Advantages of GARCH model

Simplicity

Generates volatility clustering

Heavy tails (high kurtosis)

Weaknesses of GARCH model

Symmetric btw positive & negative prior returns

Restrictive

Provides no explanation

Not sufficiently adaptive in prediction

GARCH-M model:

$$r_t = \mu + c\sigma_t^2 + a_t,$$

$$a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \ \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 a_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2,$$

where c is referred to as risk premium, which is expected to be positive.

EGARCH model:

Asymmetry in responses to + and - returns:

$$g(\epsilon_t) = \theta \epsilon_t + [|\epsilon_t| - E(|\epsilon_t|)],$$

with $E[g(\epsilon_t)] = 0$. To see asymmetry of $g(\epsilon_t)$, rewrite it as

$$g(\epsilon_t) = \begin{cases} (\theta + 1)\epsilon_t - E(|\epsilon_t|) & \text{if } \epsilon_t \ge 0, \\ (\theta - 1)\epsilon_t - E(|\epsilon_t|) & \text{if } \epsilon_t < 0. \end{cases}$$

An EGARCH(m, s) model:

$$a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$$
, $\ln(\sigma_t^2) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i g(\epsilon_{t-i}) + \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i \ln(\sigma_{t-i}^2)$.

Some features of EGARCH models:

uses log trans. to relax the positiveness constraint asymmetric responses.

Consider an EGARCH(1,1) model

$$a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$$
, $(1 - \beta B) \ln(\sigma_t^2) = \alpha_0 + \alpha g(\epsilon_{t-1})$,

Under normality, $E(|\epsilon_t|) = \sqrt{2/\pi}$ and the model becomes

$$(1 - \beta B) \ln(\sigma_t^2) = \begin{cases} \alpha_* + \alpha(\theta + 1)\epsilon_{t-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_{t-1} \ge 0, \\ \alpha_* + \alpha(\theta - 1)\epsilon_{t-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_{t-1} < 0, \end{cases}$$
 where $\alpha_* = \alpha_0 - \alpha\sqrt{2/\pi}$.

This is a nonlinear fun. similar to that of the threshold AR model of Tong (1978, 1990). Specifically, we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma_t^2 &= \sigma_{t-1}^{2\beta} \exp(\alpha_*) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exp[(\theta_1 + \alpha)\epsilon_{t-1}] \ if \ a_{t-1} \geq 0, \\ \exp[(\theta_1 - \alpha)\epsilon_{t-1}] \ if \ a_{t-1} < 0, \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$
 where $\theta_1 = \theta \alpha$.

The coefficients $(\theta_1 + \alpha)$ and $(\theta_1 - \alpha)$ show the asymmetry in response to positive and negative a_{t-1} . The model is, therefore, nonlinear if $\theta_1 \neq 0$. Thus, θ_1 (or θ) is referred to as the leverage parameter. It shows the effect of the sign of a_{t-1} whereas α denotes the magnitude effect. See Nelson (1991) for an example of EGARCH model.

ARMA-GARCH model:

$$r_{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_{i} r_{t-i} + a_{t} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_{j} a_{t-j},$$

$$a_{t} = \eta_{t} \sigma_{t},$$

$$\sigma_{t}^{2} = \alpha_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} a_{t-i}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_{j} \sigma_{t-j}^{2}.$$

 r_t is called ARMA(p,q)-GARCH(r,s) model.

ARIMA-GARCH model:

$$\phi_p(B)(1-B)\log P_t = \theta_q(B)a_t,$$

$$a_t = \eta_t \sigma_t,$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i a_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2.$$

 $\log P_t$ is called ARIMA(p, 1, q)-GARCH(m, s) model.

Estimation: Maximum Likelihood Estimation

We consider the case with p=1 and q=0 and s=m=1. Assume that random sample $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ is from the AR(1)-GARCH(1,1) model:

$$r_{t} = \phi_{10}r_{t-1} + a_{t},$$

$$a_{t} = \sigma_{t}\epsilon_{t},$$

$$\sigma_{t}^{2} = \alpha_{00} + \alpha_{10}a_{t-1}^{2} + \beta_{10}\sigma_{t-1}^{2},$$

where $\lambda_0 = (\phi_{10}, \alpha_{00}, \alpha_{10}, \beta_{10})'$ is called the true parameters.

