10003630 Pratyaya Chakrabarti

 ${\bf Employee\ Name: Pratyaya\ Chakrabarti Manager's\ Name: Ramesh\ Doraiswami}$ 

Goalsheet Approval Date: 26-Apr-2017

KRA Category : Process KRA Weightage : 20 \_

| Key Performance Indicator (KPI) description  | Unit | KPI<br>Weightage | Value | (1)<br>Unsatisfactor<br>y<br>Performance   | (2)<br>Needs<br>Improvement                | (3)<br>Good Solid<br>Performance           | (4)<br>Superior<br>Performance                    | (5)<br>Outstanding<br>Performance                             | Actual achievement of year end  | Appraisee comment on actual achievement |
|--|------|------------------|-------|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Delivery of CPD     & Oleo projects     & Oleo projects     OTIFCQ' - HP     Turbine, Palmitic     beads project, PET     filler at Daman,     Talc upgrade  | Text |                  |       | > 4 weeks delay or<br>5% added cost        | upto 4 weeks delay or 5% added cost        | On time within budget                      | reduction upto 4 weeks or 10% cost reduction      | reduction > 4 weeks or 10% cost reduction                     | The steam turbine project in Taloja was dropped due because unless the minimum gas demand was reduced //removed from the contract, the savings were not going add to the category profitability. Technical feasibility of the project was proven. Palmitic beads project was proven. Palmitic beads project was proven. Palmitic beads project was delivered to business 3 weeks behind schedule. However this was despite a significant delay at the suppliers end which the Project team managed to pull back by using their own contractor to augment supplier resources and keeping the rest of the site ready to ensure minimum time was lost after equipment was delivered on site. The ramp of capacity was satisfactory. PET filler was procured but RB decided to put the project on hold for 6 months. Talc upgrade was satisfactorily completed at Baddi. This was key to the "Green" rating for the JJRC audit. (3) | satisfactory                            |
| 2. Technology mapping of Taloja, Baddi and Daman operations and assessing alternative technology to reduce operating cost by atleast 25% (eg. PSM route for noodle, transparent soap, new products with surplus NG at Taloja) (5%) | Text |                  |       | 2 technologies scoped but improvement -15% | 2 technologies scoped but improvement ~15% | 2 technologies<br>scoped out and<br>costed | 2 technologies scoped out & costed, 1 implemented | >2 technologies<br>scoped out and<br>costed, 1<br>implemented | PSM route was scoped out for noodle manufacture. While it doesnot justify replacing the existing setup at Baddi, it certainly indicates that future investments should be using PSM technology. Going forward post GST we could consider noodle exports from Taloja in which case this would be the preferred technology route. The study also indicated potential for modifying the existing noodle plant in Baddi to continuous saponification route. As this would require a one-time investment of about 1.5 Cr, this will be actioned once there is clarity on manufacturing strategy post GST. Scoped out new products with surplus natural gas at Taloja   | satisfactory                            |

| disulfide, hydrogen peroxide, methanol etc). None of the projects has attractive return on investment. (3)   | Key Performance Indicator (KPI) description  | Unit | KPI<br>Weightage | Value | (1)<br>Unsatisfactor<br>y<br>Performance | (2)<br>Needs<br>Improvement        | (3)<br>Good Solid<br>Performance | (4)<br>Superior<br>Performance | (5)<br>Outstanding<br>Performance | Actual<br>achievement<br>of year end  | Appraisee<br>comment on<br>actual<br>achievement |
|--|--|------|------------------|-------|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| 3. Safety scores for PCP audit. Baseline for 1 factory done for both fatories for bo | Safety scores<br>for PCP audit.     Baseline for 5 key<br>areas agreed with<br>Sunil Katekari.     Scope Baddi and | Text |                  |       | Baseline for 1 factory done              | Baseline by MQ17 for both fatories |                                  |                                | both Daman and                    | peroxide, methanol etc). None of the projects has attractive return on investment. (3)  Format agreed for safety audit at Daman and Baddi. However when we carried out an audit in Baddi, we realized we need to do a lot of work at a structural level to build safety systems before the audit scores would be of relevance. We focused on HAZOP for the last quarter and got an expert to carry out the hydrogenation plant audit which set the standard for HAZOP | 2  |

