

GARCH 101: The Use of ARCH/GARCH Models in Applied Econometrics

Robert Engle

The great workhorse of applied econometrics is the least squares model. This is a natural choice, because applied econometricians are typically called upon to determine how much one variable will change in response to a change in some other variable. Increasingly however, econometricians are being asked to forecast and analyze the size of the errors of the model. In this case, the questions are about volatility, and the standard tools have become the ARCH/GARCH models.

The basic version of the least squares model assumes that the expected value of all error terms, when squared, is the same at any given point. This assumption is called homoskedasticity, and it is this assumption that is the focus of ARCH/GARCH models. Data in which the variances of the error terms are not equal, in which the error terms may reasonably be expected to be larger for some points or ranges of the data than for others, are said to suffer from heteroskedasticity. The standard warning is that in the presence of heteroskedasticity, the regression coefficients for an ordinary least squares regression are still unbiased, but the standard errors and confidence intervals estimated by conventional procedures will be too narrow, giving a false sense of precision. Instead of considering this as a problem to be corrected, ARCH and GARCH models treat heteroskedasticity as a variance to be modeled. As a result, not only are the deficiencies of least squares corrected, but a prediction is computed for the variance of each error term. This prediction turns out often to be of interest, particularly in applications in finance.

The warnings about heteroskedasticity have usually been applied only to cross-section models, not to time series models. For example, if one looked at the

■ *Robert Engle is the Michael Armellino Professor of Finance, Stern School of Business, New York University, New York, New York, and Chancellor's Associates Professor of Economics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California.*

cross-section relationship between income and consumption in household data, one might expect to find that the consumption of low-income households is more closely tied to income than that of high-income households, because the dollars of savings or deficit by poor households are likely to be much smaller in absolute value than high income households. In a cross-section regression of household consumption on income, the error terms seem likely to be systematically larger in absolute value for high-income than for low-income households, and the assumption of homoskedasticity seems implausible. In contrast, if one looked at an aggregate time series consumption function, comparing national income to consumption, it seems more plausible to assume that the variance of the error terms doesn't change much over time.


A recent development in estimation of standard errors, known as “robust standard errors,” has also reduced the concern over heteroskedasticity. If the sample size is large, then robust standard errors give quite a good estimate of standard errors even with heteroskedasticity. If the sample is small, the need for a heteroskedasticity correction that does not affect the coefficients, and only asymptotically corrects the standard errors, can be debated.

However, sometimes the natural question facing the applied econometrician is the accuracy of the predictions of the model. In this case, the key issue is the variance of the error terms and what makes them large. This question often arises in financial applications where the dependent variable is the return on an asset or portfolio and the variance of the return represents the risk level of those returns. These are time series applications, but it is nonetheless likely that heteroskedasticity is an issue. Even a cursory look at financial data suggests that some time periods are riskier than others; that is, the expected value of the magnitude of error terms at some times is greater than at others. Moreover, these risky times are not scattered randomly across quarterly or annual data. Instead, there is a degree of autocorrelation in the riskiness of financial returns. Financial analysts, looking at plots of daily returns such as in Figure 1, notice that the amplitude of the returns varies over time and describe this as “volatility clustering.” The ARCH and GARCH models, which stand for autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity and *generalized* autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity, are designed to deal with just this set of issues. They have become widespread tools for dealing with time series heteroskedastic models. The goal of such models is to provide a volatility measure—like a standard deviation—that can be used in financial decisions concerning risk analysis, portfolio selection and derivative pricing.

ARCH/GARCH Models

Because this paper will focus on financial applications, we will use financial notation. Let the dependent variable be labeled r_t , which could be the return on an asset or portfolio. The mean value m and the variance h will be defined relative to a past information set. Then, the return r in the present will be equal to the mean

Figure 1
Nasdaq, Dow Jones and Bond Returns



value of r (that is, the expected value of r based on past information) plus the standard deviation of r (that is, the square root of the variance) times the error term for the present period.

The econometric challenge is to specify how the information is used to forecast the mean and variance of the return, conditional on the past information. While many specifications have been considered for the mean return and have been used in efforts to forecast future returns, virtually no methods were available for the variance before the introduction of ARCH models. The primary descriptive tool was the rolling standard deviation. This is the standard deviation calculated using a fixed number of the most recent observations. For example, this could be calculated every day using the most recent month (22 business days) of data. It is convenient to think of this formulation as the first ARCH model; it assumes that the variance of tomorrow's return is an equally weighted average of the squared residuals from the last 22 days. The assumption of equal weights seems unattractive, as one would think that the more recent events would be more relevant and therefore should have higher weights. Furthermore the assumption of zero weights for observations more than one month old is also unattractive. The ARCH model proposed by Engle (1982) let these weights be parameters to be estimated. Thus, the model allowed the data to determine the best weights to use in forecasting the variance.

A useful generalization of this model is the GARCH parameterization introduced by Bollerslev (1986). This model is also a weighted average of past squared residuals, but it has declining weights that never go completely to zero. It gives parsimonious models that are easy to estimate and, even in its simplest form, has proven surprisingly successful in predicting conditional variances. The most widely used GARCH specification asserts that the best predictor of the variance in the next period is a weighted average of the long-run average variance, the variance

predicted for this period, and the new information in this period that is captured by the most recent squared residual. Such an updating rule is a simple description of adaptive or learning behavior and can be thought of as Bayesian updating.

Consider the trader who knows that the long-run average daily standard deviation of the Standard and Poor's 500 is 1 percent, that the forecast he made yesterday was 2 percent and the unexpected return observed today is 3 percent. Obviously, this is a high volatility period, and today is especially volatile, which suggests that the forecast for tomorrow could be even higher. However, the fact that the long-term average is only 1 percent might lead the forecaster to lower the forecast. The best strategy depends upon the dependence between days. If these three numbers are each squared and weighted equally, then the new forecast would be $2.16 = \sqrt{(1 + 4 + 9)}/3$. However, rather than weighting these equally, it is generally found for daily data that weights such as those in the empirical example of (.02, .9, .08) are much more accurate. Hence the forecast is $2.08 = \sqrt{.02*1 + .9*4 + .08*9}$.

To be precise, we can use h_t to define the variance of the residuals of a regression $r_t = m_t + \sqrt{h_t}\varepsilon_t$. In this definition, the variance of ε is one. The GARCH model for variance looks like this:

$$h_{t+1} = \omega + \alpha(r_t - m_t)^2 + \beta h_t = \omega + \alpha h_t \varepsilon_t^2 + \beta h_t.$$

The econometrician must estimate the constants ω , α , β ; updating simply requires knowing the previous forecast h and residual. The weights are $(1 - \alpha - \beta, \beta, \alpha)$, and the long-run average variance is $\sqrt{\omega/(1 - \alpha - \beta)}$. It should be noted that this only works if $\alpha + \beta < 1$, and it only really makes sense if the weights are positive, requiring $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\omega > 0$.

The GARCH model that has been described is typically called the GARCH(1,1) model. The (1,1) in parentheses is a standard notation in which the first number refers to how many autoregressive lags, or ARCH terms, appear in the equation, while the second number refers to how many moving average lags are specified, which here is often called the number of GARCH terms. Sometimes models with more than one lag are needed to find good variance forecasts.

Although this model is directly set up to forecast for just one period, it turns out that based on the one-period forecast, a two-period forecast can be made. Ultimately, by repeating this step, long-horizon forecasts can be constructed. For the GARCH(1,1), the two-step forecast is a little closer to the long-run average variance than is the one-step forecast, and, ultimately, the distant-horizon forecast is the same for all time periods as long as $\alpha + \beta < 1$. This is just the unconditional variance. Thus, the GARCH models are mean reverting and conditionally heteroskedastic, but have a constant unconditional variance.

I turn now to the question of how the econometrician can possibly estimate an equation like the GARCH(1,1) when the only variable on which there are data is r_t . The simple answer is to use maximum likelihood by substituting h_t for σ^2 in the normal likelihood and then maximizing with respect to the parameters. An even

simpler answer is to use software such as EViews, SAS, GAUSS, TSP, Matlab, RATS and many others where there exist already packaged programs to do this.

But the process is not really mysterious. For any set of parameters ω , α , β and a starting estimate for the variance of the first observation, which is often taken to be the observed variance of the residuals, it is easy to calculate the variance forecast for the second observation. The GARCH updating formula takes the weighted average of the unconditional variance, the squared residual for the first observation and the starting variance and estimates the variance of the second observation. This is input into the forecast of the third variance, and so forth. Eventually, an entire time series of variance forecasts is constructed. Ideally, this series is large when the residuals are large and small when they are small. The likelihood function provides a systematic way to adjust the parameters ω , α , β to give the best fit.

Of course, it is entirely possible that the true variance process is different from the one specified by the econometrician. In order to detect this, a variety of diagnostic tests are available. The simplest is to construct the series of $\{\varepsilon_t^2\}$, which are supposed to have constant mean and variance if the model is correctly specified. Various tests such as tests for autocorrelation in the squares are able to detect model failures. Often a “Ljung box test” with 15 lagged autocorrelations is used.

A Value-at-Risk Example

Applications of the ARCH/GARCH approach are widespread in situations where the volatility of returns is a central issue. Many banks and other financial institutions use the concept of “value at risk” as a way to measure the risks faced by their portfolios. The 1 percent value at risk is defined as the number of dollars that one can be 99 percent certain exceeds any losses for the next day. Statisticians call this a 1 percent quantile, because 1 percent of the outcomes are worse and 99 percent are better. Let’s use the GARCH(1,1) tools to estimate the 1 percent value at risk of a \$1,000,000 portfolio on March 23, 2000. This portfolio consists of 50 percent Nasdaq, 30 percent Dow Jones and 20 percent long bonds. The long bond is a ten-year constant maturity Treasury bond.¹ This date is chosen to be just before the big market slide at the end of March and April. It is a time of high volatility and great anxiety.

First, we construct the hypothetical historical portfolio. (All calculations in this example were done with the EViews software program.) Figure 1 shows the pattern of returns of the Nasdaq, Dow Jones, bonds and the composite portfolio leading up to the terminal date. Each of these series appears to show the signs of ARCH effects in that the amplitude of the returns varies over time. In the case of the equities, it is clear that this has increased substantially in the latter part of the sample period. Visually, Nasdaq is even more extreme. In Table 1, we present some illustrative

¹ The portfolio has constant proportions of wealth in each asset that would entail some rebalancing over time.

Table 1

Portfolio Data

	<i>NASDAQ</i>	<i>Dow Jones</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Portfolio</i>
Mean	0.0009	0.0005	0.0001	0.0007
Std. Dev.	0.0115	0.0090	0.0073	0.0083
Skewness	-0.5310	-0.3593	-0.2031	-0.4738
Kurtosis	7.4936	8.3288	4.9579	7.0026

Sample: March 23, 1990 to March 23, 2000.

statistics for each of these three investments separately and for the portfolio as a whole in the final column. From the daily standard deviation, we see that the Nasdaq is the most volatile and interest rates the least volatile of the assets. The portfolio is less volatile than either of the equity series even though it is 80 percent equity—yet another illustration of the benefits of diversification. All the assets show evidence of fat tails, since the kurtosis exceeds 3, which is the normal value, and evidence of negative skewness, which means that the left tail is particularly extreme.

The portfolio shows substantial evidence of ARCH effects as judged by the autocorrelations of the squared residuals in Table 2. The first order autocorrelation is .210, and they gradually decline to .083 after 15 lags. These autocorrelations are not large, but they are very significant. They are also all positive, which is uncommon in most economic time series and yet is an implication of the GARCH(1,1) model. Standard software allows a test of the hypothesis that there is no autocorrelation (and hence no ARCH). The test *p*-values shown in the last column are all zero to four places, resoundingly rejecting the “no ARCH” hypothesis.

Then we forecast the standard deviation of the portfolio and its 1 percent quantile. We carry out this calculation over several different time frames: the entire ten years of the sample up to March 23, 2000; the year before March 23, 2000; and from January 1, 2000, to March 23, 2000.

Consider first the quantiles of the historical portfolio at these three different time horizons. To do this calculation, one simply sorts the returns and finds the 1 percent worst case. Over the full ten-year sample, the 1 percent quantile times \$1,000,000 produces a value at risk of \$22,477. Over the last year, the calculation produces a value at risk of \$24,653—somewhat higher, but not enormously so. However, if the 1 percent quantile is calculated based on the data from January 1, 2000, to March 23, 2000, the value at risk is \$35,159. Thus, the level of risk apparently has increased dramatically over the last quarter of the sample. Each of these numbers is the appropriate value at risk if the next day is equally likely to be the same as the days in the given sample period. This assumption is more likely to be true for the shorter period than for the long one.

The basic GARCH(1,1) results are given in Table 3. Under this table it lists the dependent variable, PORT, and the sample period, indicates that it took the algorithm 16 iterations to maximize the likelihood function and computed stan-

Table 2
Autocorrelations of Squared Portfolio Returns

	AC	Q-Stat	Prob
1	0.210	115.07	0.000
2	0.183	202.64	0.000
3	0.116	237.59	0.000
4	0.082	255.13	0.000
5	0.122	294.11	0.000
6	0.163	363.85	0.000
7	0.090	384.95	0.000
8	0.099	410.77	0.000
9	0.081	427.88	0.000
10	0.081	445.03	0.000
11	0.069	457.68	0.000
12	0.080	474.29	0.000
13	0.076	489.42	0.000
14	0.074	503.99	0.000
15	0.083	521.98	0.000

Sample: March 23, 1990 to March 23, 2000.

