Lecture 14 – Continuations (1)

COSE212: Programming Languages

Jihyeok Park



2024 Fall





- Lazy Evaluation
 - Call-by-Name (CBN)
 - Call-by-Need (CBN')
- LFAE FAE with Lazy Evaluation
- We will learn about continuations with the following topics:
 - Continuations (Lecture 14 & 15)
 - First-Class Continuations (Lecture 16)
 - Compiling with continuations (Lecture 17)
- In this lecture, we will focus on the meaning of continuations.

Contents



1. Continuations

2. Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application

Contents



1. Continuations

2. Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application



Many real-world programming languages support **control statements** to change the **control-flow** of a program.

For example, C++ supports break, continue, and return statements:

```
int sumEvenUntilZero(int xs[], int len) {
  if (len <= 0) return 0;  // directly return 0 if len <= 0</pre>
  int sum = 0:
 for (int i = 0; i < len; i++) {</pre>
   if (xs[i] == 0) break; // stop the loop if xs[i] == 0
   if (xs[i] % 2 == 1) continue; // skip the rest if xs[i] is odd
   sum += xs[i]:
  return sum;
                                  // finally return the sum
int xs[] = \{4, 1, 3, 2, 0, 6, 5, 8\};
sumEvenUntilZero(xs, 8); //4 + 2 = 6
```

How can we represent them in functional languages? Continuations!



Intuitively, a continuation represents the rest of the computation.

For example, consider the following FAE expression:

```
/* FAE */
(1 + 3) * 5
```

It **implicitly** represents the following computation:

1 Evaluate 1. (Result: 1)

2 Evaluate 3. (Result: 3)

3 Add the results of step $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. (Result: 1 + 3 = 4)

4 Evaluate 5. (Result: 5)

6 Multiply the results of step **3** and **4**. (Result: 4 * 5 = 20)

The **continuation** of k-th step is the steps from (k+1)-th to the last one.

For instance, the **continuation** of the 3rd step is the 4th and 5th steps.



Can we **explicitly** represent the **continuations** in the expression?

Yes! Let's represent the **continuation** of the k-th step as a **function** that

- takes the result of the k-th step as an argument and
- **performs** the (k+1)-th to the last steps.

If e' is the **current evaluation part** in the expression e:

$$e = (\dots e' \dots)$$

we can revise it as:

$$(\lambda x.(\ldots x \ldots))(e')$$

where $\lambda x.(\ldots x \ldots)$ is the **continuation** of e'.

Let's explicitly represent the **continuations** of the previous example:



Evaluate 1.

Evaluate 3.

3 Add the results of step 1 and 2.

Evaluate 5.

5 Multiply the results of step 3 and 4.

(Result: 1)

(Result: 3)

(Result: 1 + 3 = 4)

(Result: 5)

(Result: 4 * 5 = 20)

/* FAE */



1 Evaluate 1. (Result: 1)

2 Evaluate 3. (Result: 3)

3 Add the results of step 1 and 2. (Result: 1 + 3 = 4)

4 Evaluate 5. (Result: 5)



① Evaluate 1. (Result: 1)

2 Evaluate 3. (Result: 3)

3 Add the results of step 1 and 2. (Result: 1 + 3 = 4)

4 Evaluate 5. (Result: 5)



1 Evaluate 1. (Result: 1)

2 Evaluate 3. (Result: 3)

3 Add the results of step 1 and 2. (Result: 1 + 3 = 4)

4 Evaluate 5. (Result: 5)



1 Evaluate 1. (Result: 1)

2 Evaluate 3. (Result: 3)

3 Add the results of step 1 and 2. (Result: 1 + 3 = 4)

4 Evaluate 5. (Result: 5)



1 Evaluate 1. (Result: 1)
2 Evaluate 3. (Result: 3)

3 Add the results of step 1 and 2. (Result: 1 + 3 = 4) 4 Evaluate 5. (Result: 5)

```
/* FAE */
 x1 => {
   x2 => {
     x3 => {
       x4 => {
         x5 => x5
                        // no more steps (continuation of step 5)
       (x3 * x4)
                         // step 5
     }(5)
                         // step 4
   (x1 + x2)
                        // step 3
 }(3)
                         // step 2
}(1)
                          // step 1
```



- 1 Evaluate 1. (Result: 1)
 2 Evaluate 3. (Result: 3)
 3 Add the results of step 1 and 2. (Result: 1 + 3 = 4)
 4 Evaluate 5. (Result: 5)
- 4 Evaluate 5.

