# Success of Leader Assassination as a Natural Experiment

One longstanding debate in the study of international relations concerns the question of whether individual political leaders can make a difference. Some emphasize that leaders with different ideologies and personalities can significantly affect the course of a nation. Others argue that political leaders are severely constrained by historical and institutional forces. Did individuals like Hitler, Mao, Roosevelt, and Churchill make a big difference? The difficulty of empirically testing these arguments stems from the fact that the change of leadership is not random and there are many confounding factors to be adjusted for.

In this exercise, we consider a *natural experiment* in which the success or failure of assassination attempts is assumed to be essentially random.

This exercise is based on: Jones, Benjamin F, and Benjamin A Olken. 2009. "Hit or Miss? The Effect of Assassinations on Institutions and War." American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics 1(2): 55–87.

Each observation of the CSV data set leaders.csv contains information about an assassination attempt. The variables are:

Name	Description
country	The name of the country
year	Year of assassination
leadername	Name of leader who was targeted
age	Age of the targeted leader
politybefore	Average polity score during the 3 year period prior to the attempt
polityafter	Average polity score during the 3 year period after the attempt
civilwarbefore	1 if country is in civil war during the 3 year period prior to the attempt, or $0$
civilwarafter	1 if country is in civil war during the $3$ year period after the attempt, or $0$
interwarbefore	1 if country is in international war during the $3$ year period prior to the attempt, or $0$
interwarafter	1 if country is in international war during the $3$ year period after the attempt, or $0$
result	Result of the assassination attempt, one of $10$ categories described below

The polity variable represents the so-called *polity score* from the Polity Project. The Polity Project systematically documents and quantifies the regime types of all countries in the world from 1800. The polity score is a 21-point scale ranging from -10 (hereditary monarchy) to 10 (consolidated democracy).

The result variable is a 10 category factor variable describing the result of each assassination attempt.

#### Question 1

How many assassination attempts are recorded in the data? How many countries experience at least one leader assassination attempt? (The unique function, which returns a set of unique values from the input vector, may be useful here). What is the average number of such attempts (per year) among these countries?

## Question 2

Create a new binary variable named **success** that is equal to 1 if a leader dies from the attack and to 0 if the leader survives. Store this new variable as part of the original data frame. What is the overall success rate of leader assassination? Does the result speak to the validity of the assumption that the success of assassination attempts is randomly determined?

## Question 3

Investigate whether the average polity score over 3 years prior to an assassination attempt differs on average between successful and failed attempts. Also, examine whether there is any difference in the age of targeted leaders between successful and failed attempts. Briefly interpret the results in light of the validity of the aforementioned assumption.

#### Question 4

Repeat the same analysis as in the previous question, but this time using the country's experience of civil and international war. Create a new binary variable in the data frame called warbefore. Code the variable such that it is equal to 1 if a country is in either civil or international war during the 3 years prior to an assassination attempt. Provide a brief interpretation of the result.

### Question 5

Does successful leader assassination cause democratization? Does successful leader assassination lead countries to war? Answer these questions by analyzing the data. Be sure to state your assumptions and provide a brief interpretation of the results.