Chemlogic: A logic programming computer chemistry system

Nicholas Paun

<np@icebergsystems.ca>

Gr. 10, Mount Sentinel Secondary School

April 5, 2014

Nicholas Paun RR1 S2 C16, Slocan Park, BC VOG 2E0 CANADA 2 CONTENTS

Abstract

Chemlogic is a logic program written in Prolog which uses a database of information about chemical elements and polyatomic groups, a set of grammatical rules for chemical names and formulas and an equation solver to balance chemical equations in either symbolic or word form and to convert formulas to names and names to formulas. In order to assist users in entering queries, Chemlogic can detect and provide guidance for resolving syntax errors and other errors. Chemlogic also has a user-friendly Web interface, also written using SWI-Prolog's logic programming Web framework.

Contents

1	Cur	rricula	r Connections	4	
	1.1	Science	ce 9	4	
	1.2	Science	ce 10	4	
	1.3		istry 11 (Partial)	4	
2	Des	sign an	nd Algorithms	4	
	2.1	Balancing Chemical Equations with Systems of Linear Equations			
		2.1.1	Balancing by Inspection	5	
		2.1.2	Balancing by Trial and Error	5	
		2.1.3	Balancing using Systems of Linear Equations	6	
		2.1.4	Methods of Solving Systems of Linear Equations	6	
	2.2	Using	DCGs to Parse Chemical Names, Formulas and Equations	7	
		2.2.1	About DCGs	7	
		2.2.2	Difference Lists and the Implementation of DCGs	7	
		2.2.3	Extra Arguments	8	
		2.2.4	Semicontext Notation	8	
3	Implementation 1				
	3.1	Notes	for the Equation Balancer	11	
		3.1.1	Building the Matrix	11	
		3.1.2	Solving the Equations	13	
		3.1.3	Providing Results to the User	13	
	3.2	Notes	for the Formula and Name Processing Code	13	
		3.2.1	Validation	13	
		3.2.2	DCG Translation	14	
		3.2.3	Element Structure	14	
	3.3	Handl	ling User Syntax and other Errors	14	
		3.3.1	About Error Handling in Chemlogic	14	
		3.3.2	Raising a Syntax Error	14	
		3.3.3	Explaining the Syntax Error	14	
	3.4	Simpl	ification of Program Code using Metaprogramming	15	

LIST OF FIGURES 3

4	Inte	erfaces and Miscellaneous Code	17				
	4.1	Web Interface — A user-friendly way of using the program	17				
		4.1.1 Advantages of Web Interfaces	17				
		4.1.2 SWI-Prolog's Web stack	18				
		4.1.3 Output Formatting	18				
	4.2	Command-line Interface — An extremely simple DSL	18				
5	Discussion 2						
	5.1	Suitability of Prolog for application	20				
	5.2	Methods of Analyzing Performance in Prolog	21				
	5.3	Organization and Structure of Code	21				
		5.3.1 Modularity of Interfaces and Error Handlers	21				
		5.3.2 Some Confusing Points	22				
		5.3.3 A Note about Covalent Prefixes	22				
	5.4	Tokenizers: Simpler and More Performant Code	23				
	5.5	Ideas for Additional Features	23				
	5.6	Further Research	24				
		5.6.1 Algorithms	24				
		5.6.2 Performance Analysis of the Whole Program	24				
		5.6.3 Balancing for Complex Redox Reactions	24				
		5.6.4 Messages and User Communications	25				
\mathbf{A}	Obt	eaining Chemlogic	26				
В	Heo	r Quick Reference	27				
ם	B.1	Formulas	27				
	B.2	Chemical Equations	27				
		Chemical Names	27				
\mathbf{C}	TODO		27				
Ŭ	C.1	Science 10	27				
	C.2	Chemistry features	28				
	C.3	Database	29				
	C.4	Program features	29				
	C.5	Organization and Structure	30				
	C.6	Bugs	30				
\mathbf{L}_{i}	ist (of Figures					
	1	A very simple grammar (binary ionic compounds)	7				
	2	The internal form of the grammar from Figure 1	8				
	3	An simplified trace of the grammar in Figure 1	9				
	4	A new version of the parser from Figure 1, using extra arguments					
		and its result	10				
	5	A trivial example and usage of semicontext notation	11				

6	A simple example and usage of a lookahead implemented with	
	semicontext notation	12
7	<pre>fact_dcg_translate.pl converting a group fact into a lot of rules</pre>	15
8	covalent.pl using call to implement multiple variants of the same	
	code	16
9	The xx syntax error operator: Definition and Use	17
10	The entire code of the chemcli DSL	19
11	Simple chemcli queries and an example of a program that can	
	be written using it	19
12	Examples of vowel dropping in IUPAC prefixes	22
13	Error messages for a missing charge on a multivalent metal	25

1 Curricular Connections

1.1 Science 9

• Names and formulas of ionic compounds, including support for multivalent metals and polyatomic groups.

1.2 Science 10

- Names and formulas of binary covalent compounds.
- Naming systems for most acids.
- Naming conventions for some organic compounds (alkanes and alcohols).
- Symbolic and word chemical equations and their balancing.

Not yet implemented:

• Recognition and completion of common types of reactions.

1.3 Chemistry 11 (Partial)

- Hydrates of ionic compounds.
- $\bullet\,$ The concept of an empirical formula.
- $\bullet\,$ Support for alkenes.

2 Design and Algorithms

Chemlogic implements two major features: Balancing symbolic or word equations and converting chemical names to formulas and vice versa. These operations are done using two interesting algorithms, which will be discussed below.

Algorithm 1 An example of balancing by inspection

$$C_2H_6 + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O$$
 (1)

$$C_2H_6 + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 3H_2O$$
 (2)

$$C_2H_6 + O_2 \rightarrow 2CO_2 + 3H_2O$$
 (3)

$$C_2H_6 + \frac{7}{2}O_2 \rightarrow 2CO_2 + 3H_2O$$
 (4)

$$2C_2H_6 + 7O_2 \rightarrow 4CO_2 + 6H_2O$$
 (5)

(1) The combustion of ethane, (2) There are 6 hydrogens on the left-hand side, so there must be 6 on the right (3 groups of 2), (3) There are 2 carbons on the left, so there must be 2 on the right, (4) Now there are 7 oxygens on the right (2 groups of 2 = 4 from CO_2 and 3 from H_2O), but oxygens come only in groups of 2, 5Each coefficient must be multiplied by 2 to clear the fraction.

2.1 Balancing Chemical Equations with Systems of Linear Equations

2.1.1 Balancing by Inspection

Chemical equations are most commonly balanced by inspection. [3] In this process, a single element is balanced over the entire equation. Then, more elements are balanced and the resulting coefficients corrected until the entire equation is balanced.

This process is not very useful for implementing a program because it is not systematic: steps may be performed differently or in different orders, depending on the equation to be balanced. Even a certain equation, such as the example could be balanced in a different way.

2.1.2 Balancing by Trial and Error

Another unsystematic process is to randomly select coefficients until the equation is balanced. This process is also unsystematic, but easy to programatically implement. The complexity of such a method increases exponentially; for an equation with 4 terms and a maximum coefficient of 10, balancing the equation may take, at worst, $10^4 = 10,000$ guesses.

If we expect that equations will generally have few terms and low coefficients, the massive processing power of modern computers will likely make the inefficiency of such a method unnoticeable to user. In fact, this method may even perform better on small problems than more complex solvers, with fixed costs to create tables and costs per equation evaluated.

Besides the likelihood of the program never terminating when faced with a complex equation, this method is also unsatisfying because it is simply an application of brute-force, instead of finding a logical solution. Algorithm 2 The representation of a chemical equation as a system of linear equations

$$H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow H_2O$$
 (6)

$$aH_2 + bO_2 \rightarrow cH_2O$$
 (7)

$$\begin{cases} 2a = 2c \\ 2b = c \\ a = 1 \end{cases}$$
(8)

Algorithm 3 Systematic representation of chemical equation (6)

$$\begin{cases} 2a + 0b - 2c &= 0\\ 0a + 2b - 1c &= 0\\ a &= 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} 2 & 0 & -2 & 0\\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(9)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc|c}
2 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & 0
\end{array} \right\}$$
(10)

2.1.3 Balancing using Systems of Linear Equations

An elegantly logical method is to convert a chemical equation into a system of linear equations [5], with one equation representing each element, the number of each element representing a coefficient to an unknown variable, representing the chemical equation coefficient for a substance. To make the system solvable, the first unknown is arbitrarily set to 1.

The resulting values can then be multiplied by the greatest common denominator of the values to remove any fractions.

The process of converting a chemical equation to a system of linear equations, which is performed by a parser, can be made even simpler to implement by creating equations that are all in the same form (9) or by creating a matrix [2] (10):

2.1.4 Methods of Solving Systems of Linear Equations

When a system of linear equations is converted into a matrix, it can be solved by performing Gaussian elimination on the matrix. [2] The unknowns can then be found from the matrix.

The parser in Chemlogic produces a structure that is then simply transformed into a matrix, but it is not solved directly, but is converted into a system of lin-

Figure 1: A very simple grammar (binary ionic compounds)

```
compound --> metal, " ",non_metal_base, ide.
2
3
   metal --> "sodium".
   metal --> "calcium".
4
5
   metal --> "silver".
6
7
   non_metal_base --> "chlor".
   non_metal_base --> "ox".
8
9
   non_metal_base --> "sulf".
10
   ide --> "ide".
```

ear equations, to take advantage of the built-in linear equation solver (CLP(q)) provided by SWI-Prolog.

This allows for provided code to be re-used and makes programming simpler, at the cost of inefficient conversions between forms.

2.2 Using DCGs to Parse Chemical Names, Formulas and Equations

2.2.1 About DCGs

In any program that deals with user input, the input must be converted into a form that can be processed and interpreted. This process is called parsing.

A parser recognizes a formal grammar and can produce structures that can be manipulated programmatically from information contained in the inputs. The parsing facility provided in many logic programming languages, including Prolog, is an implementation of DCGs (Definite Clause Grammars).[4] This type of grammar maps a grammatical rule to a logic programming clause. They can be simply described as a simplified syntax for creating functions that process a grammar using lists.

In Prolog, DCGs are implemented using difference lists, an extremely efficient representation that has many useful properties.

Chemlogic uses grammatical rules to describe valid chemical names, formulas and equations. A simple example is shown in Figure 1.

2.2.2 Difference Lists and the Implementation of DCGs

Many programming languages have their own definition for a list. In Prolog, a list is a structure consisting of an arbitrary number of elements. Elements can be of any valid Prolog data type, most relevantly an atom (a single unit of characters) and nested sub-lists.

Figure 2: The internal form of the grammar from Figure 1

```
compound(Compound, Rest):-
metal(Compound, MetalRest),
MetalRest=[','|SpaceRest],
non_metal_base(SpaceRest, NonMetalRest),
ide(NonMetalRest, Rest).

metal([s, o, d, i, u, m|Rest], Rest).
non_metal_base([c, h, l, o, r|Rest], Rest).
ide([i, d, e|Rest], Rest).
```

Difference lists consist of an instantiated part, the head and an uninstantiated part, the tail. The tail is always paired with the rest of the list. This can be a difficult concept to understand and the major use of difference lists is in DCGs, where it is not exposed to the programmer. See Figure 2 for an example of difference list usage.