KRA Category : Business KRA Weightage : 20 \_

| Key Performance Indicator (KPI) description                                 | Unit | KPI<br>Weightage | Value | (1)<br>Unsatisfactor<br>y<br>Performance | (2)<br>Needs<br>Improvement | (3)<br>Good Solid<br>Performance | (4)<br>Superior<br>Performance | (5)<br>Outstanding<br>Performance | Actual achievement of year end   | Appraisee comment on actual achievement |
|---|------|------------------|-------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| LTMS Development/Cape x proposal: time milestone (5%)                       | Text |                  |       | beyond Mar/17                            | 02/17                       | 12/16                            | 10/16                          | 08/16                             | The key variables for making a Long Term Manufacturing Strategy were (i) Baddi going out of excise in 2018 and the possibility of extending for 2 years in an adjacent site (ii) Feasibility of continuing manufacture in excise benefit areas of Jammu / Guwahati & (iii) the impact of GST. The fact that our LTMS was also subject to alignment with customers – primarily with J&J meant that customer alignment had also to be factored into the mix. A dynamic manufacturing site optimization model has been developed. Depending upon how the GST rules pan out for excise benefit areas, we would move to a centralized manufacturing model or a decentralized model. (4) | 4                                       |
| Delivery on<br>execution<br>milestones for<br>LTMS by March<br>31,2017 (5%) | Text |                  |       | <70% complete by<br>Mar/17               | 70% completed by<br>Mar/17  | 03/17                            | 01/17                          | 11/16                             | The basic model was ready by Oct 2016. Post that we have had several iterations with J&J on the feasibility of different options. Also it seems that the current model where we can  | satisfactory                            |

| Key Performance Indicator (KPI) description   | Unit | KPI<br>Weightage | Value | (1)<br>Unsatisfactor<br>y<br>Performance | (2)<br>Needs<br>Improvement | (3)<br>Good Solid<br>Performance | (4)<br>Superior<br>Performance | (5)<br>Outstanding<br>Performance | Actual achievement of year end   | Appraisee<br>comment on<br>actual<br>achievement |
|---|------|------------------|-------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
|   |      |                  |       |  |                             |                                  |                                |                                   | manufacture for multiple customers and avail of excise benefit – which can be passed on – will not be applicable post GST. Clarity on this will be available post May 2017.(3) |  |
| GST Readiness (to<br>be aligned with<br>Palo) (10%)                                       | Text |                  |       |  |                             |                                  |                                |                                   | Core team is working on GST implementation. Optimised business model will be clear once GST rules are finalized. We have worked out the different possible scenarios.(3)       | satisfactory                                     |
| - i. Transaction<br>readiness by Feb<br>17  | Text |                  |       | 90% ready by<br>Mar/17                   | 03/17                       | 02/17                            | 01/17                          | 12/16                             | COVERED<br>ABOVE   | satisfactory                                     |
| - ii. % of Optimised<br>Business Model<br>ready for<br>implementation by<br>March 31,2017 | Text |                  |       | <60%                                     | 60%                         | 75%                              | 85%                            | 95%                               | COVERED<br>ABOVE   | satisfactory                                     |

KRA Category : Business KRA Weightage : 20 \_

| Key<br>Performance<br>Indicator<br>(KPI)<br>description | Unit | KPI<br>Weightage | Value | (1)<br>Unsatisfactor<br>y<br>Performance | (2)<br>Needs<br>Improvement | (3)<br>Good Solid<br>Performance | (4)<br>Superior<br>Performance | (5)<br>Outstanding<br>Performance | Actual achievement of year end  | Appraisee comment on actual achievement |
|---|------|------------------|-------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Deliver ABP topline of 438 Cr (10%)                     | Text |                  |       | as per scale                             | as per scale                | 438 Cr                           | as per scale                   | as per scale                      | There was significant under delivery on top line at 303.74 Cr mainly due to lower volumes of soap (J&J 3000T, ITC 1000T, Amway 1000T, D'Mart & others 500T). Some of the customers like Curatio we were not able to satisfy with product quality, rest were on account of lower volumes at customer end. The Powder volumes had 3000T of volume which we were discussing about shifting from J&J Mulund to Baddi – which ultimately did not happen despite a "GREEN" JJRC rating. We did manage to make up some volume through Orillame. It was a deliberate call not to take noodle business unless we made a reasonable margin. Most of the RB orders were at price expectations were below our threshold margin expectations. Pasme for ITC. JLL noodles started from August hence there was some variance vs ABP. In liquids RB exceeded ABP numbers with strong H2 numbers | NA                                      |