Table 3
GARCH(1,1)

Variable	Variance Equation		Z-Stat	P-Value
	Coef	St. Err		
C	1.40E-06	4.48E-07	3.1210	0.0018
ARCH(1)	0.0772	0.0179	4.3046	0.0000
GARCH(1)	0.9046	0.0196	46.1474	0.0000

Notes: Dependent Variable: PORT.

Sample (adjusted): March 23, 1990 to March 23, 2000.

Convergence achieved after 16 iterations.

Bollerslev-Wooldridge robust standard errors and covariance.

dard errors using the robust method of Bollerslev-Wooldridge. The three coefficients in the variance equation are listed as C, the intercept; ARCH(1), the first lag of the squared return; and GARCH(1), the first lag of the conditional variance. Notice that the coefficients sum up to a number less than one, which is required to have a mean reverting variance process. Since the sum is very close to one, this process only mean reverts slowly. Standard errors, Z-statistics (which are the ratio of coefficients and standard errors) and *p*-values complete the table.

The standardized residuals are examined for autocorrelation in Table 4. Clearly, the autocorrelation is dramatically reduced from that observed in the portfolio returns themselves. Applying the same test for autocorrelation, we now

Table 4
Autocorrelations of Squared Standardized Residuals

	<i>AC</i>	<i>Q-Stat</i>	<i>Prob</i>
1	0.005	0.0589	0.808
2	0.039	4.0240	0.134
3	-0.011	4.3367	0.227
4	-0.017	5.0981	0.277
5	0.002	5.1046	0.403
6	0.009	5.3228	0.503
7	-0.015	5.8836	0.553
8	-0.013	6.3272	0.611
9	-0.024	7.8169	0.553
10	-0.006	7.9043	0.638
11	-0.023	9.3163	0.593
12	-0.013	9.7897	0.634
13	-0.003	9.8110	0.709
14	0.009	10.038	0.759
15	-0.012	10.444	0.791

find the p -values are about 0.5 or more, indicating that we can accept the hypothesis of “no residual ARCH.”

The forecast standard deviation for the next day is 0.0146, which is almost double the average standard deviation of 0.0083 presented in the last column of Table 1. If the residuals were normally distributed, then this would be multiplied by 2.327, because 1 percent of a normal random variable lies 2.327 standard deviations below the mean. The estimated normal value at risk = \$33,977. As it turns out, the standardized residuals, which are the estimated values of $\{\varepsilon_t\}$, are not very close to a normal distribution. They have a 1 percent quantile of 2.844, which reflects the fat tails of the asset price distribution. Based on the actual distribution, the estimated 1 percent value at risk is \$39,996. Notice how much this value at risk has risen to reflect the increased risk in 2000.

Finally, the value at risk can be computed based solely on estimation of the quantile of the forecast distribution. This has recently been proposed by Engle and Manganelli (2001), adapting the quantile regression methods of Koenker and Basset (1978) and Koenker and Hallock in this symposium. Application of their method to this data set delivers a value at risk = \$38,228.

What actually did happen on March 24, 2000, and subsequently? The portfolio lost more than \$1000 on March 24 and more than \$3000 on March 27. The biggest hit was \$67,000 on April 14. We all know that Nasdaq declined substantially over the next year. The Dow Jones average was much less affected, and bond prices increased as the Federal Reserve lowered interest rates. Figure 2 plots the value at risk estimated each day using this methodology within the sample period and the losses that occurred the next day. There are about 1 percent of times the value at risk is exceeded, as is expected, since this is in-sample. Figure 3 plots the same graph for the next year and a quarter, during

Figure 2



Value at Risk and Portfolio Losses In-Sample

Figure 3

Value at Risk and Portfolio Losses Out of Sample

which the equity market tanks and the bond yields fall. The parameters are not reestimated, but the formula is simply updated each day. The computed value at risk rises substantially from the \$40,000 initial figure as the volatility rises in April 2000. Then the losses decline, so that the value at risk is well above the realized losses. Toward the end of the period, the losses approach the value at risk again, but at a lower level. In this year and a quarter, the value at risk is exceeded only once; thus, this is actually a slightly conservative estimate of the risk. It is not easy to determine whether a particular value-at-risk number is correct, although statistical tests can be formulated for this in the same way they are formulated for volatilities. For example, Engle and Manganelli (2001) present a “dynamic quantile test.”

Extensions and Modifications of GARCH

The GARCH(1,1) is the simplest and most robust of the family of volatility models. However, the model can be extended and modified in many ways. I will briefly mention three modifications, although the number of volatility models that can be found in the literature is now quite extraordinary.

The GARCH(1,1) model can be generalized to a GARCH(p, q) model—that is, a model with additional lag terms. Such higher-order models are often useful when a long span of data is used, like several decades of daily data or a year of hourly data. With additional lags, such models allow both fast and slow decay of information. A particular specification of the GARCH(2,2) by Engle and Lee (1999), sometimes called the “component model,” is a useful starting point to this approach.

ARCH/GARCH models thus far have ignored information on the direction of returns; only the magnitude matters. However, there is very convincing evidence that the direction does affect volatility. Particularly for broad-based equity indices and bond market indices, it appears that market declines forecast higher volatility than comparable market increases do. There is now a variety of asymmetric GARCH models, including the EGARCH model of Nelson (1991), the TARCH model—threshold ARCH—attributed to Rabemananjara and Zakoian (1993) and Glosten, Jaganathan and Runkle (1993), and a collection and comparison by Engle and Ng (1993).

The goal of volatility analysis must ultimately be to explain the causes of volatility. While time series structure is valuable for forecasting, it does not satisfy our need to explain volatility. The estimation strategy introduced for ARCH/GARCH models can be directly applied if there are predetermined or exogenous variables. Thus, we can think of the estimation problem for the variance just as we do for the mean. We can carry out specification searches and hypothesis tests to find the best formulation. Thus far, attempts to find the ultimate cause of volatility are not very satisfactory. Obviously, volatility is a response to news, which must be a surprise. However, the timing of the news may not be a surprise and gives rise to predictable components of volatility, such as economic announcements. It is also possible to see how the amplitude of news events is influenced by other news events. For example, the amplitude of return movements on the United States stock market may respond to the volatility observed earlier in the day in Asian markets as well as to the volatility observed in the United States on the previous day. Engle, Ito and Lin (1990) call these “heat wave” and “meteor shower” effects.

A similar issue arises when examining several assets in the same market. Does the volatility of one influence the volatility of another? In particular, the volatility of an individual stock is clearly influenced by the volatility of the market as a whole. This is a natural implication of the capital asset pricing model. It also appears that there is time variation in idiosyncratic volatility (for example, Engle, Ng and Rothschild, 1992).

This discussion opens the door to multivariate modeling where not only the volatilities but also the correlations are to be investigated. There are now a large number of multivariate ARCH models to choose from. These turn out often to be difficult to estimate and to have large numbers of parameters. Research is continuing to examine new classes of multivariate models that are more convenient for fitting large covariance matrices. This is relevant for systems of equations such as vector autoregressions and for portfolio problems where possibly thousands of assets are to be analyzed.

Conclusion

ARCH and GARCH models have been applied to a wide range of time series analyses, but applications in finance have been particularly successful and have been the focus of this introduction. Financial decisions are generally based upon the tradeoff between risk and return; the econometric analysis of risk is therefore an integral part of asset pricing, portfolio optimization, option pricing and risk management. This paper has presented an example of risk measurement that could be the input to a variety of economic decisions. The analysis of ARCH and GARCH models and their many extensions provides a statistical stage on which many theories of asset pricing and portfolio analysis can be exhibited and tested.

References

- Bollerslev, Tim.** 1986. "Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity." *Journal of Econometrics*. April, 31:3, pp. 307–27.
- Bollerslev, Tim and Jeffrey M. Wooldridge.** 1992. "Quasi-Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference in Dynamic Models with Time-Varying Covariances." *Econometric Reviews*. 11:2, pp. 143–72.
- Engle, Robert F.** 1982. "Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of United Kingdom Inflation." *Econometrica*. 50:4, pp. 987–1007.
- Engle, Robert and Gary G. J. Lee.** 1999. "A Permanent and Transitory Component Model of Stock Return Volatility," in *Cointegration, Causality, and Forecasting: A Festschrift in Honour of Clive W. J. Granger*. Robert F. Engle and Halbert White, eds. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 475–97.
- Engle, Robert F. and Simone Manganelli.** 1999. "CAViaR: Conditional Autoregressive Value at Risk by Regression Quantiles." Department of Economics, University of California, San Diego, Working Paper 99–20.
- Engle, Robert F. and Simone Manganelli.** 2001. "CAViaR: Conditional Autoregressive Value at Risk by Regression Quantiles." Manuscript, University of California, San Diego. Revision of NBER Working Paper No. W7341 (1999).
- Engle, Robert F. and Joseph Mezrich.** 1996. "GARCH for Groups." *RISK*. 9:8, pp. 36–40.
- Engle, Robert F. and Victor Ng.** 1993. "Measuring and Testing the Impact of News on

Volatility." *Journal of Finance*. December, 48:5, pp. 1749–78.

Engle, Robert, Takatoshi Ito and Wen-Ling Lin. 1990. "Meteor Showers or Heat Waves? Heteroskedastic Intra-Daily Volatility in the Foreign Exchange Market." *Econometrica*. May, 58:3, pp. 525–42.

Engle, Robert, Victor Ng and M. Rothschild. 1992. "A Multi-Dynamic Factor Model for Stock Returns." *Journal of Econometrics*. April/May, 52: 1–2, pp. 245–66.

Glosten, Lawrence R., Ravi Jagannathan and David E. Runkle. 1993. "On the Relation Be-

tween the Expected Value and the Volatility of the Nominal Excess Returns on Stocks." *Journal of Finance*. 48:5, pp. 1779–801.

Koenker, Roger and Gilbert Bassett. 1978. "Regression Quantiles." *Econometrica*. January, 46:1, pp. 33–50.

Nelson, Daniel B. 1991. "Conditional Heteroscedasticity in Asset Returns: A New Approach." *Econometrica*. 59:2, pp. 347–70.

Rabemananjara, R. and J. M. Zakoian. 1993. "Threshold Arch Models and Asymmetries in Volatility." *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. January/March, 8:1, pp. 31–49.

This article has been cited by:

1. Marcelo Scherer Perlin, Mauro Mastella, Daniel Francisco Vancin, Henrique Pinto Ramos. 2021. A GARCH Tutorial with R. *Revista de Administração Contemporânea* **25**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
2. S Ravi Kumar Raju, Avadhani Peri. 2020. The Rupee Odyssey. *Sādhana* **45**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
3. Jack L. Follis, Dejian Lai. 2020. Modeling Volatility Characteristics of Epileptic EEGs using GARCH Models. *Signals* **1**:1, 26-46. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Sergey Bredikhin. 2020. Approaches to disruptive change: The contribution of complexity science to futures studies. *Futures* **124**, 102624. [[Crossref](#)]
5. Nuria Alemany, Vicent Aragó, Enrique Salvador. 2020. The distribution of index futures realised volatility under seasonality and microstructure noise. *Economic Modelling* **93**, 398-414. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Cristi Spulbar, Jatin Trivedi, Ramona Birau. 2020. INVESTIGATING ABNORMAL VOLATILITY TRANSMISSION PATTERNS BETWEEN EMERGING AND DEVELOPED STOCK MARKETS: A CASE STUDY. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* **21**:6, 1561-1592. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Rama K. Malladi, Prakash L. Dheeriy. 2020. Time series analysis of Cryptocurrency returns and volatilities. *Journal of Economics and Finance* **2018**. . [[Crossref](#)]
8. Roshani W. Divisekara, Ruwan D. Nawarathna, Lakshika S. Nawarathna. 2020. Forecasting of Global Market Prices of Major Financial Instruments. *Journal of Probability and Statistics* **2020**, 1-11. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Shital Jhunjhunwala, Sandra Suresh. 2020. Commodity and Stock Market Interlinkages: Opportunities and Challenges for Investors in Indian Market. *Global Business Review* **42**, 097215092094641. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Kshitij Sharma, Evangelos Niforatos, Michail Giannakos, Vassilis Kostakos. 2020. Assessing Cognitive Performance Using Physiological and Facial Features. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* **4**:3, 1-41. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Yuying Sun, Kenan Qiao, Shouyang Wang. 2020. Uncertainty shocks of Trump election in an interval model of stock market. *Quantitative Finance* **35**, 1-15. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Susan Jia Xu, Joseph Y. J. Chow. 2020. A longitudinal study of bike infrastructure impact on bikesharing system performance in New York City. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation* **14**:11, 886-902. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Javier Sánchez García, Salvador Cruz Rambaud. 2020. A GARCH approach to model short-term interest rates: Evidence from Spanish economy. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **49**. . [[Crossref](#)]
14. Kevin Luo, Shuairu Tian. 2020. The “Black Thursday” effect in Chinese stock market. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance* **27**, 100367. [[Crossref](#)]
15. Imlak Shaikh. 2020. DOES POLICY UNCERTAINTY AFFECT EQUITY, COMMODITY, INTEREST RATES, AND CURRENCY MARKETS? EVIDENCE FROM CBOE’S VOLATILITY INDEX. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* **21**:5, 1350-1374. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Dimitrios Kartsonakis-Mademlis, Nikolaos Dritsakakis. 2020. Asymmetric volatility spillovers between world oil prices and stock markets of the G7 countries in the presence of structural breaks. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **11**. . [[Crossref](#)]
17. Michael Graham, Jussi Nikkinen, Jarkko Peltomäki. 2020. Web-Based Investor Fear Gauge and Stock Market Volatility: An Emerging Market Perspective. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance* **19**:2, 127-153. [[Crossref](#)]