In DCG clauses, the head is matched with the the input and any remaining data is returned as the tail, which is passed to the next clause, which performs the same matching until the entire input is parsed and the remaining tail is given back to the user (or rejected as a syntax error in Chemlogic). If any clause fails, Prolog will backtrack to find another clause that can satisfy the grammar. If none is found, then the parsing fails. To better explain the function of difference lists in practice, a sample parsing is shown in Figure 3 on the facing page.

2.2.3 Extra Arguments

The parsers that have been described so far can only state whether or not a given input conforms to a grammar or not (in Figure 3 on the next page the answer was "yes" — it is valid). In order to make a program actually perform any tasks, some information must be recorded about the input that is parsed (Figure 4 on page 10). This information is then in an internal representation which can be manipulated by the other parts of the program. Commonly, the internal representation is an Abstract Syntax Tree (implemented in Prolog using terms), but in Chemlogic a simple list is used. The AST provides the advantage of the various components of the input being labeled (e.g. (metal(Na), non_metal(Cl))), but lists are easier to manipulate.

2.2.4 Semicontext Notation

Another difficult concepts in DCGs is semicontext notation. It allows elements not originally present in the input being processed to be added to the parsing list. After the grammar rule is parsed, the pushback list is added to the front of the parsing list (see Figure 5 on page 11).

Figure 3: An simplified trace of the grammar in Figure 1

```
[trace] ?- compound("sodium chloride", Rest).
2
           metal("sodium chloride", MetalRest)
3
   metal("sodium chloride", " chloride")
4
5
           non_metal_base("chloride", NonMetalRest)
6
  non_metal_base("chloride", "ide")
7
           ide("ide", IdeRest)
   ide("ide", [])
8
   compound("sodium chloride", [])
9
10
11 yes.
```

- 1. Parse "sodium chloride" as a compound.
- 2. Parse "sodium chloride" as a metal leaving MetalRest.
- 3. "sodium" is consumed (the head), leaving "chloride" (the tail).
- 5. Parse "chloride" as a non-metal base name leaving NonMetalRest.
- 6. "chlor" is consumed (the head), leaving "ide" (the tail).
- 7. Parse "ide" as an ide terminal.
- 8. "ide" is consumed (the head), leaving the empty list, [] (the tail).
- 9. Therefore, "sodium chloride" is a compound with no remaining characters.
- 10. The result of the parsing.

Figure 4: A new version of the parser from Figure 1, using extra arguments and its result

- 1. Extra arguments are passed to the parsing rules. The arguments are assembled into a list.
- $3\mbox{--}5.$ Values are provided for these arguments (the element symbol).
- 7—9. Values are also provided by this predicate.
- 11. This predicate does not record any information.

- 1. A new argument is added to the beginning of the query: the Compound structure.
- 2. The structure created for "sodium chloride" is [Na,...,Cl,...].
- 3. There is nothing remaining after parsing the input conforms to the grammar.

Figure 5: A trivial example and usage of semicontext notation

- 1. Call our completely useless predicate.
- 2. Notice that it did not parse anything it just made "secret metal" the remaining unparsed list.

This predicate could perhaps be connected to another rule that processes compounds — it will receive the censored version.

Semicontext notation can be used to implement lookahead, where a parser checks the next element in a list without processing it. This is used to make decisions about how to parse a certain element based on elements that occur after it. A lookahead is implemented by removing the item to be tested, testing it, then using the pushback list to put it back on the list of tokens to be parsed. Decisions can then be made based on the results of the test.

Figure 6 on the following page demonstrates the use of a simple lookahead.

3 Implementation

3.1 Notes for the Equation Balancer

3.1.1 Building the Matrix

The equation balancer implements the linear equation balancing algorithm described in 2.1 on page 5 in multiple processes.

First, the parser is configured to produce structures (as described in 2.2 on page 7) containing the formulas involved in an equation, the elements involved in an equation and a structure grouping the formulas into reactants and products (equation structure).

The element structure is sorted and duplicates are removed to produce an element set.

Then, the equation structure is tabulated: a lookup table is produced which records the number of occurrences of an element in a given formula. Polyatomic groups are flattened by this process (their subscript is distributed to their elements and the elements are added by the same process as is used when they

Figure 6: A simple example and usage of a lookahead implemented with semicontext notation

```
is_vowel_drop,
            [Tested]
3
             --> [Tested],
            {Tested = 'a'; Tested = 'o'}.
4
  1. Define a rule called is_vowel_drop.
  2. Push the content of Tested to the front of the list, if...
  3. Tested is removed from the list, and...
  4. Tested is a or o.
1 ?- is_vowel_drop("oxygen", NonMetal).
2 NonMetal = [o, x, y, g, e, n].
3
4 ?- is_vowel_drop("chlorine", NonMetal).
5 false.
  1. Should we drop the vowel from our prefix if the non metal is oxygen?
```

- 2. Yes! Notice that "oxygen" has been left untampered and can be parsed by a non-metal rule.
- 4. Should we drop the vowel from our prefix if the non metal is chlorine?
- 5. No! Our rule will fail and a clause that doesn't drop the vowel will try to process this compound.

are on their own).¹. The process of creating a lookup table is commonly used in chemical equation balancers — see [2] for a balancer that uses a roughly similar procedure.

To finally construct the matrix, each element is evaluated and its count in each formula is recorded.

3.1.2 Solving the Equations

To use Prolog's built-in equation solver, the matrix is converted back into an equation, a process made simple by Prolog's ability to treat code as data (metaprogramming, 3.4 on page 15).

The solver used in Chemlogic is CLP(r) (Constraint Logic Programming over Rational Numbers)[1], which is built-in to SWI-Prolog. This solver is used since the coefficients of a chemical equation are always rational numbers, but may sometimes not be integers before the fractions are cleared.²

CLP(r) allows input of equations in a simple notation and provides the solution to the unknowns by instantiating Prolog variables used in the equations. The results are always reduced to lowest terms using the provided predicate bb_inf.

3.1.3 Providing Results to the User

The solved coefficients are re-inserted into the structures, which are then provided as results to a parser. The parser returns the input that would create those structures (i.e. human-readable equations).

The program could be made more efficient by not re-parsing the structures to provide output, instead inserting the coefficients directly into the humanreadable input by some method.

3.2 Notes for the Formula and Name Processing Code

3.2.1 Validation

Chemlogic uses a formula parser to convert formulas inputted by users to their internal representation in Chemlogic. The formulas are verified to ensure that they are in the correct form, but whether or not they represent valid compounds is not verified, to support balancing equations with types of compounds that are not known to the program. When parsing a name or converting a formula to a name, the input is checked to ensure that the compounds conform to the types known by Chemlogic.

¹For example: NH₄NO₃ is flattened to [N2, H4, O3]

²A more common solver, built-in to nearly all Prolog systems, CLPfd (Constraint Logic Programming over Finite Domains) had annoying limitations regarding this situation.

3.2.2 DCG Translation

The chemical information database is not used directly. Instead, a file called fact_dcg_translate converts the facts to grammatical rules, providing base names, formulas, full names, symbols, etc. in whatever output format is selected (see 4.1.3 on page 18 for a brief discussion of output formats).

This process is cached when Chemlogic is compiled, so it is only re-run when the database is changed.

3.2.3 Element Structure

In order to create the element structures used by the balancer, the formula and name parsers record the elements contained in the input in a flat structure created by using additional difference lists as extra arguments to the DCG (see 2.2.3 on page 8 for a discussion of extra arguments).

3.3 Handling User Syntax and other Errors

3.3.1 About Error Handling in Chemlogic

The features and algorithms discussed so far deal only with cases when user input conforms to the grammars. If the user makes a mistake, the only information he is given is "false."

In order to make Chemlogic truly useful, it must identify where in the input the problem has occurred and provide clear error guidance.

Clearly identifying a syntax error is a difficult problem: even many programming languages cannot provide clear guidance as to what is wrong. Implementing error handling took nearly as long as writing the rest of Chemlogic and the process of implementation uncovered a few bugs and poor design decisions in the parsers.

3.3.2 Raising a Syntax Error

Whenever a predicate that must succeed for a given input to be valid fails, a syntax error is raised. The error term contains a code name for the error that will be used to look up messages and the list of unparsed tokens remaining that can no longer be parsed because of this error. In Prolog, this is done by throwing an exception. Exceptions stop the execution of the program and backtrack through the program until a handler can be found — the catcher.

The actual process of raising the syntax error is simplified with the xx operator (see 3.4 on the facing page).

3.3.3 Explaining the Syntax Error

The exception is then given to the error explaining library. It first attempts to localize the error by searching for the problematic part in the unparsed tokens. It does this by testing the character type of the first character, then implementing

rules depending on the type (e.g. if the first character is a letter, read until a number or capital letter is reached, etc.) The erroneous part is recorded.

Messages are then looked up using the error code and the character type. Knowing what type of character has triggered the error can often pinpoint the problem and allow for different messages to be used when a logic error is suspected (e.g. bad letters in a compound name) or when a simple typing error is suspected (e.g. unusual symbols like ^ in a compound name).

A structure is created from the information determined by the error explainer. It is then re-thrown — the exception is allowed to pass all the way back to the predicate that called the parser. The calling predicate is an interface function (from either the command-line or the web interface). Using metaprogramming techniques, the exception is then given to a handler that knows how to render the error in that interface (e.g. cli_error knows how to highlight the erroneous part using ANSI color).

3.4 Simplification of Program Code using Metaprogramming

Many functional and logical programming languages, including Prolog have strong support for metaprogramming. Metaprogramming allows a program to write or manipulate parts of itself (or other programs). [?]

In the Fact—DCG translator (Figure 7), data is extracted from a fact and is then reassembled into a new term, which is asserted as a grammatical rule. This ability to manipulate code as data is a very useful feature. In this case, using metaprogramming saves the database builder from manually entering data in these awkward forms.

Figure 7: fact_dcg_translate.pl converting a group fact into a lot of rules

```
cl_poly_to_dcg(group([["N",1],["H",4]],"ammonium","n/a
1
      ")):-
2
           Clause = .. [_Functor,Sym,Name,Base],
3
           dcg_translate_rule(group(ElemsL, ElemsR, Sym,
4
              Name) --> Name, FullRule),
5
           assertz(FullRule),
6
7
           dcg_translate_rule(group_base(ElemsL, ElemsR,
              Sym, Base) --> Base, BaseRule),
           assertz(BaseRule),
```

- 1. An input clause (code)
- 2. The clause (code) is separated into data
- 4. A new clause (code) is translated from the data
- 5. The new code is loaded into the program

Chemlogic also makes use of the ability to call an arbitrary predicate at runtime (a common programming language feature) to avoid writing many different variants of the same predicate (Figure 8).

Figure 8: covalent.pl using call to implement multiple variants of the same code

```
systematic_covalent([[Sym1,Num1],[Sym2,Num2]]) -->
2
            covalent_part(nonmetal,Sym1,sub_first,Num1),
            " ",
3
            covalent_part(nonmetal_ide,Sym2,sub_general,
4
               Num2) xx covalent_part_2.
5
6
   covalent_part(SymGoal,Sym,NumGoal,Num) -->
7
            {fwd_flag(Num, Hack)},
8
            call (NumGoal, Num, Letter),
9
            call(SymGoal,Sym,Matched,_),
10
                    {double_vowel_test(Letter, Matched)};
11
12
                    fwd_stop(Hack, vowel_required)
13
            ).
```

2. Call a predicate telling it to use non-metal (without ide ending) and prefixes for the first element in a compound.

4. The same predicate now instructed to use non-metal with ide ending and to use normal prefix rules

7. Doing some processing. These lines of code don't have to be written n times. 8 and 9. Call the predicates instructed

10—13. Perform operations on the results of the predicates.

The most interesting use of metaprogramming is the ability to define new operators that extend the programming language with a simple syntax for a repetitive task.

In Chemlogic, two operators are defined:

- xx, the syntax error operator: it executes the predicate on its left-hand side and, if it fails, raises the given syntax error on its right-hand side. It is used in parsers to stop whenever a grammatical rule fails. (See Figure 9 on the next page).
- handle, the error operator: it executes the predicate on its left-hand side and, if a syntax error occurs it calls correct handler function for the interface, then returns the result on its right-hand side. It is used in interface code to show the correct error message

Figure 9: The xx syntax error operator: Definition and Use

```
: - op(990, yfx, xx).
2
  :- meta_predicate xx(//,?,?,?).
3
  Condition xx (SyntaxError, Flags) -->
4
5
           {Condition = Module:_},
6
            (
7
                     Condition, !;
8
                     syntax_stop(Module:SyntaxError,Flags)
           ).
  1. Declare xx as an operator
  4. Define a predicate
  5—9. The operation performed for the given predicate
  formula(Fmt, Elems, ElemsR, Formula, FormulaR) -->
2
           formula_part_first(Fmt, Elems, ElemsR0, Formula,
               FormulaRO) xx part_first,
3
            (hydrate_part(Fmt, ElemsR0, ElemsR, FormulaR0,
               FormulaR), !).
```

2. Call formula_part_first, otherwise declare a part_first syntax error.

There are many potential places where more metaprogramming could be added to Chemlogic: the various extra arguments used in the DCGs could be simplified with special operators and a goal/term expansion function. This would massively simplify the parsers. Also, the Web interface has some code that performs the same steps, but with different predicates. These could be combined with the use of runtime calls.

4 Interfaces and Miscellaneous Code

4.1 Web Interface — A user-friendly way of using the program

4.1.1 Advantages of Web Interfaces

Command-line interfaces are often not user-friendly. Terminals also cannot display subscripts and special characters, making the output an approximation of the correct form of an equation or formula.

A web interface can provide a graphical interface to a program, making it simple to use select features and options, that is also available over the network.³

 $^{^3}$ This allows an entire computer lab to use a single copy of Chemlogic installed on a server,

4.1.2 SWI-Prolog's Web stack

SWI-Prolog has a built-in Web stack, [6] providing a server that can run Prolog programs and send their output over HTTP. To avoid writing HTML manually and handling arguments, SWI-Prolog provides an excellent library that allows HTML to be written as Prolog terms and input to be validated. To keep code organized, a library that can insert menus, stylesheets and other header elements. These features make writing Web applications in Prolog even simpler than in many common languages used in Web programming.

The web libraries make excellent use of DCGs and metaprogramming in their implementations, making code easy to understand.

4.1.3 Output Formatting

In order to produce the correct formatting codes for each interface (HTML entities in this case), the handling of symbols is decoupled from the various parsers and is instead implemented in a common formatting library. This allows for readable and correct formatting in the Web interface.

4.2 Command-line Interface — An extremely simple DSL

During the development of Chemlogic, it was often necessary to run various predicates in order to test the program. Calling Prolog predicates, as is, is not a user-friendly command-line interface, however. It requires the user to enter his query as a Prolog statement and gives back the answer as a list of characters.

Using metaprogramming techniques, like defining operators, calling at runtime and syntactic unification, it possible to build a very simple DSL (domain specific language) for querying Chemlogic (see Figure 10 on the facing page). Despite the fact that it only implements 4 simple features, with 2 rules, language statements can be composed into more complex programs. Prolog statements can also be used in the DSL and language statements can be combined with Prolog statements seamlessly.

The DSL offers an elegant way of balancing chemical equations and converting formulas to names and vice versa by offering a simplified syntax and automatic conversions to and from Prolog strings (see Figure 11 on the next page).

Because of its simplicity, the DSL is mostly a proof of concept and more syntax will need to be added (and the features to expose from Chemlogic) to make writing it possible to write interesting programs in this language.

for example.

If a copy of the chemweb (the web interface is running), users can access it by going to: http://<ip-address>:8000/chemlogic/

Figure 10: The entire code of the chemcli DSL

```
:- op(990,xfy,::).
2
3
   InputType - Input :: -Result :-
           InputType - Input :: symbolic - Result, !.
4
5
6
   InputType - AtomInput :: ResultType - Result :-
7
           atom_chars(AtomInput,Input),
8
9
                    InputType = name -> name_2_formula(
                       Input,StringRes) handle _;
10
                    InputType = formula -> formula_2_name(
                       Input,StringRes) handle _;
11
                    balance_equation(InputType,Input,
                       ResultType,StringRes) handle _
12
           ),
13
           atom_chars(Result,StringRes).
```

A programming language in 13 lines of code.

Figure 11: Simple chemcli queries and an example of a program that can be written using it

- 1. This line finds the "canonical" name for a compound when given another name for it.
- 2. The name is converted to a formula.
- 3. ...and back into a now systematic name.

20 5 DISCUSSION

5 Discussion

5.1 Suitability of Prolog for application

Initially, Prolog was chosen because of its simple fact database and built-in support for parsers as DCGs and for linear equation solvers. Using a logic programming language would also reduce programmer work by describing the results, not the process and by offering metaprogramming features.

In practice, the simple fact database was no more convenient than in any other programming language because the facts (the chemical information database) had to be converted to DCG rules by a translator in order for them to be used efficiently and correctly in parsers.

DCG support in Prolog and similar languages makes it possible to easily write parser for all sorts of grammars. In most programming languages, the programmer must write the parser from scratch or use a contributed library. Chemlogic ended up using many advanced features of DCGs, including extra arguments for constructing parsing structures, using the underlying difference list representation for certain structures and using semicontext notation for some simple lookahead rules.

In some places, parsing rules have become complicated and hacky, but overall DCGs have made it simpler and more logical to construct grammars and extend them for many types of data a chemistry program uses.

As discussed in the section on the linear equation balancing algorithm (2.1.4 on page 6), using Prolog's linear equation solver entails producing a system of linear equations, which is probably re-converted to a matrix in order to be solved. Using the library certainly simplified the code, because matrix operations are difficult in many Programming languages and because implementing Gaussian elimination requires a lot of mathematical understanding.

Using a logic programming language made the code simpler and more elegant. While the idea of describing results instead of process is somewhat exaggerated, programming in Prolog avoids manual writing of loops, if statements and other imperative constructs. It can be rather amazing, when a situation can finally explained in Prolog, how few lines of code are necessary to do something. ⁴ Metaprogramming was not used heavily but it proved to make code simpler by allowing predicates to be generated from other predicates, by allowing predicates that call other predicates and by defining convenient operators. This last aspect proved to be the most interesting and was used as the basis of the extremely simple chemcli DSL (4.2 on page 18). The support of metaprogramming is more extensive in Prolog than in many languages and it was relatively simple to make use of this support.

⁴Perhaps this is why Prolog programmers name their variables A, X, Acc, H, T, R0, etc and do not document things in detail?

5.2 Methods of Analyzing Performance in Prolog

SWI-Prolog, like many programming language implementations, offers a few tools for analyzing a program's performance. It includes gxprof (A graphical profiler), time (a command-line predicate offering a few simple statistics) and statistics (a command-line predicate offering very detailed runtime statistics).

gxprof primarily performs time efficiency analyses, which were often not useful for Chemlogic because the time taken by different implementations were often so small as to be incomparable. Therefore it was not used during the development of Chemlogic.

The most commonly used analysis function was time, which provides a few interesting statistics, including inferences and Lips (logical inferences per second?). I am unsure of exactly what the inference count measures or its connection to the actual performance of the algorithm. Inferences were a useful way of comparing algorithms and implementations because it gave consistent results between runs and on different computers.

Analysis was performed mostly by recording the performance of an predicate for arguments of various sizes to try to find the fixed costs (intercept) and cost per element (slope) and to ensure that there were no algorithms with exponential cost. Inefficient pieces of code were found using the debugger and new solutions were compared against old ones.

statistics may provide details that could be useful for future research, but on a small program like Chemlogic analyzing some of these statistics may be overly complicated.

It must be noted that the performance of algorithms was not formally analyzed (by creating mathematical definitions and determining the fastest growing terms to find the worst-case performance, for example) primarily because no novel algorithms were introduced in this program, nor unusual adaptations.

Formal analysis of a specific parser may enable useful improvements to be made.

5.3 Organization and Structure of Code

The organization of code is quite clear overall. I have tried to follow proper naming, indention and structuring practices. Prolog allows for code to be separated into modules with private predicates used only inside a single module and public predicates that are useful to other modules. Modules were frequently used to organize Chemlogic.

5.3.1 Modularity of Interfaces and Error Handlers

Since Chemlogic needed to support a Web interface to its Prolog predicates which were used from the command-line, it was very important to allow for the de-coupling of formatting and interfaces from the code itself. The interfaces for

22 5 DISCUSSION

	_	-
Prefix	Element	Result
mono	oxygen	monoxygen
mono	carbon	monocarbon
tetra	oxygen	tetroxygen
tri	iodine	\mathbf{tri} iodine

Figure 12: Examples of vowel dropping in IUPAC prefixes

Chemlogic often implement the bare-minimum required to make the program modular, but there are a few over-engineered parts that may prove useful when improving the detail of error messages.

The error handling system itself was an experiment, using Prolog exceptions, handlers and meta-predicates that call modules. The design of the error handling system is modular and relatively organized, but more experience with Prolog exceptions may allow for improvements to the design.

5.3.2 Some Confusing Points

There are few confusing interactions between pieces of code, with a few small cases between the ionic, oxyanion and acid functions. The lack of a tokenizer proved to make implementing error handling problematic in some places, as it was always necessary to determine whether or not a certain parser can safely give error messages or whether it must simply fail and give the next parser a chance to process the input. A tokenizer can quickly determine which parser to use and avoid problems like these.

Some inefficient tokenizers are used to highlight the erroneous component of user input. Since they are used only when a problem occurs, they are not much of a concern.

The only uses of semicontext notation in this program were some hacks to allow naming for pure substances to avoid triggering error messages. This is a good place to target for improvement if a tokenizer is introduced.

5.3.3 A Note about Covalent Prefixes

Correctly handling the rule that the last vowel (if a or o) of an IUPAC prefix is dropped if the succeeding element starts with an a or o was quite difficult to implement. Figure 12 shows some examples of the rule.

It seems to me that this is a case of a Context-Sensitive Grammar, where a certain token may or may not be permitted at a certain point given its preceding token.

Currently a repeated test and a metaprogramming call is used to determine the right prefix to use.

5.4 Tokenizers: Simpler and More Performant Code

Very recently, SWI-Prolog introduced a new string type. [?] The string type solves many problems that have traditionally required complicated workarounds in Prolog.

The type replaces the use of atoms for manipulated strings, or lists of character codes with a more efficient data structure. The most important (for Chemlogic) change is the introduction of a predicate (split_string/4) which allows for a string to be broken into parts delimited by a character and allows extraneous padding characters to be removed. The string type can still be operated on as a list of character codes when needed (as in DCGs).

The benefits to a program like Chemlogic from this change are quite large: it is now simple to implement a tokenization step for the various parsers, like most compilers and interpreters (You will recall that Chemlogic does not tokenize its strings before parsing them).

Currently, when processing a string like "carbon monoxide", the program must go through many testing steps (is it an ionic compound, is carbon a metal, is it an acid, is carbon a polyatomic ion, and their many sub-tests etc.) But, if the string is tokenized into ["carbon","monoxide"], it is obvious it is not an ionic compound, because the first token is not a metal and its obvious that it is not an acid because the second part is not "acid".