Denote $\tilde{Z}_t = (r_t, r_{t-1}, \cdots)$. Given \tilde{Z}_{t-1} , the conditional density function of r_t is

$$f(r_t|\tilde{Z}_{t-1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_t^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(r_t - \phi_{10}r_{t-1})^2}{2\sigma_t^2}\right).$$

Given \tilde{Z}_0 , the conditional joint density function of $(r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1)$:

$$f(r_t, \dots, r_1 | \tilde{Z}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_t^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(r_t - \phi_{10}r_{t-1})^2}{2\sigma_t^2}\right) \right\},$$

where

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_{00} + \alpha_{10}(r_{t-1} - \phi_{10}r_{t-2})^2 + \beta_{10}\sigma_{t-1}^2.$$

Replaced λ_0 by its unknown parameter $\lambda = (\phi, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_1)'$, we get

$$a_t(\phi) = r_t - \phi r_{t-1},$$

 $\sigma_t^2(\lambda) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (r_{t-1} - \phi r_{t-2})^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2(\lambda).$

The conditional likelihood function of $(r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1)$:

$$f(r_t, \dots, r_1 | \tilde{Z}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_t^2(\lambda)}} \exp\left(-\frac{a_t^2(\phi)}{2\sigma_t^2(\lambda)}\right) \right\}.$$

Log -conditional likelihood function of $(r_n, r_{n-1}, \dots, r_1)$:

$$L(\lambda) \equiv \ln f(r_t, \dots, r_1 | \tilde{Z}_0)$$

$$= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^n \left\{ \ln \sigma_t^2(\lambda) + \frac{a_t^2(\phi)}{\sigma_t^2(\lambda)} \right\}.$$

The MLE of λ is the maximizer of $L(\lambda)$, denote by $\widehat{\lambda}$. If $E\varepsilon_t^4<\infty$, then

$$\widehat{\lambda} \longrightarrow \lambda_0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\lambda} - \lambda_0) \sim N(0, \widehat{\Omega}),$$

where

$$\widehat{\Omega} = E \left[\frac{\partial^2 L(\widehat{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda \partial \lambda'} \right]^{-1} E \left[\frac{\partial L(\widehat{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial L(\widehat{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda'} \right] E \left[\frac{\partial^2 L(\widehat{\lambda})}{\partial \lambda \partial \lambda'} \right]^{-1}.$$

Diagnostic Checking and Model selection

The formal method is not provided in SAS. AIC is a main tool for model selection.

We can use Ljung-Box test for squared standardized residuals:

$$\hat{\epsilon}_t = \frac{a_t(\hat{\phi})}{\sigma_t(\hat{\lambda})} \ and \ \hat{\epsilon}_t^2 = \frac{a_t^2(\hat{\phi})}{\sigma_t^2(\hat{\lambda})}.$$

Forecasting

The forecast value $\hat{r}_t(l)$ of r_{t+l} is calculated by

$$\widehat{r}_t(l) = E(r_{t+l}|r_t, r_{t-1}, \cdots).$$

The formulas is the same as the one in the ARMA model with a constant variance.

The one-step forecast interval for the ARIMA-GARCH model:

$$\left[\widehat{r}_t(1) - \mathcal{N}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sigma_t(\widehat{\lambda}), \ \widehat{r}_t(1) + \mathcal{N}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sigma_t(\widehat{\lambda})\right]$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is the $\alpha/2-$ quantile of $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

SAS only provides the forecasting value and forecast interval for AR-GARCH model.

You have to write your own programs in practice.

Other GARCH-type Models

The Threshold GARCH (TGARCH) or GJR Model A TGARCH(s,m) or GJR(s,m) model is defined as

$$r_t = \mu_t + a_t, \ a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t,$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\alpha_i + \gamma_i N_{t-i}) a_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2,$$

where N_{t-i} is an indicator variable such that

$$N_{t-i} = 1$$
 if $a_{t-i} < 0$, and $= 0$ otherwise

One expects γ_i to be positive so that prior negative returns have higher impact on the volatility.

TGARCH(1,1) model:

$$\sigma_t^2 = (\alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11}a_{t-1}^2 + \beta_{11}\sigma_{t-1}^2)I\{a_{t-1} \le 0\} + (\alpha_{20} + \alpha_{21}a_{t-1}^2 + \beta_{21}\sigma_{t-1}^2)I\{a_{t-1} > 0\}.$$

The CHARMA Model

Make use of interaction btw past shocks.

