Lecture 13 – Lazy Evaluation

COSE212: Programming Languages

Jihyeok Park



2025 Fall





- We learned two different evaluation strategies, call-by-value and call-by-reference, in the previous lecture.
 - Call-by-value (CBV) eagerly evaluates the arguments and passes the evaluated values to the function.
 - Call-by-reference (CBR) passes the references (i.e., addresses) of the arguments to the function.

Recall



- We learned two different evaluation strategies, call-by-value and call-by-reference, in the previous lecture.
 - Call-by-value (CBV) eagerly evaluates the arguments and passes the evaluated values to the function.
 - Call-by-reference (CBR) passes the references (i.e., addresses) of the arguments to the function.
- In this lecture, we will learn another evaluation strategy called lazy evaluation, while the previous two are called eager evaluation.
 - Call-by-name (CBN)
 - Call-by-need (CBN')
- LFAE FAE with Lazy Evaluation
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics

Contents



1. Lazy Evaluation

2. LFAE – FAE with Lazy Evaluation Interpreter and Natural Semantics

Function Application

Strict Evaluation for Values Addition and Multiplication Identifier Lookup Function Application (Cont.)

3. Call-by-Name (CBN) vs. Call-by-Need (CBN') Interpreter for Call-by-Need (CBN')

Contents



1. Lazy Evaluation

 LFAE – FAE with Lazy Evaluation Interpreter and Natural Semantics Function Application Strict Evaluation for Values Addition and Multiplication Identifier Lookup Function Application (Cont.)

Call-by-Name (CBN) vs. Call-by-Need (CBN')
 Interpreter for Call-by-Need (CBN')



So far, all the languages we have defined are based on the **eager evaluation** strategy; all the expressions are eagerly evaluated regardless of whether they are really needed or not.



So far, all the languages we have defined are based on the **eager evaluation** strategy; all the expressions are eagerly evaluated regardless of whether they are really needed or not.

Consider following two expressions in FAE:

```
/* FAE */
val x = 1 + 2;
val y = z + 3;
x * 2

// error -- free identifier: z
```





So far, all the languages we have defined are based on the **eager evaluation** strategy; all the expressions are eagerly evaluated regardless of whether they are really needed or not.

Consider following two expressions in FAE:

```
/* FAE */
val x = 1 + 2;
val y = z + 3;
x * 2
// error -- free identifier: z
```

```
/* FAE */
val f = x => y => x * 2;
f(1 + 2)(1 * 2 * ... * 10000000) // too slow -- unnecessary computation
```





So far, all the languages we have defined are based on the **eager evaluation** strategy; all the expressions are eagerly evaluated regardless of whether they are really needed or not.

Consider following two expressions in FAE:

```
/* FAE */
val x = 1 + 2;
val y = z + 3;
// error -- free identifier: z
x * 2
```

```
/* FAE */
val f = x => y => x * 2;
f(1 + 2)(1 * 2 * ... * 10000000) // too slow -- unnecessary computation
```

If we can **delay** the evaluation of expressions until their results are **used**, we can **avoid** unnecessary computations and errors.





So far, all the languages we have defined are based on the **eager evaluation** strategy; all the expressions are eagerly evaluated regardless of whether they are really needed or not.

Consider following two expressions in FAE:

```
/* FAE */
val x = 1 + 2;
val y = z + 3;
x * 2

// error -- free identifier: z
```

```
/* FAE */
val f = x => y => x * 2;
f(1 + 2)(1 * 2 * ... * 10000000) // too slow -- unnecessary computation
```

If we can **delay** the evaluation of expressions until their results are **used**, we can **avoid** unnecessary computations and errors.

This is called lazy evaluation!









```
val x = 1 + 2;

lazy val y = 5 / 0  // delay its evaluation until `y` is used

x * 2  // 6
```

and 2) parameters with the prefix =>.

```
// delay the evaluation of the second argument until `y` is used
def f(x: Int, y: => Int): Int = x * 2
f(1 + 2, { Thread.sleep(5000); 42 }) // 6
```





and 2) **parameters** with the prefix =>.

```
// delay the evaluation of the second argument until `y` is used
def f(x: Int, y: => Int): Int = x * 2
f(1 + 2, { Thread.sleep(5000); 42 }) // 6
```

The expression 5 / 0 throwing a division by zero error is not evaluated because the variable y is **not used**.





and 2) parameters with the prefix =>.

```
// delay the evaluation of the second argument until `y` is used
def f(x: Int, y: => Int): Int = x * 2
f(1 + 2, { Thread.sleep(5000); 42 }) // 6
```

The expression 5 / 0 throwing a division by zero error is not evaluated because the variable y is **not used**.

The expression { Thread.sleep(5000); 42 } taking 5 seconds to evaluate is not evaluated because the parameter y is **not used**.



Many programming languages support **lazy evaluation** for many reasons.

 Short-circuit Evaluation: It could avoid unnecessary computations for boolean expressions.

```
      true && ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero

      false && ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // false -- (5/0)<1 is not evaluated</td>

      true || ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // true -- (5/0)<1 is not evaluated</td>

      false || ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero
```





Many programming languages support **lazy evaluation** for many reasons.

 Short-circuit Evaluation: It could avoid unnecessary computations for boolean expressions.

```
      true && ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero

      false && ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // false -- (5/0)<1 is not evaluated</td>

      true || ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // true -- (5/0)<1 is not evaluated</td>

      false || ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero
```

(Note that the operators & and | are similar to && and || but do not support short-circuit evaluation in Scala.)

```
      true & ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero

      false & ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero

      true | ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero

      false | ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero
```



Many programming languages support **lazy evaluation** for many reasons.

 Short-circuit Evaluation: It could avoid unnecessary computations for boolean expressions.

```
      true && ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero

      false && ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // false -- (5/0)<1 is not evaluated</td>

      true || ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // true -- (5/0)<1 is not evaluated</td>

      false || ((5 / 0) < 1)</td>
      // error -- division by zero
```

(Note that the operators & and | are similar to && and || but do not support short-circuit evaluation in Scala.)

Most programming languages (e.g., C++, Java, Python, JavaScript, and Scala) support **short-circuit evaluation** for boolean expressions.



 Optimization: It could optimize the performance by avoiding unnecessary computations.

```
def f(x: Int, y: => Int): Int = if (x < 0) 0 else x * y
f(42, { Thread.sleep(5000); 42 }) // second arg. is evaluated
f(-7, { Thread.sleep(5000); 42 }) // second arg. is NOT evaluated</pre>
```



 Optimization: It could optimize the performance by avoiding unnecessary computations.

```
def f(x: Int, y: => Int): Int = if (x < 0) 0 else x * y
f(42, { Thread.sleep(5000); 42 }) // second arg. is evaluated
f(-7, { Thread.sleep(5000); 42 }) // second arg. is NOT evaluated</pre>
```

In fact, we already utilized lazy evaluation in our interpreter:

The second argument error(...) is not evaluated when env has the key for the string stored in x.



```
val nats: LazyList[BigInt] = 0 #:: nats.map(_ + 1)
// nats = 0 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
```



```
val nats: LazyList[BigInt] = 0 #:: nats.map(_ + 1)
// nats = 0 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(3) // 3
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
```



```
val nats: LazyList[BigInt] = 0 #:: nats.map(_ + 1)
// nats = 0 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(3) // 3
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(1) // 1
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
```



```
val nats: LazyList[BigInt] = 0 #:: nats.map(_ + 1)
// nats = 0 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(3) // 3
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(1) // 1
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(4) // 4
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: 4 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
```



 Infinite Data Structures: It makes it possible to define and manipulate infinite data structures.

```
val nats: LazyList[BigInt] = 0 #:: nats.map(_ + 1)
// nats = 0 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(3) // 3
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(1) // 1
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(4) // 4
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: 4 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
```

It is useful for **dynamic programming** (e.g., memoization) and **stream processing** (e.g., infinite data streams).