| Key<br>Performance<br>Indicator<br>(KPI)<br>description | Unit | KPI<br>Weightage | Value | (1)<br>Unsatisfactor<br>y<br>Performance | (2)<br>Needs<br>Improvement | (3)<br>Good Solid<br>Performance | (4)<br>Superior<br>Performance | (5)<br>Outstanding<br>Performance | Actual<br>achievement<br>of year end   | Appraisee<br>comment on<br>actual<br>achievement |
|---|------|------------------|-------|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Deliver PBT target<br>of 34.26 Cr (10%)                 | Text |                  |       | as per scale                             | as per scale                | 34.26 Cr                         | as per scale                   | as per scale                      | while J&J volumes was 50% of previous year. (2) PBT for the year was 5.97 as against ABP target of 34.26Cr – a significant under delivery. While we did our best to reduce overheads etc, the gap of 37.5 Cr at GC stage reduced to 28 Cr at PBT. The analysis |  |

KRA Category : Business KRA Weightage : 20 \_

| Key<br>Performance<br>Indicator                                      | Unit | KPI<br>Weightage | Value | (1)<br>Unsatisfactor    | (2)<br>Needs<br>Improvement | (3)<br>Good Solid<br>Performance | (4)<br>Superior<br>Performance | (5) Outstanding Performance | Actual achievement of year end   | Appraisee comment on actual |
|--|------|------------------|-------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| (KPI) description  |      |                  |       | y<br>Performance        | Improvement                 | renormance                       | Performance                    | Performance                 | or year end  | achievement                 |
| Time milestone for Strat Plan (10%)                                  | Text |                  |       | <80% complete by Mar/17 | 80% complete by Mar/17      | 03/17<br>25Cr                    | 01/17 as per scale             | as per scale                | We started work on the strategic plan for 2020 in Sep / Oct. The objective was to identify the right partner who would help us identify the right partner who would help us identify the key areas to work on for the next 1-3 years. While we had discussion with a host of consultants including McKenzie, Tata Strategic, KPMG and others, we felt that we were probably better off working with some dedicated resources either internally or through summer interns. We have identified Nutrition and Health as a key area to focus but no significant progress has been made in terms of arriving at a business plan. Needs focus. (2) | 1                           |
| 2016-17 (new<br>business pipeline<br>for 17-18, signed<br>off) (10%) |      |                  |       | act por source          | ac por code                 |                                  | ac por code                    | to por source               | developed during the year was 7.5 Cr only. Syndet and Translucent were two areas of focus and we made limited progress in both. ITC noodles was also part of the plan but commercial negotaitaions didnot go through. Significant under delivery. (2)  |                             |

**KRA Category : People** 

## KRA Weightage: 20\_

| Key Performance Indicator (KPI) description Develop   | Unit | KPI<br>Weightage | Value | (1) Unsatisfactor y Performance  | (2)<br>Needs<br>Improvement     | (3) Good Solid Performance                | (4)<br>Superior<br>Performance            | (5)<br>Outstanding<br>Performance         | Actual achievement of year end  | Appraisee comment on actual achievement |
|---|------|------------------|-------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Succession Plan<br>for L-2 Reports<br>and put in place<br>mechanism for<br>Critical Positions in<br>Succession Plan<br>(10%)                |      |                  |       | Mar/17                           |                                 | Mar/17                                    |   |   | roles for CMB. Detailed succession plan has not been worked out yet.(2)   |   |
| Employee engagement study scores across CMB (5%)  | Text |                  |       | negative 10%                     | negative 5%                     | at par with last survey                   | +5%                                       | +10%                                      | Employee engagement scores for 16-17 was Contract Manufacturing function (79.4%) have higher engagement than organization (76.7%) on average score. Meaningtul Work (86.0%) scored the highest. Fairness (71.4%) scored the lowest. (3)   | 3                                       |
| Skill portability at<br>factories - production / mtc<br>skill portability,<br>perfume panel at<br>factories. Scope<br>Daman & Baddi<br>(5%) | Text |                  |       | <70% by DO17,<br>Daman panel WIP | 70% by DQ17,<br>Daman panel WIP | 70% by MQ17,<br>panels at Daman<br>set up | 80% by MQ17,<br>panels at Daman<br>set up | 90% by MQ17,<br>panels at Daman<br>set up | Some progress has been made in skill portability in Baddi. Now most of the changeover activities are done by production people and the critical machine settings are done by maintenance. Perfume panel is operational at Daman after training and certification from Jan Md Khan.(2) | 2                                       |