

Self-Describing Plans

Kyle Sunden
Blaise Thompson

University of Wisconsin–Madison

2022-04-01



Why does UW-Madison care?

- ▶ Want “first class” user interfaces for our Bluesky systems
 - ▶ typhos
 - ▶ webclient
- ▶ Mix of control systems
 - ▶ zero percent EPICS
- ▶ Way too small-scale to produce interfaces for each plan by hand
- ▶ Variety of plans
- ▶ Queueserver



Kyle Sunden
Blaise Thompson

Motivation

Prior Art

Proposal

Let's use annotations to make plans self-describing.

- ▶ automate GUI generation
- ▶ validation
- ▶ serialize
- ▶ help queueserver cast correctly



Queueserver already supports plan descriptions through a home-built annotation system.

```
"name": "annotated_count",
"properties": {"is_generator": true},
"parameters": [
  {"name": "detectors",
   "kind": {"name": "POSITIONAL_OR_KEYWORD", "value": 1}},
  {"name": "num",
   "kind": {"name": "POSITIONAL_OR_KEYWORD", "value": 1},
   "annotation": {"type": "int"},
   "default": "1"},
  {"name": "per_shot",
   "kind": {"name": "KEYWORD_ONLY", "value": 3},
   "default": "None"},
  {"name": "md",
   "kind": {"name": "KEYWORD_ONLY", "value": 3},
   "default": "None"}
],
"module": "__main__"
```



Kyle Sunden
Blaise Thompson

Motivation

Prior Art

Proposal

For those who need a review of parameter kinds enum (from inspect library)

0		POSITIONAL_ONLY
1		POSITIONAL_OR_KEYWORD
2		VAR_POSITIONAL
3		KEYWORD_ONLY
4		VAR_KEYWORD



From queueserver documentation

```
from ophyd.sim import det1, det2, det3
# Assume that the detectors 'det1', 'det2', 'det3' are in the list
#   of allowed devices for the user submitting the plan.

from bluesky_queueserver import parameter_annotation_decorator

@parameter_annotation_decorator({
    "parameters": {
        "detectors": {
            "annotation": "typing.List[DevicesType1]",
            "devices": {"DevicesType1": ["det1", "det2", "det3"]}
        }
    }
})
def plan_demo1f(detectors, npts):
    # The parameter 'detector_names'
    #   is expected to receive a list of detector names.
    <code implementing the plan>
```



Use typing to fully annotate plans.
Simply annotate plans themselves as in PEP3107.

```
for count:
  detectors | typing.Sequence[bluesky.protocols.Readable]
  num      | int
  per_shot | typing.Callable
  md       | typing.Dict[str, typing.Any]
```

- ▶ use standard library features, less code to maintain
- ▶ static type checking
- ▶ easy to inspect for serialization
- ▶ doesn't support queueserver concept "limits", but extensible



For those looking to automatically find all the plans in a namespace.
Annotate return type.

```
from typing import Generator
def count(detectors, num=1, delay=None, *, per_shot=None, md=None)
    -> Generator[Msg, None, None]:
```

Would be easy to check return annotation for one of:

```
Sequence[Msg]
Generator[Msg, Any, Any]
```

There are probably other valid annotations that I'm forgetting.
See bluesky/bluesky #1491



Put it all together...

```
def count(detectors: typing.Sequence[bluesky.protocols.Readable],
          num: int = 1,
          delay: typing.Union[NoneType,
                              typing.Iterable[float],
                              float]
              = None,
          *,
          per_shot: typing.Callable = None,
          md: typing.Dict[str, typing.Any] = None)
    -> Generator[Msg, None, None]:
```



Kyle Sunden
Blaise Thompson

Motivation

Prior Art

Proposal

Problem: bluesky built-in plans make heavy use of **variadic cycles**.
Kyle and I cannot figure out how to hint these...

Relevant PEPs:

- ▶ PEP3107: Function Annotations
- ▶ PEP593: Flexible function and variable annotations
- ▶ PEP612: Parameter Specification Variables
- ▶ PEP613: Explicit Type Aliases
- ▶ PEP646: Variadic Generics
- ▶ PEP484: Type Hints



Tuples for type checking, while remaining backwards compatible.

```
from bluesky import RunEngine
from bluesky import plans as bp
from ophyd.sim import det1, motor1, motor2
RE = RunEngine()
# still works
RE(bp.grid_scan([det1],
                motor1, -1.5, 1.5, 3,
                motor2, -0.1, 0.1, 5))

# now also valid
RE(bp.grid_scan([det1],
                (motor1, -1.5, 1.5, 3),
                (motor2, -0.1, 0.1, 5)))

# passes mypy
RE(bp.grid_scan([det1],
                bp.GridScanAxis(motor1, -1.5, 1.5, 3),
                bp.GridScanAxis(motor2, -0.1, 0.1, 5)))
```



```
for count:
  detectors | typing.Sequence[bluesky.protocols.Readable]
  num      | int
  per_shot | typing.Callable
  md       | typing.Dict[str, typing.Any]
```

```
for grid_scan:
  detectors | typing.Sequence[bluesky.protocols.Readable]
  args      | GridScanAxis
  snake_axes | typing.Optional[bool]
  per_step  | typing.Callable
  md        | typing.Dict[str, typing.Any]
```



Kyle Sunden
Blaise Thompson

Motivation

Prior Art

Proposal

Extend existing serialization system in Queueserver.
Migrate serialize function into bluesky library.

Cannot use existing schema standards, as far as we know...
objects are too complex.