 Infinite Data Structures: It makes it possible to define and manipulate infinite data structures.

```
val nats: LazyList[BigInt] = 0 #:: nats.map(_ + 1)
// nats = 0 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(3) // 3
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(1) // 1
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
nats(4) // 4
// nats = 0 #:: 1 #:: 2 #:: 3 #:: 4 #:: (... - [not yet eval])
```

It is useful for **dynamic programming** (e.g., memoization) and **stream processing** (e.g., infinite data streams).

Many functional languages (e.g., Haskell) support it.

```
let nats = 0 : map (+1) nats
take 10 nats -- [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]
```

Contents



1. Lazy Evaluation

2. LFAE – FAE with Lazy Evaluation

Interpreter and Natural Semantics Function Application Strict Evaluation for Values Addition and Multiplication Identifier Lookup Function Application (Cont.)

Call-by-Name (CBN) vs. Call-by-Need (CBN')
 Interpreter for Call-by-Need (CBN')





```
/* LFAE */
val x = 1 + 2;
val y = z + 3;
x * 2
// error (FAE) vs. 6 (LFAE)
```

```
/* LFAE */
val f = x => y => x * 2;
f(1 + 2)(z + 3)
// error (FAE) vs. 6 (LFAE)
```





```
/* LFAE */
val x = 1 + 2;
val y = z + 3;
x * 2
// error (FAE) vs. 6 (LFAE)
```

```
/* LFAE */
val f = x => y => x * 2;
f(1 + 2)(z + 3)
// error (FAE) vs. 6 (LFAE)
```

There is no change in the syntax but we need to revise the semantics to support **lazy evaluation** rather than **eager evaluation**.





```
/* LFAE */
val x = 1 + 2;
val y = z + 3;
x * 2
// error (FAE) vs. 6 (LFAE)
```

```
/* LFAE */
val f = x => y => x * 2;
f(1 + 2)(z + 3)
// error (FAE) vs. 6 (LFAE)
```

There is no change in the syntax but we need to revise the semantics to support **lazy evaluation** rather than **eager evaluation**.

In LFAE, we want to **delay** the evaluation of **argument expressions** until their values are really **needed** for the computation.





```
/* LFAE */
val x = 1 + 2;
val y = z + 3;
x * 2
// error (FAE) vs. 6 (LFAE)
```

```
/* LFAE */
val f = x => y => x * 2;
f(1 + 2)(z + 3)
// error (FAE) vs. 6 (LFAE)
```

There is no change in the syntax but we need to revise the semantics to support **lazy evaluation** rather than **eager evaluation**.

In LFAE, we want to **delay** the evaluation of **argument expressions** until their values are really **needed** for the computation.

Note that the **immutable variables** (val) are supported as syntactic sugar of combination of function definitions and applications.

Interpreter and Natural Semantics



For LFAE, we need to 1) implement the **interpreter** with environments:

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = ???
```





For LFAE, we need to 1) implement the **interpreter** with environments:

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = ???
```

and 2) define the **natural semantics** with environments:

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

with a new kind of values called **expression values** for lazy evaluation.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathsf{Values} & \mathbb{V} \ni v ::= n & (\mathtt{NumV}) \\ & | \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle & (\mathtt{CloV}) \\ & | \langle \langle e, \sigma \rangle \rangle & (\mathtt{ExprV}) \end{array}$$

```
enum Value:
   case NumV(n: BigInt)
   case CloV(p: String, b: Expr, e: Env)
   case ExprV(e: Expr, env: Env) // for lazy evaluation
```

Interpreter and Natural Semantics



We need to keep not only expressions but also environments in the **expression values** for correct evaluation.





We need to keep not only expressions but also environments in the **expression values** for correct evaluation. For example,

If we pass only the argument expression y * 2, y is evaluated to 3 in the body of inc rather than 5.





We need to keep not only expressions but also environments in the **expression values** for correct evaluation. For example,

If we pass only the argument expression y * 2, y is evaluated to 3 in the body of inc rather than 5.

It means that we need to capture the current environment in the expression value similar to the closure value.

