

CB2070 Ex. 5

(1.a) Introduce unitary transformation

$$|\psi'_i\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n |\psi_j\rangle \bar{U}_{ji}, \quad \langle \psi'_i | = \sum_j \langle \psi_j | U_{ji}^*$$

$$U^\dagger U = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (U^\dagger U)_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\hat{h} = \hat{h} + \sum_i (\hat{j}_i - \hat{k}_i)$$

$$\hat{j}_i |\psi_i\rangle = \int \frac{|\psi_i(\vec{r}')|^2}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' |\psi_i\rangle$$

$$\hat{k}_i |\psi_i\rangle = \int \frac{\psi_i^*(\vec{r}') \psi_i(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' |\psi_i\rangle$$

\hat{h} does not depend on the orbitals \Rightarrow invariant

$$\sum_i \langle \psi'_i | \hat{h} | \psi'_i \rangle = \sum_{k,l} \sum_i U_{ki}^* U_{li} \langle \psi_k | \hat{h} | \psi_l \rangle = \sum_{k,l} \delta_{kl} \langle \psi_k | \hat{h} | \psi_l \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i \langle \psi_i | \hat{h} | \psi_i \rangle$$

\hat{j}_i in new basis

$$\hat{j}_i = \sum_j \hat{j}_j = \sum_j \int \frac{\psi_j^*(\vec{r}') \psi_j(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}'$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_j \sum_{k,l} U_{kj}^* U_{lj}} \left(\int \frac{\psi_k^*(\vec{r}') \psi_l(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' \right) = \sum_{k,l} \delta_{kl} \int \frac{\psi_k^*(\vec{r}') \psi_l(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}'$$

$$\sum_j U_{kj}^* U_{lj} = (U^\dagger U)_{kl} = \delta_{kl} \quad = \sum_i \int \frac{|\psi_i(\vec{r}')|^2}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' = \hat{j}_i$$

$$\hat{k}'_i = \sum_j \hat{k}'_j = \int \frac{\psi'_i(\vec{r}') \psi'_j(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' = \sum_{k,l} \sum_j U_{kj}^* U_{li} \frac{\psi_k^*(\vec{r}') \psi_l(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}'$$

Include a ket $|\psi'_j(\vec{r})\rangle$

$$\sum_{k,l,m} \sum_j U_{kj}^* U_{li} U_{mj} \int \frac{\psi_k^*(\vec{r}') \psi_l(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \psi_m(\vec{r}) d\vec{r}' =$$

$$= \sum_{k,l,m} \delta_{km} \int \frac{\psi_k^* \psi_l}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \psi_m d\vec{r}' = \sum_{k,l} U_{ki} \int \frac{\psi_k^* \psi_l}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \psi_k d\vec{r}' = 1$$

C82070 Ex 5 cont'd

Replace $\sum_i U_{ki} \Psi_i$ with an orbital in the transformed basis Ψ'_i

$$= \sum_k \int \frac{\Psi_k^*(\vec{r}) \Psi'_i(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' \Psi_k(\vec{r}) = \sum_j \tilde{R}_j |\Psi'_i\rangle$$

where dummy index k is replaced by j .
It has now been shown that

$$\hat{R}' |\Psi'_i\rangle = \hat{R} |\Psi'_i\rangle$$

①.6 Choose U such that

$$F'_{ij} = \langle \Psi'_i | \hat{f} | \Psi'_j \rangle = \sum_{k,l} U_{ki}^* U_{lj} \langle \Psi_k | \hat{f} | \Psi_l \rangle = F_{ii}' \delta_{ij}$$

We have the HF equations

$$\hat{f} |\Psi_a\rangle = \sum_b \epsilon_{ba} |\Psi_b\rangle$$

Only diagonal elements are nonzero.

$$\begin{aligned} F'_{ij} &= \langle \Psi'_i | \hat{f} | \Psi'_j \rangle = \sum_{k,l} U_{ki}^* U_{lj} \langle \Psi_k | \hat{f} | \Psi_l \rangle = \underbrace{\sum_{k,l} U_{ki}^* U_{lj}}_{\sum_m \epsilon_{mkl} \langle k | m \rangle} \underbrace{\langle \Psi_k | \sum_m \epsilon_{mkl} |\Psi_m \rangle}_{\sum_m \epsilon_{mkl} \langle k | m \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{k,l} U_{ki}^* U_{lj} \epsilon_{kl} = \sum_{k,l} U_{ki}^* \epsilon_{kk} U_{lj} \end{aligned}$$

U has been chosen such that it diagonalizes \hat{f} and, thus, ϵ

$$F'_{ij} = F_{ii}' \delta_{ij} = \epsilon_i \delta_{ij}$$

Thus, the only nonzero elements are

$$\langle \Psi_a | \hat{f} | \Psi_a \rangle = \epsilon_a, \quad \hat{f} |\Psi_a\rangle = \epsilon_a |\Psi_a\rangle$$

where the prime has been left out on the transformed spinorbitals

ALTERNATIVELY

$$\begin{aligned} F'_{ij} &= F_{ii}' \delta_{ij} = \langle i | \hat{f} | i \rangle \delta_{ij} = \langle i | \sum_k \epsilon_{ik} | k \rangle \delta_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \sum_k \epsilon_{ik} \langle i | k \rangle \\ &= \epsilon_i \delta_{ij} \Rightarrow F_{ii}' = \epsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

