```
Kyle Tranfaglia
COSC311 - Lab03
Last updated 04/02/24
This program reads in two housing information data sets and does some
statistical analysis on certain attributes
import stats
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
Bejaia Region = pd.read csv('Bejaia Region.csv')
SidiBel Abbes Region =pd.read csv('Sidi-Bel Abbes Region.csv')
for fire and no fire
attributes01 = ["Temperature", "RH", "Ws", "Rain"]  # Attribute list
temp fire mean =
stats.mean(Bejaia Region['Temperature'][Bejaia Region['Classes'] == 'fire
'].values)
rh fire mean = stats.mean(Bejaia Region['RH'][Bejaia Region['Classes'] ==
'fire '].values)
ws fire mean = stats.mean(Bejaia Region['Ws'][Bejaia Region['Classes'] ==
'fire 'l.values)
rain fire mean = stats.mean(Bejaia Region['Rain'][Bejaia Region['Classes']
== 'fire '].values)
# Get not fire mean for each attribute
temp nofire mean =
stats.mean(Bejaia Region['Temperature'][Bejaia Region['Classes'] == 'not
fire '].values)
rh nofire mean = stats.mean(Bejaia Region['RH'][Bejaia Region['Classes']
== 'not fire '].values)
ws nofire mean = stats.mean(Bejaia Region['Ws'][Bejaia Region['Classes']
== 'not fire '].values)
```

```
rain nofire mean =
stats.mean(Bejaia Region['Rain'][Bejaia Region['Classes'] == 'not fire
'].values)
List of fire means and no fire means
mean fire = [temp fire mean, rh fire mean, ws fire mean, rain fire mean ]
mean nofire = [temp nofire mean, rh nofire mean, ws nofire mean,
rain nofire mean ]
Print both fire and not fire mean for each attribute
for i in range(len(attributes01)):
   print(attributes01[i] + "\nFire mean: " + str(round(mean fire[i],
ndigits=6)) + "\nNo Fire mean: " + str(round(mean nofire[i], ndigits=6)))
# Set up for plot
bar width = 0.35
index = range(len(attributes01))
# Set up and plot bar chart with both fire and no fire means
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.bar(index, mean fire, bar width, label='Fire', color='red', alpha=0.7)
plt.bar([i + bar width for i in index], mean nofire, bar width, label='Not
Fire', color='blue', alpha=0.7)
plt.xlabel('Attributes')
plt.ylabel('Mean Values')
plt.title('Mean Values of Attributes for Fire and Not Fire')
plt.xticks([i + bar width / 2 for i in index], attributes01)
plt.legend()
plt.tight layout()
plt.show()
 plt.ylabel('Mean Value')
 plt.xticks(ticks=range(len(attributes)), labels=attributes)
 plt.show()
```

```
plt.ylabel('Mean Value')
 plt.show()
Observations: Mean temperature during fire is higher than no fire, mean rh
during fire is lower than no fire, mean ws during fire is slightly lower
than no fire,
and mean rain during fire is significantly lower than no fire. Therefore,
we may infer that fire incidents might be associated with higher
temperatures,
occur more frequently during periods of lower relative humidity, have
minor correlation to wind peed yet may be associated with higher wind
speeds, and
occur more frequently during periods of lower rainfall.
# Task 2: Using the "Sidi-Bel Abbes Region Dataset," calculate and show
the median values of four attributes
attributes02 = ['FFMC', 'DMC', 'DC', 'ISI'] # Attribute list
Get median for each attribute
FFMC median = stats.median(SidiBel Abbes Region['FFMC'].values)
DMC median = stats.median(SidiBel Abbes Region['DMC'].values)
DC fire median = stats.median(SidiBel Abbes Region['DC'].values)
ISI fire median = stats.median(SidiBel Abbes Region['ISI'].values)
medians = [FFMC median, DMC median, DC fire median, ISI fire median]
List for all medians
print() # Spacing for ouput
Print median for each attribute
for i in range(len(attributes02)):
```

```
print(attributes02[i] + "\nMedian: " + str(round(medians[i],
ndigits=6)))
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.bar(attributes02, medians, color='skyblue')
plt.xlabel('Attributes')
plt.ylabel('Median Values')
plt.title('Median Values of Attributes')
plt.tight layout()
plt.show()
# Task 3: Using the "Bejaia Region Dataset", calculate and show the
25-percent, 60-percent, and 75-percent quantiles of four attributes
attributes03 = ["Temperature", "RH", "Ws", "Rain"]  # Attribute list
quantiles = [0.25, 0.60, 0.75] # Quantile list
temp quantiles, rh quantiles, ws quantiles, rain quantiles = [], [], [],
[] # Attribute quantile lists
# Get Quantiles
for i in quantiles:
temp quantiles.append(stats.quantile(Bejaia Region['Temperature'].values,
i))
    rh quantiles.append(stats.quantile(Bejaia Region['RH'].values, i))
   ws quantiles.append(stats.quantile(Bejaia Region['Ws'].values, i))
