

Session 6

Validation of model assumptions

Matt Denwood

2022-06-09

Key model assumptions

The following model assumptions are critical:

- Consistent sensitivity and specificity across populations

- Populations are not based on a diagnostic test that is correlated with those used in the model

- Any missing data is missing completely at random (MCAR) or missing at random (MAR)

- Any between-test correlation structure is described (for ≥ 3 tests)

Types of missingness

MCAR: Missing completely at random

- There is absolutely no pattern to the missingness
- This is the best kind

Types of missingness

MCAR: Missing completely at random

- There is absolutely no pattern to the missingness
- This is the best kind

MAR: Missing at random

- There is a pattern to the missingness but we know what it is
- This is usually possible to deal with but needs some consideration

Types of missingness

MCAR: Missing completely at random

- There is absolutely no pattern to the missingness
- This is the best kind

MAR: Missing at random

- There is a pattern to the missingness but we know what it is
- This is usually possible to deal with but needs some consideration

MNAR: Missing not at random

- There is an unknown (or unrecorded) pattern to the missingness
- It is therefore possible that the prevalence is confounded with missingness

Missingness and template Hui-Walter

We can simulate MCAR data as follows:

```
set.seed(2021-06-30)
# Parameter values to simulate:
N <- 1000
sensitivity <- c(0.8, 0.9, 0.95)
specificity <- c(0.95, 0.99, 0.95)

Populations <- 2
prevalence <- c(0.25, 0.5)

data <- tibble(Population = sample(seq_len(Populations), N, replace=TRUE)) %>%
  mutate(Status = rbinom(N, 1, prevalence[Population])) %>%
  mutate(Test1 = rbinom(N, 1, sensitivity[1]*Status + (1-specificity[1])*(1-Status))) %>%
  mutate(Test2 = rbinom(N, 1, sensitivity[2]*Status + (1-specificity[2])*(1-Status))) %>%
  mutate(Test3 = rbinom(N, 1, sensitivity[3]*Status + (1-specificity[3])*(1-Status))) %>%
  select(-Status)
```

Now introduce missingness in all 3 tests:

```
missingness <- c(0.1, 0.2, 0.3)
data <- data %>%
  mutate(Test1 = case_when(
    rbinom(n(), 1, missingness[1]) == 1L ~ NA_integer_,
    TRUE ~ Test1
  )) %>%
  mutate(Test2 = case_when(
    rbinom(n(), 1, missingness[2]) == 1L ~ NA_integer_,
    TRUE ~ Test2
  )) %>%
  mutate(Test3 = case_when(
    rbinom(n(), 1, missingness[3]) == 1L ~ NA_integer_,
    TRUE ~ Test3
  ))
```

```
data %>% count(Missing1 = is.na(Test1), Missing2 = is.na(Test2), Missing3 = is.na(Test3))
```

A tibble: 8 x 4

##	Missing1	Missing2	Missing3	n
##	<lgl>	<lgl>	<lgl>	<int>
## 1	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	513
## 2	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE	210
## 3	FALSE	TRUE	FALSE	126
## 4	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	56
## 5	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE	54
## 6	TRUE	FALSE	TRUE	20
## 7	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	14
## 8	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	7

We can simply feed this data to `template_huiwalter`:

```
template_huiwalter(data, outfile="huiwalter_MAR.txt")  
## The model and data have been written to huiwalter_MAR.txt in the current working directory  
## You should check and alter priors before running the model
```

What does that look like...?

```
model{  
  
  ## Observation layer:  
  
  # Complete observations (N=513):  
  for(p in 1:Populations){  
    Tally_RRR[1:8,p] ~ dmulti(prob_RRR[1:8,p], N_RRR[p])  
  
    prob_RRR[1:8,p] <- se_prob[1:8,p] + sp_prob[1:8,p]  
  }  
}
```

```

# Partial observations (Test1: Recorded, Test2: Missing, Test3: Missing; N=56):
for(p in 1:Populations){
  Tally_RMM[1:2,p] ~ dmulti(prob_RMM[1:2,p], N_RMM[p])

  prob_RMM[1:2,p] <- se_prob[c(1,2),p] + sp_prob[c(1,2),p] +
    se_prob[c(3,4),p] + sp_prob[c(3,4),p] +
    se_prob[c(5,6),p] + sp_prob[c(5,6),p] +
    se_prob[c(7,8),p] + sp_prob[c(7,8),p]
}

# Partial observations (Test1: Recorded, Test2: Recorded, Test3: Missing; N=210):
for(p in 1:Populations){
  Tally_RRM[1:4,p] ~ dmulti(prob_RRM[1:4,p], N_RRM[p])

  prob_RRM[1:4,p] <- se_prob[c(1,2,3,4),p] + sp_prob[c(1,2,3,4),p] +
    se_prob[c(5,6,7,8),p] + sp_prob[c(5,6,7,8),p]
}

```

```

# Partial observations (Test1: Missing, Test2: Recorded, Test3: Recorded; N=54):
for(p in 1:Populations){
  Tally_MRR[1:4,p] ~ dmulti(prob_MRR[1:4,p], N_MRR[p])

  prob_MRR[1:4,p] <- se_prob[c(1,3,5,7),p] + sp_prob[c(1,3,5,7),p] +
                    se_prob[c(2,4,6,8),p] + sp_prob[c(2,4,6,8),p]
}

# Partial observations (Test1: Missing, Test2: Recorded, Test3: Missing; N=20):
for(p in 1:Populations){
  Tally_MRM[1:2,p] ~ dmulti(prob_MRM[1:2,p], N_MRM[p])

  prob_MRM[1:2,p] <- se_prob[c(1,3),p] + sp_prob[c(1,3),p] +
                    se_prob[c(2,4),p] + sp_prob[c(2,4),p] +
                    se_prob[c(5,7),p] + sp_prob[c(5,7),p] +
                    se_prob[c(6,8),p] + sp_prob[c(6,8),p]
}

```

```

# Partial observations (Test1: Missing, Test2: Missing, Test3: Recorded; N=14):
for(p in 1:Populations){
  Tally_MMR[1:2,p] ~ dmulti(prob_MMR[1:2,p], N_MMR[p])

  prob_MMR[1:2,p] <- se_prob[c(1,5),p] + sp_prob[c(1,5),p] +
    se_prob[c(2,6),p] + sp_prob[c(2,6),p] +
    se_prob[c(3,7),p] + sp_prob[c(3,7),p] +
    se_prob[c(4,8),p] + sp_prob[c(4,8),p]
}

```



```

# Partial observations (Test1: Missing, Test2: Missing, Test3: Recorded; N=14):
for(p in 1:Populations){
  Tally_MMR[1:2,p] ~ dmulti(prob_MMR[1:2,p], N_MMR[p])

  prob_MMR[1:2,p] <- se_prob[c(1,5),p] + sp_prob[c(1,5),p] +
    se_prob[c(2,6),p] + sp_prob[c(2,6),p] +
    se_prob[c(3,7),p] + sp_prob[c(3,7),p] +
    se_prob[c(4,8),p] + sp_prob[c(4,8),p]
}

```

NB: MMM combinations have been removed!

```
## Observation probabilities:
```

```
for(p in 1:Populations){
```

```
  # Probability of observing Test1- Test2- Test3- from a true positive::
  se_prob[1,p] <- prev[p] * ((1-se[1])*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3]) +covse12 +covse13 +covse23)
  # Probability of observing Test1- Test2- Test3- from a true negative::
  sp_prob[1,p] <- (1-prev[p]) * (sp[1]*sp[2]*sp[3] +covsp12 +covsp13 +covsp23)

  # Probability of observing Test1+ Test2- Test3- from a true positive::
  se_prob[2,p] <- prev[p] * (se[1]*(1-se[2])*(1-se[3]) -covse12 -covse13 +covse23)
  # Probability of observing Test1+ Test2- Test3- from a true negative::
  sp_prob[2,p] <- (1-prev[p]) * ((1-sp[1])*sp[2]*sp[3] -covsp12 -covsp13 +covsp23)

  # Probability of observing Test1- Test2+ Test3- from a true positive::
  se_prob[3,p] <- prev[p] * ((1-se[1])*se[2]*(1-se[3]) -covse12 +covse13 -covse23)
  # Probability of observing Test1- Test2+ Test3- from a true negative::
  sp_prob[3,p] <- (1-prev[p]) * (sp[1]*(1-sp[2])*sp[3] -covsp12 +covsp13 -covsp23)

  # Probability of observing Test1+ Test2+ Test3- from a true positive::
  se_prob[4,p] <- prev[p] * (se[1]*se[2]*(1-se[3]) +covse12 -covse13 -covse23)
  # Probability of observing Test1+ Test2+ Test3- from a true negative::
  sp_prob[4,p] <- (1-prev[p]) * ((1-sp[1])*(1-sp[2])*sp[3] +covsp12 -covsp13 -covsp23)

  # Probability of observing Test1- Test2- Test3+ from a true positive::
```

```
# "covsp13" <- 0
# "covsp23" <- 0
}
inits{
"se" <- c(0.99, 0.5, 0.99)
"sp" <- c(0.75, 0.99, 0.75)
"prev" <- c(0.95, 0.05)
# "covse12" <- 0
# "covse13" <- 0
# "covse23" <- 0
# "covsp12" <- 0
# "covsp13" <- 0
# "covsp23" <- 0
}

## Data:
data{
"Populations" <- 2
```