We can write $\langle i | (\hat{f} | i \rangle - \epsilon_i | i \rangle) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{f} |\Psi'_i\rangle = \epsilon_i |\Psi'_i\rangle$

CS2070 Ex 5 cont'd

② Show that

$$IP = E_i^{N-1} - E_{HF}^N = -\epsilon_i$$

$$EA = E_{HF}^N - E_s^{N+1} = -\epsilon_s$$

$$E_{HF}^N = \sum_j^N \langle s | \hat{h} | j \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k}^N (\langle j | \hat{j}_k | j \rangle - \langle j | \hat{K}_k | j \rangle)$$

$$E_i^{N-1} = \sum_{j \neq i}^{N-1} \langle j | \hat{h} | j \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,l \neq i}^{N-1} (\langle j | \hat{j}_k | j \rangle - \langle j | \hat{K}_k | j \rangle)$$

For IP, first look at one-elec parts

$$E_i^{N-1}(1\text{-elec}) - E_{HF}^N(1\text{-elec}) = \sum_{j \neq i}^{N-1} \langle j | \hat{h} | j \rangle - \left[\underbrace{\langle i | \hat{h} | i \rangle + \sum_{j \neq i}^{N-1} \langle j | \hat{h} | j \rangle}_{E^N} \right] = -\langle i | \hat{h} | i \rangle$$

We decompose the two-electron part of the full energy

$$\begin{aligned} E_{HF}^N(2\text{-elec}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_j^N \sum_k^N (\langle j k | \hat{g} | j k \rangle - \langle j k | \hat{g} | k j \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq i}^{N-1} [\langle i k | \hat{g} | k i \rangle - \langle i k | \hat{g} | k i \rangle] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i}^{N-1} [\langle j i l | \hat{g} | j i \rangle - \langle j i l | \hat{g} | i j \rangle] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i}^{N-1} \sum_{k \neq i}^{N-1} [\langle j k | \hat{g} | j k \rangle - \langle j k | \hat{g} | k j \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

The two-elec part for the ionized system is

$$E_i^{N-1}(2\text{-elec}) = \sum_{j \neq i}^{N-1} \sum_{k \neq i}^{N-1} [\langle j k | \hat{g} | j k \rangle - \langle j k | \hat{g} | k j \rangle]$$

We recognize this double-sum for the decomposition of the ground state energy. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^{N-1}(2\text{-elec}) - E_{HF}^N(2\text{-elec}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq i}^{N-1} [\langle i k | \hat{g} | i k \rangle - \langle i k | \hat{g} | k i \rangle] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i}^{N-1} [\langle j i l | \hat{g} | j i \rangle - \langle j i l | \hat{g} | i j \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

If j or $k = i$, both sums will yield a zero-term. We can then remove the restriction and see that the two sums become identical due to the indistinguishable particles



2020 Ex 5 cont'd

② The IP two-electron part then becomes

$$E^{IP}(\text{2-elec}) = - \sum_j^N [\langle i j | \hat{g} | i j \rangle - \langle i j | \hat{g} | j i \rangle]$$

Putting the one- and two-electron terms together, we get

$$E^{IP} = -\langle i | \hat{h} | i \rangle - \sum_j^N [\langle i j | \hat{g} | i j \rangle - \langle i j | \hat{g} | j i \rangle] = -\varepsilon_i$$

which is the orbital energy of the HOMO

Continue to the electron affinity

$$E^{EA} = E_{HF}^N - E_s^{N+1}$$

With a similar approach, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E^{EA}(\text{1-elec}) &= \sum_i^N \langle i | \hat{h} | i \rangle - \sum_i^{N+1} \langle i | \hat{h} | i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i^N \langle i | \hat{h} | i \rangle - \sum_{i \neq s}^N \langle i | \hat{h} | i \rangle - \langle s | \hat{h} | s \rangle \\ &= -\langle s | \hat{h} | s \rangle \\ E^{EA}(\text{2-elec}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^N \langle i j | \hat{g} | i j \rangle - \langle i j | \hat{g} | j i \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{N+1} \langle i j | \hat{g} | i j \rangle - \langle i j | \hat{g} | j i \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^N \langle i j | \hat{g} | i j \rangle - \langle i j | \hat{g} | j i \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq s}^N \langle s j | \hat{g} | s j \rangle - \langle s j | \hat{g} | j s \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq s}^N \langle i s | \hat{g} | i s \rangle - \langle i s | \hat{g} | s i \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \neq s}^N \langle i j | \hat{g} | i j \rangle - \langle i j | \hat{g} | j i \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq s}^N \langle s j | \hat{g} | s j \rangle - \langle s j | \hat{g} | j s \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq s}^N \langle i s | \hat{g} | i s \rangle - \langle i s | \hat{g} | s i \rangle \end{aligned}$$

As before, we can remove the restriction in the two sums, and they will be identical. Thus:

$$E^{EA} = -\langle s | \hat{h} | s \rangle - \sum_j^N [\langle s j | \hat{g} | s j \rangle - \langle s j | \hat{g} | j s \rangle] = -\varepsilon_s$$

which is the orbital energy of the LUMO