Function Application



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case App(f, e) => interp(f, env) match
     case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(e, env)))
     case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle \qquad \sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case App(f, e) => interp(f, env) match
     case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(e, env)))
     case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle \qquad \sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$

We want to **delay** the evaluation of the **argument expression** e_1 until the **parameter** x is used in the body expression e_2 .



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case App(f, e) => interp(f, env) match
      case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(e, env)))
      case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \ \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle \qquad \sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$

We want to **delay** the evaluation of the **argument expression** e_1 until the **parameter** x is used in the body expression e_2 .

Let's define an **expression value** $\langle\langle e_1, \sigma \rangle\rangle$ to delay the evaluation of the argument expression e_1 .



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case App(f, e) => interp(f, env) match
      case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> ExprV(e, env)))
      case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto \langle\!\langle e_1, \sigma \rangle\!\rangle] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case App(f, e) => interp(f, env) match
      case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> ExprV(e, env)))
      case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto \langle \langle e_1, \sigma \rangle \rangle] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$

Then, when they are actually **evaluated**?



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case App(f, e) => interp(f, env) match
   case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> ExprV(e, env)))
   case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto \langle\!\langle e_1, \sigma \rangle\!\rangle] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$

Then, when they are actually **evaluated**? It depends on our design choice!



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case App(f, e) => interp(f, env) match
   case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> ExprV(e, env)))
   case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto \langle \langle e_1, \sigma \rangle \rangle] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$

Then, when they are actually **evaluated**? It depends on our design choice! In LFAE, we will evaluate the argument expression e_1 when their values are really **needed for the computation**.





```
type BOp[T] = (T, T) => T
def numBOp(op: BOp[BigInt], x: String): BOp[Value] = (1, r) =>
    (1, r) match
    case (NumV(1), NumV(r)) => NumV(op(1, r))
    case (1, r) => error(s"invalid operation: ${1.str} $x ${r.str}")
val numAdd: BOp[Value] = numBOp(_ + _, "+")

def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Add(1, r) => numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env))
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v \end{bmatrix}$$
 Add
$$\frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

Is it okay?





```
type BOp[T] = (T, T) => T
def numBOp(op: BOp[BigInt], x: String): BOp[Value] = (1, r) =>
    (1, r) match
    case (NumV(1), NumV(r)) => NumV(op(1, r))
    case (1, r) => error(s"invalid operation: ${1.str} $x ${r.str}")
val numAdd: BOp[Value] = numBOp(_ + _, "+")

def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Add(1, r) => numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env))
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v \end{bmatrix}$$
 Add
$$\frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

Is it okay? No!





```
type BOp[T] = (T, T) => T
def numBOp(op: BOp[BigInt], x: String): BOp[Value] = (1, r) =>
    (1, r) match
    case (NumV(1), NumV(r)) => NumV(op(1, r))
    case (1, r) => error(s"invalid operation: ${1.str} $x ${r.str}")
val numAdd: BOp[Value] = numBOp(_ + _, "+")

def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Add(1, r) => numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env))
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v \end{bmatrix}$$
 Add
$$\frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

Is it okay? No! If evaluation results of e_1 or e_2 are expression values, we need to evaluate them to get actual values for addition.



```
type BOp[T] = (T, T) => T
def numBOp(op: BOp[BigInt], x: String): BOp[Value] = (1, r) =>
    (1, r) match
    case (NumV(1), NumV(r)) => NumV(op(1, r))
    case (1, r) => error(s"invalid operation: ${1.str} $x ${r.str}")
val numAdd: BOp[Value] = numBOp(_ + _, "+")

def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Add(1, r) => numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env))
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v \end{bmatrix}$$
 Add
$$\frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

Is it okay? No! If evaluation results of e_1 or e_2 are expression values, we need to evaluate them to get actual values for addition.

Let's define the strict evaluation for values to get its actual value.

