
            79.1946
                      83.7209
     For Random Forest hyper-parameters:
      n_{estimators}
                                200
     criterion
                             gini
     max depth
     min_samples_split
                                12
     min_samples_leaf
                          79.6421
     train_acc
     test acc
                          84.3854
     Name: 335, dtype: object
      Test Accuracy: 84.39%
```

Train confusion matrix:

[[313 19]

1.2 AdaBoost

```
[19]: n_estimators=[50, 100, 150, 200]
      learning_rate=np.logspace(-5,0,30,base=10)
      dictionary = {'n_estimators': n_estimators,
                     'learning_rate': learning_rate}
      prem1 = expand_grid(dictionary)
      size_prem = prem1.shape[0]
      prem = prem1
      prem['train_acc'] = np.NaN
      prem['test_acc'] = np.NaN
      11 = 0
      best_ts_acc = 0
      best_fit = None
      best_ts_acc = 0
      for i in range(prem.shape[0]):
          ts_acc1 = 0
          adb=AdaBoostClassifier(n_estimators=prem.iloc[i,0], learning_rate=prem.
       \rightarrowiloc[i,1],
                                  algorithm='SAMME.R')
          model_adb = adb.fit(x_train, y_train)
          ts_acc1 = model_adb.score(x_test, y_test)*100
          if (ts_acc1 > best_ts_acc):
              best_ts_acc = ts_acc1
              best_fit = model_adb
```

```
prem.loc[i,2:4] = [model_adb.score(x_train, y_train)*100, model_adb.

score(x_test, y_test)*100]

11 = 11+1

sys.stdout.write("\r Progress: %.2f%%" %round(float(11)/size_prem*100,2))

sys.stdout.flush()
```

Progress: 100.00%

Best 10 hyper-parameter combination for AdaBoost:

	${\tt n_estimators}$	<pre>learning_rate</pre>	${\tt train_acc}$	test_acc
113	200	0.0924	79.1946	82.3920
84	150	0.1374	79.6421	82.0598
55	100	0.2043	79.4183	81.7276
85	150	0.2043	79.8658	81.7276
26	50	0.3039	79.4183	81.3953
54	100	0.1374	78.9709	81.3953
56	100	0.3039	79.6421	81.3953
83	150	0.0924	78.9709	81.3953
27	50	0.4520	79.1946	81.0631
28	50	0.6723	80.5369	81.0631

For AdaBoostn hyper-parameters:

Test Accuracy: 82.39%

Train confusion matrix:

[[316 16] [77 38]]

Class Accuracy for Training Data is:

Class 0: 95.18% Class 1: 33.04%

Test confusion matrix:

```
[[229 9]
[ 44 19]]
```

Class Accuracy for Testing Data is:

Class 0: 96.22% Class 1: 30.16%

1.3 Comment:

Based on test accuracy, Random Forest (RF) model has highest (about 84.5%) accuracy than AdaBoost model (about 82.5%). Both models class 0 accuracy are about 96%. However, for class 1, RF has about 40% accuracy compare to AdaBoost (30%). That indicates that, for this data set AdaBoost model have higher bias for the mejority calss than the RM model.

[]: