

# Linear Algebra Done Right 3e Exercises

Michael Pham

Fall 2023

# CONTENTS

---

Contents	2
1 Vector Spaces	3
1.1 $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$	3
1.2 Definition of Vector Spaces	7
1.3 Subspaces	10
2 Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces	20
2.1 Span and Linear Independence	20

# CHAPTER 1

## VECTOR SPACES

---

### 1.1 $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$

**Problem 1.1.** Suppose  $a, b$  are real numbers, not both zero. Find real numbers  $c, d$  such that

$$1/(a + bi) = c + di.$$

*Solution.* To do this, we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{a + bi} &= \frac{a - bi}{a^2 - b^2} \\ \frac{a - bi}{a^2 - b^2} &= c + di \\ \frac{a}{a^2 - b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 - b^2}i &= c + di\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}c &= \frac{a}{a^2 - b^2} \\ d &= -\frac{b}{a^2 - b^2}\end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 1.2.** Show that

$$\frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}$$

is a cube root of 1.

*Solution.* We proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2} \right)^3 &= \left( \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2} \\
 &= \frac{1 - 2\sqrt{3}i - 3}{4} \cdot \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2} \\
 &= \frac{-2 - 2\sqrt{3}i}{4} \cdot \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2} \\
 &= \frac{2 - 2\sqrt{3}i + 2\sqrt{3}i + 6}{8} \\
 &= \frac{8}{8} \\
 &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 1.3.** Show that for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have:

$$\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$$

*Solution.* We note that  $\alpha = a + bi$  and  $\beta = c + di$  for  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . So, we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha + \beta &= (a + bi) + (c + di) \\
 &= (a + c) + (b + d)i \\
 &= (c + a) + (d + b)i \\
 &= (c + di) + (a + bi) \\
 &= \beta + \alpha
 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 1.4.** Show that, for all  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have:

$$(\alpha + \beta) + \lambda = \alpha + (\beta + \lambda).$$

*Solution.* We first observe that, by definition, we have for  $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= a + bi \\
 \beta &= c + di \\
 \lambda &= e + fi
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha + \beta) + \lambda &= ((a + bi) + (c + di)) + (e + fi) \\
 &= ((a + c) + (b + d)i) + (e + fi) \\
 &= (a + c + e) + (b + d + f)i \\
 &= a + (c + e) + bi + (d + f)i \\
 &= (a + bi) + ((c + e) + (d + f)i) \\
 &= (a + bi) + ((c + di) + (e + fi)) \\
 &= \alpha + (\beta + \lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 1.5.** Show that  $(\alpha\beta)\lambda = \alpha(\beta\lambda)$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Solution.* We observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha\beta)\lambda &= ((a+bi)(c+di))(e+fi) \\
 &= (ac+adi+cbi-bd)(e+fi) \\
 &= ((ac-bd) + (ad+cb)i)(e+fi) \\
 &= (e(ac-bd) + e(ad+cb)i + f(ac-bd)i - f(ad+cb)) \\
 &= eac - ebd + eadi + ecbi + fac i - fbdi - fad - fcb
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha(\beta\lambda) &= (a+bi)((c+di)(e+fi)) \\
 &= (a+bi)(ce+cfi+edi-df) \\
 &= ace + acfi + aedi - adf + cebi - bcf - bed - bdfi \\
 &= eac - ebd + eadi + ecbi + fac i - fbdi - fad - fcb
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that  $(\alpha\beta)\lambda = \alpha(\beta\lambda)$ . ■

**Problem 1.6.** Show that for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists a unique  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\alpha + \beta = 0.$$

*Solution.* We observe that if  $\alpha + \beta = 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \alpha + \beta \\
 &= (a+bi) + (c+di) \\
 &= (a+c) + (b+d)i
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have  $a+c=0$  and  $b+d=0$ . In other words, we have  $c=-a$ , and  $d=-b$ . We note here that this in fact implies uniqueness.

So, if we let  $\beta = -a - bi$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha + \beta &= (a+bi) + (-a-bi) \\
 &= (a-a) + (b-b)i \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$
■

**Problem 1.7.** Show that for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\alpha \neq 0$ , there exists a unique  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\alpha\beta = 1$ .

*Solution.* First, we want to check for existence.

We observe that if  $\alpha\beta = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= \alpha\beta \\
 &= (a+bi) \cdot (c+di)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we want  $c + di = \frac{1}{a+bi}$  for this to be true. We recall then, from 1.1, that we have:

$$c + di = \frac{a}{a^2 - b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 - b^2}i.$$

From here, we will check for uniqueness. To do this, we proceed as such:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= 1 \cdot \beta \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \alpha \cdot \beta \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) (\alpha \cdot \beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{a + bi}\end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 1.8.** Show that  $\lambda(\alpha + \beta) = \lambda\alpha + \lambda\beta$ .

*Solution.* We observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\alpha + \beta) &= (e + fi)((a + bi) + (c + di)) \\ &= (e + fi)((a + c) + (b + d)i) \\ &= ea + ec + ebi + edi + fai + fci - fb - fd \\ &= (ea + ebi + fai - fb) + (ec + edi + fci - fd)\end{aligned}$$

We observe next that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\alpha &= (e + fi)(a + bi) \\ &= ea + ebi + fai - fb \\ \lambda\beta &= (e + fi)(c + di) \\ &= ec + edi + fci - fd\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that

$$(ea + ebi + fai - fb) + (ec + edi + fci - fd) = \lambda\alpha + \lambda\beta.$$

Therefore, we have that  $\lambda(\alpha + \beta) = \lambda\alpha + \lambda\beta$ .

■

**Problem 1.9.** Show that  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

*Solution.* Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , and  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . We observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}(x + y) + z &= (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) + (z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &= ((x_1 + y_1) + z_1, \dots, (x_n + y_n) + z_n) \\ &= (x_1 + (y_1 + z_1), \dots, x_n + (y_n + z_n)) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) + (y_1 + z_1, \dots, y_n + z_n) \\ &= x + (y + z)\end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 1.10.** Show that  $(ab)x = a(bx)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Solution.* We observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}(ab)x &= (ab)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= ((ab)x_1, \dots, (ab)x_n) \\ &= (a(bx_1), \dots, a(bx_n)) \\ &= a(bx_1, \dots, bx_n) \\ &= a(bx)\end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 1.11.** Show that  $1x = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

*Solution.* We observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}1x &= 1 \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (1x_1, \dots, 1x_n) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= x\end{aligned}$$

■

## 1.2 Definition of Vector Spaces

**Problem 1.12.** Prove that  $-(-v) = v$  for every  $v \in V$ .

*Solution.* We observe that

$$(-(-v)) + (-v) = 0$$

So, we see that  $-v$  and  $-(-v)$  are additive inverses. Now, we recall that each element  $v \in V$  has a unique additive inverse.

We note then that

$$v + (-v) = 0$$

So, we know that  $v$  is also an additive inverse of  $-v$ . Thus, since additive inverses are unique, we can conclude that, in fact, we have

$$(-(-v)) = v.$$

■

**Problem 1.13.** Suppose that  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $v \in V$ , and  $av = 0$ . Prove that  $a = 0$  or  $v = 0$ .

*Solution.* We shall proceed by cases.

We observe that if  $a = 0$ , then we have that  $av = 0$ . Thus, we are done.

On the other hand, if  $a \neq 0$ , then we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} v &= 1 \cdot v \\ &= (a^{-1}a)v \\ &= a^{-1}(av) \\ &= a^{-1}(0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that  $v = 0$ . ■

**Problem 1.14.** Suppose  $v, w \in V$ . Explain why exists a unique  $x \in V$  such that  $v + 3x = w$ .

*Solution.* We begin by noting that if we have  $v + 3x = w$ , then we see the following:

$$\begin{aligned} 3x &= w - v \\ x &= \frac{1}{3}(w - v) \end{aligned}$$

So, let us set  $x = \frac{1}{3}(w - v)$ . We observe the following then:

$$\begin{aligned} v + 3\left(\frac{1}{3}(w - v)\right) &= v + (w - v) \\ &= v + w + (-v) \\ &= w \end{aligned}$$

Now, we suppose that there exists some other  $x'$  such that  $v + 3x' = w$ . Then, we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (v + 3x) - (v + 3x') &= w - w \\ v - v + 3x - 3x' &= 0 \\ 3(x - x') &= 0 \\ x - x' &= 0 \\ x &= x' \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that it must be that  $x = x'$ . ■

**Problem 1.15.** The empty set is not a vector space. Which requirement does it fail to satisfy?

*Solution.* We observe that the empty set  $\emptyset = \{\}$  does not contain the element  $0$ ; it does not have the additive inverse. This also means then that any vector space  $V$  cannot be empty. ■

**Problem 1.16.** Show that the requirement of having an additive inverse for vector spaces can in fact be replaced by the following:

$$0v = 0, \forall v \in V.$$



*Solution.* We essentially want to show that  $v + (-v) = 0 \iff 0v = 0$ .

First, we shall proceed with the forward direction. Let us suppose that we have some element  $v' = -v$  such that  $v + (-v) = 0$ .

Now, we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} 0v &= (0+0)v \\ &= 0v + 0v0v + (-0v) &= 0v + 0v + (-0v) \\ 0 &= 0v \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us proceed with the backwards direction. Suppose that  $0v = 0$ . Then, we see the following:

$$\begin{aligned} v + (-v) &= (1 + (-1))v \\ &= 0v \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that  $v + (-v) = 0$ ; we have an additive inverse.

**Problem 1.17.** Let  $\infty$  and  $-\infty$  denote two distinct objects, neither of which are in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We define addition and scalar multiplication on  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \cup \{\infty\}$ :

1. The sum and product of real numbers is as usual.
2. For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define:

(a) Multiplication as:

$$t(\infty) = \begin{cases} -\infty & t < 0 \\ 0 & t = 0 \\ \infty & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$t(-\infty) = \begin{cases} \infty & t < 0 \\ 0 & t = 0 \\ -\infty & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

(b) Addition as:

$$\begin{aligned} t + \infty &= \infty + t \\ &= \infty \\ \infty + \infty &= \infty \\ t + (-\infty) &= (-\infty) + t \\ &= -\infty \\ (-\infty) + (-\infty) &= -\infty \\ \infty + (-\infty) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Is  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \cup \{\infty\}$  a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

*Solution.* Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \cup \{\infty\}$  is indeed a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then,

we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \infty &= (2 + (-1))\infty \\
 &= 2\infty + (-1)\infty \\
 &= \infty + (-\infty) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that this means that we don't have a unique additive identity element, and thus  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \cup \{\infty\}$  cannot be a vector space. ■

## 1.3 Subspaces

For each of the following subsets of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ , determine if it is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ .

**Problem 1.18.**

$$S_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0\}.$$

*Solution.* First, we see that  $(0, 0, 0)$  is contained in  $S$ :

$$0 + 2(0) + 3(0) = 0.$$

Next, we check for closure. First, consider some  $v = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $w = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ , where  $v, w \in S$ . So, we know that  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0$ , and  $y_1 + 2y_2 + 3y_3 = 0$ .

Now, we observe the following for closure under addition:

$$\begin{aligned}
 v + w &= (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3) \\
 (x_1 + y_1) + 2(x_2 + y_2) + 3(x_3 + y_3) &= (x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) + (y_1 + 2y_2 + 3y_3) \\
 &= 0 + 0 \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

And for scalar multiplication, we observe that for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha v &= \alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\
 &= (\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2, \alpha x_3) \\
 &= \alpha x_1 + 2(\alpha x_2) + 3(\alpha x_3) \\
 &= \alpha(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) \\
 &= \alpha(0) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that  $S_1$  is indeed a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ . ■

**Problem 1.19.**

$$S_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 4\}.$$

*Solution.* We observe that  $S_2$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ , as it does not contain the zero vector  $(0, 0, 0)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 + 2(0) + 3(0) &= 0 \\
 &\neq 4
 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 1.20.**

$$S_3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0\}.$$

*Solution.* We observe that  $S_3$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}^3$  as it isn't closed under addition.

We observe that  $(1, 1, 0)$  and  $(0, 0, 1)$  are in  $S_3$ . However, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 1, 0) + (0, 0, 1) &= (1, 1, 1) \\ 1(1)(1) &= 1 \\ &\neq 0 \\ \therefore (1, 1, 1) &\notin S_3 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Problem 1.21.**

$$S_4 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x_1 = 5x_3\}.$$

*Solution.* We first observe that  $(0, 0, 0) \in S_4$ .

