# Homework 7

Michael Pham

Fall 2023

# Contents

1	Intersections and Annihilators	3
2	Null and Range	5
3	Primer on Lagrange Interpolation	7
4	Lagrange Interpolation	8
5	Roots	ç

#### 1 Intersections and Annihilators

**Problem 1.1.** Suppose V is finite-dimensional, and U, W are its subspaces. Prove that

$$(U \cap W)^0 = U^0 + W^0.$$

Solution. To begin with, we will show that

$$U^0 + W^0 \subseteq (U \cap W)^0.$$

First, we observe that, by definition, we have:

$$U^0 = \{ \varphi \in V' : \varphi(u) = 0, \forall u \in U \}$$
  
$$W^0 = \{ \psi \in V' : \psi(w) = 0, \forall w \in W \}$$

Meanwhile, we note that for any  $\gamma \in (U \cap W)^0$ ,  $\gamma$  annihilate all of  $u \in U \cap W$ , but not necessarily all  $u \in U$ . Then, it follows that  $U^0 \subseteq (U \cap W)^0$ .

Similarly, we have that  $W^0 \subseteq (U \cap W)^0$ .

From here, suppose we have some  $\varphi \in U^0$  and  $\psi \in W^0$ . Then, for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , we observe the following:

$$(a\varphi + b\psi)(v) = a\varphi(v) + b\psi(v)$$
$$= 0 + 0$$
$$= 0$$

Then, we note that any  $\gamma \in (U \cap W)^0$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $\varphi \in U^0$  and  $\psi \in W^0$ . In other words, we observe that for any  $a\varphi + b\psi \in U^0 + W^0$ , we also have that it's in  $(U \cap W)^0$ . Thus,  $U^0 + W^0 \subseteq (U \cap W)^0$ .

Now, to show that equality holds, we can simply show that  $\dim(U \cap W)^0 = \dim U^0 + \dim W^0$ . To do this, we introduce the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1.

$$U^0 \cap W^0 = (U + W)^0$$

*Proof.* We will first show that  $U^0 \cap W^0 \subseteq (U+W)^0$ .

To do this, suppose we have some  $\gamma \in U^0 \cap W^0$ . Then, by definition, we observe that  $\gamma(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ , and also that  $\gamma(w) = 0$  for all  $w \in W$ .

Then, suppose we had some  $v \in U + W$ . By definition of U + W, we observe then that we can rewrite v = u + w, for some  $u, w \in U, W$  respectively. From here, we observe the following:

$$\gamma(v) = \gamma(u+w)$$

$$= \gamma(u) + \gamma(w)$$

$$= 0 + 0$$

$$= 0$$

So, we see that  $\gamma \in (U+W)^0$  as well, and thus  $U^0 \cap W^0 \subseteq (U+W)^0$ .

Now, to show that  $U^0 \cap W^0 \supseteq (U+W)^0$ , we consider some  $\gamma \in (U+W)^0$ . We observe that, by definition, we have that for any  $v \in U+W$ ,

$$\gamma(v) = 0$$

Now, as U,W are subspaces of V, they must contain the zero vector  $\vec{0}$ . Then, it follows that  $u+\vec{0}=u\in U+W$ , and  $w+\vec{0}=w\in U+W$ . Then, we observe that for any  $u,w\in U,W$  we have:

$$\gamma(u) = 0$$
$$\gamma(w) = 0$$

In other words, we see that  $\gamma \in U^0 \cap W^0$ .

Therefore, we can conclude that we have equality as desired.

Now, with this in mind, we note as well that:

$$\dim(U+W) = \dim U + \dim W - \dim(U \cap W)$$
  
$$\dim(U \cap W) = \dim U + \dim W - \dim(U+W)$$

observe the following:

$$\dim(U^{0} + W^{0}) = \dim U^{0} + \dim W^{0} - \dim(U^{0} \cap W^{0})$$

$$= \dim U^{0} + \dim W^{0} - \dim(U + W)^{0}$$

$$= (\dim V - \dim U) + (\dim V - \dim W) - (\dim V - \dim(U + W))$$

$$= \dim V - \dim U - \dim W + \dim(U + W)$$

$$= \dim V - (\dim U + \dim W - \dim(U + W))$$

$$= \dim V - \dim(U \cap W)$$

$$= \dim(U \cap W)^{0}$$

Thus, since  $\dim(U \cap W)^0 = \dim(U^0 + W^0)$ , then, indeed, we see that equality holds as desired.

## 2 Null and Range

**Problem 2.1.** Suppose V,W are finite dimensional, and  $T\in\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ , and  $\operatorname{null} T'=\operatorname{span}(\varphi)$  for some  $\varphi\in W'$ . Prove that  $\operatorname{range} T=\operatorname{null}\varphi$ .