18. Omid Sabbaghi. 2020. The impact of news on the volatility of ESG firms. *Global Finance Journal* 100570. [[Crossref](#)]
19. P Hendikawati, Subanar, Abdurakhman, Tarno. 2020. A survey of time series forecasting from stochastic method to soft computing. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **1613**, 012019. [[Crossref](#)]
20. Riccardo Marcaccioli, Giacomo Livan. 2020. Correspondence between temporal correlations in time series, inverse problems, and the spherical model. *Physical Review E* **102**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
21. Myrthe van Dieijen, Abhishek Borah, Gerard J. Tellis, Philip Hans Franses. 2020. Big Data Analysis of Volatility Spillovers of Brands across Social Media and Stock Markets. *Industrial Marketing Management* **88**, 465–484. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Omid Beyraghdar Kashkooli, Reza Modarres. 2020. Is the volatility and non-stationarity of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) changing?. *Global and Planetary Change* **189**, 103160. [[Crossref](#)]
23. Neema Davis, Gaurav Raina, Krishna Jagannathan. 2020. A framework for end-to-end deep learning-based anomaly detection in transportation networks. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives* **5**, 100112. [[Crossref](#)]
24. Petr Spodniak, Valentin Bertsch. 2020. Is flexible and dispatchable generation capacity rewarded in electricity futures markets? A multinational impact analysis. *Energy* **196**, 117050. [[Crossref](#)]
25. Dan Li, Adam Clements, Christopher Drovandi. 2020. Efficient Bayesian estimation for GARCH-type models via Sequential Monte Carlo. *Econometrics and Statistics* . [[Crossref](#)]
26. Bengü Yardımcı, Sabri Erdem. 2020. The day of the week effects in stock markets of countries with predominantly Muslim populations. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management* **13**:2, 195–218. [[Crossref](#)]
27. Aram Balagyozyan, Christos Giannikos, Barry K. Ma. 2020. Power and thick tails: an ARCH process example with extreme value as test statistic. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation* **49**:2, 556–564. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Muhamad Abduh. 2020. Volatility of Malaysian conventional and Islamic indices: does financial crisis matter?. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research* **11**:1, 1–11. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Shan Li, Muhammad Abubakar Tahir, Qurat Ul Ain, Tahir Yousaf. Modelling Short Term Interest Rate Volatility with Time Series Model A Case of Pakistani Financial Markets 496–506. [[Crossref](#)]
30. Walid A. Mohammed. Challenges of Stock Prediction 234–252. [[Crossref](#)]
31. Niel Oberholzer, Chalté Venter. Volatility Modelling and Trading Volume of the CARS Equity Indices 333–354. [[Crossref](#)]
32. Betchani Tchereni, Songezo Mpini. 2020. Monetary policy shocks and stock market volatility in emerging markets. *Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets and Institutions* **10**:3, 50–61. [[Crossref](#)]
33. Rajendra N. Paramanik, Vatsal Singhal. 2020. Sentiment Analysis of Indian Stock Market Volatility. *Procedia Computer Science* **176**, 330–338. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Tim Alexander Kroencke. 2020. On Robust Inference for Consumption-based Asset Pricing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
35. Scott Deacle, Elyas Elyasiani. 2019. Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and Bank and Thrift Holding Company Risk: Evidence from the Stock Market. *Real Estate Economics* **47**:4, 1013–1054. [[Crossref](#)]
36. Xiong Xiong, Yongqiang Meng, Xiao Li, Dehua Shen. 2019. An empirical analysis of the Adaptive Market Hypothesis with calendar effects:Evidence from China. *Finance Research Letters* **31**. . [[Crossref](#)]
37. Jihoon Jung, Christopher K. Uejio, Chris Duclos, Melissa Jordan. 2019. Using web data to improve surveillance for heat sensitive health outcomes. *Environmental Health* **18**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]

38. José A.F. Machado, J.M.C. Santos Silva. 2019. Quantiles via moments. *Journal of Econometrics* **213**:1, 145-173. [[Crossref](#)]
39. Roy Endré Dahl, Atle Oglend, Muhammad Yahya. 2019. Dynamics of volatility spillover in commodity markets: Linking crude oil to agriculture. *Journal of Commodity Markets* 100111. [[Crossref](#)]
40. Wenzhao Wang. 2019. Institutional investor sentiment, beta, and stock returns. *Finance Research Letters* 101374. [[Crossref](#)]
41. Trevor K. Karn, Steven Petrone, Christopher Griffin. 2019. Modeling a recurrent, hidden dynamical system using energy minimization and kernel density estimates. *Physical Review E* **100**:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
42. Han Li, Qihe Tang. 2019. ANALYZING MORTALITY BOND INDEXES VIA HIERARCHICAL FORECAST RECONCILIATION. *ASTIN Bulletin* **49**:3, 823-846. [[Crossref](#)]
43. Yang Hou, Steven Li, Fenghua Wen. 2019. Time-varying volatility spillover between Chinese fuel oil and stock index futures markets based on a DCC-GARCH model with a semi-nonparametric approach. *Energy Economics* **83**, 119-143. [[Crossref](#)]
44. Jinan Liu, Apostolos Serletis. 2019. Volatility in the Cryptocurrency Market. *Open Economies Review* **30**:4, 779-811. [[Crossref](#)]
45. Gabriel A. Caceres, Eric D. Feigelson, G. Jogesh Babu, Natalia Bahamonde, Alejandra Christen, Karine Bertin, Cristian Meza, Michel Curé. 2019. Autoregressive Planet Search: Methodology. *The Astronomical Journal* **158**:2, 57. [[Crossref](#)]
46. N. Alemany, V. Aragó, E. Salvador. 2019. The influence of intraday seasonality on volatility transmission pattern. *Quantitative Finance* **19**:7, 1179-1197. [[Crossref](#)]
47. Xuan Zhang, Xun Liang, Aakas Zhiyuli, Shusen Zhang, Rui Xu, Bo Wu. 2019. AT-LSTM: An Attention-based LSTM Model for Financial Time Series Prediction. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* **569**, 052037. [[Crossref](#)]
48. Nektarios A. Michail. 2019. EXAMINING THE STABILITY OF OKUN'S COEFFICIENT. *Bulletin of Economic Research* **71**:3, 240-256. [[Crossref](#)]
49. Daniel W. Richards, Gizelle D. Willows. 2019. Monday mornings: Individual investor trading on days of the week and times within a day. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance* **22**, 105-115. [[Crossref](#)]
50. Muhammad Naeem Shahid, Abdul Sattar, Faisal Aftab, Ali Saeed, Aamir Abbas. 2019. Month of Ramadan effect swings and market becomes adaptive. *Journal of Islamic Marketing* **11**:3, 661-685. [[Crossref](#)]
51. . References 467-484. [[Crossref](#)]
52. Ikerne del Valle, Kepa Astorkiza. 2019. Bioeconomic diversity dynamics of a marine ecosystem. *Applied Economics* **51**:14, 1495-1513. [[Crossref](#)]
53. Marçal Mora-Cantalops, Salvador Sánchez-Alonso, Anna Visvizi. 2019. The influence of external political events on social networks: the case of the Brexit Twitter Network. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing* **19**. . [[Crossref](#)]
54. Willy Alanya, Gabriel Rodríguez. 2019. Asymmetries in Volatility: An Empirical Study for the Peruvian Stock and Forex Markets. *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies* **22**:01, 1950003. [[Crossref](#)]
55. Nathaniel Gbenro, Richard Moussa. 2019. Asymmetric Mean Reversion in Low Liquid Markets: Evidence from BRVM. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* **12**:1, 38. [[Crossref](#)]
56. Imlak Shaikh. 2019. On the Relationship between Economic Policy Uncertainty and the Implied Volatility Index. *Sustainability* **11**:6, 1628. [[Crossref](#)]

57. Juliane Proelss, Denis Schweizer, Volker Seiler. 2019. The economic importance of rare earth elements volatility forecasts. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 101316. [[Crossref](#)]
58. Fernando Gaona Montiel, Armando Reyes Robles, Eduardo Ramírez Cedillo. 2019. Mercados, volatilidad y gestión de futuros en México: el empleo del método ARCH y GARCH. *Contaduría y Administración* 65:1, 150. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Kshitij Sharma, Zacharoula Papamitsiou, Michail N. Giannakos. Modelling Learners' Behaviour: A Novel Approach Using GARCH with Multimodal Data 450-465. [[Crossref](#)]
60. Onise Sharia. 2019. Perturbative Solution of GARCH(1,1) model within the Many-Body Theory. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
61. Mauro Bernardi, Michele Costola. 2019. High-Dimensional Sparse Financial Networks Through a Regularised Regression Model. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
62. Samuel Asante Gyamerah. 2019. Modelling the volatility of Bitcoin returns using GARCH models. *Quantitative Finance and Economics* 3:4, 739-753. [[Crossref](#)]
63. Tahmina Akhter, Othman Yong, David McMillan. 2019. Adaptive market hypothesis and momentum effect: Evidence from Dhaka Stock Exchange. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 7:1, 1650441. [[Crossref](#)]
64. Jan Szczygielski, Lean Brummer, Hendrik Wolmarans. 2019. Underspecification of the Empirical Return-Factor Model and a Factor Analytic Augmentation as a Solution to Factor Omission. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
65. Marcin Chlebus. 2018. EWS-GARCH: New Regime Switching Approach to Forecast Value-at-Risk. *Central European Economic Journal* 3:50, 01-25. [[Crossref](#)]
66. Wenzhao Wang. 2018. Investor sentiment and the mean-variance relationship: European evidence. *Research in International Business and Finance* 46, 227-239. [[Crossref](#)]
67. Willy Alanya, Gabriel Rodríguez. 2018. Stochastic Volatility in the Peruvian Stock Market and Exchange Rate Returns: A Bayesian Approximation. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance* 17:3, 354-385. [[Crossref](#)]
68. Naseem Al Rahahleh, Robert Kao. 2018. Forecasting Volatility: Evidence from the Saudi Stock Market. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 11:4, 84. [[Crossref](#)]
69. . Bibliography 825-873. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Safia Raslain, Fella Hachouf, Soumia Kharfouchi. 2018. Using a generalised method of moment approach and 2D-generalised autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity modelling for denoising ultrasound images. *IET Image Processing* 12:11, 2011-2022. [[Crossref](#)]
71. Werner Kristjanpoller, Marcel C. Minutolo. 2018. A hybrid volatility forecasting framework integrating GARCH, artificial neural network, technical analysis and principal components analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications* 109, 1-11. [[Crossref](#)]
72. Sudhir Madaree. 2018. Factor structure of South African financial stocks. *South African Journal of Economic and management Sciences* 21:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
73. Martin Ewen. 2018. Where is the Risk Reward? The Impact of Volatility-Based Fund Classification on Performance. *Risks* 6:3, 80. [[Crossref](#)]
74. Reza Arabi Belaghi, Minoo Aminnejad, Özlem Gürünlü Alma. 2018. Stock Market Prediction Using Nonparametric Fuzzy and Parametric GARCH Methods. *Turkish Journal of Forecasting* 02:1, 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
75. J. Kweka Godfrey. 2018. Welfare effect of eliminating commodity price volatility: Evidence from Tanzania coffee farmers. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 13:35, 1837-1851. [[Crossref](#)]
76. Lorna Katusiime, Frank W. Agbola. 2018. Modelling the impact of central bank intervention on exchange rate volatility under inflation targeting. *Applied Economics* 50:40, 4373-4386. [[Crossref](#)]