A CHARMA model is defined as

 $r_t = \mu_t + a_t, \ a_t = \delta_{1t} a_{t-1} + \delta_{2t} a_{t-2} + \dots + \delta_{mt} a_{t-m} + \eta_t,$ where $\{\eta_t\}$ is iid $N(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$, $\{\delta_t\} = \{(\delta_{1t}, \dots, \delta_{mt})'\}$ is a sequence of iid random vectors $D(0, \Omega)$, $\{\delta_t\}$ and $\{\eta_t\}$ are independent. The model can be written as

$$a_t = (a_{t-1}, \cdots, a_{t-m})\delta_t + \eta_t,$$

with conditional variance

$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_\eta^2 + (a_{t-1}, \cdots, a_{t-m}) \Omega(a_{t-1}, \cdots, a_{t-m})',$$
 where $\Omega = E(\delta_t \delta_t')$.

RCA Model

A time series r_t is a RCA(p) model if

$$r_t = \phi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p (\phi_i + \delta_{it}) r_{t-i} + a_t,$$

where $\{a_t\}$ is i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance σ_a^2 , $\{\delta_t\} = \{(\delta_{1t}, \cdots, \delta_{pt})'\}$ is a sequence of iid random vectors $D(0, \Omega_{\delta})$, $\{\delta_t\}$ and $\{a_t\}$ are independent. For this model, we have

$$\mu_t = E(r_t|F_{t-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i r_{t-i},$$

 $\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_a^2 + (r_{t-1}, \cdots, r_{t-p}) \Omega_{\delta}(r_{t-1}, \cdots, r_{t-p})',$ where $\Omega_{\delta} = E(\delta_t \delta_t')$.

Stochastic volatility model

A (simple) SV model is

 $a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$, $(1 - \alpha_1 B - \dots - \alpha_m B^m) \ln(\sigma_t^2) = \alpha_0 + v_t$, where ϵ_t 's are iid N(0,1), v_t 's are iid $N(0,\sigma_v^2)$, $\{\epsilon_t\}$ and $\{v_t\}$ are independent.

Alternative Approaches to Volatility

Two alternative methods:

Use of high-frequency financial data

Use of daily open, high, low and closing prices

Use of High-Frequency Data

Purpose: monthly volatility

Data: Daily returns

Let r_t^m be the t-th month log return. Let $\{r_{t,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ be the daily log returns within the t-th month.

Using properties of log returns, we have

$$r_t^m = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{t,i}.$$

Assuming that the conditional variance and covariance exist, we have

$$Var(r_t^m|F_{t-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n Var(r_{t,i}|F_{t-1}) + 2\sum_{i< j} Cov[(r_{t,i}, r_{t,j})|F_{t-1}],$$

where F_{t-1} = the information available at month t-1 (inclusive). Further simplification possible under additional assumptions.

If $\{r_{t,i}\}$ is a white noise series, then

$$Var(r_t^m|F_{t-1}) = nVar(r_{t,1}),$$

where $Var(r_{t,1})$ can be estimated from the daily returns $\{r_{t,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (r_{t,i} - \bar{r_t})^2}{n-1},$$

where \bar{r}_t is the sample mean of the daily log returns in month t (i.e., $\bar{r}_t = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{t,i}/n$).

The estimated monthly volatility is then

$$\hat{\sigma}_m^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (r_{t,i} - \bar{r}_t)^2.$$

If $\{r_{t,i}\}$ follows an MA(1) model, then

$$Var(r_t^m|F_{t-1}) = nVar(r_{t,1}) + 2(n-1)Cov(r_{t,1}, r_{t,2}),$$

which can be estimated by

$$\hat{\sigma}_m^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (r_{t,i} - \bar{r}_t)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (r_{t,i} - \bar{r}_t) (r_{t,i+1} - \bar{r}_t).$$

Advantage: Simple

Weaknesses:

Model for daily returns $\{r_{t,i}\}$ is unknown.

Typically, 21 trading days in a month, resulting in a small sample size.

Realized integrated volatility

If the sample mean \bar{r}_t is zero, then $\sigma_m^2 \approx \sum_{i=1} r_{t,i}^2$.

Use cumulative sum of squares of daily log returns within a month as an estimate of monthly volatility.

Apply the idea to *intrdaily* log returns and obtain realized integrated volatility.

Assume daily log return $r_t = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{t,i}$. The quantity

$$RV_t = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{t,i}^2,$$

is called the realized volatility of r_t .

Advantages: simplicity and using intraday information

Weaknesses:

Effects of market microstructure (noises)

Overlook overnight return

Use of Daily Open, High, Low and Close Prices: See text book.