

	<b>DanNet</b>	<b>WN-LMF</b>	<b>Comment</b>
fodboldmål concerns sport	<b>concerns</b>	also ?? or perhaps other plus dc:type	
hammer used_for hamre	<b>used_for</b>	instrument	
clipse used_for_object clips	<b>used_for_object</b>	involved_instrument (reverse relation of instrument)	
bagværk made_by bage	<b>made_by</b>	result	
mel has_holo_madeof brød	has_holo_madeof	holo_substance	"Concept-B is a substance of Concept-A"
partimedlem has_holo_member parti	has_holo_member	holo_member	"Concept B is a member of Concept A"  flipped??
oase has_holo_location ørken	has_holo_location	holo_location	
øje has_holo_part ansigt	has_holo_part	holo_part	
birketræ has_hyperonym træ	<b>has_hyperonym</b>	hypernym / instance_hypernym ???	
vejtræ has_hyperonym træ	has_hyperonym ortho	hypernym / instance_hypernym ???	
brød has_mero_madeof mel	has_mero_madeof	mero_substance	
parti has_mero_member partimedlem	has_mero_member	mero_member	
hånd has_mero_part finger	has_mero_part	mero_part	
ørken has_mero_location oase	has_mero_location	mero_location	
passager role_agent rejse	role_agent	agent	
modtager role_patient modtage	role_patient	patient	
violin involved_agent violinist	involved_agent	co_agent_instrument	

violinist involved_instrument violin	involved_instrument	co_instrument_agent (reverse relation of co_agent_instrument)	
si near_synonym dørslag	near_synonym	similar	
kanin (dyr) reg_polysem kanin (kød)	reg_polysem		
behandle xpos_near_synonym behandling	xpos_near_synonym	similar (same as near_synonym above)	
bil eq_has_synonym car  (link to Princeton WordNet)	eq_has_synonym	eq_synonym	
	domain*	domain_topic	

- **Hyperonym vs hypernym**: seems like hyperonym is merely an alternative spelling for hypernym: <https://wikidiff.com/hyperonym/hypernym>
- **Near\_synonym and xpos\_near\_synonym collapsed** into similar.
  - Can they be considered the same? Can the relation be annotated somehow to express the xpos too? Perhaps using dc:type like in <https://globalwordnet.github.io/gwadoc/#other>
- Confusion between **involved\_agent and involved\_instrument**
  - Seems like involved\_agent is possibly used in a wrong way going by its example. In the example it used as relation between a person that does and the physical object in use, but in the GWA docs it is used between a person and an action, e.g. “playing the violin”.
  - Likewise, involved\_instrument is used in the GWA docs to relate the concept/action with the object used for performing the action, while in DanNet it seems to be used to relate the doer to the object (both reverse direction and using wrong a wrong entity)
  - Should be involved\_agent -> co\_agent\_instrument
  - Should be involved\_instrument -> co\_instrument\_agent

	DanNet	WN-LMF	
" sj.", "hist.", "gl.", ...	register		
	gloss	<Definition> and <Example>	


The DanNet RDF/OWL README.txt is very relevant. Read it first!

The OWL definitions are located in the two RDFS files: one for the Princeton WordNet version 2.0 and one for DanNet extensions.

Secret documentation for GWA: <https://globalwordnet.github.io/gwadoc>

Example GWN-LMF: <https://github.com/globalwordnet/schemas/blob/master/example.xml>

Older WN-LMF format: <http://compling.hss.ntu.edu.sg/omw/>

For inspiration: <https://github.com/globalwordnet/cili> (mapping entities from earlier English WordNets to newer ones)

John McCrae - global wordnet formats 1.1

- \* new LMF-like standard
- \* id requires prefix ns ("en" for OEWN, e.g. wnja-Tokyo-n or en-blabla-vb
  - question: If I want to convert the Danish wordnet to this format while preserving the existing IDs used in it (that don't conform to the prefix requirement) am I out of options? I guess it would be non-compliant given this restriction.
  - answer: the requirement is only for uploading to the multilingual wordnet server)

- question: How do you handle non-standard extensions? How are they handled when converting from a more flexible format such as RDF?
- answer: they are dropped.
- lexfile? for mapping changed IDs?
- \* new property :members to indicate ordering of members in synset

How do you handle non-standard extensions? How are they handled when converting from a more flexible format such as RDF?