In the case of determining if it is an acid, tokenization makes implementing a lookahead parser simpler: one element lookahead instead of n character lookahead (because it is not yet known how long the first part of a string is in that case).

The tokenizers currently in use for error parsing may be able to be re-written in a simpler way using the new string type.

5.5 Ideas for Additional Features

Many ideas for new features are discussed from a programmatical perspective in the TODO file distributed with the program and as an appendix to this paper (Appendix C on page 27). Some of them are summarized here:

To complete the implementation of Science 10-level Chemistry, the program should be extended to recognize common reaction types and complete them. The current equation structure should be able support this use case. A new module would be written that reads the half-structure and re-arranges it to make another half-structure. The complete structure can then be used as is for display and balancing. The reaction type recognizer could be made more useful by integrating the reactivity series and informing the user if the reaction he/she is balancing is or is not likely to occur.

This program can also be extended to handle stoichiometric calculations, by determining the molar mass of substances, performing calculations with them both at the single-compound level and at the level of equations. The parsers will

24 5 DISCUSSION

have to be extended to support stoichiometric problems inputted in equations, for simple cases, but it may be necessary to build additional views and interfaces for entering calculations. The chemcli DSL (4.2 on page 18) could perhaps become a more useful tool if it can calculate using this information.

In order to handle more complex types of organic compounds, the program must be greatly extended to support manipulating structural formulas (when given a name as input), displaying diagrams of them and to support an input method for structural formulas. Since balancing and many other parts of the program operate using molecular or empirical formulas, a module can be written to "flatten" structural formulas, allowing for code to be re-used.

5.6 Further Research

5.6.1 Algorithms

As discussed in 2.1.2 on page 5 (Balancing by Trial and Error), the performance of the trial and error algorithm may be better than the system of linear equations algorithm for simple cases. It would be interesting to compare the algorithms using the inference testing method described in 5.2 on page 21. The performance of this algorithm will depend on the efficiency of a test for whether the equation is balanced or not. The ranges of complexity in which each algorithm performs better and the appropriate algorithm selected for a specific balancing.

If Chemlogic is re-implemented, with an initial tokenization step, the performance of the program (both using inference testing and wall-time measurements, if possible. ⁵) before and after the change can be compared. The cleanliness and simplicity of the code, in practice, should also be compared. Such a change may also improve the quality of the error messages.

5.6.2 Performance Analysis of the Whole Program

As described in 5.2 on page 21, performance analysis was performed mostly on small components of a program. But since changes in one part may affect another part, it will be useful to make comparisons over an entire program for a given input in order to find out if a certain algorithm is truly better or if it simply off-loads costs elsewhere.

5.6.3 Balancing for Complex Redox Reactions

For some difficult redox reactions, the currently used algorithm will produce a solution that satisfies the system of linear equations, and therefore is balanced, but is not actually what occurs when the reaction takes place.

This is because the system of linear equations has more than one solution, and the solver always picks the simplest form.

⁵Currently the individual algorithms in Chemlogic do not take more than 0.005 s to run. The new algorithm may perform in similar time, or the time may be affected by other factors.

Figure 13: Error messages for a missing charge on a multivalent metal

The element you have entered is multivalent. You must provide the charge in the highlighted space.

NOTE: Use capital roman numerals in parentheses e.g. (II)

(a) Current error message

The element you have entered is multivalent. You must provide the charge in the highlighted space.

Copper ions have the following charges: Cu⁺ — copper(I) and Cu²⁺ — copper(II)

NOTE: Use capital roman numerals in parentheses e.g. (II)

(b) Improved error message exposing chemical information

To correctly handle these types of equations, it will be necessary to find under what conditions this problem occurs. It will also be necessary to determine how to distinguish between the various solutions and determine the correct one.

It will perhaps be necessary to write a new algorithm for balancing, based on oxidation numbers or perhaps on half-reactions.

This algorithm will not be necessary in all cases. It may be possible to determine when to use this algorithm. Otherwise, a user-selected option will be necessary (the "Complex Redox" tab).

5.6.4 Messages and User Communications

The messages in Chemlogic have been written to explain, primarily, the specific syntax error a user is making and how the syntax error relates to Chemistry. The messages have been reviewed and were simplified, corrected or clarified according to advice.

The messages are written at a high-level, discuss the Chemistry in abstract and often refer to the idea of unnecessary or incorrect characters. Testing the error messages produced by the program with actual users (Science students) will make the program more useful as a means of reviewing Chemistry. It may be necessary, perhaps, to adjust the messages to make them more clear, given what triggers them most, in practice. Perhaps it would be useful to refer the user to sections from a textbook.

Improvements to the error handling functionality could allow for further distinctions in the errors triggered, beyond error code and token type. A particularly useful, but tedious, change would be to use templates to insert specific information about the problem in a given input (see Figure 13 for an example).

Acknowledgments

I am particularly grateful for the valuable assistance given by Mr. Peter Tchir, my Physics and Chemistry teacher, and now my teacher for the Computer Science IDS. His help and advice, especially with algorithms and his support for my Computer Science projects helped make this program possible.

I would also like to thank Mr. Dave Steel for letting me dismantle his computers everyday and use his monitors.

The interest, advice and testing of everybody who tried my program or asked me to explain it is greatly appreciated.

References

- [1] C. Holzbaur, *OEFAI clp(q,r) Manual Rev.* 1.3.2, (1995). 13
- [2] Nayuki Minase, Chemical equation balancer (JavaScript), 2013. 6, 13
- [3] L. Sandner, BC Science 10, McGraw-Hill Ryerson, 2008. 5
- [4] Markus Triska, DCG Primer. 7
- [5] Mark E. Tuckerman, Methods of balancing chemical equations, (2011). 6
- [6] Jan Wielemaker, Zhisheng Huang, and Lourens van der Meij, SWI-Prolog and the Web, Theory and Practice of Logic Programming 8 (2008), no. 3, 363–392. 18
- [7] Jan Wielemaker, Tom Schrijvers, Markus Triska, and Torbjörn Lager, SWI-Prolog, Theory and Practice of Logic Programming 12 (2012), no. 1-2, 67– 96.