77. Satish Kumar. 2018. On the disappearance of calendar anomalies: have the currency markets become efficient?. *Studies in Economics and Finance* 35:3, 441-456. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Rana Imroze Palwasha, Nawaz Ahmad, Rizwan Raheem Ahmed, Jolita Vveinhardt, Dalia Štreimikienė. 2018. SPEED OF MEAN REVERSION: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF KSE, LSE AND ISE INDICES. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy* 24:4, 1435-1452. [[Crossref](#)]
79. Wenzhao Wang. 2018. The mean–variance relation and the role of institutional investor sentiment. *Economics Letters* 168, 61-64. [[Crossref](#)]
80. Nik Ahmad Sufian Burhan, Razli Che Razak, Saifuzzaman Ibrahim, Muhamad Rosli Selamat, Muhamad Ridhwan Rosli. 2018. Social Classes of Intelligence, Economic Growth and Technological Achievement: Robust Regression and Outlier Detection. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics* 30:2, 148-163. [[Crossref](#)]
81. Carlos A. Tapia Cortez, Michael Hitch, Claude Sammut, Jeff Coulton, Robert Shishko, Serkan Saydam. 2018. Determining the embedding parameters governing long-term dynamics of copper prices. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 111, 186-197. [[Crossref](#)]
82. Song Shi, Qian Sun, Xin Zhang. 2018. Do IPOs Affect Market Price? Evidence from China. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 53:3, 1391-1416. [[Crossref](#)]
83. Rizwan Raheem AHMED, Jolita VVEINHARDT, Dalia ŠTREIMIKIENĖ, Saghir Pervaiz GHOURI. 2018. STOCK RETURNS, VOLATILITY AND MEAN REVERSION IN EMERGING AND DEVELOPED FINANCIAL MARKETS. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy* 24:3, 1149-1177. [[Crossref](#)]
84. Singgih Wijayana, Sidney J. Gray. 2018. Capital market consequences of cultural influences on earnings: The case of cross-listed firms in the U.S. stock market. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 57, 134-147. [[Crossref](#)]
85. Rui Huo, Abdullahi D. Ahmed. 2018. Relationships between Chinese stock market and its index futures market: Evaluating the impact of QFII scheme. *Research in International Business and Finance* 44, 135-152. [[Crossref](#)]
86. Veysel KULA, Ender BAYKUT. 2018. BİST ŞEHİR ENDEKSLERİNİN VOLATİLİTE YAPILARI VE REJİM DEĞİŞİMLERİNİN ANALİZİ. *Muhasebe ve Finans İncelemeleri Dergisi* 1:1, 38-59. [[Crossref](#)]
87. Müge ÇETİNER, Ahmet Mete ÇİLİNGİRTÜRK, Emre ZEHİR. 2018. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATE AND STOCK PRICE INDICES: EVIDENCE FROM EMERGING COUNTRIES. *Finans Ekonomi ve Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi* . [[Crossref](#)]
88. Jan J. Szczygielski, Zack Enslin, Elda Du Toit. 2018. An investigation into the changing relationship between the gold price and South African gold mining industry returns. *South African Journal of Business Management* 49:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
89. Raed Alzghool, Loai M. Al-Zubi. 2018. Semi-parametric estimation for ARCH models. *Alexandria Engineering Journal* 57:1, 367-373. [[Crossref](#)]
90. C.A. Tapia Cortez, S. Saydam, J. Coulton, C. Sammut. 2018. Alternative techniques for forecasting mineral commodity prices. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology* 28:2, 309-322. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Muhammad Umair Siddiqui, Azfar Abbas, Syed Muhammad AbdurRehman, Akif Jawed, Muhammad Rafi. Comparison of garch model and artificial neural network for mutual fund's growth prediction 1-7. [[Crossref](#)]
92. Asmerilda Hitaj, Cesario Mateus, Ilaria Peri. 2018. Lambda Value at Risk and Regulatory Capital: A Dynamic Approach to Tail Risk. *Risks* 6:1, 17. [[Crossref](#)]

93. Richard A. Ajayi, Seyed Mehdi, Ovidiu Stoica. 2018. An Empirical Examination of the Dissemination of Equity Price Innovations Between the Emerging Markets of Nordic-Baltic States and Major Advanced Markets. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 54:3, 642-660. [[Crossref](#)]
94. Doğu Ektik. 2018. FUTBOL TAKIMLARININ SAHA İÇERİSİNDEKİ BAŞARILARININ HİSSE SENEDİ GETİRİLERİNE ETKİSİ. *Öneri Dergisi* . [[Crossref](#)]
95. Rasha S. Aboul-Yazeed, Ahmed El-Bialy, Abdalla S. A. Mohamed. Medical Equipment Failure Rate Analysis Using Supervised Machine Learning 319-327. [[Crossref](#)]
96. Om Prakash Verma, Eshwar Agarwal, Cherry Agrawal, Avanti Gupta. Performance Analysis of Different Models to Find Value at Risk in the Indian Market Using a Bi-Portfolio Allocation 539-551. [[Crossref](#)]
97. Siti Nor Nadrah Muhamad, Izleen Ibrahim, Nordianah Jusoh@Hussain, Siti Hannariah Mansor, Wan Juliyana Wan Ibrahim. Modeling Relationship Between Cocoa Beans Commodity Export Volatility and Stock Market Index (KLCI) 649-657. [[Crossref](#)]
98. Hossein Safari, Esmail Abounoori. The impact of exchange rate uncertainty on pistachio export demand from Iran using panel GARCH model 200007. [[Crossref](#)]
99. Rizwan Raheem Ahmed, Jolita Vveinhardt, Dalia Streimikiene, Zahid Ali Channar. 2018. Mean reversion in international markets: evidence from G.A.R.C.H. and half-life volatility models. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* 31:1, 1198-1217. [[Crossref](#)]
100. ## #. 2018. Using Difference Method to Improve the Prediction Accuracy—An Empirical Study Based on China Shanghai and Shenzhen 300 Stock Index. *Finance* 08:01, 1-13. [[Crossref](#)]
101. Razvan Stefanescu, Ramona Dumitriu. 2018. Introducere în analiza anomalilor calendaristice, Partea întâi (An Introduction to the Analysis of the Calendar Anomalies, Part 1). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
102. Michael P Carniol. 2018. Redundant Information and Predictable Intraday Returns. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
103. John Taskinsoy. 2018. Bitcoin Mania: An End to the US Dollar's Hegemony or another Cryptocurrency Experiment Destined to Fail?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
104. Trino-Manuel Níguez, Javier Perote. 2017. Moments expansion densities for quantifying financial risk. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 42, 53-69. [[Crossref](#)]
105. Sébastien Casault, Aard J. Groen, Jonathan D. Linton. 2017. Towards a better understanding of the dynamics of value creation in R&D intensive small firms. *R&D Management* 47:5, E1-E12. [[Crossref](#)]
106. George P Malanson. 2017. Mixed signals in trends of variance in high-elevation tree ring chronologies. *Journal of Mountain Science* 14:10, 1961-1968. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Safia Raslain, Fella Hachouf, Soumia Kharfouchi. Using 2D ARMA-GARCH for ultrasound images denoising 2672-2676. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Michael J. O'Neill, Zhangxin Liu. 2017. Fund Volatility Index using equity market state prices. *Accounting & Finance* 57:3, 837-853. [[Crossref](#)]
109. Hubert Gabrisch. 2017. Monetary policy independence reconsidered: evidence from six non-euro members of the European Union. *Empirica* 44:3, 567-584. [[Crossref](#)]
110. Esengül BACIK, Mustafa ÖZER, Serpil ALTINIRMAK. 2017. Terörün Volatilitiye Etkisi: Türkiye BIST 100 Endeksinde Bir Uygulama. *Optimum Ekonomi ve Yönetim Bilimleri Dergisi* 4:2, 55-75. [[Crossref](#)]
111. Yaqi Zhang, Lei Guo. Convergence of self-tuning regulators under conditional heteroscedastic noises 422-426. [[Crossref](#)]

112. Osman Tahidu DAMBA, Abdulbaki BİLGİÇ, Adem AKSOY. 2017. Dünya Ham Petrol ve Seçilmiş Gıda Ürünlerin Arasındaki Fiyat Oynaklığın Tahmini: Bir BEKK-GARCH Yaklaşımı. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi* **48**:1, 41-41. [[Crossref](#)]
113. Yedidya Rabinovitz. 2017. A new S.D.E. and instantaneous mean reversion rate formula (presented via a numerical empirical model comparison). *International Journal of Financial Engineering* **04**:02n03, 1750029. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Ayman M.A. Omar, Tomasz Piotr Wisniewski, Sandra Nolte. 2017. Diversifying away the risk of war and cross-border political crisis. *Energy Economics* **64**, 494-510. [[Crossref](#)]
115. Cheng Yan, Huazhu Zhang. 2017. Mean-variance versus naïve diversification: The role of mispricing. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* **48**, 61-81. [[Crossref](#)]
116. Brenda González-Hermosillo, Christian Johnson. 2017. Transmission of financial stress in Europe: The pivotal role of Italy and Spain, but not Greece. *Journal of Economics and Business* **90**, 49-64. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Silvia Dal Bianco, Nguyen Loan. 2017. FDI Inflows, Price and Exchange Rate Volatility: New Empirical Evidence from Latin America. *International Journal of Financial Studies* **5**:1, 6. [[Crossref](#)]
118. Jun Sik KIM, Da-Hea KIM, Sung Won SEO. 2017. INDIVIDUAL MEAN-VARIANCE RELATION AND STOCK-LEVEL INVESTOR SENTIMENT. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* **18**:1, 20-34. [[Crossref](#)]
119. Rui Huo, Abdullahi D. Ahmed. 2017. Return and volatility spillovers effects: Evaluating the impact of Shanghai-Hong Kong Stock Connect. *Economic Modelling* **61**, 260-272. [[Crossref](#)]
120. Marcel Ausloos, Olgica Nedic, Aleksandar Dekanski, Maciej J. Mrowinski, Piotr Fronczak, Agata Fronczak. 2017. Day of the week effect in paper submission/acceptance/rejection to/in/by peer review journals. II. An ARCH econometric-like modeling. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **468**, 462-474. [[Crossref](#)]
121. Shuang Xiao, Guo Li, Yunjing Jia. 2017. Estimating the Constant Elasticity of Variance Model with Data-Driven Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Operational Research* **34**:01, 1740009. [[Crossref](#)]
122. Małgorzata Wiśniewska, Agnieszka Wyłomańska. GARCH Process with GED Distribution 83-103. [[Crossref](#)]
123. David E. Allen, Michael McAleer, Robert J. Powell, Abhay K. Singh. 2017. Volatility Spillovers from Australia's major trading partners across the GFC. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **47**, 159-175. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Satish Kumar. 2017. New evidence on stock market reaction to dividend announcements in India. *Research in International Business and Finance* **39**, 327-337. [[Crossref](#)]
125. HHlya nll, Merve Karacaer Ulusoy. 2017. Why ARCH/GARCH Are Used in Finance? An Application of Sport Related Stocks in Turkey. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
126. Song Shi, Qian Sun, Xin Zhang. 2017. Do IPOs Affect the Market Price? Evidence from China. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
127. Ahmed Abdou. 2017. Accounting for Volatility Decay in Time Series Models for Leveraged Exchange Traded Funds. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
128. John L. Moran, Patricia J. Solomon. 2017. Volatility in High-Frequency Intensive Care Mortality Time Series: Application of Univariate and Multivariate GARCH Models. *Open Journal of Applied Sciences* **07**:08, 385-411. [[Crossref](#)]
129. Celil AYDIN, Fatma GÜNDOĞDU ODABAŞIOĞLU. 2016. Makroekonomik Belirsizlik ve Risk Altında Yatırım Kararları: Türkiye Örneği. *Anemon Muş Alparslan Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 45-67. [[Crossref](#)]

130. Luis Pedraza, Cesar Hernandez, Ingrid Paez, Jorge Ortiz, E. Rodriguez-Colina. 2016. Linear Algorithms for Radioelectric Spectrum Forecast. *Algorithms* 9:4, 82. [[Crossref](#)]
131. Nawaz AHMAD, Rizwan RAHEEM AHMED, Jolita VVEINHARDT, Dalia STREIMIKIENE. 2016. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF STOCK RETURNS AND VOLATILITY: EVIDENCE FROM ASIAN STOCK MARKETS. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy* 22:6, 808-829. [[Crossref](#)]
132. Brian Walkup. 2016. The impact of uncertainty on payout policy. *Managerial Finance* 42:11, 1054-1072. [[Crossref](#)]
133. Shailesh Rana, G. Michael Phillips. 2016. Are U.S. growth and value stocks similarly integrated with the world markets? A test across business cycles. *Applied Economics* 48:53, 5168-5185. [[Crossref](#)]
134. William Fallon, James Park. 2016. An Asset Class Characterization of the U.S. Equity Index Volatility Risk Premium. *The Journal of Portfolio Management* 43:1, 72-84. [[Crossref](#)]
135. Kae-Yih Tzeng, Joseph Chang Pying Shieh. 2016. The transmission from equity markets to commodity markets in crises periods. *Applied Economics* 48:48, 4666-4689. [[Crossref](#)]
136. Christos Floros, Enrique Salvador. 2016. Volatility, trading volume and open interest in futures markets. *International Journal of Managerial Finance* 12:5, 629-653. [[Crossref](#)]
137. Marcos Álvarez-Díaz, Rangan Gupta. 2016. Forecasting US consumer price index: does nonlinearity matter?. *Applied Economics* 48:46, 4462-4475. [[Crossref](#)]
138. J. Dominique Gumirakiza. 2016. Longitudinal Poisson Assessment of Factors Influencing Farmers' Market Counts. *Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing* 28:4, 394-406. [[Crossref](#)]
139. Rodrigo A. de Marcos, Javier Reneses, Antonio Bello. Long-term Spanish electricity market price forecasting with cointegration and VEC models 1-7. [[Crossref](#)]
140. S. R. Khuntia, J. L. Rueda, M. A. M. M. van der Meijden. Volatility in electrical load forecasting for long-term horizon — An ARIMA-GARCH approach 1-6. [[Crossref](#)]
141. Marcin Chlebus. 2016. Can Lognormal, Weibull or Gamma Distributions Improve the EWS-GARCH Value-at-Risk Forecasts?. *Przegląd Statystyczny* 63:3, 329-350. [[Crossref](#)]
142. Yonghan Jung, Heeyoung Kim. 2016. Detection of Atrial Fibrillation Using Markov Regime Switching Models of Heart Rate Intervals. *Journal of Korean Institute of Industrial Engineers* 42:4, 290-295. [[Crossref](#)]
143. Paul Windolf. 2016. Riding the Bubble: Financial Market Crises in Twenty-Two OECD Countries. *Journal of Economic Issues* 50:3, 788-813. [[Crossref](#)]
144. Satish Kumar. 2016. Revisiting calendar anomalies: Three decades of multicurrency evidence. *Journal of Economics and Business* 86, 16-32. [[Crossref](#)]
145. Roman Horváth, Boril Šopov. 2016. GARCH models, tail indexes and error distributions: An empirical investigation. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 37, 1-15. [[Crossref](#)]
146. Kun Fang, Rui Xue, Yanbo Zhu. GBAS heavy-tail error overbounding with GARCH model 1-5. [[Crossref](#)]
147. Kun Fang, Rui Xue, Yanbo Zhu. GBAS protection level calculation with GARCH model 622-626. [[Crossref](#)]
148. Eric C. Hall, Rebecca M. Willett. 2016. Tracking Dynamic Point Processes on Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 62:7, 4327-4346. [[Crossref](#)]
149. Zheng Yin, Conall O'Sullivan, Anthony Brabazon. 2016. An Analysis of the Performance of Genetic Programming for Realised Volatility Forecasting. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Research* 6:3, 155-172. [[Crossref](#)]