    rain quantiles.append(stats.quantile(Bejaia Region['Rain'].values, i))
attribute quantiles = [temp quantiles, rh quantiles, ws quantiles,
rain quantiles] # List for all medians
print() # Spacing for ouput
# Print median for each attribute
for i in range(len(attributes03)):
   print(attributes03[i] + "\nPercent Quantiles (0.25, 0.60, 0.75): ",
end="")
    for j in range(len(quantiles)):
       print(attribute quantiles[i][j], "", end="")
```

```
print() # Move to the next line for the next attribute
bar width = 0.15
index = np.arange(len(attributes03))
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) # Set figure size
# Set up bar plot of grouped bars for each attribute
for i in range(len(quantiles)):
   plt.bar(index + i * bar width, [attribute quantiles[j][i] for j in
range(len(attributes03))], bar width, label=f'{quantiles[i]*100}%')
plt.xlabel('Attributes')
plt.ylabel('Quantile Values')
plt.title('Quantile Values of Attributes')
plt.xticks(index + bar width * (len(quantiles) - 1) / 2, attributes03)
plt.legend(title='Quantiles')
plt.tight layout()
plt.show()
# Task 4: Using the "Sidi-Bel Abbes Region Dataset", calculate and show
the standard deviation values of four attributes
attributes04 = ["Temperature", "Rain", "BUI", "FWI"] # Attribute list
Get standard deviation for each attribute
temp std = stats.std(Bejaia Region['Temperature'].values)
rain std = stats.std(Bejaia Region['Rain'].values)
bui std = stats.std(Bejaia Region['BUI'].values)
fwi std = stats.std(Bejaia Region['FWI'].values)
stds = [temp std, rain std, bui std, fwi std] # List of all standard
deviations
print() # Spacing for ouput
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) # Set figure size
# Print standard deviation for each attribute
for i in range(len(attributes04)):
```

```
print(attributes04[i] + "\nStandard Deviation: " + str(round(stds[i],
ndigits=6)))
plt.bar(attributes04, stds, color='violet')
plt.xlabel('Attributes')
plt.ylabel('STD Values')
plt.title('STD Values of Attributes')
plt.tight layout()
plt.show()
# Task 5: Correlation between two attributes - Using the "Bejaia Region
Dataset", calculate and show the "correlation coefficient"
# between "RH" and the other attributes. Describe, if there is one, which
attribute has strongest positive and negative correlation with "RH"
attributes05 = ["Temperature", "Ws", "Rain", "FFMC", "DMC", "DC", "ISI",
"BUI", "FWI"] # Attribute list
print() # Spacing for ouput
# Print correlation coefficient between "RH" and each attribute
for i in attributes05:
   print(i + "\nCorrelation Coefficient: " +
str(round(stats.correlation(Bejaia Region["RH"].values,
Bejaia Region[i].values), ndigits=6)))
1 1 1
Using standard application, strong positive range is (0.7 - 1.0), strong
negative range is (-1.0 - -0.7)
Given these ranges, there is no strong positive correlation nor a strong
negative correlation
Strongest postitive correlation: Rain 0.329
Strongest negative correlation: Temperature -0.660
1 1 1
# Task 6: Assume you need to select some attributes or design some new
```

```
attributes06 = ["Temperature", "RH", "Ws", "Rain", "FFMC", "DMC", "DC",
"ISI", "BUI", "FWI"] # Attribute list
print() # Spacing for ouput
Get a list of each attributes correlation coefficent to fire and not
fire class
for i in attributes06:
   print(i + "\nFire Correlation Coefficient: " +
str(round(stats.correlation(Bejaia Region['Classes'] == 'fire',
Bejaia Region[i]), ndigits=6)))
   print("Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: " +
str(round(stats.correlation(Bejaia Region['Classes'] == 'not fire',
Bejaia Region[i]), ndigits=6)))
1 1 1
Correlation Coefficient Results:
Temperature
Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.101316
Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.241286
Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.029412
Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.174609
Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.097343
Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.091008
Rain
Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.06466
Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.678363
FFMC
Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.143801
Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.27639
DMC
Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.380186
Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.087554
Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.338891
Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.11344
ISI
```