*Solution.* To begin with, we will show that range  $T \subseteq \text{null } \varphi$ .

We first note that  $\operatorname{null} T' = \operatorname{span}(\varphi)$ . Now, we see then that this means that:

$$T'(\varphi)=0$$
 
$$\varphi\circ T=0$$
 
$$(\varphi\circ T)(v)=0$$
 
$$\varphi(T(v))=0 \qquad \qquad \text{(for all } v\in V\text{)}$$

Then, we see that, in fact, we have that for all  $v \in V$ , we have that  $Tv \in \text{null } \varphi$ . Or, in other words, we have that  $\text{range } T \subseteq \text{null } \varphi$ .

Next, we will show that they are in fact equal.

To do this, we will show that their dimensions are equal.

We have two cases to consider for this. First, consider  $\varphi \neq 0$ . Then, we note that since  $\varphi \in W'$ , then it means that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(W, \mathbb{F})$ . From here, since  $\varphi \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\dim \operatorname{range}(\varphi) = \dim \mathbb{F} = 1$ .

Now, we observe:

$$\dim W = \dim \operatorname{range} \varphi + \dim \operatorname{null} \varphi$$
$$\dim \operatorname{null} \varphi = \dim W - \dim \operatorname{range} \varphi$$
$$= \dim W - 1$$

Next, we look at  $\dim \operatorname{range} T$ . To do this, we recall that since V,W are finite dimensional, it follows then that  $\dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim \operatorname{range} T'$ . Furthermore, we observe that since  $\operatorname{null} T' = \operatorname{span}(\varphi)$ , and  $\varphi \neq 0$ , then  $\dim \operatorname{null} T' = 1$ , as it's the span of a single vector. Furthermore, note that  $T' \in \mathcal{L}(W',V')$ , and that since W is finite-dimensional, we have  $\dim W' = \dim W$ .

Then, with this in mind, we observe the following:

$$\dim W' = \dim \operatorname{range} T' + \dim \operatorname{null} T'$$
 
$$\dim \operatorname{range} T' = \dim W' - \dim \operatorname{null} T'$$
 
$$\dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim W - \dim \operatorname{null} T'$$
 
$$= \dim W - 1$$

So, we see that, in fact, we have  $\dim \varphi = \dim \operatorname{range} T$  for  $\varphi \neq 0$ .

Now, in the case where  $\varphi=0$ , we note that  $\dim\operatorname{range}\varphi=0$ , so we have that  $\dim\operatorname{null}\varphi=\dim W$ . Meanwhile, since  $\varphi=0$ , then  $\dim\operatorname{span}(\varphi)=0$ , meaning that  $\dim\operatorname{null} T'=0$ , so  $\dim\operatorname{range} T'=\dim W$ .

Thus, their dimensions are equal.

Then, since we see that  $\dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim \operatorname{null} \varphi$ , and also that  $\operatorname{range} T \subseteq \operatorname{null} \varphi$ , we can conclude that equality holds.

**Problem 2.2.** Give an example of such a pair  $T, \varphi \neq 0$  for  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $W = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Solution.* Suppose we have  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $W = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Since T is a linear map from V to W, we know that it has some matrix representation  $\mathcal{M}(T)$ . Now, we define T to have the matrix representation as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Meanwhile, we let  $\varphi$  to be as follow:

$$\mathcal{M}(\varphi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, we note that  $\operatorname{null} \varphi = \operatorname{span} \{(0,1,0),(0,0,1)\}$ . Meanwhile,  $\operatorname{range} T = \operatorname{span} \{(0,1,0),(0,0,1)\}$ . Thus, we see that, in fact,  $\operatorname{null} \varphi = \operatorname{range} T$  as desired.

## 3 Primer on Lagrange Interpolation

**Problem 3.1.** Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$  for some n and suppose there exists distinct real numbers  $x_0, \ldots, x_n$  such that  $p(x_j) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $j = 0, \ldots, n$ . Prove that all the coefficients of p are real.

Solution. From Question 4, we know that we can construct a unique Langrage Interpolating Polynomial p such that  $p(x_i) \in \mathbb{R}$  for each  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ .

Let us denote each  $p(x_j) = y_j$ , and we note that  $y_j \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, we can in fact construct a polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$  as follows:

$$p(x) \coloneqq \sum_{j=0}^{n} y_j p_j(x),$$

where we define  $p_i$  as:

$$p_j(x) \coloneqq \prod_{k=0, k \neq j}^n \frac{(x-x_k)}{(x_j - x_k)}$$

Notice here that at  $x_j$ ,  $p_j(x_j) = 1$  and  $p_k(x_j) = 0$  for all  $k \neq j$ . Then,  $p(x_j) = y_j$  as desired.