150. G.P. Girish. 2016. Spot electricity price forecasting in Indian electricity market using autoregressive-GARCH models. *Energy Strategy Reviews* **11-12**, 52-57. [[Crossref](#)]
151. SWARN CHATTERJEE, AMY HUBBLE. 2016. DAY-OF-THE-WEEK EFFECT IN US BIOTECHNOLOGY STOCKS — DO POLICY CHANGES AND ECONOMIC CYCLES MATTER?. *Annals of Financial Economics* **11:02**, 1650008. [[Crossref](#)]
152. Meenakshi Malhotra, Dinesh Kumar Sharma. 2016. Volatility Dynamics in Oil and Oilseeds Spot and Futures Market in India. *Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers* **41:2**, 132-148. [[Crossref](#)]
153. Timmy Elenjical, Patrick Mwangi, Barry Panulo, Chun-Sung Huang. 2016. A comparative cross-regime analysis on the performance of GARCH-based value-at-risk models: Evidence from the Johannesburg stock exchange. *Risk Management* **8**. . [[Crossref](#)]
154. Sanjeev Gupta, Sachin Kashyap. 2016. Modelling volatility and forecasting of exchange rate of British pound sterling and Indian rupee. *Journal of Modelling in Management* **11:2**, 389-404. [[Crossref](#)]
155. Yener Coskun, Hasan Murat Ertugrul. 2016. House price return volatility patterns in Turkey, Istanbul, Ankara and Izmir. *Journal of European Real Estate Research* **9:1**, 26-51. [[Crossref](#)]
156. Tanuj Nandan, Puja Agrawal. 2016. Pricing Efficiency in CNX Nifty Index Options Using the Black-Scholes Model: A Comparative Study of Alternate Volatility Measures. *Margin: The Journal of Applied Economic Research* **10:2**, 281-304. [[Crossref](#)]
157. K.A. Pokhilchuk, S.E. Savel'ev. 2016. On the choice of GARCH parameters for efficient modelling of real stock price dynamics. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **448**, 248-253. [[Crossref](#)]
158. Genevieve Begy, Vishal Talwar. 2016. The Economic Worth of Product Placement in Prime-time Television Shows. *International Journal of Market Research* **58:2**, 253-275. [[Crossref](#)]
159. Bradley T. Ewing, Farooq Malik. 2016. Volatility spillovers between oil prices and the stock market under structural breaks. *Global Finance Journal* **29**, 12-23. [[Crossref](#)]
160. Amel Boulemnadjel, Fella Hachouf, Soumia Kharfouchi. 2016. GMM Estimation of 2D-RCA Models With Applications to Texture Image Classification. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* **25:2**, 528-539. [[Crossref](#)]
161. Ayodele Abraham Agboluaje, Suzilah Bt Ismail, Chee Yin Yip. 2016. Modeling the Asymmetric in Conditional Variance. *Asian Journal of Scientific Research* **9:2**, 39-44. [[Crossref](#)]
162. Amer Ait Sidhoum, Teresa Serra. 2016. Volatility Spillovers in the Spanish Food Marketing Chain: The Case of Tomato. *Agribusiness* **32:1**, 45-63. [[Crossref](#)]
163. Melike Bildirici, Özgür Ersin. 2016. Markov Switching Artificial Neural Networks for Modelling and Forecasting Volatility: An Application to Gold Market. *Procedia Economics and Finance* **38**, 106-121. [[Crossref](#)]
164. Koyin Chang, Yoonbai Kim, Marc Tomljanovich, Frank Ying. 2016. Do Political Parties Foster Business Cycles? An Examination of Developed Economies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
165. Asmerilda Hitaj, Cesario Mateus, Ilaria Peri. 2016. Lambda Value at Risk and Regulatory Capital: A Dynamic Approach to Tail Risk. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
166. Hai Yen Pham, Richard Chung, Eduardo Roca, Ben-Hsien Bao. 2016. Do investors value firm efficiency improvement? Evidence from the Australian context. *Corporate Ownership and Control* **13:3**, 293-308. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Geeta Duppati, Mengying Zhu. 2016. Oil prices changes and volatility in sector stock returns: Evidence from Australia, New Zealand, China, Germany and Norway. *Corporate Ownership and Control* **13:2**, 351-370. [[Crossref](#)]
168. Michael Techie Quaicoe, Frank B K Twenefour, Emmanuel M Baah, Ezekiel N N Nortey. 2015. Modeling variations in the cedi/dollar exchange rate in Ghana: an autoregressive conditional heteroscedastic (ARCH) models. *SpringerPlus* **4:1**. . [[Crossref](#)]

169. Alejandro Bernales, Massimo Guidolin. 2015. Learning to smile: Can rational learning explain predictable dynamics in the implied volatility surface?. *Journal of Financial Markets* **26**, 1-37. [[Crossref](#)]
170. Ricardo A. López, Huong D. Nguyen. 2015. Real Exchange Rate Volatility and Imports of Intermediate Inputs: A Microeconometric Analysis of Manufacturing Plants. *Review of International Economics* **23**:5, 972-995. [[Crossref](#)]
171. Jonathon Adams-Kane, Yueqing Jia, Jamus Jerome Lim. 2015. Global transmission channels for international bank lending in the 2007–09 financial crisis. *Journal of International Money and Finance* **56**, 97-113. [[Crossref](#)]
172. Amélie Charles, Olivier Darné, Adrian Pop. 2015. Risk and ethical investment: Empirical evidence from Dow Jones Islamic indexes. *Research in International Business and Finance* **35**, 33-56. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Torsten Schmidt, Lina Zwick. 2015. Uncertainty and episodes of extreme capital flows in the Euro Area. *Economic Modelling* **48**, 343-356. [[Crossref](#)]
174. Teresa Serra. 2015. Price volatility in Niger millet markets. *Agricultural Economics* **46**:4, 489-502. [[Crossref](#)]
175. Benjamin R. Auer. 2015. Superstitious seasonality in precious metals markets? Evidence from GARCH models with time-varying skewness and kurtosis. *Applied Economics* **47**:27, 2844-2859. [[Crossref](#)]
176. Kostas Andriosopoulos, Nikos Nomikos. 2015. Risk management in the energy markets and Value-at-Risk modelling: a hybrid approach. *The European Journal of Finance* **21**:7, 548-574. [[Crossref](#)]
177. Yan-Ling Zheng, Li-Ping Zhang, Xue-Liang Zhang, Kai Wang, Yu-Jian Zheng. 2015. Forecast Model Analysis for the Morbidity of Tuberculosis in Xinjiang, China. *PLOS ONE* **10**:3, e0116832. [[Crossref](#)]
178. MEHMET HUSEYIN BILGIN, GIRAY GOZGOR, GOKHAN KARABULUT. 2015. THE IMPACT OF WORLD ENERGY PRICE VOLATILITY ON AGGREGATE ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN DEVELOPING ASIAN ECONOMIES. *The Singapore Economic Review* **60**:01, 1550009. [[Crossref](#)]
179. Eduardo Rossi, Filippo Spazzini. GARCH Models for Commodity Markets 687-753. [[Crossref](#)]
180. Silvio John Camilleri. 2015. Do call auctions curtail price volatility? Evidence from the National Stock Exchange of India. *Managerial Finance* **41**:1, 67-79. [[Crossref](#)]
181. Aleksey Kutergin, Vladimir Filimonov. On the Modeling of Financial Time Series 131-151. [[Crossref](#)]
182. Che Liu, Yunfei Zhang, Fang Yang, Wenhuan Zhou, Xin Lv. Fuzzy Time Series Forecasting Algorithm Based on Maximum Interval Value 761-768. [[Crossref](#)]
183. James Morley. Macroeconomics, Nonlinear Time Series in 1-30. [[Crossref](#)]
184. Christophe Chorro, Dominique Guégan, Florian Ielpo. The Time Series Toolbox for Financial Returns 11-66. [[Crossref](#)]
185. David E. Allen, Michael J. McAleer, Abhay K. Singh. Machine News and Volatility 327-344. [[Crossref](#)]
186. Antonio Ruiz-Porras, Brenda Ruiz-Robles. 2015. La hipótesis de eficiencia y la modelación de series bursátiles mexicanas: un análisis multivariado. *Economía Informa* **390**, 28-57. [[Crossref](#)]
187. Albert Wijeweera. Terrorist Activities and Financial Market Performance: Evidence from Sri Lanka 132-148. [[Crossref](#)]
188. Osama Ahmed, Teresa Serra. 2015. Economic analysis of the introduction of agricultural revenue insurance contracts in Spain using statistical copulas. *Agricultural Economics* **46**:1, 69-79. [[Crossref](#)]
189. Gabriel Valenzuela, Arturo Rodríguez. 2015. Interdependencia de Mercados y Transmisión de Volatilidad en Latinoamérica. *Innovar* **25**:55, 157-170. [[Crossref](#)]

190. Peter Chuknyisky, Violeta Kasarova, Nigokhos Krikorov Kanaryan. 2015. Cost of Equity Estimation in REIT Valuation in Emerging Europe: The Case of Bulgaria. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
191. Valeriy Zakamulin. 2015. Dynamic Volatility Weighting in the Presence of Transaction Costs. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
192. Jingzhong Zhang. 2015. Hedge Fund Portfolio Strategy Based on Performance Persistence and Portfolio Theory. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
193. Rizwan Manzoor. 2015. Speed of Mean Reversion: Regional Case Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
194. Javier SSnchez-Verdasco. 2015. Cobertura de Carteras ndice de Renta Variable con Futuros sobre el Ibex 35 (Hedging Equity Stock Index Portfolios with Stock Index Futures on the Ibex 35). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
195. Dina Rofael, Rana Hosni. 2015. Modeling Exchange Rate Dynamics in Egypt: Observed and Unobserved Volatility. *Modern Economy* **06**:01, 65-80. [[Crossref](#)]
196. Thato Julius Mokoma, Ntebogang Dinah Moroke. 2015. Is the South African exchange rate volatile? Application of the arch framework. *Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets and Institutions* **5**:1, 110-122. [[Crossref](#)]
197. Dhanya Alex, Roshna Varghese. 2015. Derivative Trading and Spot Market Volatility: Evidence from Indian Market. *International Journal Of Innovation And Economic Development* **1**:3, 23-34. [[Crossref](#)]
198. Utku Uygur, Oktay Taş. 2014. The impacts of investor sentiment on different economic sectors: Evidence from Istanbul Stock Exchange. *Borsa Istanbul Review* **14**:4, 236-241. [[Crossref](#)]
199. Afees A. Salisu. 2014. Modelling oil price volatility before, during and after the global financial crisis. *OPEC Energy Review* **38**:4, 469-495. [[Crossref](#)]
200. Puja Padhi, Imlak Shaikh. 2014. ON THE RELATIONSHIP OF IMPLIED, REALIZED AND HISTORICAL VOLATILITY: EVIDENCE FROM NSE EQUITY INDEX OPTIONS. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* **15**:5, 915-934. [[Crossref](#)]
201. Martin Burda. Parallel Constrained Hamiltonian Monte Carlo for BEKK Model Comparison 155-179. [[Crossref](#)]
202. Shengping Feng, Lixin Li, Weizhong Ma. Research on the Relationship between Returns and Volume of the Shanghai Composite Index 1016-1024. [[Crossref](#)]
203. Gordon J. Ross. 2014. Sequential change detection in the presence of unknown parameters. *Statistics and Computing* **24**:6, 1017-1030. [[Crossref](#)]
204. Stephanos Papadamou, Thomas Markopoulos. 2014. Investigating Intraday Interdependence Between Gold, Silver and Three Major Currencies: the Euro, British Pound and Japanese Yen. *International Advances in Economic Research* **20**:4, 399-410. [[Crossref](#)]
205. Taeyoon Sung, Danbee Park, Ki Young Park. 2014. Short-Term External Debt and Foreign Exchange Rate Volatility in Emerging Economies: Evidence from the Korea Market. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* **50**:sup6, 138-157. [[Crossref](#)]
206. Andrew Urquhart, Frank McGroarty. 2014. Calendar effects, market conditions and the Adaptive Market Hypothesis: Evidence from long-run U.S. data. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **35**, 154-166. [[Crossref](#)]
207. William R. Parke, George A. Waters. 2014. ON THE EVOLUTIONARY STABILITY OF RATIONAL EXPECTATIONS. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* **18**:7, 1581-1606. [[Crossref](#)]
208. Ayca Sarialioglu Hayali. 2014. The Role of Financial Derivative Instruments in the Emerging Market Financial Crises of the Late 1990s: The Mexican Case. *Mexican Studies/Estudios Mexicanos* **30**:2, 479-521. [[Crossref](#)]