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.196957

Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.143959

BUI

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.36975

Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.096064

FWI

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.289918

Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.110928

Top 3 Strongest correlations to fire: DMC (0.380186), BUI (0.36975), DC (0.338891)

Top 3 Strongest correlations to not fire: Rain (0.678363), RH (0.174609),

Recall observations from analysis if mean data:

WS (0.091008)

Mean temperature during fire is higher than no fire, mean rh during fire is lower than no fire, mean ws during fire is slightly lower than no fire, and mean rain during fire is significantly lower than no fire. Therefore, we may infer that fire incidents might be associated with higher temperatures,

occur more frequently during periods of lower relative humidity, have minor correlation to wind peed yet may be associated with higher wind speeds, and

occur more frequently during periods of lower rainfall.

Thus, using the following data, the best attributes to select in order to distinguish between "not fire" and "fire" events with the greatest accuracy is DMC, BUI, DC,

and Rain. Each of these attributes have fairly high positive correlation coefficients to a class relative to the other attributes. DMC, BUI, and DC all have

correlation coefficients over 0.33, which although is not a strong positive correlation, the combination of these attributes provides insight into a the likeness

of a fire event. Rain and not fire has the strongest of the correlation coefficents at 0.68 rounded which is nearly a strong positive correlation. Therefore, this

relationship indicates that the Rain attribute may be useful in determining a not fire event. In context of the attributes, DMC, BUI, DC, and Rain are all useful in

```
distinguishing between "not fire" and "fire" events with an element of
accuracy based upon correlation coefficient representations of
relationships, but given previous
analyses with mean, we can also make further insinuations. For instance,
mean temperature during fire is higher than no fire, mean rh during fire
is lower than no fire,
mean ws during fire is slightly lower than no fire, and mean rain during
fire is significantly lower than no fire. With this, we can derive that
fire incidents might
be associated with higher temperatures, occur more frequently during
periods of lower relative humidity, and occur more frequently during
periods of lower rainfall.
The difference in mean for Ws is not notable, although, we may be able to
use the small variation to help identify "not fire" and "fire" events.
Despite the
usefulness of the identified attributes, there were not any very strong
positive or negative relationships nor outstanding differences in mean
besides Rain and
Temperature such that introducing a new attribute that fits this criteria
may be significant in distinguishing between "not fire" and "fire" events.
The new attribute
stronger insight into potential "not fire" and "fire" events. In
conclusion, the most ideal
way to distinguish between "not fire" and "fire" events with the greatest
accuracy is to introduce a new attribute with a larger correlation
coefficient and/or
variation in mean such as geographic region or terrain type, yet combining
the existing correlated attributes including DMC, BUI, DC, Temperature,
RH, and Rain
may provide a good accuracy.
```

Task 1 and Task 6 responses (also in code as comments):

Observations: Mean temperature during fire is higher than no fire, mean rh during fire is lower than no fire, mean ws during fire is slightly lower than no fire, and mean rain during fire is significantly lower than no fire. Therefore, we may infer that fire incidents might be associated with higher temperatures,

occur more frequently during periods of lower relative humidity, have minor correlation to wind peed yet may be associated with higher wind speeds, and occur more frequently during periods of lower rainfall.

