Feedback for Project Number 23

5/7/2020

## Instructions

Use the program below (beginning line 13) to figure out who you will be critiquing. You will critique two of your colleagues’ work and provide feedback for them to produce their best work. Remember this is to help them out so do not be mean, but also do not hold back. Be sure to highlight areas of success as equally as areas of failure. Use the template below the code to provide them this feedback. You can find the [project links here](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1d8WHfAS6Ig9JGpvTVYC-AQjhqWfVUYoWqbmL-Tvh_vA/edit#gid=0)

**Be sure to change the name of the project in the title above and in the document title.** This is the project number you are critiquing and should be included in the output file so that the document name reads ‘Critique\_of\_Project##.docx’. Once you are finished, e-mail me both documents (one document per critique) and I will upload them to the ‘Peer\_Reviewed\_Work’ folder so that your peers can view them. Do not e-mail me the .Rmd file. These reviews are due by **Sunday, May 10th at 11:59pm CST**. For ease you can use this markdown file to fill out your responses and knit which will produce a word document for you.

## Feedback Below

**What did you first notice about this project?** It was kind of difficult to access. There was more background information than I expected. It was nice.

**What was this project’s main story?** The student is interested in child abuse and whether it increased and caused more mental health issues during the pandemic. They are comparing 2018, 2019, and 2020 child emergency room visits to do this. We can’t really conclude anything from the graphs though.

**What were some areas of improvement?** You might want to only compare from the same time periods in 2018, 2019, 2020. It looks like you are using the data from the entire years of 2018 and 2019. I’m not sure it’s worth comparing entire years to part of 2020.

**What elements would you add to this project?** I would add titles to the graphs. I know they are in the paragraphs, but it would be easier to read.

**What were some successful elements of this project?** The project was very well-researched. It is a really interesting idea.

**Any other thoughts you would like to convey to your peer?** There was a category called “(blank)” in the second graph. It looks like it might be a coding mistake.

I really enjoyed looking through your project :)