## Lecture 4: Randomized linear dimension reduction



School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University

## 1. Subspace embedding

# Definition 1 (Subspace embedding)

Let  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear subspace with dimension d. Given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we consider a linear map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^s$  with the property that

$$(1-\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le (1+\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$$
 for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}$ .

The map  $\Phi$  is called a subspace embedding for  $\mathcal{L}$  with embedding dimension s and distortion  $\varepsilon$ .

Exercise: Prove that  $s \geq d$ .

• By the linearity of  $\Phi$ , for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$ , it holds that

$$(1-\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{y}\|_2 \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|_2.$$

• In real applications, the embedding dimension s is close to the subspace dimension d and much smaller than the ambient dimension n:  $s \approx d \ll n$ .

Suppose that range(**U**) =  $\mathcal{L}$  where **U**  $\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  is a matrix with orthonormal columns. The subspace embedding property

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$$
 for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}$ 

is equivalent to the condition

$$1 - \varepsilon \le \sigma_{\min}(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{U}) \le \sigma_{\max}(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{U}) \le 1 + \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* From  $\mathcal{L} = \{ \mathbf{U}\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d \}$ , we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{y}\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{y}\|_2 \le (1+\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{y}\|_2$$
 for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

By  $\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{y}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|_2$ , we have

$$1 - \varepsilon \le \|\mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{z}\|_2 \le 1 + \varepsilon$$
 for each unit vector  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

The variational definition of  $\sigma_{\min}$  and  $\sigma_{\max}$  completes the proof.

## 2. Random subspace embeddings

- In many applications, it is imperative to construct a subspace embedding  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^s$  without using prior knowledge about the subspace  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . These are called *oblivious* subspace embeddings.
- By drawing a subspace embedding at random, we can ensure that the embedding property holds with high probability.

# 2.1 Subsampled randomized trigonometric transform (SRTT)

• Subsampled randomized trigonometric transform:

$$\mathbf{\Phi} := \sqrt{rac{n}{s}} \mathbf{RFD} \in \mathbb{R}^{s imes n}$$

where  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$  subsamples rows,  $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a DCT2 matrix, and  $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is random diagonal. More precisely,  $\mathbf{R}$  is a uniformly random set of s rows drawn from the identity matrix  $\mathbf{I}_n$ , and the random diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  has i.i.d. uniform $\{\pm 1\}$  entries.

Exercise: Prove that  $\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ .

- The cost of applying the SRTT to a vector is  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  operations using a standard fast DCT2 algorithm, and it can be reduced to  $\mathcal{O}(n \log s)$  with a more careful implementation.
- What embedding dimension s does the SRTT require? In practice,  $s \approx d/\varepsilon^2$  usually has 'satisfying' performance.

#### 2.2 Sparse random matrices

• Consider a sparse random matrix of the form

$$oldsymbol{\Phi} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{arphi}_1 & \cdots & oldsymbol{arphi}_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{s imes n},$$

where  $\varphi_i \in \mathbb{R}^s$  are i.i.d. sparse vectors. More precisely, each column  $\varphi_i$  contains exactly  $\zeta$  nonzero entries, equally likely to be  $\pm 1/\sqrt{\zeta}$ , in uniformly positions. Exercise:  $\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$ .

• We can apply this matrix to a vector in  $\mathcal{O}(\zeta n)$  operations. The storage cost is at most  $\zeta n$  parameters. If  $\zeta \ll s$ , then we obtain a significant computational benefit.

#### 3. Approximate least-squares

• Consider the quadratic optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, \ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We focus on the case where  $d \ll n$  and **A** is dense and unstructured.

- The cost of solving the problem with a direct method, such as QR factorization, is  $\mathcal{O}(d^2n)$  operations.
- The sketch-and-solve approach can obtain a coarse solution to the least-squares problem efficiently  $(\mathcal{O}(nd\log d + d^3/\varepsilon^2))$ .
  - (1) Construct a (random, fast) subspace embedding  $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$  for range( $[\mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{b}]$ ).
  - (2) Reduce the dimension of the problem data:  $\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times d}$  and  $\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$ . This step is commonly referred to as *sketching*.
  - (3) Find a solution  $\mathbf{x}_{sk} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to the sketched least-squares problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{\Phi} (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) \|_2^2.$$

Suppose that  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  is a tall matrix and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Construct a subspace embedding  $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$  for range( $[\mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{b}]$ ) with distortion  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}_{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a solution to the original least-squares problem, and let  $\mathbf{x}_{sk} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a solution to the sketched problem. Then

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{sk}} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2} \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{\star} - \mathbf{b}\|_{2}.$$

*Proof.* Using the embedding property twice yields

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{sk} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \|\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{sk} - \mathbf{b})\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \|\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{\star} - \mathbf{b})\|_2 \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{\star} - \mathbf{b}\|_2. \end{split}$$

The first (third) inequality is the lower (upper) bound in the embedding property. The second inequality holds because  $\mathbf{x}_{sk}$  is the optimal solution to the sketched least-squares problem.