Correlation Coefficient Results:

Temperature

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.101316

Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.241286

RH

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.029412 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.174609

Ws

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.097343 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.091008

Rain

Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.06466 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.678363

FFMC

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.143801 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.27639

DMC

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.380186 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.087554

DC

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.338891 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.11344

ISI

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.196957

Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.143959

ВШ

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.36975

Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.096064

FWI

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.289918 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.110928

Top 3 Strongest correlations to fire: DMC (0.380186), BUI (0.36975), DC (0.338891) Top 3 Strongest correlations to not fire: Rain (0.678363), RH (0.174609), WS (0.091008)

Recall observations from analysis if mean data:

Mean temperature during fire is higher than no fire, mean rh during fire is lower than no fire, mean ws during fire is slightly lower than no fire, and mean rain during fire is significantly lower than no fire. Therefore, we may infer that fire incidents might be associated with higher temperatures, occur more frequently during periods of lower relative humidity, have minor correlation to wind peed yet may be associated with higher wind speeds, and occur more frequently during periods of lower rainfall.

Thus, using the following data, the best attributes to select in order to distinguish between "not fire" and "fire" events with the greatest accuracy is DMC, BUI, DC, and Rain. Each of these attributes have fairly high positive correlation coefficients to a class relative to the other attributes. DMC, BUI, and DC all have correlation coefficients over 0.33, which although is not a strong positive correlation, the combination of these attributes provides insight into a the likeness of a fire event. Rain and not fire has the strongest of the correlation coefficents at 0.68 rounded which is nearly a strong positive correlation. Therefore, this relationship indicates that the Rain attribute may be useful in determining a not fire event. In context of the attributes, DMC, BUI, DC, and Rain are all useful in distinguishing between "not fire" and "fire" events with an element of accuracy based upon correlation coefficent representations of relationships, but given previous analyses with mean, we can also make further insinuations. For instance, mean temperature during fire is higher than no fire, mean rh during fire is lower than no fire, mean ws during fire is slightly lower than no fire, and mean rain during fire is significantly lower than no fire. With this, we can derive that fire incidents might be associated with higher temperatures, occur more frequently during periods of lower relative humidity, and occur more frequently during periods of lower rainfall. The difference in mean for Ws is not notable, although, we may be able to use the small variation to help identify "not fire" and "fire" events. Despite the usefulness of the identified attributes, there were not any very strong positive or negative relationships nor outstanding differences in mean besides Rain and Temperature such that introducing a new attribute that fits this criteria may be significant in distinguishing between "not fire" and "fire" events. The new attribute may be something such as geographic region or terrain type which may give stronger insight into potential "not fire" and "fire" events. In conclusion, the most ideal way to distinguish between "not fire" and "fire" events with the greatest accuracy is to introduce a new attribute with a larger correlation coefficient and/or variation in mean such as geographic region or terrain type, yet combining the existing correlated attributes including DMC, BUI, DC, Temperature, RH, and Rain may provide a good accuracy.

Output:

```
Temperature
Fire mean: 32.886792
No Fire mean: 29.816667
RH
Fire mean: 62.90566
No Fire mean: 71.6
Fire mean: 15.45283
No Fire mean: 16.266667
Rain
Fire mean: 0.015094
No Fire mean: 1.131667
FFMC
Median: 84.85
DMC
Median: 13.15
DC
Median: 31.5
IST
Median: 4.6
Temperature
Percent Quantiles (0.25, 0.60, 0.75): 29 32 34
Percent Quantiles (0.25, 0.60, 0.75): 60 73 78
Percent Quantiles (0.25, 0.60, 0.75): 14 17 18
Rain
Percent Quantiles (0.25, 0.60, 0.75): 0.0 0.1 0.5
Temperature
Standard Deviation: 3.306765
Rain
Standard Deviation: 2.399314
BUI
Standard Deviation: 14.414859
Standard Deviation: 6.317002
```

Temperature Correlation Coefficient: -0.660151 Correlation Coefficient: 0.245774 Correlation Coefficient: 0.329163 FFMC Correlation Coefficient: -0.653153 Correlation Coefficient: -0.34708 DC Correlation Coefficient: -0.314271 Correlation Coefficient: -0.58641 BUT Correlation Coefficient: -0.338233 Correlation Coefficient: -0.476067 Temperature Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.101316 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.241286 RH Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.029412 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.174609 Ws Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.097343 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.091008 Rain Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.06466 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.678363 Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.143801 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.27639 DMC Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.380186 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.087554 DC Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.338891 Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.11344 ISI Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.196957

Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.143959

BUI

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.36975

Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.096064

FWI

Fire Correlation Coefficient: 0.289918

Not Fire Correlation Coefficient: -0.110928