Next, we test for closure under addition. Suppose we have  $v = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $w = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ , both in  $S_4$ . Then, we have that  $x_1 = 5x_3$  and  $y_1 = 5y_3$ . Then, we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} v + w &= (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3) \\ x_1 + y_1 &= 5x_3 + 5y_3 \\ &= 5(x_3 + y_3) \end{aligned}$$

And for scalar multiplication, we see that for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha v &= \alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ \alpha x_1 &= \alpha(5x_3) \\ &= 5(\alpha x_3) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that  $S_4$  is indeed a subspace. ■

**Problem 1.22.** Show that the set of differentiable real-valued functions  $f$  on the interval  $(-4, 4)$  such that  $f'(-1) = 3f(2)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{(-4,4)}$ .

*Solution.* First, let us denote this set as  $S$ . Now, we observe that the zero-function  $f(x) = 0, \forall x \in (-4, 4)$  is indeed in  $S$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f'(-1) &= 0 \\ &= 3f(2) \end{aligned}$$

Now, for closure of addition, we observe that for  $f, g \in S$ , we have that  $f + g$  is also differentiable. Now, we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)'(-1) &= f'(-1) + g'(-1) \\ &= 3f(2) + 3g(2) \\ &= 3(f(2) + g(2)) \\ &= 3(f + g)(2) \end{aligned}$$

And for scalar multiplication, we observe that for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have that  $\alpha f$  is similarly a differentiable function. Now, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha f)'(-1) &= \alpha f'(-1) \\ &= \alpha(3f(2)) \\ &= 3(\alpha f(2)) \\ &= 3(\alpha f)(2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that  $S$  is indeed a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{(-4,4)}$ . ■

**Problem 1.23.** Suppose  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that the set of continuous real-valued functions  $f$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\int_0^1 f = b$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  if and only if  $b = 0$ .

*Solution.* Let us denote this set by  $S$ .

First, we will proceed with the forward direction. Let us suppose that  $S$  is indeed a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ . Then, we know that the zero function  $f(x) = 0, \forall x \in [0, 1]$  must be in  $S$ .

Then, we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(x)dx &= F(1) - F(0) \\ &= 0 - 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that we must have that  $b = 0$  for the zero function to be contained in  $S$ .

Now, let us proceed with the backwards direction. Suppose that  $b = 0$ . Then, we observe that the zero function is indeed contained in  $S$  as shown earlier.

Now, we will check for closure under addition. Suppose we have  $f, g \in S$ . Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (f + g)(x)dx &= \int_0^1 f(x) + g(x)dx \\ &= \int_0^1 f(x)dx + \int_0^1 g(x)dx \\ &= 0 + 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

For scalar multiplication, we see that for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (\alpha f)(x)dx &= \int_0^1 \alpha f(x)dx \\ &= \alpha \int_0^1 f(x)dx \\ &= \alpha(0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that for  $b = 0$ , we have a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ . ■

**Problem 1.24.** Is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ?

*Solution.* No; we observe that if  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is indeed a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , then we must have that:

$$i(1, 1) = (i, i) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

However, of course, we see that  $(i, i) \notin \mathbb{R}^2$ ; it isn't closed under scalar multiplication. Thus, we see that we have a contradiction, and thus  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can't be a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . ■

**Problem 1.25.** Is  $\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : a^3 = b^3\}$  a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?

*Solution.* Yes; we observe that if  $a^3 = b^3$ , then it follows that  $a = b$ . Then, from here, we can proceed as follows from previous problems (namely, 1.21). ■

**Problem 1.26.** Is  $\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : a^3 = b^3\}$  a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ ?

*Solution.* No; we recall from 1.2 that

$$\left(\frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}\right)^3 = 1.$$

In fact, the following vector is in our subset

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1, \frac{-1 - \sqrt{3}i}{2}, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

However, we note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1, \frac{-1 + \sqrt{3}i}{2}, 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1, \frac{-1 - \sqrt{3}i}{2}, 0 \end{pmatrix} = (2, -1, 0)$$

is not in our subset; and thus, it isn't closed under addition. Therefore, it isn't a subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . ■

**Problem 1.27.** Give an example of a nonempty subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that is closed under addition and under taking additive inverses, but  $U$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Solution.* We can define  $U$  to be as follow:

$$U := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We observe then that for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $-x, -y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(x, y) + (-x, -y) = (x - x, y - y) = (0, 0) \in U$ .

