Lecture 6 – First-Order Functions

COSE212: Programming Languages

Jihyeok Park



2025 Fall





- VAE AE with variables
 - Evaluation with Environments
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics





- VAE AE with variables
 - Evaluation with Environments
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics

• In this lecture, we will learn first-order functions.





- VAE AE with variables
 - Evaluation with Environments
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics

- In this lecture, we will learn **first-order functions**.
- F1VAE VAE with first-order functions
 - Concrete and Abstract Syntax
 - Interpreter and Natural Semantics

Contents



- 1. First-Order Functions
- F1VAE VAE with First-Order Functions Concrete Syntax Abstract Syntax
- Interpreter and Natural Semantics for F1VAE
 Evaluation with Function Environments
 Function Application
 Example
- 4. Static Scoping vs Dynamic Scoping Example

Contents



1. First-Order Functions

- F1VAE VAE with First-Order Functions
 Concrete Syntax
 Abstract Syntax
- Interpreter and Natural Semantics for F1VAE
 Evaluation with Function Environments
 Function Application
 Example
- 4. Static Scoping vs Dynamic Scoping Example

First-Order Functions



Let's calculate the square of several numbers in Scala.

```
3 * 3 // 9
42 * 42 // 1764
2434 * 2434 // 5925796
```





Let's calculate the square of several numbers in Scala.

```
3 * 3 // 9
42 * 42 // 1764
2434 * 2434 // 5925796
```

With a **first-order function**, we can avoid the repetition of the code.

```
// A `square` function that takes an integer `n` and returns its square.
def square(n: Int): Int = n * n

square(3)  // 9
square(42)  // 1764
square(2434) // 5924356
```

A **first-order function** consists of 1) a function name, 2) a list of parameters, and 3) a function body, and it can be **invoked** with arguments.

First-Order Functions



Most programming languages support first-order functions.

• Scala

```
def square(n: Int): Int = n * n
square(3) // 9
```

• Python

```
def square(n): return n * n
square(3) # 9
```

• C++

```
int square(int n) { return n * n; }
square(3) // 9
```

• Rust

```
fn square(n: i32) -> i32 { return n * n; } square(3) // 9
```

•

Contents



- 1. First-Order Functions
- F1VAE VAE with First-Order Functions
 Concrete Syntax
 Abstract Syntax
- Interpreter and Natural Semantics for F1VAE Evaluation with Function Environments Function Application Example
- 4. Static Scoping vs Dynamic Scoping Example





```
/* F1VAE */
def square(n) = n * n;
square(3) + 2 // 11
```

```
/* F1VAE */
def add3(n) = n + 3;
def mul2(m) = m * 2;
mul2(add3(4)) // 14
```

F1VAE – VAE with First-Order Functions



```
/* F1VAE */
def square(n) = n * n;
square(3) + 2 // 11
```

```
/* F1VAE */
def add3(n) = n + 3;
def mul2(m) = m * 2;
mul2(add3(4)) // 14
```

- An F1VAE program is a pair of
 - 1 a list of function definitions
 - 2 an expression

F1VAE – VAE with First-Order Functions



```
/* F1VAE */
def square(n) = n * n;
square(3) + 2 // 11
```

```
/* F1VAE */
def add3(n) = n + 3;
def mul2(m) = m * 2;
mul2(add3(4)) // 14
```

- An F1VAE program is a pair of
 - 1 a list of function definitions
 - 2 an expression
- A function definition in F1VAE only has a single parameter.

F1VAE – VAE with First-Order Functions



```
/* F1VAE */
def square(n) = n * n;
square(3) + 2 // 11
```

```
/* F1VAE */
def add3(n) = n + 3;
def mul2(m) = m * 2;
mul2(add3(4)) // 14
```

- An F1VAE program is a pair of
 - 1 a list of function definitions
 - 2 an expression
- A function definition in F1VAE only has a single parameter.
- We extend **expressions** with **function applications**.

Concrete Syntax



Let's define the **concrete syntax** of F1VAE in BNF:

- An F1VAE program is a pair of
 - 1 a list of function definitions
 - 2 an expression
- A function definition in F1VAE only has a single parameter.
- We extend **expressions** with **function applications**.

Concrete Syntax



Let's define the concrete syntax of F1VAE in BNF:

- An F1VAE **program** is a pair of
 - 1 a list of function definitions
 - 2 an expression
- A function definition in F1VAE only has a single parameter.
- We extend **expressions** with **function applications**.