## 4. Approximate orthogonalization

- Problem: Consider a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with full column rank. The task is to find a well-conditioned matrix  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with range( $\mathbf{B}$ ) = range( $\mathbf{A}$ ).
- A direct method for orthogonalizing the columns of the matrix **A** requires  $\mathcal{O}(nd^2)$  arithmetic.
- Randomized Gram-Schmidt:
  - (1) Construct a (random, fast) subspace embedding  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$  for range(**A**).  $s = \mathcal{O}(d/\varepsilon^2)$
  - (2) Sketch the problem data:  $\Phi \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times d}$ .  $\mathcal{O}(nd \log d)$
  - (3) Compute a (thin, pivoted) QR factorization of the sketched data:  $\Phi \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{R}$ .  $\mathcal{O}(d^3/\varepsilon^2)$
  - (4) (Implicitly) define well-conditioned  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}^{-1}$  with range( $\mathbf{B}$ ) = range( $\mathbf{A}$ ). If we wish to form the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  explicitly, we must spend  $\mathcal{O}(nd^2)$  operations.

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be a tall matrix with full column rank. Construct a subspace embedding  $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times d}$  for range( $\mathbf{A}$ ) with distortion  $\varepsilon$ . Form a QR factorization of the sketched matrix:  $\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}$  with  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . Then  $\mathbf{R}$  has full rank, and the whitened matrix  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}^{-1}$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \le \sigma_{\min}(\mathbf{B}) \le \sigma_{\max}(\mathbf{B}) \le \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\Phi$  is a subspace embedding for the d-dimensional subspace range( $\mathbf{A}$ ), the range of the sketched matrix  $\Phi \mathbf{A}$  also has dimension d. Thus,  $\mathbf{R}$  must have full rank. From  $\|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{y}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\|_2$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{x}$ , and

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\|_2 \le (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\|_2,$$

we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le (1+\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{x}\|_2.$$

The variational definition of  $\sigma_{\min}$  and  $\sigma_{\max}$  completes the proof.

## 5. Approximate null space

- Problem: Consider a tall matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ . The task is to find an orthonormal matrix  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  whose range aligns with the k trailing right singular vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- A full SVD of the input matrix **A** requires  $\mathcal{O}(nd^2)$  arithmetic.
- The sketch-and-solve approach:  $\mathcal{O}(nd \log d + d^3/\varepsilon^2)$ 
  - (1) Construct a (random, fast) subspace embedding  $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$  for range( $\mathbf{A}$ ).  $s = \mathcal{O}(d/\varepsilon^2)$
  - (2) Sketch the problem data:  $\Phi \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times d}$ .  $\mathcal{O}(nd \log d)$
  - (3) Compute SVD of the sketched matrix:  $\Phi \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^*$ .  $\mathcal{O}(sd^2)$
  - (4) Set  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}(:, (d-k+1): d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ .
- A variational formulation of the null space problem:

$$\min_{\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$$
 subject to  $\mathbf{V}^*\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}_k$ .

The solution is a matrix of k trailing right singular vectors.

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be a tall matrix with full column rank, and let  $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$  be a subspace embedding for range( $\mathbf{A}$ ) with distortion  $\varepsilon$ . The  $\mathbf{W}$  generated by the sketch-and solve approach satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2} \min_{\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}, \mathbf{V}^* \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}_k} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2.$$

In particular, if AV = 0 for some k-dimensional subspace V, then AW = 0.

*Proof.* Fix an orthonormal matrix  $\mathbf{V}_{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  that solves the null space problem. Since v is a subspace embedding for range( $\mathbf{A}$ ),

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{W}\|_F^2 \leq \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^2} \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{W}\|_F^2 \leq \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^2} \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}_{\star}\|_F^2 \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}_{\star}\|_F^2.$$

The first (third) inequality is the lower (upper) bound in the embedding property. The second inequality holds because  $\mathbf{W}$  is the optimal solution to the sketched problem.

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be a tall matrix with full column rank, and let  $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$  be a subspace embedding for range( $\mathbf{A}$ ) with distortion  $\varepsilon$ . The singular values of the sketched matrix  $\mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{A}$  satisfy

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\sigma_i(\mathbf{A}) \le \sigma_i(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A}) \le (1 + \varepsilon)\sigma_i(\mathbf{A})$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^*$  be an SVD. Then  $\mathbf{\Phi}$  is a subspace embedding for range( $\mathbf{U}_d$ ). For each index  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ , by the rotational invariance of singular values,

$$\sigma_i(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A}) = \sigma_i(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^*)) = \sigma_i(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}).$$

By Ostrowski's relative perturbation theorem, we have

$$\sigma_d(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{U})\sigma_i(\mathbf{\Sigma}) \leq \sigma_i(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A}) \leq \sigma_1(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{U})\sigma_i(\mathbf{\Sigma}).$$

By the subspace embedding property, we have

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\sigma_i(\mathbf{A}) \leq \sigma_i(\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{A}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\sigma_i(\mathbf{A}). \quad \Box$$