Diplomová práce



České vysoké učení technické v Praze

F3

Fakulta elektrotechnická Katedra kybernetiky

Minimální dokument

Jakub Podlaha

/ Prohlášení

Prohlašuji, že jsem se neflákal.

Abstrakt / Abstract

Tento dokument je pouze pro potřeby testování.

This document is for testing purpose only.

Obsah /

1 Introduction	
1.1 Problem Statement and Mo-	
tivation1	
1.2 Current solution crOWLer1	
1.3 Proposed Solution and Me-	
thodology1	
1.4 Specific goals of the project2	
1.5 testy - co jsem zkousel2	
2 Existing solutions	
2.1 Semantic and non semantic	
crawlers	
2.2 Advantages and pitfalls of Semantic crawler and linked	
data3	
3 Linked Data, RDFa,5	
4 Program design and Imple-	
mentation6	
4.1 Use Cases 6	
4.2 Model	
4.3 Imlementation	
4.4 Issues - solved and unsolved6	
5 Results and Tests	
6 zaver8	
7 Už jen výpisky9	
8 Zadání SW Projektu 10	
9 Knowledge base, principles	
and technologies $\dots \dots 11$	
9.1 RDF and RDFS 11	
9.2 OWL	
9.3 Linked Data	
9.4 Ontology repositories 11	
9.5 RDFa	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11	
$9.5~\mathrm{RDFa}$	
$9.5 \; \mathrm{RDFa}$	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11 10 research - existující řešení - platforma 12 10.1 InfoCram 2000 - Jirka Mašek 12	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11 10 research - existující řešení - platforma 12 10.1 InfoCram 2000 - Jirka Mašek 12 10.2 iMacros 12	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11 10 research - existující řešení - platforma 12 10.1 InfoCram 2000 - Jirka Mašek 12 10.2 iMacros 12 10.3 Sahi 12	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11 10 research - existující řešení - platforma 12 10.1 InfoCram 2000 - Jirka Mašek 12 10.2 iMacros 12 10.3 Sahi 12 10.4 Selenium IDE 12	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11 10 research - existující řešení - platforma 12 10.1 InfoCram 2000 - Jirka Mašek 12 10.2 iMacros 12 10.3 Sahi 12 10.4 Selenium IDE 12 11 crOWLer 13	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11 10 research - existující řešení - platforma 12 10.1 InfoCram 2000 - Jirka Mašek 12 10.2 iMacros 12 10.3 Sahi 12 10.4 Selenium IDE 12 11 crOWLer 13 11.1 zavislosti 13	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11 10 research - existující řešení - platforma 12 10.1 InfoCram 2000 - Jirka Mašek 12 10.2 iMacros 12 10.3 Sahi 12 10.4 Selenium IDE 12 11 crOWLer 13 11.1 zavislosti 13 11.2 Implementation 13	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11 10 research - existující řešení - platforma 12 10.1 InfoCram 2000 - Jirka Mašek 12 10.2 iMacros 12 10.3 Sahi 12 10.4 Selenium IDE 12 11 crOWLer 13 11.1 zavislosti 13 11.2 Implementation 13 11.2.1 Classes of CrOWLer 13	
9.5 RDFa 11 9.6 dalsi 11 10 research - existující řešení - platforma 12 10.1 InfoCram 2000 - Jirka Mašek 12 10.2 iMacros 12 10.3 Sahi 12 10.4 Selenium IDE 12 11 crOWLer 13 11.1 zavislosti 13 11.2 Implementation 13	

12.1	Pamatky	15

Kapitola 1 Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement and Motivation

Giving meaning, i.e. semantization of web pages gets more popular. XXX

ex.: Google - showing structured result for many types of queries https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Google_Knowledge_Graph

One direction to go is to anotate data on the server side, i.e. at the time when we are creatign them. The person crating the data have to use the right tool and spend time giving the data the appropriate annotation. There is enough technologies for it: HTML5 adding tags for better annotation of the page structure (such as nav, article, section, aside, ...), microformats http://microformats.org/ using html classes to bring standardized patterns for several basic use cases with fixed structure, such as veard or event, or RDFa to annotate data on a webpage with an actual ontology (see in separate section XXX).

There are tools for extracting and testing structured data http://www.google.com/webmasters/tools/richsnippets http://rdfa.info/play/

To bypass the gap between anonymous data present on the web on one side and rich, linked, meaningful ontologies on the other, we can go the opposite direction as well. We can take the unannotated data already present on the web and retrieve them in a form, that is defined by some ontology structure.

