

# CB2070 Ex. session 2

① We have

$$\hat{n}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i)$$

Show that

$$n(\vec{r}) = \langle \Psi | \hat{n}(\vec{r}) | \Psi \rangle$$

Agrees with

$$n(\vec{r}) = N \int \Psi^*(\vec{r}, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \Psi(\vec{r}, \dots, \vec{r}_N) d\vec{r}_2 \dots d\vec{r}_N$$

$$n(\vec{r}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^N |\Psi_i(\vec{r}_1)|^2$$

$$n(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int \Psi_i^*(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i) \Psi(\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_N) d\vec{r}_2 \dots d\vec{r}_N$$

say  $\vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_1$ ,  $\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i)$  picks out the wfs at point " $\vec{r}$ "  
when integrated  
indist. particles

$$\Rightarrow n(\vec{r}) = N \int \Psi^*(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) d\vec{r}_2 \dots d\vec{r}_N$$

which is exactly equal to the probabilistic interpretation

② N-electron system described by Slater determinant

P. 76 in Szabo & Ostlund

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_i^{N!} (-1)^{P_i} P_i \{ \Psi_1(\vec{r}_1), \dots, \Psi_N(\vec{r}_N) \}$$

$$n(\vec{r}) = N \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{i,j}^{N!} \underbrace{(-1)^{P_i} (-1)^{P_j} P_i \{ \} P_j \{ \}}_{\text{in order to be nonzero, the electron at position } \vec{r} \text{ must be in the same orbital. This happens } (N-1)! \text{ times}}$$

$$N(N-1)! = N!$$

$$n(\vec{r}) = \frac{N}{N!} (N-1)! \sum_k^N \Psi_k^*(\vec{r}) \Psi_k(\vec{r})$$

$$= \sum_k^N \Psi_k^*(\vec{r}) \Psi_k(\vec{r}) = \sum_k^N |\Psi_k(\vec{r})|^2$$

Integrating over all space for the remaining electrons give 1 due to orthogonal basis functions which reduces the sum to one electron. Since the permutations must be identical to be nonzero

One-particle summation: one-particle density computed without interaction with the other N-1 electrons

③ N-electron system described by an SD, show

$$n(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \sum_{i,j}^N [|\Psi_i(\vec{r}_1)|^2 |\Psi_j(\vec{r}_2)|^2 - \Psi_i^*(\vec{r}_1) \Psi_j^*(\vec{r}_2) \Psi_j(\vec{r}_1) \Psi_i(\vec{r}_2)]$$

The two-particle probability density

$$n(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = N(N-1) \int \Psi^*(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) \Psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) d\vec{r}_3, \dots, d\vec{r}_N$$

# of electron  
pairs:  
 $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$

Inserting SD

$$n(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = N(N-1) \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{i,j}^{N!} (-1)^i (-1)^j P_i^* \{ \} P_j^* \{ \} d\vec{r}_3, \dots, d\vec{r}_N$$

In order to get non-zero result,  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  may only differ in the orbitals that are occupied by electron 1 and 2 (or be identical)

$P_j = P_i$  or  $P_j = P_{12} P_i$  where  $P_{12}$  permutes electron 1 and 2

We can then write only one sum over  $i$

$$n(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{N(N-1)}{N!} \sum_i^{N!} \int P_i^* \{ \} (P_i \{ \} - P_{12} P_i \{ \}) d\vec{r}_3 \dots d\vec{r}_N$$

due to antisymmetry:  $P_{12} P_i$  differs from  $P_i$  by the interchange of electron 1 and 2  $\Rightarrow$  factor of -1

There are  $(N-2)!$  ways to arrange electrons 3-N when 1 and 2 are fixed, so we can write

$$n(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{N(N-1)}{N!} (N-2)! \sum_{k,l}^N \Psi_k^*(\vec{r}_1) \Psi_l^*(\vec{r}_2) (\Psi_k(\vec{r}_1) \Psi_l(\vec{r}_2) - \Psi_k(\vec{r}_2) \Psi_l(\vec{r}_1))$$

$$N! = N(N-1)! \Rightarrow \frac{N(N-1)}{N(N-1)!} = \frac{(N-1)}{(N-1)!} = \frac{1}{(N-2)!} \quad \text{since } (N-1)! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (N-2)(N-1)$$

$\Rightarrow$  prefactor to sum becomes 1 and we get (renaming the indices  $k, l$ ):

$$n(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \sum_i^N \sum_j^N [|\Psi_i(\vec{r}_1)|^2 |\Psi_j(\vec{r}_2)|^2 - \Psi_i^*(\vec{r}_1) \Psi_j^*(\vec{r}_2) \Psi_j(\vec{r}_1) \Psi_i(\vec{r}_2)]$$

Self-interaction is avoided since the two terms are QED equal when  $i=j$ . The remaining  $N-2$  electrons do not appear in the expression at all.

Wrt spin: since  $\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta | \beta \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle = 0$ , the second term will be zero in the case where orbitals  $i$  and  $j$  have opposite spin. This shows that there is no correlation between electrons of opposite spin. Meanwhile, this term remains nonzero for same-spin electrons, showing that there is correlation.