Strict Evaluation for Values



The strict evaluation for values

- 1 evaluates the expression value to get its actual value, or
- 2 returns the value itself.

$$v \Downarrow v$$

$$\mathtt{StrictExpr} \ \frac{\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v}{\langle\!\langle e, \sigma \rangle\!\rangle \Downarrow v'}$$

$$\frac{}{n \Downarrow n} \quad \text{StrictClo} \ \frac{}{\langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle \lambda x.e, \sigma \rangle}$$

Since the evaluation of the expression value $\langle \! \langle e,\sigma \rangle \! \rangle$ may be an expression value as well. We need to recursively evaluate the expression value until we get the actual value (a number or a closure).

```
def strict(v: Value): Value = v match
  case ExprV(e, env) => strict(interp(e, env))
  case _ => v
```



```
type BOp[T] = (T, T) => T
def numBOp(op: BOp[BigInt], x: String): BOp[Value] = (1, r) =>
    (1, r) match
    case (NumV(1), NumV(r)) => NumV(op(1, r))
    case (1, r) => error(s"invalid operation: ${1.str} $x ${r.str}")
val numAdd: BOp[Value] = numBOp(_ + _, "+")

def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
    ...
    case Add(1, r) => numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env))
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v \end{bmatrix}$$
 Add
$$\frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

Now let's apply the **strict evaluation** for values to get the actual values of operands e_1 and e_2 for addition.





```
type BOp = (BigInt, BigInt) => BigInt
def numBOp(x: String)(op: BOp)(1: Value, r: Value): Value =
   (strict(1), strict(r)) match
    case (NumV(1), NumV(r)) => NumV(op(1, r))
    case (1, r) => error(s"invalid operation: ${1.str} $x ${r.str}")
val numAdd: BOp[Value] = numBOp(_ + _, "+")

def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
    ...
   case Add(1, r) => numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env))
```

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v \\ \hline \text{Add} & \frac{\sigma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow v_1}{} & v_1 \Downarrow n_1 & \sigma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2 & v_2 \Downarrow n_2 \\ \hline & \sigma \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2 \end{array}$$

Multiplication



```
type BOp = (BigInt, BigInt) => BigInt
def numBOp(x: String)(op: BOp)(1: Value, r: Value): Value =
   (strict(1), strict(r)) match
    case (NumV(1), NumV(r)) => NumV(op(1, r))
    case (1, r) => error(s"invalid operation: ${1.str} $x ${r.str}")
val numMul: BOp[Value] = numBOp(_ * _, "*")

def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case Mul(1, r) => numMul(interp(1, env), interp(r, env))
```

Similarly, we need to perform strict evaluation for both operands for multiplication as well.

Identifier Lookup



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
  case Id(x) => env.getOrElse(x, error(s"free identifier: $x"))
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{Id} \frac{x \in \operatorname{Domain}(\sigma)}{\sigma \vdash x \Rightarrow \sigma(x)}$$

We will not perform strict evaluation for the value of identifier lookup because we can just pass the value without knowing its actual value.

Function Application (Cont.)



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case App(f, e) => interp(f, env) match
   case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> ExprV(e, env)))
   case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto \langle\!\langle e_1, \sigma \rangle\!\rangle] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$

Function Application (Cont.)



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
   ...
   case App(f, e) => interp(f, env) match
   case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> ExprV(e, env)))
   case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto \langle\!\langle e_1, \sigma \rangle\!\rangle] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$

In the following example, the variable f has an expression value $\langle\!\langle \lambda x.(x+1),\varnothing\rangle\!\rangle$ rather than a closure value.

Function Application (Cont.)



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) => strict(interp(f, env)) match
    case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> ExprV(e, env)))
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{\sigma \vdash e_0 \Rightarrow \textcolor{red}{v_0} \qquad \textcolor{red}{v_0 \Downarrow \langle \lambda x. e_2, \sigma' \rangle} \qquad \sigma'[x \mapsto \langle \hspace{-0.04cm} \langle e_1, \sigma \rangle \hspace{-0.04cm}\rangle] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{\sigma \vdash e_0(e_1) \Rightarrow v_2}$$