Furthermore, we see that it is closed under addition, as for  $u, u'$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} u + u' &= (x, y) + (x', y') \\ &= (x + x', y + y') \end{aligned}$$

And by closure of integers, we see that  $x + x' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $y + y' \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

However, we note that for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha u &= \alpha(x, y) \\ &= (\alpha x, \alpha y)\end{aligned}$$

However, if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then it follows that  $\alpha x, \alpha y \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , and thus we have that  $\alpha u = (\alpha x, \alpha y) \notin U$ ; thus we see that it isn't closed under scalar multiplication. ■

**Problem 1.28.** Give an example of a nonempty subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that it is closed under scalar multiplication, but is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Solution.* Let us define  $U$  to be as follows

$$U := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = 0 \vee y = 0 \in\}.$$

Then, we observe that for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have three cases:

1. If  $x = 0$ , then  $\alpha u = \alpha(0, y) = (\alpha(0), \alpha(y)) = (0, \alpha y)$ . And we see that  $x = 0$  still, so  $\alpha u \in U$ .
2. If  $y = 0$ , then we see that  $\alpha u = \alpha(x, 0) = (\alpha(x), \alpha(0)) = (\alpha x, 0)$ . Again, since  $y = 0$ , we see that  $\alpha u \in U$ .
3. If  $x, y = 0$ , then it follows that  $\alpha u = \alpha(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ . And we see that  $\alpha u \in U$  still.

However, we note now that while  $u = (x, 0), u' = (0, y) \in U$ , for  $x, y \neq 0$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}u + u' &= (x, 0) + (0, y) \\ &= (x, y)\end{aligned}$$

However, since  $x, y \neq 0$ , then  $u + u' \notin U$ . Thus, it is not closed under addition and thus not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . ■

**Problem 1.29.** A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called periodic if there exists a positive number  $p$  such that  $f(x) = f(x + p)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Is the set of periodic functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ ?

*Solution.* Before we start, let us recall the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.1.**  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

Now, first, let us define  $f(x) = \sin(\sqrt{2}x)$  and  $g(x) = \cos(x)$ . Then, let us define  $h(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ .

Now, we observe that  $h(0) = \sin(0) + \cos(0) = 1$ . Then, we also know that since  $f, g$  are both periodic functions, then  $h(x)$  is too. This means then that there exists some  $p$  such that  $h(0) = h(p) = h(-p)$ .

However, we note now that if  $h(0) = 1$ , then it follows that

$$1 = \sin(\sqrt{2}p) + \cos(p) = \sin(-\sqrt{2}p) + \cos(-p) = -\sin(\sqrt{2}p) + \cos(p).$$

However, we note here that since  $\sin(\sqrt{2}p) + \cos(p) = -\sin(\sqrt{2}p) + \cos(p)$ , then it follows that  $2 \sin(\sqrt{2}p) = 0$ ; thus, we have that  $\sin(\sqrt{2}p) = 0$ . Thus, we know that  $\cos(p) = 1 \implies p = 2\pi k$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

However, we note as well that since  $\sin(\sqrt{2}p) = 0$ , then we know that  $\sqrt{2}p = l\pi$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

However, this means then that:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sqrt{2}p}{p} &= \frac{l\pi}{2k\pi} \\ \sqrt{2} &= \frac{l}{2k}\end{aligned}$$

However, this implies that  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ; however, this is a contradiction, and thus we see that the set of periodic functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  can't be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . ■

**Problem 1.30.** Suppose that  $U_1, U_2$  are subspaces of  $V$ . Prove that  $U_1 \cap U_2$  is also a subspace of  $V$ .

*Solution.* First, we note that the zero vector  $0$  must be in both  $U_1$  and in  $U_2$  as they are both subspaces of  $V$ ; thus, we see that  $0 \in U_1 \cap U_2$ .

Next, we observe that, by definition, we have that for any  $x, y \in U_1 \cap U_2$ , it must be that it is also in  $U_1$  and also in  $U_2$ .

Now, since  $x, y \in U_1$ , we know  $x + y \in U_1$  too, as  $U_1$  is a subspace, and thus is closed under addition. Similarly, since  $x, y \in U_2$ , then  $x + y \in U_2$  as well by the same argument. Thus, we see that  $x + y \in U_1 \cap U_2$ .