To allow such a process we need to create tools that allow users to annotate the, previously meaning-free, data with elements of existing ontology. By using existing ontologies we not only give data the meaning, but also valuable connection to any other dataset annotated using the same ontology.



1.2 Current solution crOWLer

The suggested base technology is being developed on our faculty. Crawler called crOWLer serves the needs of extracting data from web. In current technology both, the scenario and the ontology are hard-coded into the crOWLer code. This requires unnecessary load of work for each separate use case, whilst in practice all the use cases share the same workflow.

- 1. load the ontology
- 2. add selectors to specific resources from the ontology
- 3. run the crawling process according the above



1.3 Proposed Solution and Methodology

To simplyfy the creation of guidelines, or scenarios, for crOWLer , we propose a tool that allows user to select all the element directly on the web page with all the necessary settings, pass the scenario created to the crOWLer and optain the results in a form of a graphical feedback.

1.4 Specific goals of the project

- implement extension for a browser
- load ontology
- create scenario for crOWLer
- serialize scenario and ontology
- parse it by crOWLer creating it's parsing configuration
- run crOWLer
- visualize the extracted data (feedback)

1.5 testy - co jsem zkousel..

TBD

Kapitola 2 Existing solutions

2.1 Semantic and non semantic crawlers

By researching existing solutions, there is currently no open source or openly available solution to solve this task. Rumor goes there is proprietary tool in IBM.

Existing tools named as Ontology-based Web Crawlers refer mostly to crawlers that rank pages being crawled by guess-matching them against some ontology. In those programs user can't specify data that are being retrieved. Moreover, there is no way to get involved in the crawling process. It is solely used to automatically rank the relevance of documents. They are solving different task where input is several documents and possibly an ontology and output is the best matching document.

In case we're solving the input is one or more documents and one or more ontologies and the result is data obtained from the documents and annotated with structure from the ontologies.

2.2 Advantages and pitfalls of Semantic crawler and linked data

The simplest approach is manual searching for keywords, or even simple browsing the web. That might be useful in some cases, but when there is a lot of data, it becomes exhausting.

Crawling data using simple tools like 'wget –mirror' allows us to load data and then write a program or script to retrieve a relevant information. This approach takes a lot of energy for one time only solution of a given problem.

By storing such crawled data into database we obtain persistent database, possibly automatically obtained by the script from pervious case. Such data is static, but can be queried over and over and possibly re-retrieved when becomes obsolete. It's structure is, however, based on programmers imagination an needst to be described in order to understand and handle the data properly.

When using Ontology-based solution, tailor made for crawling and annotating data from web, we obtain several benefits for free. The tool designed specially for this purpose makes it easy. Once the data is annotated, we can not only query on them, but also automatically reason on them and obtain more or more specific/narrow results than with general data. The atributes and relations within ontology, that allow reasoning, are usually part of the ontology deffinition and as such comes, again, for free.

Last for benefits: using ontology from public resource as a schema for our data can give us correct structure without need for making it up or building it from scratch. Also by using some common ontology, we can join together any accessible data structured according to this ontology and simply query on resulting super set.

2. Existing solutions

Semantic crawling is not a silver bullet. The technology is only finding it's place and uses and it's being shaped by the needs of it's users. In current it's mostly used on accademic field XXX.

There is always a threat of inconsystency of an ontology when some data don't fit the rules or breaks structure of an ontology.

Just like with hardcoded crawling technique, the semantic crawling is tightly connected with the structure of the web being crawled and selectors used for matching data on the web. Any change on a webpage structure can lead to broken selectors or links during the crawling process.

A lot of web pages loads their data dynamically using AJAX queries. Some pages simply changes it's content frequently (rt.com, vimeo.com, ...) which would require almost constant crawling and growth into an massive ontology.

Stating that, the semantic crawling is an usefull way to effectively obtain and query otherwise anonymous data from the web, but it still have it's challenges to overtake.

Kapitola $\bf 3$ Linked Data, RDFa,

Kapitola 4 Program design and Implementation

- 4.1 Use Cases
- NPU
- beerborec.cz
- citybee.cz
- 4.2 Model
- 4.3 Imlementation
- 4.4 Issues solved and unsolved
- error handling (non existent selector, missing data, ...)

Kapitola **5**Results and Tests

Kapitola **6** zaver

Kapitola **7** Už jen výpisky

Kapitola **8 Zadání SW Projektu**

- 1. Seznamte se technologiemi pro automatickou extrakci dat z webových stránek a s jazyky sémantického webu RDF, RDFS a OWL.
- 2. Navrhněte a implementujte vhodný datový formát pro popis scénářů extrakce dat, které bude možné zpracovat vhodným open-source crawlerem (např. [1]). Vytvořte jednoduché uživatelské rozhraní ve vhodném webovém prohlížeči, sloužící k tvorbě scénářů ve vámi navrženém datovém formátu pro následnou extrakci sémantických data z webových stránek.

