1134 AUTOMATON

5.138 elem_from_to

DESCRIPTION LINKS AUTOMATON

Origin Derived from elem.

Constraint elem_from_to(ITEM, TABLE)

Synonym element_from_to.

Arguments

Restrictions

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{required}(\textbf{ITEM}, [\texttt{from}, \texttt{cst\_from}, \texttt{to}, \texttt{cst\_to}, \texttt{value}]) \\ \textbf{ITEM.from} & \geq 1 \\ \textbf{ITEM.from} & \leq |\texttt{TABLE}| \\ \textbf{ITEM.to} & \geq 1 \\ \textbf{ITEM.to} & \leq |\texttt{TABLE}| \\ \textbf{ITEM.from} & \leq |\texttt{ITEM.to}| \\ |\texttt{ITEM}| & = 1 \\ \textbf{required}(\texttt{TABLE}, [\texttt{index}, \texttt{value}]) \\ \textbf{TABLE}.\texttt{index} & \geq 1 \\ \textbf{TABLE}.\texttt{index} & \leq |\texttt{TABLE}| \\ \textbf{increasing\_seq}(\texttt{TABLE}, [\texttt{index}]) \\ \end{array}
```

Let FROM, CST_FROM, TO, CST_TO, VALUE respectively denote the attributes ITEM[1].from, ITEM[1].cst_from, ITEM[1].to, ITEM[1].cst_to, ITEM[1].value of the unique item of the ITEM collection.

Purpose

Beside imposing the fact that FROM \leq TO and that both FROM and TO are assigned a value in [1, |TABLE|], the elem_from_to constraint forces the following condition: All entries of the TABLE collection from position $\max(1, FROM + CST_FROM)$ to position $\min(|TABLE|, TO + CST_TO)$ are equal to VALUE. When $\max(1, FROM + CST_FROM)$ is strictly greater than $\min(|TABLE|, TO + CST_TO)$ the constraint holds no matter what value is assigned to VALUE.

Example

```
 \left( \begin{array}{c} \left\langle \texttt{from} - 1 \ \texttt{cst\_from} - 1 \ \texttt{to} - 4 \ \texttt{cst\_to} - - 1 \ \texttt{value} - 2 \right\rangle, \\ \\ \texttt{index} - 1 \quad \texttt{value} - 6, \\ \\ \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \texttt{index} - 2 \quad \texttt{value} - 2, \\ \\ \texttt{index} - 3 \quad \texttt{value} - 2, \\ \\ \\ \texttt{index} - 4 \quad \texttt{value} - 9, \\ \\ \\ \texttt{index} - 5 \quad \texttt{value} - 9 \end{array} \right)
```

The elem_from_to constraint holds since all entries between position $\max(1, \texttt{FROM} + \texttt{CST_FROM}) = \max(1, 1+1) = 2$ and position $\min(|\texttt{TABLE}|, \texttt{TO} + \texttt{CST_TO}) = \min(5, 4-1) = 3$ are equal to 2.

20091115 1135

Typical

```
\begin{split} & \texttt{ITEM.cst\_from} \geq 0 \\ & \texttt{ITEM.cst\_from} \leq 1 \\ & \texttt{ITEM.cst\_to} \geq -1 \\ & \texttt{ITEM.cst\_to} \leq 1 \\ & \texttt{|TABLE|} > 1 \\ & \texttt{range}(\texttt{TABLE.value}) > 1 \end{split}
```

Symmetry

All occurrences of two distinct values in ITEM.value or TABLE.value can be swapped; all occurrences of a value in ITEM.value or TABLE.value can be renamed to any unused value.

Usage

Given an array t[1..n] of integers (i.e., an array of integers for which the entries are defined between 1 and n), the elem_from_to constraint is for instance useful for encoding expressions of the form $\exists i \in [1,n], \ \forall j \in [i+1,n] \mid t[i] = 0$. Note that, when the interval [i+1,n] is empty, the condition $\forall j \in [i+1,n] \mid t[i] = 0$ is satisfied and i is equal to n. This example is encoded by using an elem_from_to constraint and by respectively setting:

- FROM to i, where i is a variable that is assigned a value from interval [1, n],
- CST_FROM to constant 1,
- T0 to n, the index of the last entry of the array t[1..n],
- CST_TO to constant 0,
- VALUE to 0, the value we are looking for.
- TABLE to the array of integers t[1..n].

Finally, note that j is not used at all.

See also

common keyword: elem, element (array constraint).

Keywords

characteristic of a constraint: automaton, automaton without counters, reified automaton constraint.

constraint type: data constraint.

filtering: arc-consistency.

modelling: array constraint, table, variable indexing, variable subscript.

1136 AUTOMATON

Automaton

Figure 5.316 depicts the automaton associated with the elem_from_to constraint.

Let us first introduce some notations:

- $\bullet \;\; \mbox{Let} \; n$ denote the number of items of the TABLE collection.
- Let INDEX_i and VALUE_i respectively be the index and the value attributes of the ith item of the TABLE collection.
- Let FROM, CST_FROM, TO, CST_TO, VALUE respectively denote the attributes ITEM[1].from, ITEM[1].cst_from, ITEM[1].to, ITEM[1].cst_to, ITEM[1].value of the unique item of the ITEM collection.
- Let IN be a shortcut for condition $1 \leq FROM \land FROM \leq TO \land TO \leq n$.
- Let F and T respectively denote the quantities $\max(1, FROM + CST_FROM)$ and $\min(|TABLE|, TO + CST_TO)$.

To each septuple (FROM, TO, F, T, VALUE, INDEX $_i$, VALUE $_i$) corresponds a signature variable S_i as well as the following signature constraint:

```
 \begin{cases} & (\operatorname{IN} \wedge \operatorname{F} > \operatorname{T}) & \Leftrightarrow S_i = 0 \wedge \\ & (\operatorname{IN} \wedge \operatorname{F} \leq \operatorname{T} \wedge \operatorname{F} > \operatorname{INDEX}_i) & \Leftrightarrow S_i = 1 \wedge \\ & (\operatorname{IN} \wedge \operatorname{F} \leq \operatorname{T} \wedge \operatorname{T} < \operatorname{INDEX}_i) & \Leftrightarrow S_i = 2 \wedge \\ & (\operatorname{IN} \wedge \operatorname{F} \leq \operatorname{T} \wedge \operatorname{F} \leq \operatorname{INDEX}_i \wedge \operatorname{INDEX}_i \leq \operatorname{T} \wedge \operatorname{VALUE} = \operatorname{VALUE}_i) & \Leftrightarrow S_i = 3 \wedge \\ & (\operatorname{IN} \wedge \operatorname{F} \leq \operatorname{T} \wedge \operatorname{F} \leq \operatorname{INDEX}_i \wedge \operatorname{INDEX}_i \leq \operatorname{T} \wedge \operatorname{VALUE} \neq \operatorname{VALUE}_i) & \Leftrightarrow S_i = 4 \end{cases} .
```




Figure 5.316: Automaton of the elem_from_to constraint

20091115 1137




Figure 5.317: Hypergraph of the reformulation corresponding to the automaton of the $elem_from_to$ constraint