The same argument follows for scalar multiplication.

Thus, we see that  $U_1 \cap U_2$  is indeed a subspace of  $V$  as well. ■

**Remark 1.2.** We note here that a similar argument can be used to prove that the intersection of every collection of subspaces of  $V$  is also a subspace of  $V$ :

*Proof.* We suppose that for  $i \in I$ ,  $U_i$  is a subspace of  $V$ . Now, we want to show that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$  is indeed a subspace as well.

To do this, we note that by definition of a subspace, each  $U_i$  for  $i \in I$  must contain the zero vector  $0$ ; thus, we see that  $0 \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ .

Next, we will check for closure under addition. To do this, we note that if  $x, y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ , then it follows that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $x, y \in U_i$ . This means then that, since each  $U_i$  is a subspace, it follows that  $x + y \in U_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Thus, we can then conclude that  $x + y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$  too. Thus, it is closed under addition.

For scalar multiplication, we take some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then, we note that for  $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ ,  $x \in U_i$  for  $i \in I$ . And since each  $U_i$  is a subspace, it is closed under scalar multiplication, and thus  $\alpha x \in U_i$  for  $i \in I$ ; thus, we can conclude that  $\alpha x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$  too.

Thus, we see that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$  is indeed a subspace of  $V$ . ■

**Problem 1.31.** Prove that the union of two subspaces of  $V$  is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if one of the subspaces is contained in the other.

*Solution.* We shall proceed by the backwards direction. Let us suppose that there are two subspaces  $U_1, U_2$  of  $V$ , and without loss of generality, let us suppose that  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ .

We note then that  $U_1 \cup U_2$  is in fact  $U_2$ . And since  $U_2$  is a subspace of  $V$ , then of course  $U_1 \cup U_2 = U_2$  is as well.

Now, let us proceed with the forward direction. We will proceed by contradiction; that is, suppose we have two subspaces  $U_1, U_2$  such that  $U_1 \not\subseteq U_2$  and  $U_2 \not\subseteq U_1$ , and that  $U_1 \cup U_2$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

From here, let us consider two vectors  $u_1 \in U_1 \setminus U_2$  and  $u_2 \in U_2 \setminus U_1$ . We observe then that  $u_1 + u_2 \in U_1 \cup U_2$ . Then, by definition, we see that  $u_1 + u_2$  is either in  $U_1$  or  $U_2$ .

We now consider two cases.

First, if  $u_1 + u_2$  is in  $U_1$ , then we see that by property of subspaces, we have that  $u_1 + u_2 + (-u_1) = u_2 \in U_1$ . However, this is a contradiction as  $u_2$  can't be contained in  $U_1$  by construction.

Similarly, if  $u_1 + u_2 \in U_2$ , then we see that  $u_1 + u_2 + (-u_2) = u_1 \in U_2$ . Again, this is a contradiction.

Therefore, we see that it must follow that either  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$  or  $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ . ■

**Problem 1.32.** Prove that the union of three subspaces of  $V$  is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if one of the subspaces contains the other two. Also note that we are not working in a field containing two elements.

*Solution.* Once again, we begin with the backwards direction. We consider subspaces  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  of  $V$ . Without loss of generality, let us suppose that  $U_1$  contains  $U_2$  and  $U_2$ . It follows then that  $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 = U_1$ . And since  $U_1$  is a subspace of  $V$ , it follows that  $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 = U_1$  is also a subspace of  $V$ .

Now, for the forward direction. We first consider  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$  or  $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ . We denote this union by  $W$ .

Then, we see that  $U_3 \subseteq W$  becomes the two-union case which we have shown last problem; we see then that one of these subspaces must contain the other two.

Next, let us suppose that  $U_1, U_2$  are not contained in each other. From here, let us consider  $u_1 \in U_1 \setminus U_2$  and  $u_2 \in U_2 \setminus U_1$ .

We will now show that  $au_1 + u_2 \in U_3$ . To do this, we observe that ■

**Remark 1.3.** We remark that if we had a field containing two elements, then if we let  $V =$

**Problem 1.33.** Suppose  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ . What is  $U + U$ ?

*Solution.* We note that since  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ , we then consider  $u \in U$  and  $u' \in U$ . We see then that  $u + u' \in U + U$ . However, since  $u, u' \in U$ , then by closure of addition, we have that  $u + u' \in U$  as well. Thus, we see that every vector in  $U + U$  is also in  $U$ ;  $U + U \subseteq U$ .