How to form populations

Clearly valid strategies:

- Temporal and/or spatial separation (e.g. farms)
- Experimental separation (different blocks of a trial)
- Separation based on testing other individuals within the same cohort (e.g. historical data)

...

Clearly invalid strategies:

- Grouping based on the results of a diagnostic test being evaluated in the same individuals

...

Consistent sensitivity and specificity

Strategies to verify this:

- Eliminate one test at a time and re-run the model (if ≥ 3 tests)
- Eliminate one population at a time and re-run the model (if ≥ 3 populations, or ≥ 2 populations with strong priors)
- Allow sensitivity or specificity to differ between populations (requires a lot of data) - see session 7

Making your data missing

If we have >2 populations *and* >2 tests then we can eliminate one combination at a time!

- This is a very useful form of cross-validation

Estimating the full model:

```
template_huiwalter(data, "model_full.txt")
results_full <- run.jags("model_full.txt")
## Loading required namespace: rjags
# Check convergence etc:
# plot(results_full)
# results_full

summary_full <- summary(results_full, vars="^s") %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  rownames_to_column("Parameter") %>%
  mutate(Model = "Full") %>%
  select(Model, Parameter, Median, Lower95, Upper95)
```

How can we make a specific population missing?

```
crossval_data <- data %>%  
  filter(Population != 1)  
  
template_huiwalter(crossval_data, "model_mp1.txt")  
results_crossval <- run.jags("model_mp1.txt")  
summary_crossval <- summary(results_crossval, vars="^s") %>%  
  as.data.frame() %>%  
  rownames_to_column("Parameter") %>%  
  mutate(Model = "MP1") %>%  
  select(Model, Parameter, Median, Lower95, Upper95) %>%  
  bind_rows(summary_full) %>%  
  arrange(Parameter, Model)
```



```
summary_crossval
```

##	Model	Parameter	Median	Lower95	Upper95
## 1	Full	se[1]	0.8319527	0.7842058	0.8741347
## 2	MP1	se[1]	0.8254890	0.7641334	0.8810267
## 3	Full	se[2]	0.9030504	0.8591725	0.9461805
## 4	MP1	se[2]	0.8941768	0.8392225	0.9449782
## 5	Full	se[3]	0.9422442	0.9046712	0.9742826
## 6	MP1	se[3]	0.9422391	0.8970631	0.9795005
## 7	Full	sp[1]	0.9599365	0.9381079	0.9790753
## 8	MP1	sp[1]	0.9711545	0.9389601	0.9977655
## 9	Full	sp[2]	0.9889766	0.9743898	0.9999972
## 10	MP1	sp[2]	0.9771286	0.9493351	0.9998517
## 11	Full	sp[3]	0.9486444	0.9218884	0.9734741
## 12	MP1	sp[3]	0.9457215	0.8980680	0.9867398

How many combinations of test missingness and population do we have?

```
all_combinations <- data %>%
  pivot_longer(-Population, names_to = "Test", values_to = "Result") %>%
  filter(!is.na(Result)) %>%
  count(Population, Test) %>%
  print()

## # A tibble: 6 x 3
##   Population Test      n
##       <int> <chr> <int>
## 1         1 Test1   420
## 2         1 Test2   370
## 3         1 Test3   331
## 4         2 Test1   485
## 5         2 Test2   427
## 6         2 Test3   376
```