Abstract Syntax



Let's define the **abstract syntax** of F1VAE in BNF and its **ADT** in Scala:





Let's define the **abstract syntax** of F1VAE in BNF and its **ADT** in Scala:

```
// programs
case class Program(fdefs: List[FunDef], expr: Expr)
// function definitions
case class FunDef(name: String, param: String, body: Expr)
// expressions
enum Expr:
...
case Val(name: String, init: Expr, body: Expr)
case Id(name: String)
case App(fname: String, arg: Expr) // function application
```





For example, let's **parse** the following F1VAE program:

```
/* F1VAE */
def add3(n) = n + 3;
def mul2(m) = m * 2;
mul2(add3(4))
```

Then, the following **abstract syntax tree (AST)** is produced:

```
Program(
   List(
    FunDef("add3", "n", Add(Id("n"), Num(3))),
    FunDef("mul2", "m", Mul(Id("m"), Num(2)))
   ),
   App("mul2", App("add3", Num(4)))
)
```

Contents



- 1. First-Order Functions
- F1VAE VAE with First-Order Functions Concrete Syntax Abstract Syntax
- Interpreter and Natural Semantics for F1VAE
 Evaluation with Function Environments
 Function Application
 Example
- 4. Static Scoping vs Dynamic Scoping Example

Evaluation with Function Environments



Let's evaluate the following F1VAE program:

```
/* F1VAE */
def add3(n) = n + 3;
def mul2(m) = m * 2;
mul2(add3(4)) // 14
```

Evaluation with Function Environments



Let's evaluate the following F1VAE program:

```
/* F1VAE */
def add3(n) = n + 3;
def mul2(m) = m * 2;
mul2(add3(4)) // 14
```

How to find the function definition of add3 or mul2?





Let's evaluate the following F1VAE program:

```
/* F1VAE */
def add3(n) = n + 3;
def mul2(m) = m * 2;
mul2(add3(4)) // 14
```

How to find the function definition of add3 or mul2?

We need to construct a **function environment** that maps function names to function definitions from the **list of function definitions** in a program.

$$[\mathtt{add3}\mapsto f_0,\mathtt{mul2}\mapsto f_1]$$

where

$$f_0 = \text{def add3}(n) = n + 3$$

 $f_1 = \text{def mul2}(m) = m * 2$





For VAE, the interpreter takes an **expression** e with an **environment** σ and returns a number n as the result.

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$





For VAE, the interpreter takes an **expression** e with an **environment** σ and returns a number n as the result.

$$\sigma \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

Now, we extend it to take a **function environment** Λ , a mapping from function names to function definitions, as well:

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

Constructing Function Environments



We have a **list of function definitions** in an F1VAE program, not a **function environment**, a mapping from function names to definitions.

```
case class Program(fdefs: List[FunDef], expr: Expr)
type FEnv = Map[String, FunDef]
```

How to construct a FEnv from a List[FunDef]?





We have a **list of function definitions** in an F1VAE program, not a **function environment**, a mapping from function names to definitions.

```
case class Program(fdefs: List[FunDef], expr: Expr)
type FEnv = Map[String, FunDef]
```

How to construct a FEnv from a List [FunDef]?

```
def createFEnv(fdefs: List[FunDef]): FEnv =
  fdefs.foldLeft(Map.empty)((fenv: FEnv, fdef: FunDef) => {
    val fname: String = fdef.name
    if (fenv.contains(fname)) error(s"duplicate function: $fname")
    else fenv + (fname -> fdef)
})
```

Constructing Function Environments



We have a **list of function definitions** in an F1VAE program, not a **function environment**, a mapping from function names to definitions.

```
case class Program(fdefs: List[FunDef], expr: Expr)
type FEnv = Map[String, FunDef]
```

How to construct a FEnv from a List[FunDef]?

```
def createFEnv(fdefs: List[FunDef]): FEnv =
  fdefs.foldLeft(Map.empty)((fenv: FEnv, fdef: FunDef) => {
    val fname: String = fdef.name
    if (fenv.contains(fname)) error(s"duplicate function: $fname")
    else fenv + (fname -> fdef)
})
```

It will throw an error if there are duplicate function names:

```
createFEvn(List(
  FunDef("add3", "n", Add(Id("n"), Num(3))),
  FunDef("add3", "n", Add(Num(3), Id("n"))),
)) // error: duplicate function: add3
```

Interpreter and Natural Semantics for F1VAE



For F1VAE, we need to 1) implement the **interpreter**:

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = ???
```

Interpreter and Natural Semantics for F1VAE



For F1VAE, we need to 1) implement the **interpreter**:

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = ???
```

and 2) define the **natural semantics** with environments and **function environments**:

$$\boxed{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Numbers} & n \in \mathbb{Z} & (\texttt{BigInt}) \\ \text{Identifiers} & x \in \mathbb{X} & (\texttt{String}) \\ \text{Environments} & \sigma \in \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \mathbb{Z} & (\texttt{Env}) \\ \text{Function Environments} & \Lambda \in \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \mathbb{F} & (\texttt{FEnv}) \end{array}$$



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) => ???
```

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

App
$$\frac{???}{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow ???}$$



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) =>
    val fdef = fenv.getOrElse(f, error(s"unknown function: $f"))
    ...
```

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

$$\text{App} \ \frac{x_0 \in \mathsf{Domain}(\Lambda) \qquad \Lambda(x_0) = \mathsf{def} \ x_0(x_1) = e_2}{\cdots}$$

$$\frac{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow \ref{eq:constraint}}{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow \ref{eq:constraint}}$$



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) =>
    val fdef = fenv.getOrElse(f, error(s"unknown function: $f"))
    ... interp(e, env, fenv) ...
```

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{x_0 \in \operatorname{Domain}(\Lambda) \qquad \Lambda(x_0) = \operatorname{def} \ x_0(x_1) = e_2}{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1} \qquad \dots \\ \sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow \ref{eq:constraint}$$



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) =>
    val fdef = fenv.getOrElse(f, error(s"unknown function: $f"))
    ... Map(fdef.param -> interp(e, env, fenv)) ...
```

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{ x_0 \in \operatorname{Domain}(\Lambda) \qquad \Lambda(x_0) = \operatorname{def} \ x_0(x_1) = e_2 }{ \sigma, \Lambda \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad \ldots \left[x_1 \mapsto n_1 \right] \ldots }$$

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow n_2$$



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) =>
    val fdef = fenv.getOrElse(f, error(s"unknown function: $f"))
    interp(fdef.body, Map(fdef.param -> interp(e, env, fenv)), fenv)
```

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

$$\operatorname{App} \begin{array}{c} x_0 \in \operatorname{Domain}(\Lambda) & \Lambda(x_0) = \operatorname{def} \ x_0(x_1) = e_2 \\ \sigma, \Lambda \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 & [x_1 \mapsto n_1], \Lambda \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2 \\ \hline \sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow n_2 \end{array}$$



```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) =>
    val fdef = fenv.getOrElse(f, error(s"unknown function: $f"))
    interp(fdef.body, Map(fdef.param -> interp(e, env, fenv)), fenv)
```

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{ \begin{array}{ccc} x_0 \in \operatorname{Domain}(\Lambda) & \Lambda(x_0) = \operatorname{def} \ x_0(x_1) = e_2 \\ \sigma, \Lambda \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 & [x_1 \mapsto n_1], \Lambda \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2 \\ \hline \sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow n_2 \end{array} }$$

We skip the other cases because they are only augmented with passing function environments. If you are interested, please refer to this spec:

https://github.com/ku-plrg-classroom/docs/blob/main/cose212/f1vae/f1vae-spec.pdf

Example



```
/* F1VAE */
def inc(x) = x + 1;
inc(2) // 3
```

Let's evaluate the above F1VAE program:

$$\text{APP} \ \frac{\Lambda(\texttt{inc}) = f}{} \ \frac{\text{Num}}{\varnothing, \Lambda \vdash 2 \Rightarrow 2} \ \frac{\text{Id}}{\varnothing, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 2} \frac{\frac{\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{Domain}(\sigma)}{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 2}}{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} + 1 \Rightarrow 3} \\ \varnothing, \Lambda \vdash \mathsf{inc}(2) \Rightarrow 3$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Lambda & = & [\operatorname{inc} \mapsto f] \\ f & = & \operatorname{def} \operatorname{inc}(\mathtt{x}) = \mathtt{x} + 1 \\ \sigma & = & [\mathtt{x} \mapsto 2] \end{array}$$

Contents



- 1. First-Order Functions
- F1VAE VAE with First-Order Functions Concrete Syntax Abstract Syntax
- Interpreter and Natural Semantics for F1VAE Evaluation with Function Environments Function Application Example
- 4. Static Scoping vs Dynamic Scoping Example





The current semantics is called **static scoping** (or **lexical scoping**) because a binding occurrence is determined statically without considering the function application but only the function definition.





The current semantics is called **static scoping** (or **lexical scoping**) because a binding occurrence is determined statically without considering the function application but only the function definition.

However, we can define the semantics of F1VAE in another way by using the **dynamic scoping** instead; a binding occurrence is determined dynamically when function application is executed:





We can design and implement the semantics of F1VAE with the **dynamic scoping** by changing the definition of the function application:

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) =>
    val fdef = fenv.getOrElse(f, error(s"unknown function: $f"))
    interp(fdef.body, env + (fdef.param -> interp(e, env, fenv)), fenv)
```

