

1. Which of the following are valid (necessarily true) sentences?

(a)  $(\exists x x=x) \Rightarrow (\forall y \exists z y=z)$  **True**

(b)  $\forall x P(x) \vee \neg P(x)$  **True**

(c)  $\forall x \text{Smart}(x) \vee (x=x)$  **True**

2. Arithmetic assertions can be written in first-order logic with the predicate symbol  $<$ , the function symbol  $+$  and  $\times$ , and the constant symbols 0 and 1. Additional predicates can also be defined with biconditionals.

(a) Represent the property "x is an even number"  $\forall x \text{Even}(x) \iff \exists y (x = y + y)$

(b) Represent the property "x is prime"

$\forall x \text{Prime}(x) \iff \forall y \forall z \neg (1 < y < x \wedge 1 < z < x \wedge y \times z = x)$

(c) Goldbach's conjecture is the conjecture (unproven as yet) that every even number is equal to the sum of two primes. Represent this conjecture as a logical sentence.

$\forall x \text{Even}(x) \implies \exists y \exists z (y + z = x)$

3. Write down logical representations for the following sentences, suitable for use with Generalized Modus Ponens:

(a) Horses, cows, and pigs are mammals.

$\forall x (\text{horses}(x) \vee \text{cows}(x) \vee \text{pigs}(x)) \implies \text{mammals}(x)$

(b) An offspring of a horse is a horse.

$\forall x, y (\text{horse}(y) \wedge \text{offspring}(x, y)) \implies \text{horse}(x)$

(c) Bluebeard is a horse

$\text{horse}(\text{Bluebeard})$

(d) Bluebeard is Charlie's parent

$\text{parent}(\text{Bluebeard}, \text{Charlie})$

(e) Offspring and parent are inverse relations

$\forall x, y (\text{Offspring}(x, y) \iff \text{Parent}(y, x))$

(f) Every mammal has a parent

**let  $\text{parent}(a, b)$  be that a is b's parent**

$\forall x \exists y \text{mammal}(x) \implies \text{parent}(y, x)$

4. Consider how to translate a set of action schemas into the successor-state axioms of situation calculus.

(a) Consider the schema for  $\text{Fly}(p, \text{from}, \text{to})$ . Write a logical definition for the predicate  $\text{Poss}(\text{Fly}(p, \text{from}, \text{to}), s)$ , which is true if the preconditions for  $\text{Fly}(p, \text{from}, \text{to})$  are satisfied in situations.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Poss}(\text{Fly}(p, \text{from}, \text{to}), s) \\ \iff \text{At}(p, \text{from}, s) \wedge \text{Plane}(p) \wedge \text{Airport}(\text{from}) \wedge \text{Airport}(\text{to}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

(b) Next, assuming that  $\text{Fly}(p, \text{from}, \text{to})$  is the only action schema available to the agent, write down a successor-state axiom for  $\text{At}(p, x, s)$  that captures the same information as the action schema.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Poss}(a, s) \implies \\ \left( \text{At}(p, \text{to}, \text{Result}(a, s)) \iff \right. \\ \left. (\exists \text{froma} = \text{Fly}(p, \text{from}, \text{to})) \vee (\text{At}(p, \text{to}, s) \wedge \neg \exists \text{new new} \neq \text{to} \wedge a = \text{Fly}(p, \text{to}, \text{new})) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

5. For each pair of atomic sentences, give the most general unifier if it exists:

(a)  $P(A, B, B), P(x, y, z)$

$\{x/A, y/B, z/B\}$

(b)  $Q(y, G(A, B)), Q(G(x, x), y)$

~~$\{y/G(x, x), G(A, B)/y, x/A, x/B\}$~~  矛盾，不可能

(c)  $\text{Older}(\text{Father}(y), y), \text{Older}(\text{Father}(x), \text{John})$

$\{y/\text{John}, x/\text{John}\}$

(d)  $\text{Knows}(\text{Father}(y), y), \text{Knows}(x, x)$ .

~~$\{\text{Father}(y)/x, y/x, \text{Father}(x)/x\}$~~  矛盾，不可能