209. P. Huybers, K. A. McKinnon, A. Rhines, M. Tingley. 2014. U.S. Daily Temperatures: The Meaning of Extremes in the Context of Nonnormality. *Journal of Climate* **27**:19, 7368-7384. [[Crossref](#)]
210. Alejandro Bernales, Massimo Guidolin. 2014. Can we forecast the implied volatility surface dynamics of equity options? Predictability and economic value tests. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **46**, 326-342. [[Crossref](#)]
211. Soumia Kharfouchi. 2014. Inference for 2-D GARCH models. *Statistics & Probability Letters* **92**, 99-108. [[Crossref](#)]
212. MARC S. PAOLELLA. 2014. FAST METHODS FOR LARGE-SCALE NON-ELLIPTICAL PORTFOLIO OPTIMIZATION. *Annals of Financial Economics* **09**:02, 1440001. [[Crossref](#)]
213. Benedicto Lukanima, Raymond Swaray. 2014. Market Reforms and Commodity Price Volatility: The Case of East African Coffee Market. *The World Economy* **37**:8, 1152-1185. [[Crossref](#)]
214. Bernardina Algeri. 2014. The influence of biofuels, economic and financial factors on daily returns of commodity futures prices. *Energy Policy* **69**, 227-247. [[Crossref](#)]
215. Adriana S. Cordis, Chris Kirby. 2014. Discrete stochastic autoregressive volatility. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **43**, 160-178. [[Crossref](#)]
216. Amélie Charles, Olivier Darné. 2014. Large shocks in the volatility of the Dow Jones Industrial Average index: 1928–2013. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **43**, 188-199. [[Crossref](#)]
217. James Rude, Yves Surry. 2014. Canadian Hog Supply Response: A Provincial Level Analysis. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie* **62**:2, 149-169. [[Crossref](#)]
218. Utku Uygun, Oktay Taş. 2014. The impacts of investor sentiment on returns and conditional volatility of international stock markets. *Quality & Quantity* **48**:3, 1165-1179. [[Crossref](#)]
219. Show-Lin Chen, Nen-Jing Chen, Rwei-Ju Chuang. 2014. An empirical study on technical analysis: GARCH (1, 1) model. *Journal of Applied Statistics* **41**:4, 785-801. [[Crossref](#)]
220. Werner Kristjanpoller, Anton Fadic, Marcel C. Minutolo. 2014. Volatility forecast using hybrid Neural Network models. *Expert Systems with Applications* **41**:5, 2437-2442. [[Crossref](#)]
221. Yongjie Zhang, Lina Feng, Xi Jin, Dehua Shen, Xiong Xiong, Wei Zhang. 2014. Internet information arrival and volatility of SME PRICE INDEX. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **399**, 70-74. [[Crossref](#)]
222. Valeriy Zakamulin. 2014. Dynamic Asset Allocation Strategies Based on Unexpected Volatility. *The Journal of Alternative Investments* **16**:4, 37-50. [[Crossref](#)]
223. Jing-Xuan Huang, Jui-Chung Hung. Forecasting Stock Market Indices Using RVC-SVR 89-96. [[Crossref](#)]
224. Ergin Erdem, Jing Shi, Ying She. Comparison of Two ARMA-GARCH Approaches for Forecasting the Mean and Volatility of Wind Speed 65-73. [[Crossref](#)]
225. Ulrich Oberndorfer. Oil Prices, Volatility, and Shocks: A Survey 57-70. [[Crossref](#)]
226. Imene Safer Chakroun, Anis Ben Arbia, Slaheddine Hellara. 2014. Asset Pricing Model under Costly Information Evidence from the Tunisian Stock Market. *Procedia Economics and Finance* **13**, 47-57. [[Crossref](#)]
227. Sandro C. Andrade, Gennaro Bernile, Frederick M. Hood. 2014. SOX, corporate transparency, and the cost of debt. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **38**, 145-165. [[Crossref](#)]
228. Yikai Chen, David J. Corr, Pablo L. Durango-Cohen. 2014. Analysis of common-cause and special-cause variation in the deterioration of transportation infrastructure: A field application of statistical process control for structural health monitoring. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* **59**, 96-116. [[Crossref](#)]

229. Maxime Bonelli, Daniel Mantilla-Garcia. 2014. Should a Skeptical Portfolio Insurer Use an Optimal or a Risk-Based Multiplier?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
230. David E. Allen, Michael McAleer, Robert J. Powell, Abhay Kumar-Singh. 2014. Volatility Spillovers from Australia's Major Trading Partners Across the GFC. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
231. Paul H. Windolf. 2014. Riding the Bubble: Financial Market Crises in 22 OECD-Countries. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
232. Kiyoshi KOBAYASHI, Kiyoyuki KAITO, Kodai MATSUOKA, Yasuhito SAKAI. 2014. LONG-TERM DETERIORATION MODELING WITH TIME SERIES DATA. *Journal of Japan Society of Civil Engineers, Ser. F4 (Construction and Management)* **70**:3, 91-108. [[Crossref](#)]
233. Edward Ngailo, Eliab Luvanda, Estomih S. Massawe. 2014. Time Series Modelling with Application to Tanzania Inflation Data. *Journal of Data Analysis and Information Processing* **02**:02, 49-59. [[Crossref](#)]
234. Yi-Kai Su, Chun-Chou Wu. 2014. A New Range-Based Regime-Switching Dynamic Conditional Correlation Model for Minimum-Variance Hedging. *Journal of Mathematical Finance* **04**:03, 207-219. [[Crossref](#)]
235. Brenda González-Hermosillo, Christian Johnson. 2014. Transmission of Financial Stress in Europe: The Pivotal Role of Italy and Spain, but not Greece. *IMF Working Papers* **14**:76, 1. [[Crossref](#)]
236. Hassan Mohammadi, Daniel P. Rich. 2013. Dynamics of Unemployment Insurance Claims: An Application of ARIMA-GARCH Models. *Atlantic Economic Journal* **41**:4, 413-425. [[Crossref](#)]
237. John L Moran, Patricia J Solomon. 2013. Statistical process control of mortality series in the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS) adult patient database: implications of the data generating process. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **13**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
238. Ulrich Oberndorfer, Peter Schmidt, Marcus Wagner, Andreas Ziegler. 2013. Does the stock market value the inclusion in a sustainability stock index? An event study analysis for German firms. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **66**:3, 497-509. [[Crossref](#)]
239. Teresa Serra. 2013. Time-series econometric analyses of biofuel-related price volatility. *Agricultural Economics* **44**:s1, 53-62. [[Crossref](#)]
240. Monica Singhania, Jugal Anchalia. 2013. Volatility in Asian stock markets and global financial crisis. *Journal of Advances in Management Research* **10**:3, 333-351. [[Crossref](#)]
241. H. Evren Damar, Césaire A. Meh, Yaz Terajima. 2013. Leverage, balance-sheet size and wholesale funding. *Journal of Financial Intermediation* **22**:4, 639-662. [[Crossref](#)]
242. Kyungwon Kim. 2013. Modeling financial crisis period: A volatility perspective of Credit Default Swap market. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **392**:20, 4977-4988. [[Crossref](#)]
243. Kyungjin Yoo, Youah Lee, Eunnyeong Heo. 2013. Economic effects by merger and acquisition types in the renewable energy sector: An event study approach. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **26**, 694-701. [[Crossref](#)]
244. David E. Allen, Ron Amram, Michael McAleer. 2013. Volatility spillovers from the Chinese stock market to economic neighbours. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* **94**, 238-257. [[Crossref](#)]
245. Gianluca Benigno, Pierpaolo Benigno, Salvatore Nisticò. 2013. Second-order approximation of dynamic models with time-varying risk. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **37**:7, 1231-1247. [[Crossref](#)]
246. Pat Obi, Shomir Sil. 2013. VaR and time-varying volatility: a comparative study of three international portfolios. *Managerial Finance* **39**:7, 625-640. [[Crossref](#)]
247. Marco Lippi, Matteo Bertini, Paolo Frasconi. 2013. Short-Term Traffic Flow Forecasting: An Experimental Comparison of Time-Series Analysis and Supervised Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* **14**:2, 871-882. [[Crossref](#)]

248. Hooi Hooi Lean, Kee Tuan Teng. 2013. Integration of world leaders and emerging powers into the Malaysian stock market: A DCC-MGARCH approach. *Economic Modelling* **32**, 333-342. [[Crossref](#)]
249. Teresa Serra, David Zilberman. 2013. Biofuel-related price transmission literature: A review. *Energy Economics* **37**, 141-151. [[Crossref](#)]
250. Emery Schubert. 2013. Reliability issues regarding the beginning, middle and end of continuous emotion ratings to music. *Psychology of Music* **41**:3, 350-371. [[Crossref](#)]
251. Lu Han. 2013. Understanding the Puzzling Risk-Return Relationship for Housing. *Review of Financial Studies* **26**:4, 877-928. [[Crossref](#)]
252. M. Hassan, M. Hossny, S. Nahavandi, D. Creighton. Quantifying Heteroskedasticity Using Slope of Local Variances Index 107-111. [[Crossref](#)]
253. Namhyoung Kim, Jaewook Lee. 2013. No-arbitrage implied volatility functions: Empirical evidence from KOSPI 200 index options. *Journal of Empirical Finance* **21**, 36-53. [[Crossref](#)]
254. Alistair Davey. 2013. Estimating The Price Impact of the Victorian Terminal Gate Pricing Scheme. *Australian Economic Papers* **52**:1, 19-37. [[Crossref](#)]
255. Koyin Chang, Yoonbai Kim, Marc Tomljanovich, Yung-Hsiang Ying. 2013. Do political parties foster business cycles? An examination of developed economies. *Journal of Comparative Economics* **41**:1, 212-226. [[Crossref](#)]
256. Alexandru Mandes, Cristian Gatu, Peter Winker. Convergence of Heuristic-based Estimators of the GARCH Model 151-163. [[Crossref](#)]
257. Gebhard Kirchgässner, Jürgen Wolters, Uwe Hassler. Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity 281-310. [[Crossref](#)]
258. Marco Lippi, Lorenzo Menconi, Marco Gori. Balancing Recall and Precision in Stock Market Predictors Using Support Vector Machines 51-58. [[Crossref](#)]
259. Torben G. Andersen, Tim Bollerslev, Peter F. Christoffersen, Francis X. Diebold. Financial Risk Measurement for Financial Risk Management 1127-1220. [[Crossref](#)]
260. Vinodh Madhavan. 2013. Nonlinearity in investment grade Credit Default Swap (CDS) Indices of US and Europe: Evidence from BDS and close-returns tests. *Global Finance Journal* **24**:3, 266-279. [[Crossref](#)]
261. Gordon J. Ross. 2013. Modelling financial volatility in the presence of abrupt changes. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **392**:2, 350-360. [[Crossref](#)]
262. Marius Frunza, Dominique Guegan. 2013. Pricing Alternatives in Incomplete Markets: An Application for Carbon Allowances. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
263. Issam S. Strub. 2013. Tail Hedging Strategies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
264. Adriana S. Cordis, Chris Kirby. 2013. Discrete Stochastic Autoregressive Volatility. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
265. Alejandro Bernales, Massimo Guidolin. 2013. Can We Forecast the Implied Volatility Surface Dynamics of Equity Options? Predictability and Economic Value Tests. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
266. Valeriy Zakamulin. 2013. Dynamic Asset Allocation Strategies Based on Unexpected Volatility. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
267. Harjum Muharam. 2013. Government Bond Yield Volatility and It's Determinants: The Case of Indonesia Government Bond. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
268. Torsten Schmidt, Lina Zwick. 2013. Uncertainty and Episodes of Extreme Capital Flows in the Euro Area. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