 Multiply the results of step 2 and
- **5** Multiply the results of step **3** and **4**.

(Result: 4 * 5 = 20)

by using the syntactic sugar for variable definitions (val).

$$\mathcal{D}\llbracket \text{val } x = e; \ e' \rrbracket = (\lambda x. \mathcal{D}\llbracket e' \rrbracket) (\mathcal{D}\llbracket e \rrbracket)$$

Contents



1. Continuations

2. Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application





So far, we implement functions in **direct style**, where the result of a function is **returned** to the caller.

For example, the following Scala sum function is written in **direct style**:

Continuation-passing style (CPS) is a style of programming that passes the continuation as an explicit parameter to a function and calls it to give the result to the continuation. Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int = ???
sumCPS(3, x => x * 5)  // (1 + 2 + 3) * 5 = 30
```



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int = ???
```



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int = k(sum(n))
```

It is not the correct implementation of sum in CPS because it depends on the original sum function.

Let's replace sum(n) with the body of sum.



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int = k(
   if (n <= 1) 1
   else sum(n - 1) + n
)</pre>
```

Let's utilize the following equivalence:

```
e0(if (e1) e2 else e3) == if (e1) e0(e2) else e0(e3)
```



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int =
   if (n <= 1) k(1)
   else k(sum(n - 1) + n)</pre>
```

But, it still depends on the original sum function.

Let's utilize the following equivalence:

```
k(sum(n-1) + n) == (x \Rightarrow k(x + n))(sum(n-1))
== sumCPS(n-1, x \Rightarrow k(x + n))
```

because $x \Rightarrow k(x + n)$ is the continuation of sum(n - 1).



```
def sum(n: Int): Int =
  if (n <= 1) 1
  else sum(n - 1) + n</pre>
```

Let's rewrite the sum function in CPS:

```
type Cont = Int => Int
def sumCPS(n: Int, k: Cont): Int =
  if (n <= 1) k(1)
  else sumCPS(n - 1, x => k(x + n))
```

If all functions are written in CPS, a program satisfies the properties:

- Every function takes a continuation as an explicit parameter.
- A continuation is used at most once in a function body.
- Every function call is in a tail position. (tail-call optimization)
- Every function ends with a function call.

Contents



1. Continuations

Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application





The original interpreter of FAE is written in **direct style**, and continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented.

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
  case Num(n) => NumV(n)
  case Add(1, r) => numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env))
  case Mul(1, r) => numMul(interp(1, env), interp(r, env))
  case Id(x) => env.getOrElse(x, error(s"free identifier: $x"))
  case Fun(p, b) => CloV(p, b, env)
  case App(f, a) => interp(f, env) match
    case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = ???
```





The original interpreter of FAE is written in **direct style**, and continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented.

```
def interp(expr: Expr, env: Env): Value = expr match
  case Num(n) => NumV(n)
  case Add(l, r) => numAdd(interp(l, env), interp(r, env))
  case Mul(l, r) => numMul(interp(l, env), interp(r, env))
  case Id(x) => env.getOrElse(x, error(s"free identifier: $x"))
  case Fun(p, b) => CloV(p, b, env)
  case App(f, a) => interp(f, env) match
    case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```

```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value =
  k(interp(expr, env))
```

Interpreter of FAE in CPS



The original interpreter of FAE is written in **direct style**, and continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented.

```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = k(expr match
    case Num(n) => NumV(n)
    case Add(l, r) => numAdd(interp(l, env), interp(r, env))
    case Mul(l, r) => numMul(interp(l, env), interp(r, env))
    case Id(x) => env.getOrElse(x, error(s"free identifier: $x"))
    case Fun(p, b) => CloV(p, b, env)
    case App(f, a) => interp(f, env) match
    case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
```

Interpreter of FAE in CPS



The original interpreter of FAE is written in **direct style**, and continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented.

