

ON 2-4-2-1 CODE (TABLE 2-3) [EXERCISE 2.9]

a) IS IT UNIQUE?

b) IF NOT UNIQUE, HOW MANY DIFFERENT 2-4-2-1 CODES ARE THERE?

	2	4	2	1
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1
2	0	0	1	0
	1	0	0	0
3	0	0	1	1
	1	0	0	1
4	0	1	0	0
	1	0	1	0
5	0	1	0	1
	1	0	1	1
6	0	1	1	0
	1	1	0	0
7	0	1	1	1
	1	1	0	1
8	1	1	1	0
9	1	1	1	1

 $2^6 = 64$ CODES

c) ARE ALL OF THESE CODES SELF-COMPLEMENTING?

IF NO, HOW MANY SELF-COMPLEMENTING CODES ARE THERE?

COMMENTS ON NUMBER REPRESENTATION CONVERSION

CONSIDER $0 \leq x < 1$

$$x_2 = 0,11101 = \frac{29}{32} = 0,90625_{10}$$

FINITE # DIGITS

$$x_{10} = 0,1 = \frac{1}{10} \Rightarrow 0,0001100110011\overline{0011}$$

REPEATS
INFINITE # BITS

\Rightarrow CONVERSION INTRODUCES
AN ERROR

[DECIMAL ARITHMETIC WOULD BE
PREFERABLE IN FINANCIAL
CALCULATIONS

[EARLY COMPUTERS WERE DECIMAL.
BINARY COMPUTERS, BEING MORE
EFFICIENT (FASTER & SIMPLER), ARE
DOMINANT.

HOWEVER, DECIMAL ARITHMETIC IS
MAKING A COMEBACK BECAUSE
OF IMPORTANCE OF FINANCIAL
COMPUTING AND MORE EFFICIENT
HARDWARE. BINARY ARITHMETIC REMAINS
MAINSTREAM

EFFICIENCY OF REPRESENTATION

OF BITS NEEDED TO REPRESENT
A SET OF VALUES

EXAMPLE: $0 \leq x \leq 10^6 - 1$

IN BCD: 6 DIGITS $\Rightarrow 6 \times 4 = \underline{\underline{24}} \text{ BITS}$

IN BINARY:

$$2^{20} - 1 > 10^6, \quad 2^{19} - 1 < 10^6 - 1$$

\Rightarrow NEED 20 BITS

BINARY REP. IS MORE EFFICIENT
THAN BCD REPRESENTATION.

WHY IS THAT?

EXAMPLE: a) SPECIFY A RADIX-3 FULL ADDER
- HIGH LEVEL

b) BINARY LEVEL

- GIVE SUM OF MINTERMS FOR EACH OUTPUT

c) ANALYZE THE COST. DISCUSS.

$$x \quad \begin{array}{r} 3^3 \quad 3^2 \quad 3^1 \quad 3^0 \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad 2_3 \end{array} = 35_{10}$$

$$y \quad \begin{array}{r} 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 1_3 \\ \hline 1 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 0_3 \end{array} = 16_{10}$$

RADIX-3
ADDITION
EXAMPLE

a) INPUTS: x_i, y_i, c_i $x_i, y_i, c_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$

OUTPUTS: s_i, c_{i+1} $c_i, c_{i+1} \in \{0, 1\}$

FUNCTION: $s_i = (x_i + y_i + c_i) \bmod 3 = w_i \bmod 3$

$c_{i+1} = (1 \text{ IF } w_i \geq 3) \text{ OR } (0 \text{ OTHERWISE})$

s.t. $x_i + y_i + c_i = 3c_{i+1} + s_i$

j	x_i	y_i	c_i	c_{i+1}	s_i
0	0	0	0	0	0
2		1		0	1
4		2		0	2
1	0	0	1	0	1
3		1		0	2
5		2		1	0
8	1	0	0	0	1
10		1		0	2
12		2		1	0
9	1	0	1	0	2
11		1		1	0
13		2		1	1
16	2	0	0	0	2
18		1		1	0
20		2		1	1
17	2	0	1	1	0
19		1		1	1
21		2		1	2

b) BINARY LEVEL

CODE FOR DIGITS

x_i	x_{i1}	x_{i0}
0	0	0
1	0	1
2	1	0

SIM. FOR y_i & s_i

NOTE THAT:

$(x_{i1}, x_{i0}) = (1, 1)$
IS A d.c.

ROW INDEX j CORRESPONDS TO $(x_i, x_{io}, y_i, y_{io}, c_i)$

- BECAUSE $(x_i, x_{io}) \neq (1, 1)$ AND $(y_i, y_{io}) \neq (1, 1)$

OUTPUTS ARE d.c. (NOT SPECIFIED) IN 14 OUT OF 32 ROWS

THE MINTERM MAP: m_i - i^{th} MINTERM

x_i, x_{io}		y_i, y_{io}, c_i							
		0 0 0	0 0 1	0 1 0	0 1 1	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 1 0	1 1 1
0	0	m_0	m_1	m_2	m_3	m_4	m_5	—	—
0	1	m_8	m_9	m_{10}	m_{11}	m_{12}	m_{13}	—	—
1	0	m_{16}	m_{17}	m_{18}	m_{19}	m_{20}	m_{21}	—	—
1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

THE TRUTH TABLE:

x_i, x_{io}		y_i, y_{io}, c_i							
		0 0 0	0 0 1	0 1 0	0 1 1	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 1 0	1 1 1
0	0	0 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 1	0 1 0	0 1 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0
0	1	0 0 1	0 1 0	0 1 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 1	1 0 1
1	0	0 1 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 1	1 1 0	1 1 0	1 1 0

$c_{i+1}, \Delta_{i1}, \Delta_{i0}$

- ① $c_{i+1}(x_i, x_{io}, y_i, y_{io}, c_i) = \sum m(5, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21)$
- ② $\Delta_{i1}(\quad) = \sum m(3, 4, 9, 10, 16, 21)$ ← SHARE
- ③ $\Delta_{i0}(\quad) = \sum m(1, 2, 8, 13, 19, 20)$ ← etc.

- ①: 9 5-INPUT AND GATES 4 MINTERMS SHARED
1 9-INPUT OR GATE
- ②: 6 5-INPUT AND GATES
- ③: 1 6-INPUT OR GATE
- + 5 NOT GATES

TOTAL:

17 AND GATES
3 OR GATES
5 NOT GATES