



Lecture 6 - Selection Problem

Fall 2025, Korea University

Instructor: Gabin An (gabin_an@korea.ac.kr)

Course Outline (Before Midterm)

- Part 1: Basics
 - ~~Divide and Conquer (w/ Integer Multiplication)~~ ✓
 - ~~Basic Sorting Algorithms (Insertion Sort & Merge Sort)~~ ✓
 - ~~Asymptotic Analysis (Big O, Big Theta, Big Omega)~~ ✓
 - ~~Solving Recurrences Using Master Method~~ ✓
- Part 2: Advanced Selection and Sorting
 - **Median and Selection Algorithm** ↗
 - Solving Recurrences Using Substitution Method
 - Quick Sort, Counting Sort, Radix Sort
- Part 3: Data Structures
 - Heaps, Binary Search Trees, Balanced BSTs

Review

Do you remember what we covered in the last class? We looked at how to solve recurrence relations of the form:

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + O(n^d)$$

- **Master Method** (last class)

A powerful shortcut for solving divide-and-conquer recurrences - **but** it only works when all subproblems are of **equal size**.

- **Substitution Method** (next class)

A more flexible, general-purpose technique. It can handle a wider variety of recurrence forms and is often used when the Master Method doesn't apply.

Let's Review Master Method



Today's Goals

- Define the **selection problem**
- Learn a clever algorithm for the selection problem
- Analyze the running time!

Selection Problem: Finding the k-th Smallest Element

Input: An unsorted array of size n (without duplicates)

Output: Return the k -th smallest element ($1 \leq k \leq n$)

Example

Array: [7, 2, 1, 8, 6, 3, 5, 4] ($n = 8$)

- $k = 1 \rightarrow$ 1st smallest = 1
- $k = 3 \rightarrow$ 3rd smallest = 3
- $k = 8 \rightarrow$ 8th smallest = 8

A Naive Approach & Lower Bound Insight

- A naive approach
 - Sort the array in ascending order and return the element at the k -th position
 - For example, with MergeSort, it's $O(n \log n)$!
 - It is correct but not optimal.
- Any correct algorithm must inspect every element at least once, i.e., $\Omega(n)$.
- But can we actually do it in $\Theta(n)$ time?

A Surprisingly Clever Linear-Time Algorithm

We'll see an algorithm that finds the k -th smallest element in $O(n)$ time!

No need for:

- Sorting
- Heaps
- Just smart divide-and-conquer with careful grouping and selection

High Level Strategy

We'll try to do **Binary Search** over an unsorted array.

At each step:

- Partition the array into:
 - elements smaller than some pivot
 - elements larger than that pivot
- Decide which side contains the k-th smallest
- Recurse only on that part

🔍 Example: `Select([7, 2, 1, 8, 6, 3, 5, 4], k=2)`

- Pivot = 3
- Partition:
 - ▼ Smaller: [2, 1] (size = 2)
 - ⚡ Pivot: 3 (rank = 3)
 - ▲ Larger: [7, 8, 6, 5, 4] (size=5)
- Since we want the **2nd smallest**
 - It's in the **smaller** part
 - Recurse on `Select([2, 1], k=2)`
- If k was 6 ...
 - It's in the **larger** part.
 - Recurse on `Select([7, 8, 6, 5, 4], k=?)` (Guess the new k !)

Selection Algorithm

```
def select(A, k):
    assert 1 <= k <= len(A)
    if len(A) == 1:
        return A[0]
    p = choose_pivot(A)
    A_less = [x for x in A if x < p]
    A_greater = [x for x in A if x > p]
    if len(A_less) == k - 1:
        return p
    elif len(A_less) > k - 1:
        return select(A_less, k)
    else:
        return select(A_greater, k - len(A_less) - 1)
```

Proving Correctness (Using Strong Induction!)

Claim: For every array A of n distinct elements and every $k \in [1, n]$, `select(A, k)` returns the k -th smallest element of A .

Base Case ($n=1$)

If $|A| = 1$ (hence $k = 1$), the algorithm returns $A[0]$, which is trivially the 1st smallest. 

Induction Hypothesis Assume the claim holds for all arrays of size $\leq m$ (for all valid k).

Inductive Step ($n = m + 1$) (Prove Case 3 by yourself 😊)

- Case 1 ($|A_{less}| = k - 1$): Exactly $k - 1$ elements are smaller than p . Hence p is the k -th smallest, and `select` returns p . Correct.
- Case 2 ($|A_{less}| > k - 1$): In this case, the k -th smallest of A_{less} is the k -th smallest of A . Since $|A_{less}| \leq m$, the recursive call returns the k -th smallest of A_{less} . Correct.

Choosing Pivot

```
def select(A, k):
    assert 1 <= k <= len(A)
    if len(A) == 1:
        return A[0]
    p = choose_pivot(A) # ★★★★★★★★
    A_less = [x for x in A if x < p]
    A_greater = [x for x in A if x > p]
    if len(A_less) == k - 1:
        return p
    elif len(A_less) > k - 1:
        return select(A_less, k)
    else:
        return select(A_greater, k - len(A_less) - 1)
```

- The pivot only affects **runtime**, not correctness.
 - To show this, suppose that `choose_pivot` runs in $\Theta(n)$.



Bad Pivot: Worst-Case Runtime

Proposition

If the pivot is the **minimum or maximum**, then `Select` runs in $\Theta(n^2)$.

Why?

- Each recursive call only removes **1 element**
- Running `ChoosePivot` and creating subarrays takes linear time.
- Recurrence: $T(n) = T(n - 1) + \Theta(n)$
- $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ since

$$T(n) \leq c_1 n + c_1(n - 1) + c_1(n - 2) + \dots + c_1 = c_1 n(n + 1)/2$$

$$T(n) \geq c_2 n + c_2(n - 1) + c_2(n - 2) + \dots + c_2 = c_2 n(n + 1)/2$$

👍 Good Pivot: Best-Case Runtime

Proposition

If the pivot is the median, then `Select` runs in $O(n)$.