Now, we note here that the denominator in  $p_j$  consists of real numerical values. Furthermore, the numerator consists of n+1 distinct linear terms which, which, when expanded, will result in a degree n polynomial. We note that this polynomial will also only have real coefficients by virtue of  $x_k$  being a real number.

Then, we observe that  $p_j$  will be a polynomial with only real coefficients. And thus p(x) must contain only real coefficients as well since  $y_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $y_j p_j$  will have real coefficients only, and thus so will the sum of all  $y_j p_j$ .

Then, we observe that p has coefficients all real. Furthermore, by Question 4, we know that this p is in fact unique.

## 4 Lagrange Interpolation

**Problem 4.1** (Lagrange Interpolation). Prove using linear algebra that, given distinct data sites  $x_j$  and arbitrary data  $y_j$ , for j = 0, ..., n, there exists a unique polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $p(x_j) = y_j$ .

Solution. First, we will begin by proving such a polynomial actually exists.

To do this, we can explicitly construct such a polynomial p(x) as follows:

$$p(x) \coloneqq \sum_{j=0}^{n} y_j p_j(x),$$

where we define  $p_j$  as:

$$p_j(x) := \prod_{k=0, k \neq j}^{n} \frac{(x - x_k)}{(x_j - x_k)}$$

Then, with this construction, we observe that at each  $x_j$ , we have that  $p_k$ , where  $k \neq j$ , will be equal to zero since the numerator will contain a  $(x-x_j)$  term, so  $p_k(x_j)$  will evaluate to zero. Meanwhile, we note that  $p_j(x_j) = y_j$ , as desired.

We note as well that for each of our  $p_j(x)$ , the denominator of our fraction contains numerical values as well. On the other hand, we note that the numerator of our fraction contains n+1 distinct linear terms, and thus they form a degree n polynomial. Furthermore,  $y_j$  is some constant. Then, p(x), the sum of each of our  $y_j p_j(x)$ , must also be a degree n polynomial.

Thus, we have shown that there indeed exists  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$  that satisfies our conditions.

Now, in order to show uniqueness, we will first show that our  $p_j$ 's are linearly independent, and thus form a basis for  $\mathscr{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

By definition, we observe that each  $p_0, \ldots, p_n$  is linearly independent if  $a_0p_0 + \ldots + a_np_n = 0$  only when  $a_0 = \cdots = a_n = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

With this in mind, we can construct the following system of equations:

$$(a_0p_0 + \dots + a_np_n)(x_0) = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(a_0p_0 + \dots + a_np_n)(x_n) = 0$$

$$a_0p_0(x_0) + \dots + a_np_n(x_0) = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_0p_0(x_n) + \dots + a_np_n(x_n) = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_n = 0$$

Thus, we see that  $p_0, \ldots, p_n$  are linearly independent. Furthermore, since there are n+1 polynomials, we see that they in fact form a basis for  $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

This means then that any  $p \in \mathscr{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$  can be written as a unique linear combination of our  $p_j$ 's. We note now that as  $y_0, \ldots, y_j$  are all scalars, then  $\sum_{j=0}^n y_j p_j$  must thus be a unique representation of p as desired.

#### 5 Roots

Problem 5.1. Prove that every polynomial of odd degree with real coefficients has a real zero.

Solution. Let us suppose for the sake of contradiction that our polynomial has no real zeros.

Now, suppose that our polynomial has degree of 2n+1. Since our polynomial p must be of odd degree,  $\deg p \geq 1$  (i.e. it can't be a constant polynomial). Then, we note that, by the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, we know that our polynomial must have 2n+1 complex roots z (whose multiplicity can be greater than zero).

Then, with this in mind, we note that as p has real coefficients, then it follows that for each  $z_i$  that is a root of  $p_i$  its conjugate  $\overline{z_i}$  must be as well. Since p can't have a real zero, it must follow that  $z_i \neq \overline{z_i}$ .

From here, p can be iteratively divided by the real polynomial  $(x-z)(x-\overline{z})$ . Doing this process will then leave us with a single term (x-z) remaining. However, we note that since (x-z) is a root of p, then its complex conjugate  $(x-\overline{z})$  must as well. But for this to be the case, we have that  $z=\overline{z}$ ; p has a real zero. Thus, we have a contradiction.

Therefore, we can conclude that every polynomial of odd degree with real coefficients must have at least one real zero.