```
/* LFAE */
(f => f(1))(x => x+1) // 2
```

It means that we need to perform the **strict evaluation** for the value of function expression to get the actual value.

Contents



- 1. Lazy Evaluation
- 2. LFAE FAE with Lazy Evaluation
 Interpreter and Natural Semantics
 Function Application
 Strict Evaluation for Values
 Addition and Multiplication
 Identifier Lookup
 Function Application (Cont.)
- 3. Call-by-Name (CBN) vs. Call-by-Need (CBN') Interpreter for Call-by-Need (CBN')



There are two different evaluation strategies for lazy evaluation.



There are two different evaluation strategies for lazy evaluation.

Call-by-Name (CBN) evaluation strategy evaluates delayed expressions **multiple times** if they are used multiple times (e.g., parameters defined with the prefix =>)



There are two different evaluation strategies for lazy evaluation.

Call-by-Name (CBN) evaluation strategy evaluates delayed expressions **multiple times** if they are used multiple times (e.g., parameters defined with the prefix =>)





There are two different evaluation strategies for lazy evaluation.

Call-by-Name (CBN) evaluation strategy evaluates delayed expressions **multiple times** if they are used multiple times (e.g., parameters defined with the prefix =>)

Call-by-Need (CBN') evaluation strategy is a **memoized** version of CBN, which evaluates delayed expressions only **once** at the first time they are used and then **reuses** the result (e.g., immutable variables (val) defined with lazy keyword).

```
def inc(x: Int): Int = { println("inc"); x + 1 }
lazy val x: Int = inc(1)
x + x + x + x + x + x // 10 and prints "inc" only once
```



In purely functional languages, CBN' is **equivalent** to CBN and only has **performance benefits** because it avoids unnecessary re-evaluations.





In purely functional languages, CBN' is **equivalent** to CBN and only has **performance benefits** because it avoids unnecessary re-evaluations.

However, with **mutation**, CBN' is **not equivalent** to CBN because it evaluates function arguments **only once** the first time they are used, and thus, it may lead to **different** results:





While the original LFAE uses **call-by-name** evaluation strategy, we can easily modify it to use **call-by-need** evaluation strategy as follows:

```
enum Value:
  case ExprV(e: Expr, env: Env, var value: Option[Value]) // For caching
def strict(v: Value): Value = v match
  case ev @ ExprV(e, env, v) => v match
    case Some(cache) => cache
                                     // Reuse cached value
    case None =>
                                     // The first use
     val cache = interp(e, env) // Evaluate the expression
     ev.value = Some(cache)
                                    // Cache the value
     cache
                                     // Return the value
  case => v
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
  case App(f, e) => strict(interp(f, env)) match
   // Initialize `value` with `None` to represent no caching
   case CloV(p,b,fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> ExprV(e, env, None)))
                       => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
   case v
```

Exercise #8



https://github.com/ku-plrg-classroom/docs/tree/main/cose212/lfae

- Please see above document on GitHub:
 - Implement interp function.
- It is just an exercise, and you don't need to submit anything.
- However, some exam questions might be related to this exercise.

Midterm Exam



- The midterm exam will be given in class.
- **Date:** 18:30 21:00 (150 min.), October 22 (Wed.).
- Location: B102, IT & General Education Center (정운오IT교양관)
- **Coverage:** Lectures 1 − 13
- Format: closed book and closed notes
 - Define the syntax or semantics of extended language features.
 - Write the evaluation results of given expressions.
 - Yes/No questions about concepts in programming languages.
 - Fill-in-the-blank questions about the PL concepts.
 - etc.
- Note that there is no class on October 20 (Mon.).

Summary



1. Lazy Evaluation

2. LFAE – FAE with Lazy Evaluation

Interpreter and Natural Semantics Function Application Strict Evaluation for Values Addition and Multiplication Identifier Lookup Function Application (Cont.)

3. Call-by-Name (CBN) vs. Call-by-Need (CBN') Interpreter for Call-by-Need (CBN')

Next Lecture



Continuations

Jihyeok Park
jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr
https://plrg.korea.ac.kr