A Obtaining Chemlogic

Chemlogic is available from http://icebergsystems.ca/chemlogic/. Chemlogic currently depends on SWI-Prolog (http://swi-prolog.org/) because it uses CLP(r), Qsave files and a few other features. See the README file distributed with the program for installation instructions. The installer can be used on any Unix-like system (Linux, BSD, Mac OS X) as is. The program itself will run on any operating system SWI-Prolog runs on (incl. Windows).

Chemlogic is an open-source program licensed under the GNU Affero GPL v.3 (http://www.gnu.org/licenses/agpl-3.0.html). Users of open-source programs are free to use, modify and distribute them even for profit. Copyleft licenses (like the one used for this program) also require that any changes to the program that are distributed must also be released as open-source software.

The AGPL requires that modified versions offered as a network service are also released as open-source software. If this requirement is inconvenient, please contact me.

B User Quick Reference

B.1 Formulas

Formula CH4, Na2CO3.10H20, (NH4)2SO4

B.2 Chemical Equations

Symbolic CH4 + O2 -> CO2 + H2O

Word methane + oxygen -> carbon dioxide + water

B.3 Chemical Names

Retained Names (preferred) water, ammonia

Ionic copper(II) chloride, sodium carbonate decahydrate, calcium chloride

Acids hydrocyanic acid, acetic acid, sulfuric acid, hypochlorous acid

Covalent carbon monoxide, trichloride triiodide, dihydrogen monoxide

Pure Substances/Allotropes copper, silicon, trioxygen, hydrogen (= H₂)

Common Names (accepted but not produced) baking soda, chalk, hydrogen peroxide

\mathbf{C} TODO

C.1 Science 10

Chemlogic currently implements most of the Chemistry relating to equations and compounds from the Science 10 curriculum. It is missing one major feature, however:

- Recognizing reaction types:
 - There are very many reaction types, but the program should recognize the most common ones (synthesis, decomposition, single replacement, double replacement, neutralization and combustion)
 - Probably another module will be necessary that uses equation structures, fits them according to the type and then fills in the products

C TODO

C.2 Chemistry features

• Support for structural formulas:

- The covalent parser will have to be extended very much, to handle structures of the compounds it supports
- The formula parser will need to have some sort of input and output representation for structural formulas
- Each output format will have its own ways of rendering structural formulas. This will have to be extended.
- A module will be needed to convert structural formulas to molecular formulas for balancing and other processes.

• Reactivity series:

After the types of reactions are recognized, it will not be too difficult
to implement knowledge about whether or not a reaction will take
place. The user can be informed about this when an equation is
balanced.

• Mole calculations:

- A field will need to be added in the database for atomic mass of elements.
- fact_dcg_translate will need to be extended to handle polyatomic groups/oxyanions
- Tabulate or possibly formula_normalize will be extended to calculate molar masses
- Allow parsers to accept information about stoichiometric problems to solve
- Implement information about mole bridges into the solver (probably a new module)

• More organic naming:

 It will be useful to implement organic compound naming at least for Chemistry 11 to 12.

• Complex Redox reactions:

- Sometimes, for a few very complex redox reactions, Chemlogic gives an answer that satisfies the system of linear equations (i.e. is balanced) but will not actually occur in real life.
- A new balancing process, with a separate module should be implemented. Perhaps based on oxidation numbers or half-reactions

C.3 Database 29

- Diagramming:
 - Show structural formulas of compounds
 - Bohr models, Lewis diagrams
 - Periodic tables?

C.3 Database

- Add many, many more elements.
- More polyatomic groups
- Write-up a large batch of common names
- Add atomic masses

C.4 Program features

- Extend the chemcli DSL to make it more useful.
 - Offer a way to query the chemical information database.
 - More constructs/operators.
 - A standard library?
 - This will all depend on the sorts of programs that someone will actually want to write
- Quiz program
 - Allow for questions to be generated with selectable options (the parser and perhaps major sub-parts)
 - Interactive and non-interactive usage depending on output format
 - Configurable marking (allow retry, show correct answer at end, etc.)
 - Possible to produce the same questions if passed the same seed. Some sort of intelligence, focusing on problem areas when giving questions.
- Expose the chemical information database.
- Better error messages for equation balancing errors
 - Test to ensure that all elements appear in both products and reactants
 - Perhaps explain why some charge shifts are unsatisfied
 - Explain which element makes the system unbalancable, if possible.

C TODO

C.5 Organization and Structure

• Take advantage of SWI-Prolog's new string type. This makes tokenization, concatenation and many other things more efficient.

- Chemlogic needs a simple tokenizer to break up chemical names and equations (especially)
- At minimum, it should split on spaces and remove extraneous spaces
- Potentially deal with character types?
- Potentially deal with the insides of parentheses?
- Rewrite the current error tokenizers to use the new and better functions
- Tokenizers make parser much, much nicer:
 - It is now quick and simple to see if something is an acid without having to go through all of the tests
 - It can be easy to distinguish between ionic and covalent
- Make the oxyanion functions less messy and hacky. There must be a better way to tell the user what's wrong with the oxyanion names.
- The ugly hacks around pure substances can be removed with a better tokenizer
- Some things will need to be renamed and reorganized
- Use more meta-programming to remove boilerplate code from the web interface.

C.6 Bugs

- The program will get very upset if a substance is repeated:
 - e.g. H2O + H2O -> H2O
 - There is not much of a valid reason to enter this, but the program should handle this correctly
 - An error message explaining that this is junk is probably a good idea