How can we make a specific combination of test and population missing?

```
all_results <- vector('list', length=nrow(all_combinations))
all_summary <- vector('list', length=nrow(all_combinations))

crossval_data <- data %>%
  mutate(Test1 = case_when(
    Population == 1 ~ NA_integer_,
    TRUE ~ Test1
  ))

template_huiwalter(crossval_data, "model_mc11.txt")
all_results[[1]] <- run.jags("model_mc11.txt")
# Assess convergence and sample size!
all_summary[[1]] <- summary(all_results[[1]], vars="^s") %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  rownames_to_column("Parameter") %>%
  mutate(Model = "MC11") %>%
  select(Model, Parameter, Median, Lower95, Upper95)
```

```

crossval_data <- data %>%
  mutate(Test2 = case_when(
    Population == 1 ~ NA_integer_,
    TRUE ~ Test2
  ))

template_huiwalter(crossval_data, "model_mc12.txt")
all_results[[2]] <- run.jags("model_mc12.txt")
# Assess convergence and sample size!
all_summary[[2]] <- summary(all_results[[2]], vars="^s") %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  rownames_to_column("Parameter") %>%
  mutate(Model = "MC12") %>%
  select(Model, Parameter, Median, Lower95, Upper95)

```

```

crossval_data <- data %>%
  mutate(Test2 = case_when(
    Population == 1 ~ NA_integer_,
    TRUE ~ Test2
  ))

template_huiwalter(crossval_data, "model_mc12.txt")
all_results[[2]] <- run.jags("model_mc12.txt")
# Assess convergence and sample size!
all_summary[[2]] <- summary(all_results[[2]], vars="^s") %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  rownames_to_column("Parameter") %>%
  mutate(Model = "MC12") %>%
  select(Model, Parameter, Median, Lower95, Upper95)

```

etc...!

Are there any substantial disagreements:

```
bind_rows(list(summary_full, all_summary)) %>% arrange(Parameter, Model)
```

##	Model	Parameter	Median	Lower95	Upper95
## 1	Full	se[1]	0.8319527	0.7842058	0.8741347
## 2	MC11	se[1]	0.8203427	0.7631905	0.8771601
## 3	MC12	se[1]	0.8270192	0.7712301	0.8808156
## 4	Full	se[2]	0.9030504	0.8591725	0.9461805
## 5	MC11	se[2]	0.8944440	0.8398744	0.9435093
## 6	MC12	se[2]	0.8947427	0.8416583	0.9456916
## 7	Full	se[3]	0.9422442	0.9046712	0.9742826
## 8	MC11	se[3]	0.9460772	0.9062053	0.9788315
## 9	MC12	se[3]	0.9388482	0.8913510	0.9773950
## 10	Full	sp[1]	0.9599365	0.9381079	0.9790753
## 11	MC11	sp[1]	0.9705968	0.9382565	0.9968792
## 12	MC12	sp[1]	0.9649402	0.9385016	0.9904915
## 13	Full	sp[2]	0.9889766	0.9743898	0.9999972
## 14	MC11	sp[2]	0.9852935	0.9674093	0.9999823
## 15	MC12	sp[2]	0.9774681	0.9492737	0.9998708
## 16	Full	sp[3]	0.9486444	0.9218884	0.9734741
## 17	MC11	sp[3]	0.9503409	0.9161525	0.9813504
## 18	MC12	sp[3]	0.9528416	0.9181784	0.9860162

Practical session 6

Exercise 1

For this exercise you will need the 3-test, 3-population dataset provided as “anthrax.Rdata” under day 3. Here is what the data look like:

```
##           Population           PMB           AzureB
## Population_A:136 Negative:556 Negative:558
## Population_B:174 Positive:110 Positive:108
## Population_C:356
##           qPCR
## Negative:519
## Positive:147
##
```

We have the result of 3 anthrax tests on cattle carcasses from 3 populations:

- PMB (polychrome methylene blue) is a stain used to help detect the capsule of anthrax bacteria on blood smears
- AzureB is a similar stain that is easier to perform in low resource settings
- qPCR is a test for DNA of the anthrax bacteria

Summary

- Validation of model assumptions is essential but tricky
- Where we have 2 tests and 2 populations it is difficult to do anything other than biological justification
- Dropping one population/test at a time is a useful form of cross-validation if we have enough data
- Some further reading: Toft et al, STARD BLCM guidelines