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{x_0 \in \operatorname{Domain}(\Lambda) \qquad \Lambda(x_0) = \operatorname{def} \ x_0(x_1) = e_2}{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1} \frac{\sigma[x_1 \mapsto n_1], \Lambda \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow n_2}$$





We can design and implement the semantics of F1VAE with the **dynamic scoping** by changing the definition of the function application:

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, e) =>
    val fdef = fenv.getOrElse(f, error(s"unknown function: $f"))
    interp(fdef.body, env + (fdef.param -> interp(e, env, fenv)), fenv)
```

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{ \begin{array}{ccc} x_0 \in \operatorname{Domain}(\Lambda) & \Lambda(x_0) = \operatorname{def} \ x_0(x_1) = e_2 \\ \sigma, \Lambda \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 & \sigma[x_1 \mapsto n_1], \Lambda \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2 \\ \hline \sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow n_2 \end{array} }$$

However, we will use the **static scoping** by default in this course.

Example



```
/* F1VAE */
def add(x) = x + y;
val y = 2; add(1)
```

If we evaluate the above F1VAE program with a **static scoping**:

$$\underset{\text{VAL}}{\text{NUM}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash x \Rightarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{y} \not\in \text{Domain}(\sigma_{1})}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash x \Rightarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{y} \not\in \text{Domain}(\sigma_{1})}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash x \Rightarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash x \Rightarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash x \Rightarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash x \Rightarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash x \Rightarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash x \Rightarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash x \Rightarrow 1} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda \vdash y \Rightarrow \text{FAIL}} \frac{\int\limits_{\Delta} \frac{\text{NDM}}{\sigma_{1}, \Lambda$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Lambda & = & [\operatorname{add} \mapsto f] \\ f & = & \operatorname{def} \operatorname{add}(\mathtt{x}) = \mathtt{x} + \mathtt{y} \\ \sigma_0 & = & [\mathtt{y} \mapsto 2] \\ \sigma_1 & = & [\mathtt{x} \mapsto 1] \end{array}$$

it cannot be evaluated because y is a free variable in the add function.

Example



```
/* F1VAE */
def add(x) = x + y;
val y = 2; add(1)
```

However, if we evaluate it with a dynamic scoping:

$$\text{NUM} \underbrace{\frac{\text{ID}}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1}}_{\text{VAL}} \underbrace{\frac{\text{ID}}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1}}_{\text{APP}} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash 1 \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{x} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 2}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_1, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 3}}_{\text{OQ}, \Lambda \vdash \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow 1} \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{y} \in \text{Domain}(\sigma_1)}{\sigma$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Lambda & = & [\mathtt{add} \mapsto f] \\ f & = & \mathtt{def} \ \mathtt{add}(\mathtt{x}) = \mathtt{x} + \mathtt{y} \\ \sigma_0 & = & [\mathtt{y} \mapsto 2] \\ \sigma_1 & = & \sigma_0[\mathtt{x} \mapsto 1] = [\mathtt{y} \mapsto 2, \mathtt{x} \mapsto 1,] \end{array}$$

it evaluates to 3 because y is **dynamically bound** to 2.

Summary



```
type FEnv = Map[String, FunDef]
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env, fenv: FEnv): Value = expr match
...
    case App(f, e) =>
    val fdef = fenv.getOrElse(f, error(s"unknown function: $f"))
    interp(fdef.body, Map(fdef.param -> interp(e, env, fenv)), fenv)
```

$$\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e \Rightarrow n$$

$$\operatorname{App} \frac{x_0 \in \operatorname{Domain}(\Lambda) \qquad \Lambda(x_0) = \operatorname{def} \ x_0(x_1) = e_2}{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad [x_1 \mapsto n_1], \Lambda \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\sigma, \Lambda \vdash x_0(e_1) \Rightarrow n_2}$$

Exercise #3



https://github.com/ku-plrg-classroom/docs/tree/main/cose212/f1vae

- Please see above document on GitHub:
 - Implement interp function.
 - Implement interpDS function.
- It is just an exercise, and you don't need to submit anything.
- However, some exam questions might be related to this exercise.

Next Lecture



First-Class Functions

Jihyeok Park
 jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr
https://plrg.korea.ac.kr