269. Kevin Kurt Robinson. 2013. Technical Analysis: Does Recent Market Data Substantiate the Efficient Market Hypothesis?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
270. Kenbata Bangassa, Chen Su, Nathan L. Joseph. 2012. Selectivity and timing performance of UK investment trusts. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* **22**:5, 1149-1175. [[Crossref](#)]
271. Zahid Muhammad, Hassan Suleiman, Reza Kouhy. 2012. Exploring oil price-exchange rate nexus for Nigeria. *OPEC Energy Review* **36**:4, 383-395. [[Crossref](#)]
272. Jorge Antonio Chan-Lau, Srobona Mitra, Li Lian Ong. 2012. IDENTIFYING CONTAGION RISK IN THE INTERNATIONAL BANKING SYSTEM: AN EXTREME VALUE THEORY APPROACH. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **17**:4, 390-406. [[Crossref](#)]
273. Ingo Fender, Bernd Hayo, Matthias Neuenkirch. 2012. Daily pricing of emerging market sovereign CDS before and during the global financial crisis. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **36**:10, 2786-2794. [[Crossref](#)]
274. Tahsin Saadi Sedik, Oral H. Williams. 2012. Do Gulf Cooperation Countries' equity markets waltz or tango to spillovers?. *Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies* **5**:2, 213-227. [[Crossref](#)]
275. Almas Heshmati, Yeonhak Kim. 2012. Time series analysis of interdependent phases of the electricity industry in South Korea. *OPEC Energy Review* **36**:3, 319-348. [[Crossref](#)]
276. Zbigniew S. Szewczak. 2012. Relative stability in strictly stationary random sequences. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **122**:8, 2811-2829. [[Crossref](#)]
277. Trino-Manuel Níguez, Javier Perote. 2012. Forecasting Heavy-Tailed Densities with Positive Edgeworth and Gram-Charlier Expansions*. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* **74**:4, 600-627. [[Crossref](#)]
278. Mazin A.M. Al Janabi. 2012. Risk Management in Trading and Investment Portfolios. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance* **11**:2, 189-229. [[Crossref](#)]
279. Samer AM Al-Rjoub, Hussam Azzam. 2012. Financial crises, stock returns and volatility in an emerging stock market: the case of Jordan. *Journal of Economic Studies* **39**:2, 178-211. [[Crossref](#)]
280. Kostas Andriosopoulos, Nikos Nomikos. Risk management in the energy markets and Value-at-Risk modelling: A Hybrid approach 1-17. [[Crossref](#)]
281. Daniel J. Tulloch, Ivan Diaz-Rainey, Peter Moffatt. A GARCH analysis of the determinants of increased volatility of returns in the European energy utilities sector since liberalisation 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
282. . Bibliography 487-535. [[Crossref](#)]
283. Shehu Usman Rano Aliyu. 2012. Does inflation have an impact on stock returns and volatility? Evidence from Nigeria and Ghana. *Applied Financial Economics* **22**:6, 427-435. [[Crossref](#)]
284. Fardous Alom, Bert D. Ward, Baiding Hu. 2012. Modelling petroleum future price volatility: analysing asymmetry and persistency of shocks. *OPEC Energy Review* **36**:1, 1-24. [[Crossref](#)]
285. HESS CHUNG, JEAN-PHILIPPE LAFORTE, DAVID REIFSCHNEIDER, JOHN C. WILLIAMS. 2012. Have We Underestimated the Likelihood and Severity of Zero Lower Bound Events?. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* **44**, 47-82. [[Crossref](#)]
286. Julien Chevallier. Link with the Macroeconomy 55-104. [[Crossref](#)]
287. Emily Hickey, David G. Loomis, Hassan Mohammadi. 2012. Forecasting hourly electricity prices using ARMAX-GARCH models: An application to MISO hubs. *Energy Economics* **34**:1, 307-315. [[Crossref](#)]

288. Georgios Chortareas, Andrea Cipollini, Mohamed Abdelaziz Eissa. 2012. Switching to floating exchange rates, devaluations, and stock returns in MENA countries. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **21**, 119-127. [[Crossref](#)]
289. Friedrich Christian Kruse, Markus Rudolf. 2012. The Economic Value of Nonlinear Predictions in Asset Allocation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
290. Giulio Girardi, A. Tolga Ergun. 2012. Systemic Risk Measurement: Multivariate GARCH Estimation of CoVaR. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
291. Ingo Fender, Bernd Hayo, Matthias Neuenkirch. 2012. Daily CDS Pricing in Emerging Markets Before and During the Global Financial Crisis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
292. Chun-Chou Wu, Yi-Kai Su, Daniel Wei-Chung Miao. 2012. A Markov-Switching Range-Based Volatility Model with Applications in Volatility Adjusted VAR Estimation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
293. Olha Zadorozhna. 2012. How Much Do the Neighbors Pay? Economic Costs of International Gas Disputes. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
294. Meera Sharma. 2012. The Historical Simulation Method for Value-at-Risk: A Research Based Evaluation of the Industry Favorite. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
295. Meera Sharma. 2012. What is Wrong with Quantitative Standard d.?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
296. Issam S. Strub. 2012. Trade Sizing Techniques for Drawdown and Tail Risk Control. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
297. Meera Sharma. 2012. Historical Simulation Plus Filtered Historical Simulation - An Evaluation of Basel III Revision of Quantitative Standard (d). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
298. Melike Bildirici, Ozgur Omer Ersin. 2012. Markov Switching Artificial Neural Networks and Volatility Modeling with an Application to a Turkish Stock Index. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
299. . References 575-587. [[Crossref](#)]
300. Teresa Serra. 2011. Volatility spillovers between food and energy markets: A semiparametric approach. *Energy Economics* **33**:6, 1155-1164. [[Crossref](#)]
301. David A. Seekell, Stephen R. Carpenter, Michael L. Pace. 2011. Conditional Heteroscedasticity as a Leading Indicator of Ecological Regime Shifts. *The American Naturalist* **178**:4, 442-451. [[Crossref](#)]
302. T.K. Worako, H. Jordaan, H.D. van Schalkwyk. 2011. Investigating Volatility in Coffee Prices Along the Ethiopian Coffee Value Chain. *Agrekon* **50**:3, 90-108. [[Crossref](#)]
303. Komain Jiranyakul, Timothy P. Opiela. 2011. The Impact of Inflation Uncertainty on Output Growth and Inflation in Thailand*. *Asian Economic Journal* **25**:3, 291-307. [[Crossref](#)]
304. Leon Zolotoy. 2011. EARNINGS NEWS AND MARKET RISK: IS THE MAGNITUDE OF THE POSTEARNINGS ANNOUNCEMENT DRIFT UNDERESTIMATED?. *Journal of Financial Research* **34**:3, 523-535. [[Crossref](#)]
305. Yueyang Jiang, Qianlai Zhuang. 2011. Extreme value analysis of wildfires in Canadian boreal forest ecosystems. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **41**:9, 1836-1851. [[Crossref](#)]
306. Wen-Chyuan Chiang, Robert A. Russell, Timothy L. Urban. 2011. Forecasting ridership for a metropolitan transit authority. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice* **45**:7, 696-705. [[Crossref](#)]
307. Christopher Griffin, Richard R. Brooks, Jason Schwier. 2011. A Hybrid Statistical Technique for Modeling Recurrent Tracks in a Compact Set. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **56**:8, 1926-1931. [[Crossref](#)]

308. Nicholas Christakis, Vasileios Barbaris, Agis Spentzos. 2011. A New Approach in Financial Modelling with the Aid of Artificial Neural Networks. *Journal of Algorithms & Computational Technology* 5:3, 513-529. [[Crossref](#)]
309. Maria Assunta Baldini, Giovanni Liberatore, Tommaso Ridi. 2011. Brand transaction announcements and stock price volatility. *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 12:3, 392-406. [[Crossref](#)]
310. T. Serra, D. Zilberman, J. Gil. 2011. Price volatility in ethanol markets. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* 38:2, 259-280. [[Crossref](#)]
311. David Pitfield. 2011. The Impact of the EU-US Open Skies Agreement and the Resulting British Airway's Open Skies Initiative: Passenger Numbers in London, Amsterdam and Paris. *Spatial Economic Analysis* 6:2, 185-197. [[Crossref](#)]
312. Yu Feng, Matuš Medo, Liang Zhang, Yi-Cheng Zhang. 2011. Transaction fees and optimal rebalancing in the growth-optimal portfolio. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 390:9, 1635-1645. [[Crossref](#)]
313. Richard A. DeFusco, Stoyu I. Ivanov, Gordon V. Karels. 2011. The exchange traded funds' pricing deviation: analysis and forecasts. *Journal of Economics and Finance* 35:2, 181-197. [[Crossref](#)]
314. Farooq Malik. 2011. Estimating the impact of good news on stock market volatility. *Applied Financial Economics* 21:8, 545-554. [[Crossref](#)]
315. Heping Liu, Ergin Erdem, Jing Shi. 2011. Comprehensive evaluation of ARMA-GARCH(-M) approaches for modeling the mean and volatility of wind speed. *Applied Energy* 88:3, 724-732. [[Crossref](#)]
316. Tsung-Jung Hsieh, Hsiao-Fen Hsiao, Wei-Chang Yeh. 2011. Forecasting stock markets using wavelet transforms and recurrent neural networks: An integrated system based on artificial bee colony algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing* 11:2, 2510-2525. [[Crossref](#)]
317. John L. Moran, Patricia J. Solomon. 2011. Conventional and advanced time series estimation: application to the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS) adult patient database, 1993-2006. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 17:1, 45-60. [[Crossref](#)]
318. Bruce Hearn. 2011. Development strategy in offshore markets: evidence from the Channel Islands. *Journal of Economic Studies* 38:1, 30-51. [[Crossref](#)]
319. Yungho Leu, Chien-Pang Lee, Chen-Chia Hung. A Weighted Fuzzy Time Series Based Neural Network Approach to Option Price Forecasting 237-248. [[Crossref](#)]
320. Humphrey K. K. Tung, Michael C. S. Wong. Financial Risk Forecasting with Non-Stationarity 28-50. [[Crossref](#)]
321. Kurt W. Rotthoff. 2011. How Market Makers Affect Efficiency; Evidence Markets are Becoming Less Efficient. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
322. Philippe Masset. 2011. Volatility Stylized Facts. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
323. Oral H. Williams, Tahsin Saadi-Sedik. 2011. Global and Regional Spillovers to GCC Equity Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
324. Michael Albertus. 2011. Keep Your Friends Close and Your Enemies Closer: How Autocratic Cabinet Volatility Influences Democratic Transition. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
325. Mehmet F. Dicle, John Levendis. 2011. The Impact of Technological Improvements on Developing Markets: The Johannesburg Stock Exchange. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
326. Torben G. Andersen, Tim Bollerslev, Peter Christoffersen, Francis X. Diebold. 2011. Financial Risk Measurement for Financial Risk Management. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
327. David E. Allen, Ron Amram, Michael McAleer. 2011. Volatility Spillovers from the Chinese Stock Market to Economic Neighbours. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

328. Matthew S. Yiu. 2011. The Effect of Capital Flow Management Measures in Five Asian Economies on the Foreign Exchange Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
329. Meera Sharma. 2011. Volatility Characteristics of Indian Midcap Index Using GARCH and EGARCH. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
330. Tahsin Saadi Sedik, Oral Williams. 2011. Global and Regional Spillovers to GCC Equity Markets. *IMF Working Papers* 11:138, 1. [[Crossref](#)]
331. Saiful Anwar, Yoshiki Mikami. 2011. Comparing Accuracy Performance of ANN, MLR, and GARCH Model in Predicting Time Deposit Return of Islamic Bank. *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance* 44-51. [[Crossref](#)]
332. Zhixin Kang, Dongseok Choi. 2011. How is the Equity REIT Sector Related to Other Major Equity Sectors in the Presence of Abnormal Returns and Volatilities? A Tail Effect Study. *Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management* 17:2, 89-111. [[Crossref](#)]
333. James Laurenceson, Danielle Rodgers. 2010. The impact of volatility on growth in China. *Frontiers of Economics in China* 5:4, 527-536. [[Crossref](#)]
334. Josip Arneric. Structural changes in the conditional volatility process of stock market returns 309-313. [[Crossref](#)]
335. Jan Viebig, Thorsten Poddig. 2010. Does a Contagion Effect Exist Between Equity Markets and Hedge Funds in Periods of Extreme Stress in Financial Markets?. *The Journal of Alternative Investments* 13:2, 78-103. [[Crossref](#)]
336. Hsu-Ling Chang, Chi-Wei Su. 2010. The relationship between the Vietnam stock market and its major trading partners – TECM with bivariate asymmetric GARCH model. *Applied Economics Letters* 17:13, 1279-1283. [[Crossref](#)]
337. Edilberto Cepeda-Cuervo. 2010. Generalized EGARCH Random Effect Models Application to Financial Time Series. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation* 39:8, 1517-1529. [[Crossref](#)]
338. Shide Ou, Danhui Yi. Risk measure under impact of news 2909-2913. [[Crossref](#)]
339. . References 473-486. [[Crossref](#)]
340. Bruce Hearn, Jenifer Piesse. 2010. Modelling size and illiquidity in West African equity markets. *Applied Financial Economics* 20:13, 1011-1030. [[Crossref](#)]
341. N. J. Park, K. M. George, N. Park. A multiple regression model for trend change prediction 22-26. [[Crossref](#)]
342. Alok Dixit, Surendra S. Yadav. 2010. Informational efficiency of implied volatilities of S&P CNX Nifty index options. *Journal of Advances in Management Research* 7:1, 32-57. [[Crossref](#)]
343. Md. Sabiruzzaman, Md. Monimul Huq, Rabiul Alam Beg, Sajid Anwar. 2010. Modeling and forecasting trading volume index: GARCH versus TGARCH approach. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 50:2, 141-145. [[Crossref](#)]
344. . References 479-520. [[Crossref](#)]
345. MICHAEL M. BECHTEL, ROLAND FÜSS. 2010. Capitalizing on Partisan Politics? The Political Economy of Sector-Specific Redistribution in Germany. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 42:2-3, 203-235. [[Crossref](#)]
346. Michael C. Münnix, Rudi Schäfer, Thomas Guhr. 2010. Compensating asynchrony effects in the calculation of financial correlations. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 389:4, 767-779. [[Crossref](#)]
347. Derann Hsu. Detecting Structural Instability in Financial Time Series 1345-1355. [[Crossref](#)]