Why?

- Each call removes **half the elements**
- Recurrence: $T(n) \leq T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n) \leq T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn$
- $T(n) = O(n)$ since

$$T(n) \leq cn \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq cn \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots\right) = cn \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - 1/2}\right) = 2cn$$

How Do We Find a Good Pivot?

We saw that choosing a **good pivot** is critical to achieving linear time!

- Idea #1: Choose a pivot that creates the most “balanced” split
 - No ... 🤦 This is exactly selection problem we are trying to solve, with $k = n/2$.
- Idea #2: **Find a pivot “close enough” to the median**
 - We don’t need the exact median.
 - We just need a pivot that ensures
 - Subarrays shrink quickly
 - Worst-case size of recursive calls drops.
 - Trick: Use the **Median of Medians**

Median of Medians: High-Level Idea

- We want a pivot that's *not too far* from the true median, to ensure good balance in the recursive split.
- In 1973, Blum, Floyd, Pratt, Rivest, and Tarjan came up with the Median of Medians algorithm.
 - This method guarantees that, in worst case, we eliminate a **fixed fraction of elements** each time.

Median of Medians: `choose_pivot` Algorithm

```
def choose_pivot(A):
    # Base case: if small enough, just sort and return median
    if len(A) <= 5:
        return sorted(A)[len(A) // 2]

    # Step 1: Divide A into groups of 5
    groups = [A[i:i+5] for i in range(0, len(A), 5)]

    # Step 2: Sort each group and collect their medians
    medians = [sorted(group)[len(group) // 2] for group in groups]

    # Step 3: Recursively find the median of the medians
    return select(medians, k=(len(medians)+1)//2)
```

```
A = [13, 5, 2, 8, 9, 4, 7, 1, 6, 3, 10, 12, 11, 15, 14]
```

Example

```
A = [13, 5, 2, 8, 9, 4, 7, 1, 6, 3, 10, 12, 11, 15, 14]
```

1. Group into 5s

```
[13, 5, 2, 8, 9] # median = 8  
[4, 7, 1, 6, 3] # median = 4  
[10, 12, 11, 15, 14] # median = 12
```

2. Medians list

```
[8, 4, 12]
```

3. Pivot (median of medians)

```
select([8, 4, 12], k=2) # pivot = 8
```

Why Median of Medians Ensures Progress ([The 30-70 Lemma](#))

The median-of-medians pivot guarantees a split of 30%-70% or better of the input array.

- At least 30% of elements are less than or equal to the pivot.
- At least 30% of elements are greater than or equal to the pivot.

30-70 Lemma

For every input array of length $n \geq 2$, the subarray passed to the selection recursive call has length at most $\frac{7}{10}n$.

Proof of the 30-70 Lemma

Suppose that n is a multiple of 5, and $g = n/5$ (i.e., # groups).

Since the p is the **median** of g medians, at least $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil - 1$ medians are **less than p** , which means at least three elements in those $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil - 1$ groups are less than p .

Example: $n = 15$, $g = 3$. Here, 8 is the median of medians.

At least $\lceil \frac{3}{2} \rceil - 1 = 1$ median (here, 4) is less than 8.

Therefore, at least 3 elements (here, 1, 3, 4) in 4's group are less than 8.

```
[ 1 < 3 < 4 < 6 < 3 ] # median = 4
```

▼

```
[ 2 < 5 < 8 < 9 < 10 ] # median = 8
```

▼

```
[ 10 < 11 < 12 < 14 < 15 ] # median = 12
```

Since the p is the **median** of g medians, at least $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil - 1$ medians are **less than p** , which means at least three elements in those $\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil - 1$ groups are less than p .

Therefore, in A , **at least** $3(\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil - 1) + 2$ elements are less than p .

$$\begin{aligned}|A_{greater}| &= \# \text{ of elements greater than } p \\&\leq \# \text{ total elements except pivot} - \# \text{ of elements less than } p \\&= (n - 1) - 3 \cdot \left(\lceil \frac{g}{2} \rceil - 1 \right) - 2 \\&= n - 3 \left\lceil \frac{n}{10} \right\rceil \\&\leq n - 3 \cdot \frac{n}{10} = \frac{7}{10}n\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, $|A_{less}| \leq \frac{7}{10}n$ as well. ■

Running Time Analysis of `select`

We want to analyze the runtime of `select(A, k)` when `choose_pivot` uses Median of Medians. Let $T(n)$ be the time to run `select` on an input of size n .

We split the analysis into three parts:

1. **Divide into groups of 5 and find their medians:** $O(n)$ (Sort $\frac{n}{5}$ subarrays with size 5)
2. **Recursively find median of medians:** $T(\frac{n}{5})$
3. **Partition around pivot:** Worst case, the pivot splits into:
 - o $\frac{7}{10}n$ on the larger side
 - o So next recursive call is at most $T(\frac{7n}{10})$

✍ **Final Recurrence:** $T(n) \leq T(\frac{n}{5}) + T(\frac{7n}{10}) + cn$

Running time of `select`

$$T(n) \leq T\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) + T\left(\frac{7n}{10}\right) + cn$$

We can't apply the Master Method because subproblems are of different sizes.

In the next class, we're going to learn **Substitution Method**, which is a more flexible, general-purpose technique.

Credits & Resources

Lecture materials adapted from:

- Stanford CS161 slides and lecture notes
 - <https://stanford-cs161.github.io/winter2025/>
- *Algorithms Illuminated* by Tim Roughgarden
 - <https://algorithmsilluminated.com/>