```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
  case Num(n) => k(NumV(n))
  case Add(1, r) => k(numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env)))
  case Mul(1, r) => k(numMul(interp(1, env), interp(r, env)))
  case Id(x) => k(env.getOrElse(x, error(s"free identifier: $x")))
  case Fun(p, b) => k(CloV(p, b, env))
  case App(f, a) => k(interp(f, env) match
      case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
      case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
```

Interpreter of FAE in CPS



The original interpreter of FAE is written in **direct style**, and continuations of the evaluation of expressions are **implicitly** represented.

To **explicitly** represent continuations of the evaluation of each expression in the interpreter of FAE, we need to modify the interpreter in **CPS**:

```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
    case Num(n) => k(NumV(n))
    case Add(1, r) => k(numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env)))
    case Mul(1, r) => k(numMul(interp(1, env), interp(r, env)))
    case Id(x) => k(env.getOrElse(x, error(s"free identifier: $x")))
    case Fun(p, b) => k(CloV(p, b, env))
    case App(f, a) => k(interp(f, env) match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
        case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
```

Let's modify the Add, Mul, and App cases because they still use the original interp function.





```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case Add(1, r) =>
        k(numAdd(interp(1, env), interp(r, env)))
...
```

The current evaluation part is interp(1, env).

Its continuation is lv => k(numAdd(lv, interp(r, env))).





Let's rewrite it by passing the continuation into interpCPS.





Similarly, the current evaluation part is interp(r, env).

Its continuation is rv => k(numAdd(lv, rv)).





Let's rewrite it by passing the continuation into interpCPS.









```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
  case Add(1, r) =>
    interpCPS(1, env, {
      lv => interpCPS(r, env, {
        rv => k(numAdd(lv, rv))
      })
    })
  case Mul(1, r) =>
    interpCPS(1, env, {
      lv => interpCPS(r, env, {
        rv => k(numMul(lv, rv))
      })
    })
```



```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case App(f, a) => k(interp(f, env) match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
        case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
...
```

In a similar way, we can rewrite function application case.

The current evaluation part is interp(f, env).



```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, {
        // cont. of `interp(f, env)`
        fv => k(fv match
            case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
            case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
        )
    })
    ...
```



```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, fv => k(fv match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) => interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env)))
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
))
...
```

Let's move the continuation invocation k(...) into the inside of the match expression.



```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, fv => fv match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) => k(interp(b, fenv + (p -> interp(a, env))))
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
...
```

We do not need to wrap error(...) with k because it does not return a value but throws an exception.

Now, the current evaluation part is interp(a, env).



```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
  case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, fv => fv match
    case CloV(p, b, fenv) =>
      interpCPS(a, env, {
        // cont. of `interp(a, env)`
        av \Rightarrow k(interp(b, fenv + (p \rightarrow av)))
      })
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```



```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
...
    case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, fv => fv match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) =>
        interpCPS(a, env, av => k(interp(b, fenv + (p -> av))))
        case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
)
...
```

Now, the current evaluation part is interp(b, fenv + (p -> av)).



```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
  case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, fv => fv match
    case CloV(p, b, fenv) =>
      interpCPS(a, env, av => interpCPS(b, fenv + (p -> av), {
        // cont. of `interp(b, fenv + (p -> av))`
       k
     })
    case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
```



```
type Cont = Value => Value
def interpCPS(expr: Expr, env: Env, k: Cont): Value = expr match
    ...
    case App(f, a) => interpCPS(f, env, fv => fv match
        case CloV(p, b, fenv) =>
        interpCPS(a, env, av => interpCPS(b, fenv + (p -> av), k)
        case v => error(s"not a function: ${v.str}")
    )
    ...
```

Summary



1. Continuations

2. Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

3. Interpreter of FAE in CPS
Addition and Multiplication
Function Application

Claim of Midterm Exam



- The score for the midterm exam will be uploaded to <u>Blackboard</u> by tomorrow before noon.
- The claim hours are scheduled as follows:
 - **10/29 (Tue.)** 15:00-17:00
 - **10/30 (Wed.)** 15:00-17:00
 - Place: Room 609A, Science Library Bldg

Next Lecture



• Continuations (2)

Jihyeok Park
jihyeok_park@korea.ac.kr
https://plrg.korea.ac.kr