Similarly, for  $u \in U$ , we observe that  $u = u + 0$ , and thus every  $u \in U$  is also in  $U + U$ . So, we have  $U \subseteq U + U$ .

Thus, we see that  $U = U + U$ . ■

**Problem 1.34.** If  $U, W$  are subspaces of  $V$ , is  $U + W = W + U$ ?

*Solution.* We consider  $x \in U$  and  $y \in W$ . Now, we note that addition is commutative in  $V$ .

So, it follows that for  $x + y \in U + W$ , we have  $x + y = y + x \in W + U$ . So, we see that  $U + W \subseteq W + U$ .

Similarly, we note that for  $y + x \in W + U$ , we have  $y + x = x + y \in U + W$ . So,  $W + U \subseteq U + W$ .

Therefore, we can conclude that, indeed,  $U + W = W + U$ . ■



**Problem 1.35.** If  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  are subspaces of  $V$ , does it follow that

$$U_1 + (U_2 + U_3) = (U_1 + U_2) + U_3?$$

*Solution.* We consider  $x, y, z$  in  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  respectively. Now, we note that addition is commutative in  $V$ .

We observe that  $x + (y + z) \in U_1 + (U_2 + U_3)$ . Now,  $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z \in (U_1 + U_2) + U_3$ .

So, we see that  $U_1 + (U_2 + U_3) \subseteq (U_1 + U_2) + U_3$ .

A similar argument follows for the other direction.

Thus, we conclude that, indeed, we have  $U_1 + (U_2 + U_3) = (U_1 + U_2) + U_3$ . ■

**Problem 1.36.** Does the operation of addition on the subspaces of  $V$  have an additive identity? Which subspaces have additive inverses?

*Solution.* We observe that for some subspace  $U$  of  $V$ , and for the subspace  $W = \{0\}$ , we have that  $U + W = W + U = U$ . Thus, the additive identity is simply  $\{0\}$ .

For an additive inverse, we observe that for a subspace  $U$ , there exists a subspace  $W$  such that  $U + W = 0$ . However, we note that since  $U \subseteq U + W$  and  $W \subseteq U + W$ , it follows then that the only way for  $U + W = 0$  to occur is if both  $U, W = \{0\}$ . ■

**Problem 1.37.** Prove or disprove the following statement:

If  $U_1, U_2, W$  are subspaces of  $V$  such that

$$U_1 + W = U_2 + W,$$

then  $U_1 = U_2$ .

*Solution.* We will provide a counterexample.

Suppose that  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $W = \text{Span}\{(1, 1)\}$ ,  $U_1 = \text{Span}\{(1, 0)\}$ , and  $U_2 = \text{Span}\{(0, 1)\}$ .

Now, we observe that  $U_1 + W = U_2 + W$ . However, it is clear that  $U_1 \neq U_2$ . Thus, we have found a counterexample. ■

**Problem 1.38.** Prove or disprove the following statement:

If  $U_1, U_2, W$  are subspaces of  $V$  such that

$$U_1 \oplus W = U_2 \oplus W,$$

then  $U_1 = U_2$ .

*Solution.* We will provide a counterexample.

Suppose that  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $W = \text{Span}\{(1, 1)\}$ ,  $U_1 = \text{Span}\{(1, 0)\}$ , and  $U_2 = \text{Span}\{(0, 1)\}$ .

Now, we observe that  $U_1 \oplus W = U_2 \oplus W$ . However, it is clear that  $U_1 \neq U_2$ . Thus, we have found a counterexample. ■

**Problem 1.39.** Suppose

$$U = \{(x, y, x + y, x - y, 2x) \in \mathbb{F}^5 : x, y \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

Find a subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{F}^5$  such that  $\mathbb{F}^5 = U \oplus W$ .

*Solution.* We observe that we can simply let  $W = \{(0, 0, a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}^5 : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}\}$ .

First, we will show that  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ . To do this, we note that we can rewrite  $U$  to be

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, x + y, x - y, 2x) &= (x, 0, x, x, 2x) + (0, y, y, -y, 0) \\ &= x(1, 0, 1, 1, 2) + y(0, 1, 1, -1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

So, we can in fact rewrite  $U = \text{Span}\{(1, 0, 1, 1, 2), (0, 1, 1, -1, 0)\}$ . We denote the first and second vectors as  $u_1, u_2$  respectively.

