Exercises: Algorithm Efficiency and Big O Notation - Solutions

Exercise 1

Order the following by their $\mathcal{O}(\cdot)$ ranking - here from slowest to fastest

```
n^{n}
n!
2^{n}
n^{2}
\frac{n^{2}}{\log n}
n\sqrt{n}
n \log n
\log \log \log n
```

Exercise 2

Which of the following are true?

```
a. 4n^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^2) \longrightarrow T

b. 4n^2 + 18n \log n = \mathcal{O}(n^2) \longrightarrow T

c. 4n^2 + 18n \log n = \mathcal{O}(n) \longrightarrow F

d. 4n^2 + 18n \log n = \mathcal{O}(n \log n) \longrightarrow F

e. 4n + 18n \log n = \mathcal{O}(n \log n) \longrightarrow T

f. 4n + 18n \log n = \mathcal{O}(n^2) \longrightarrow T
```

Exercise 3

The following code snippet calculates x^n . We are given two integers, and the algorithm returns an integer. Find the time complexity of the algorithm.

```
// Algorithm1 - Iterative
public static long power1(int x, int n)
{
    // initialize result by 1
    long pow = 1L;

    // multiply x exactly n times
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        pow = pow * x;
    }
    return pow;
}</pre>
```

The time complexity of Algorithm1 is $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Exercise 4

Below you see some Python code to do some operation:

```
for i in range(len(A)):
    for j in range(len(B[0])):
        for k in range(len(B)):
            C[i][j] += A[i][k] * B[k][j]

for r in C:
    print(r)
```

A and B are two matrices and C is another empty matrix (actually it just has zeroes on all entries). A matrix is simply a 2d-array, i.e. A, B, and C are int[][]:

- a. Figure out what exactly the code does. Matrix multiplication
- b. What is the time complexity of the algorithm? $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.
- c. Implement the algorithm in Java

```
// Assume initialisation has been done:
// int C[][] = new int[A.length][B[0].length];

// Core logic for multiplying two matrices
for (int i = 0; i < A.length; i++) {
    for (int j = 0; j < B[0].length; j++) {
        for (int k = 0; k < B.length; k++) {
            C[i][j]+= A[i][k] * B[k][j];
        }
    }
}
return C;</pre>
```

Exercise 5

Exercise 2.7 from the course book.

For each of the following six program fragments:

- a. Give an analysis of the running time (Big-Oh will do). (see below for solution)
- b. Implement the code in Java, and give the running time for several values of n. (no solution, just do it!)

```
(1) sum = 0;
for( i = 0; i < n; i++ )
sum++;
```

- (2) sum = 0;
 for(i = 0; i < n; i++)
 for(j = 0; j < n; j++)
 sum++;</pre>
- (3) sum = 0;
 for(i = 0; i < n; i++)
 for(j = 0; j < n * n; j++)
 sum++;</pre>
- (4) sum = 0;
 for(i = 0; i < n; i++)
 for(j = 0; j < i; j++)
 sum++;</pre>
 - 1. $\mathcal{O}(n)$.
 - 2. $O(n^2)$
 - 3. $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$
 - 4. $O(n^2)$
 - 5. $\mathcal{O}(n^5)$: j can be as large as i^2 , which could be as large as n^2 , and k can be as large as j, which is n^2 . The running time is thus proportional to $n \cdot n^2 n^2$, which is $\mathcal{O}(n^5)$
 - 6. The if statement is executed at most n^3 times, by previous arguments (answer to 5), but it is true only $O(n^2)$ times (because it is true exactly i times for each i). Thus the innermost loop is only executed $O(n^2)$ times. Each time through, it takes $O(j^2) = O(n^2)$ time, for a total of $O(n^4)$. This is an example where multiplying loop sizes can occasionally give an overestimate.

```
(5) sum = 0;
  for( i = 0; i < n; i++ )
    for( j = 0; j < i * i; j++ )
        for( k = 0; k < j; k++ )
        sum++;</pre>
```

```
(6) sum = 0;
  for( i = 1; i < n; i++ )
    for( j = 1; j < i * i; j++ )
        if( j % i == 0 )
        for( k = 0; k < j; k++ )
        sum++;</pre>
```