

## 5.82 cond\_lex\_greatereq

	DESCRIPTION	LINKS	AUTOMATON
Origin	Inspired by [437].		
Constraint	<code>cond_lex_greatereq(VECTOR1, VECTOR2, PREFERENCE_TABLE)</code>		
Type	TUPLE_OF_VALS : <code>collection(val—int)</code>		
Arguments	VECTOR1 : <code>collection(var—dvar)</code> VECTOR2 : <code>collection(var—dvar)</code> PREFERENCE_TABLE : <code>collection(tuple — TUPLE_OF_VALS)</code>		
Restrictions	$ TUPLE\_OF\_VALS  \geq 1$ <code>required(TUPLE_OF_VALS, val)</code> <code>required(VECTOR1, var)</code> <code>required(VECTOR2, var)</code> $ VECTOR1  =  VECTOR2 $ $ VECTOR1  =  TUPLE\_OF\_VALS $ <code>required(PREFERENCE_TABLE, tuple)</code> <code>same_size(PREFERENCE_TABLE, tuple)</code> <code>distinct(PREFERENCE_TABLE, [])</code> <code>in_relation(VECTOR1, PREFERENCE_TABLE)</code> <code>in_relation(VECTOR2, PREFERENCE_TABLE)</code>		
Purpose	VECTOR1 and VECTOR2 are both assigned to the $I^{th}$ and $J^{th}$ items of the collection PREFERENCE_TABLE such that $I \geq J$ .		
Example	$\left( \begin{array}{l} \langle 0, 0 \rangle, \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle, \\ \text{tuple} - \langle 1, 0 \rangle, \\ \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \text{tuple} - \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \\ \text{tuple} - \langle 0, 0 \rangle, \\ \text{tuple} - \langle 1, 1 \rangle \end{array} \right\rangle \end{array} \right)$ <p>The <code>cond_lex_greatereq</code> constraint holds since VECTOR1 and VECTOR2 are respectively assigned to the third and first items of the collection PREFERENCE_TABLE.</p>		
Typical	$ TUPLE\_OF\_VALS  > 1$ $ VECTOR1  > 1$ $ VECTOR2  > 1$ $ PREFERENCE\_TABLE  > 1$		

**Symmetries**

- Items of `VECTOR1`, `VECTOR2` and `PREFERENCE_TABLE.tuple` are [permutable](#) (*same permutation used*).
- All occurrences of two distinct tuples of values in `VECTOR1`, `VECTOR2` or `PREFERENCE_TABLE.tuple` can be [swapped](#); all occurrences of a tuple of values in `VECTOR1`, `VECTOR2` or `PREFERENCE_TABLE.tuple` can be [renamed](#) to any unused tuple of values.

**Usage**

See [cond\\_lex\\_cost](#).

**See also**

**common keyword:** [cond\\_lex\\_cost](#), [cond\\_lex\\_greater](#), [cond\\_lex\\_less](#), [cond\\_lex\\_lesseq](#) (*preferences*), [lex\\_greatereq](#) (*lexicographic order*).

**implied by:** [cond\\_lex\\_greater](#).

**Keywords**

**characteristic of a constraint:** [vector](#), [automaton](#).

**constraint network structure:** [Berge-acyclic constraint network](#).

**constraint type:** [order constraint](#).

**filtering:** [arc-consistency](#).

**modelling:** [preferences](#).

**symmetry:** [lexicographic order](#).

**Automaton**

Figure 5.206 depicts the automaton associated with the preference table of the `cond_lex_greatereq` constraint given in the example. Let  $\text{VAR1}_k$  and  $\text{VAR2}_k$  respectively be the `var` attributes of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  items of the `VECTOR1` and the `VECTOR2` collections. Figure 5.207 depicts the reformulation of the `cond_lex_greatereq` constraint. This reformulation uses:

- Two occurrences of the automaton depicted by Figure 5.206 for computing the positions I and J within the preference table corresponding to `VECTOR1` and `VECTOR2`.
- The binary constraint  $I \geq J$ .




Figure 5.206: Automaton associated with the preference table of the `cond_lex_greatereq` constraint given in the **Example** slot




Figure 5.207: Hypergraph of the reformulation corresponding to the `cond_lex_greatereq` constraint: it uses two occurrences of the automaton of Figure 5.206 and the constraint  $I \geq J$