Similarly for  $W$ , we can rewrite it as  $W = \text{Span}\{(0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)\}$ . We denote these vectors as  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  respectively.

Now, we want to prove that these vectors are linearly independent. Then, we want the following to hold true only when  $a_1 = \dots = a_5 = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + a_3 w_1 + a_4 w_2 + a_5 w_3 &= 0 \\ a_1(1, 0, 1, 1, 2) + a_2(0, 1, 1, -1, 0) + a_3(0, 0, 1, 0, 0) + a_4(0, 0, 0, 1, 0) + a_5(0, 0, 0, 0, 1) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So, with this in mind, we observe that we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 0 \\ a_2 &= 0 \\ a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= 0 \\ a_1 - a_2 + a_4 &= 0 \\ 2a_1 + a_5 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then from here, we see that this system of equation shows us that  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ . Thus, they're linearly independent.

Furthermore, since we have a list of five linearly independent vectors, then it follows that  $U \oplus W = \mathbb{F}^5$ . ■

**Problem 1.40.** Suppose we have a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We define an even function to be as follows:

$$f(-x) = f(x).$$

And we define an odd function to be

$$f(-x) = -f(x).$$

Let  $U_e$  denote the set of real-valued even functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $U_o$  be the set of real-valued odd functions of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Prove that  $U_e \oplus U_o = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

*Solution.* First, we will begin by showing that  $U_e + U_o = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let us consider  $f_e(x) \in U_e$  and  $f_o(x) \in U_o$ .

Here, we note that we can rewrite  $f_e$  and  $f_o$  as follows:

$$f_e(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}$$

$$f_o(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}$$

Then, we observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} f_e(-x) &= \frac{f(-x) + f(-(-x))}{2} \\ &= \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} \\ &= f_e(x) \\ f_o(-x) &= \frac{f(-x) - f(-(-x))}{2} \\ &= \frac{-f(x) + f(-x)}{2} \\ &= -\frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \\ &= -f_o(x) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} f_e(x) + f_o(x) &= \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} + \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \\ &= \frac{2f(x)}{2} \\ &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that  $U_e + U_o = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Now, from here, we will show that  $U_e \cap U_o = \{0\}$ . To do this, we let  $f \in U_e \cap U_o$ . Since  $f \in U_e \cap U_o$ , we see that  $f(-x) = f(x)$  and also  $f(-x) = -f(x)$ . Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(-x) &= f(x) \\ f(x) &= -f(x) \\ 2f(x) &= 0 \\ f(x) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = 0$ ; in other words,  $f = 0$ . Therefore, we see that  $U_e \cap U_o = \{0\}$ .

Thus, we have proven that  $U_e \oplus U_o = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . ■

## CHAPTER 2

# FINITE-DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPACES

---

### 2.1 Span and Linear Independence

**Problem 2.1.** Suppose that  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  spans  $V$ . Show that

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

also spans  $V$ .

*Solution.* We observe that we can express each of  $v_1, \dots, v_4$  in terms of the second list:

$$v_1 = (v_1 - v_2) + (v_2 - v_3) + (v_3 - v_4) + v_4$$

$$v_2 = (v_2 - v_3) + (v_3 - v_4) + v_4$$

$$v_3 = (v_3 - v_4) + v_4$$

$$v_4 = v_4$$

Thus, since we can express each vector  $v_1, \dots, v_4$  as a linear combination of  $v_1 - v_2, \dots, v_4$ , we see then that they must also span  $V$ . ■

**Problem 2.2.** Show that if we think of  $\mathbb{C}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the list  $(1 + i, 1 - i)$  is linearly independent.

*Solution.* We want to show that the following holds true only when  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ :

$$a_1(1 + i) + a_2(1 - i) = 0.$$

We see then that we have the following:

$$a_1 + a_2 = 0$$

$$a_1i - a_2i = 0$$

$$(a_1 - a_2)i = 0$$

So, we see that  $a_1 + a_2 = 0$  and  $a_1 - a_2 = 0$ . This means then that  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ .

Thus, we see that they're linearly independent. ■

**Problem 2.3.** content...