Kapitola 9

Knowledge base, principles and technologies

Seznamte se technologiemi pro automatickou extrakci dat z webových stránek a s jazyky sémantického webu RDF, RDFS a OWL.

9.1 RDF and RDFS

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Resource_Description_Framework

9.2 OWL

- http://www.w3.org/TR/owl2-primer/
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Web_Ontology_Language
- http://www.w3.org/TR/2012/REC-owl2-quick-reference-20121211/

9.3 Linked Data

- http://linkeddata.org/guides-and-tutorials
- http://linkeddatabook.com/editions/1.0/
- http://lov.okfn.org/dataset/lov/

9.4 Ontology repositories

http://www.w3.org/wiki/Ontology_repositories

9.5 RDFa

- https://www.sio2.cz/web/psiotwo/publications
- http://rdfa.info/play/

9.6 dalsi

- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SPARQL
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Turtle_(syntax)

Kapitola 10 research - existující řešení - platforma

- 10.1 InfoCram 2000 Jirka Mašek
- zalozeny na Aardwark ¹)
- 10.2 iMacros
- http://wiki.imacros.net/Command_Reference
- http://wiki.imacros.net/iMacros_for_Firefox
- http://wiki.imacros.net/iMacros_for_Chrome
- 10.3 **S**ahi

Yet another web automation and testing tool. http://sourceforge.net/projects/sahi/

10.4 Selenium IDE

- IDE http://www.seleniumhq.org/projects/ide/
- plugins http://www.seleniumhq.org/projects/ide/plugins.jsp
- current commands http://release.seleniumhq.org/selenium-core/1.0.1/
 reference.html
- documentation http://docs.seleniumhq.org/docs/index.jsp
- extending selenium API (blog, tutorial) http://adam.goucher.ca/?s=selenium &paged=2
 - randomString example http://adam.goucher.ca/?p=1348

 $^{^1) \ \}mathtt{https://addons.mozilla.org/en-US/firefox/addon/aardvark/}$

Kapitola 11 crOWLer

11.1 zavislosti

- maven apache project managing tool
 - https://maven.apache.org
 - https://maven.apache.org/run-maven/index.html
 - https://maven.apache.org/guides/mini/guide-ide-eclipse.html
- sesame
 - http://www.openrdf.org/download.jsp ??
- jena
 - https://github.com/ansell/JenaSesame!!
 - or https://github.com/afs/JenaSesame??
 - or http://jena.apache.org/???
 - or http://sjadapter.sourceforge.net/????
 - or http://sourceforge.net/projects/jenasesamemodel/
 - might help http://www.iandickinson.me.uk/articles/jena-eclipse-helloworld/
 - little hint http://spqr.cerch.kcl.ac.uk/?page_id=130
 - another hit http://answers.semanticweb.com/questions/20865/how-to-getthe-jena-sesame-adapter
 - wiki https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jena_(framework)
 - jena vs. sesame flame http://answers.semanticweb.com/questions/1638/jena-vs-sesame-is-there-a-serious-complete-up-to-date-unbiased-well-informed-side-by-side-comparison-between-the-two

11.2 Implementation

■ 11.2.1 Classes of CrOWLer

- ImmovableHeritageConfiguration extends MonumnetConfiguration implements ConfigurationFactory
 - implements Configuration, which is parameter for FullCrawler.run() method
- FullCrawler
 - implements the whole crawling algorithm

11. crOWLer

11.3 notes

http://onto.mondis.cz/resource/page/npu/

■ 11.3.1 Run configuration

crowler cz.sio2.crowler.configurations.npu.ImmovableHeritageConfiguration
file results

crowler cz.sio2.crowler.configurations.kub1x.KbxConfiguration file results

crowler cz.sio2.crowler.configurations.parser.SeleniumConfiguration\
 file results generated.html

- Class ImmovableHeritageConfiguration implements Configuration class.
- Folder jena_con will be created and all the rdf's will be stored in int with names derived from ontology uri

Kapitola 12 Data

12.1 Pamatky

- http://monumnet.npu.cz/pamfond/list.php?hledani=1&KrOk=&HiZe=&VybUzemi= 1&sNazSidOb=&Adresa=&Cdom=&Pamatka=&CiRejst=&Uz=B&PrirUbytOd=3.5.1958 &PrirUbytDo=10.12.2013
- http://dominanty.cz/pamatky-cihana.php