

Reasoning with Prioritized Defaults

Michail Gelfond, Tran Cao Son

Computer Science Department
University of Texas at El Paso

1998

Presented by:
Jakub Podlaha,
Vladislav Vavra

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Why prioritize defaults?
 - Two possible views
 - This Paper Approach
- 2 The Language with Prioritized Defaults
 - brave approach
 - Axioms of P

Outline

1

Introduction

- Why prooritize defaults?
- Two possible views
- This Paper Approach

2

The Language with Prioritized Defaults

- brave approach
- Axioms of P

Make Titles Informative. Use Uppercase Letters.

Subtitles are optional.

Defaults with different conclusions

e.g. legal reasoning, Reasoning with experts Knowledge

Outline

1

Introduction

- Why prioritize defaults?
- **Two possible views**
- This Paper Approach

2

The Language with Prioritized Defaults

- brave approach
- Axioms of P

Two possible views

- develop "default" language, special syntax
- use logic programming syntax augmented by the preference relation

Outline

1

Introduction

- Why prioritize defaults?
- Two possible views
- This Paper Approach

2

The Language with Prioritized Defaults

- brave approach
- Axioms of P

This Paper Approach

- a's are normally b's
- dynamic priorities
- give semantics without new general purpose nonmonotonic formalism
- elaboration tolerant (small changes in intuition - small changes in program)
- some inference mechanism already available

Logic Program P composed of

- logic program P composed of:
 - domain independent axioms
 - domain description L
 - notion of entailment between query and domain description

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Why prioritize defaults?
 - Two possible views
 - This Paper Approach
- 2 The Language with Prioritized Defaults
 - brave approach
 - Axioms of P

brave approach

include (resolve) all possible answer sets

...

Basic syntax

$rule(r, l_0, [l_1, \dots l_m])$ (1)

$default(d, l_0, [l_1, \dots l_m])$ (2)

$conflict(d_1, d_2)$ (3)

$prefer(d_1, d_2)$ (4)

Basic syntax - example

show "logic counter-part" as intuitive explanaiton

$$l_0 \leftarrow l_1, \dots, l_n$$

Example - programming students

dept, mary, isin etc..

Outline

1

Introduction

- Why prioritize defaults?
- Two possible views
- This Paper Approach

2

The Language with Prioritized Defaults

- brave approach
- **Axioms of P**

Entailment in domain description

Definition 2.2 We say that a domain description \mathcal{D} entails a query q ($\mathcal{D} \models q$) if q belongs to every answer set of the program

$$\mathcal{P}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{P}_\sigma \cup \{holds(I) \mid I \in fact(\mathcal{D})\} \cup laws(\mathcal{D}).$$

$laws(\mathcal{D})$ denotes set of statements of the form 1 and 2

Entailment in domain description

Definition 2.2 We say that a domain description \mathcal{D} entails a query q ($\mathcal{D} \models q$) if q belongs to every answer set of the program

$$\mathcal{P}_\sigma(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{P}_\sigma \cup \{holds(I) \mid I \in fact(\mathcal{D})\} \cup laws(\mathcal{D}).$$

Summary

- The **first main message** of your talk in one or two lines.
- The **second main message** of your talk in one or two lines.
- Perhaps a **third message**, but not more than that.
- Outlook
 - Something you haven't solved.
 - Something else you haven't solved.