348. Walid Abdmoulah. 2010. Testing the evolving efficiency of Arab stock markets. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **19**:1, 25-34. [[Crossref](#)]
349. Nikhil Ranjan, Hema A. Murthy, Timothy A. Gonsalves. Detection of SYN flooding attacks using generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH) modeling technique 1-5. [[Crossref](#)]
350. Alistair Davey. 2010. Deregulation of wholesale petrol prices: what happened to capital city petrol prices?. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* **54**:1, 81-98. [[Crossref](#)]
351. Mario Situm. 2010. Time Series Volatility Forecasting Using Linear Regression and GARCH. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
352. Godfrey Cadogan. 2010. Forecasting the Pricing Kernel of IBNR Claims Development in Property-Casualty Insurance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
353. Godfrey Cadogan. 2010. Canonical Option Pricing and Greeks with Implications for Market Timing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
354. Hamid Khaleghi Moghaddam, Saeed Moshiri, Kamran Pakizeh. 2010. The Volatility Forecasting of Tehran & International Stock Exchanges. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
355. Edward Golosov. 2010. Impact of Trends on Volatility in Equity Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
356. Ioannis M. Neokosmidis, Vassilis Polimenis. 2010. Dynamic Interactive Cycles during the 2008 Financial Crisis. *Modern Economy* **01**:01, 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
357. Ulrich Oberndorfer. 2009. Energy prices, volatility, and the stock market: Evidence from the Eurozone. *Energy Policy* **37**:12, 5787-5795. [[Crossref](#)]
358. Malay Bhattacharyya, Nityanand Misra, Bharat Kodase. 2009. MaxVaR for non-normal and heteroskedastic returns[¶]. *Quantitative Finance* **9**:8, 925-935. [[Crossref](#)]
359. Weiqiang Wang, Ying Guo, Zhendong Niu, Yujuan Cao. Stock indices analysis based on ARMA-GARCH model 2143-2147. [[Crossref](#)]
360. Iraj Daizadeh. 2009. An intellectual property-based corporate strategy: An R&D spend, patent, trademark, media communication, and market price innovation agenda. *Scientometrics* **80**:3, 731-746. [[Crossref](#)]
361. David Gillen, Benny Mantin. 2009. Price volatility in the airline markets. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* **45**:5, 693-709. [[Crossref](#)]
362. andrew stuart duncan, guangling“dave” liu. 2009. MODELLING SOUTH AFRICAN CURRENCY CRISES AS STRUCTURAL CHANGES IN THE VOLATILITY OF THE RAND. *South African Journal of Economics* **77**:3, 363-379. [[Crossref](#)]
363. John R. Doyle, Catherine Huirong Chen. 2009. The wandering weekday effect in major stock markets. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **33**:8, 1388-1399. [[Crossref](#)]
364. Troy Lorde, Mahalia Jackman, Chrystol Thomas. 2009. The macroeconomic effects of oil price fluctuations on a small open oil-producing country: The case of Trinidad and Tobago. *Energy Policy* **37**:7, 2708-2716. [[Crossref](#)]
365. Martin Brunner. 2009. Does politics matter? The influence of elections and government formation in the Netherlands on the Amsterdam Exchange Index. *Acta Politica* **44**:2, 150-170. [[Crossref](#)]
366. H K K Tung, M C S Wong. 2009. Financial risk forecasting with nonlinear dynamics and support vector regression. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **60**:5, 685-695. [[Crossref](#)]
367. ALISTAIR DAVEY. 2009. THE IMPACT OF CLEAN FUEL SPECIFICATIONS ON ADELAIDE RETAIL PETROL PRICES. *Australian Economic Papers* **48**:1, 1-18. [[Crossref](#)]
368. James Morley. Macroeconomics, Non-linear Time Series in 525-548. [[Crossref](#)]

369. Eric Zivot. Practical Issues in the Analysis of Univariate GARCH Models 113-155. [[Crossref](#)]
370. Sandro C. Andrade, Gennaro Bernile, Frederick M. Hood. 2009. SOX, Corporate Transparency, and the Cost of Debt. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
371. Tim Nicolas Neidhardt. 2009. Solving the Puzzle: Stock Market Spillover Effects between Namibia and South Africa. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
372. Jenifer Piesse, Bruce Allen Hearn. 2009. Modelling Size and Illiquidity in West African Equity Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
373. Rogério Gonçalves Pilotto, William Eid Jr.. 2009. Does Past Performance Affect Risk Taking by Brazilian Hedge Funds?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
374. Johan de Beer. 2009. Changes in the volatility level and structure of shares post single stock futures trading. *Corporate Ownership and Control* 7:2, 279-295. [[Crossref](#)]
375. Perry Sadorsky, Michael D. McKenzie. 2008. Power transformation models and volatility forecasting. *Journal of Forecasting* 27:7, 587-606. [[Crossref](#)]
376. Edward D. Mansfield, Eric Reinhardt. 2008. International Institutions and the Volatility of International Trade. *International Organization* 62:4, 621-652. [[Crossref](#)]
377. Trino-Manuel Níguez. 2008. Volatility and VaR forecasting in the Madrid Stock Exchange. *Spanish Economic Review* 10:3, 169-196. [[Crossref](#)]
378. bruce hearn, jenifer piesse. 2008. OPPORTUNITIES AND COSTS OF PORTFOLIO DIVERSIFICATION IN SADC'S SMALLEST EQUITY MARKETS. *South African Journal of Economics* 76:3, 399-426. [[Crossref](#)]
379. Roland Füss, Michael M. Bechtel. 2008. Partisan politics and stock market performance: The effect of expected government partisanship on stock returns in the 2002 German federal election. *Public Choice* 135:3-4, 131-150. [[Crossref](#)]
380. Pär Sjölander. 2008. A new test for simultaneous estimation of unit roots and GARCH risk in the presence of stationary conditional heteroscedasticity disturbances. *Applied Financial Economics* 18:7, 527-558. [[Crossref](#)]
381. Richard G. Anderson, William H. Greene, B. D. McCullough, H. D. Vinod. 2008. The role of data/code archives in the future of economic research. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 15:1, 99-119. [[Crossref](#)]
382. Tim Bollerslev. 2008. Glossary to ARCH (GARCH). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
383. Timothy Hellwig. 2007. Economic openness, policy uncertainty, and the dynamics of government support. *Electoral Studies* 26:4, 772-786. [[Crossref](#)]
384. Thomas Pepinsky. 2007. Autocracy, Elections, and Fiscal Policy: Evidence from Malaysia. *Studies in Comparative International Development* 42:1-2, 136-163. [[Crossref](#)]
385. Oscar Calvo-Gonzalez. 2007. American Military Interests and Economic Confidence in Spain under the Franco Dictatorship. *The Journal of Economic History* 67:3, 740-767. [[Crossref](#)]
386. H Jordaan, B Grové, A Jooste, Z G Alemu. 2007. Measuring the Price Volatility of Certain Field Crops in South Africa using the ARCH/GARCH Approach. *Agrekon* 46:3, 306-322. [[Crossref](#)]
387. William R. Parke, George A. Waters. 2007. An evolutionary game theory explanation of ARCH effects. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 31:7, 2234-2262. [[Crossref](#)]
388. Steven L. Beach, Alexei G. Orlov. 2007. An application of the Black-Litterman model with EGARCH-M-derived views for international portfolio management. *Financial Markets and Portfolio Management* 21:2, 147-166. [[Crossref](#)]
389. Edel Tully, Brian M. Lucey. 2007. A power GARCH examination of the gold market. *Research in International Business and Finance* 21:2, 316-325. [[Crossref](#)]

390. Gebhard Kirchgässner, Jürgen Wolters. Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity 241-265. [[Crossref](#)]
391. Thomas Mitchell. 2007. Comment. *Japan and the World Economy* 19:1, 133-137. [[Crossref](#)]
392. Yu Hsing. 2007. Analysis of exchange rate fluctuations in Estonia: test of the interest parity condition and the open economy model. *Applied Financial Economics Letters* 3:1, 51-54. [[Crossref](#)]
393. Cass Cheng Po Lei, Rose Neng Lai. 2007. The Role of Liquidity in Value at Risk - The Case of Hong Kong. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
394. Ulrich Oberndorfer, Dirk Ulbricht, Janina Ketterer. 2007. Lost in Transmission? Stock Market Impacts of the 2006 European Gas Crisis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
395. Gerald Schneider, Vera E. Troeger. 2006. War and the World Economy. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 50:5, 623-645. [[Crossref](#)]
396. nl samouilhan. 2006. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INTERNATIONAL EQUITY MARKET BEHAVIOUR AND THE JSE. *The South African Journal of Economics* 74:2, 248-260. [[Crossref](#)]
397. Torben G. Andersen, Tim Bollerslev, Peter F. Christoffersen, Francis X. Diebold. Chapter 15 Volatility and Correlation Forecasting 777-878. [[Crossref](#)]
398. Erie Febrian, Aldrin Herwany. 2006. Modeling and Forecasting Jakarta Stock Exchange: Stock Market Volatility. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
399. Erie Febrian, Aldrin Herwany. 2006. Volatility Model For Financial Market Risk Management : An Analysis on JSX Index Return Covariance Matrix. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
400. B.D. McCullough, Kerry Anne McGeary, Teresa D. Harrison. 2006. Do Economics Journal Archives Promote Replicable Research?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
401. Stanislav Radchenko. 2005. Oil price volatility and the asymmetric response of gasoline prices to oil price increases and decreases. *Energy Economics* 27:5, 708-730. [[Crossref](#)]
402. Yu Hsing. 2005. Analysis of exchange rate fluctuations for Slovakia: application of an extended Mundell-Fleming model. *Applied Financial Economics Letters* 1:5, 289-292. [[Crossref](#)]
403. Yu Hsing. 2005. Economic growth and income inequality: the case of the US. *International Journal of Social Economics* 32:7, 639-647. [[Crossref](#)]
404. Ben White, P. J. Dawson. 2005. Measuring Price Risk on UK Arable Farms. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 56:2, 239-252. [[Crossref](#)]
405. Lukas Junker. References 367-445. [[Crossref](#)]
406. Viviana Fernandez. 2005. Risk management under extreme events. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 14:2, 113-148. [[Crossref](#)]
407. Torben G. Andersen, Tim Bollerslev, Peter Christoffersen, Francis X. Diebold. 2005. Practical Volatility and Correlation Modeling for Financial Market Risk Management. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
408. Torben G. Andersen, Tim Bollerslev, Peter Christoffersen, Francis X. Diebold. 2005. Volatility Forecasting. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
409. Richard G. Anderson, William H. Greene, B.D. McCullough, Hrishikesh D. Vinod. 2005. The Role of Data & Program Code Archives in the Future of Economic Research. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
410. Edel Tully, Brian M. Lucey. 2005. An APGARCh Investigation of the Main Influences on the Gold Price. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
411. Yu Hsing. 2005. Effects of Macroeconomic Policies and Stock Market Performance on the Estonian Economy. *Prague Economic Papers* 14:2, 109-116. [[Crossref](#)]

412. Yu Hsing. 2004. Socioeconomic analysis of the determinants of TANF recipients in the USA and policy implications. *International Journal of Social Economics* 31:11/12, 1005-1013. [[Crossref](#)]
413. Robert F Engle. 2004. Robert F Engle: Understanding volatility as a process. *Quantitative Finance* 4:2, C19-C20. [[Crossref](#)]
414. Tim Chapman. 2004. Robert F Engle: Understanding volatility as a process. *Quantitative Finance* 4:2, C19-C20. [[Crossref](#)]
415. Andrew H Chen, Kenneth J Robinson, Thomas F Siems. 2004. The wealth effects from a subordinated debt policy: evidence from passage of the Gramm–Leach–Bliley Act. *Review of Financial Economics* 13:1-2, 103-119. [[Crossref](#)]
416. Yu Hsing. 2004. Impacts of macroeconomic policies on the Mexican output. *Global Economic Review* 33:1, 85-94. [[Crossref](#)]
417. Jerome Teiletche, Charlotte Lespagnol. 2004. The Ultra-High Frequency Dynamics of European Bond Yields. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
418. Cyrus A. Ramezani, Yong Zeng. 2004. An Empirical Assessment of the Double Exponential Jump-Diffusion Process. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
419. Sandrine Lardic, Valérie Mignon. 2004. Robert F. Engle et Clive W.J. Granger prix Nobel d'économie 2003. *Revue d'économie politique* 114:1, 1. [[Crossref](#)]
420. Sandrine Lardic, Valérie Mignon. 2004. Introduction générale : l'importance des non linéarités sur les marchés financiers. *Revue d'économie politique* 114:4, 439. [[Crossref](#)]
421. Yu Hsing. 2004. Impacts of Macroeconomic Policies on Output in the Czech Republic: An Application of Romer's ISMP-IA Model. *Prague Economic Papers* 13:4, 339-345. [[Crossref](#)]
422. Paresh Kumar Narayan. 2003. Tourism demand modelling: some issues regarding unit roots, co-integration and diagnostic tests. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 5:5, 369-380. [[Crossref](#)]
423. Eric Zivot, Jiahui Wang. Univariate GARCH Modeling 209-255. [[Crossref](#)]
424. . Univariate GARCH Modeling 223-269. [[Crossref](#)]