

# Lecture 16: From Lanczos to Gauss quadrature



School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University

## 1. Orthogonal polynomials

- Replace  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by  $L^2[-1, 1]$ , a vector space of real-valued functions on  $[-1, 1]$ . The inner product of two functions  $u, v \in L^2[-1, 1]$  is defined by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 u(x)v(x)dx,$$

and the norm of a function  $u \in L^2[-1, 1]$  is  $\|u\| = \langle u, u \rangle^{1/2}$ .

### Proposition 1

*The linear operator  $\mathbf{A} : L^2[-1, 1] \rightarrow L^2[-1, 1]$  defined by*

$$(\mathbf{A}u)(x) = xu(x)$$

*is self-adjoint with respect to the given inner product.*

*Proof.* Note that

$$\langle \mathbf{A}u, v \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 (\mathbf{A}u)(x)v(x)dx = \int_{-1}^1 u(x)(\mathbf{A}v)(x)dx = \langle u, \mathbf{A}v \rangle. \quad \square$$

- The Lanczos process ( $\mathbf{r} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{A} = x$ ) becomes the procedure for constructing orthogonal polynomials via a three-term recurrence relation:  $x [q_1(x) \ \cdots \ q_j(x)] = [q_1(x) \ \cdots \ q_{j+1}(x)] \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_j$ .

---

**Algorithm:** Lanczos process for orthogonal polynomials

---

$$\beta_0 = 0, q_0(x) = 0, q_1(x) = 1/\sqrt{2}$$

**for**  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$v(x) = xq_j(x)$$

$$v(x) = v(x) - \beta_{j-1}q_{j-1}(x)$$

$$\alpha_j = \langle v, q_j \rangle$$

$$v(x) = v(x) - \alpha_j q_j(x)$$

$$\beta_j = \|v\|$$

$$q_{j+1}(x) = v(x)/\beta_j$$

**end**

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{j-1} & \alpha_j & \\ & & & \alpha_{j+1} & \end{bmatrix}$$

## Remark 2

$$\text{We have } \langle q_i, q_j \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 q_i(x)q_j(x)dx = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

### Remark 3

The function  $q_j(x)$  is a scalar multiple of the Legendre polynomial  $P_j(x)$  of degree  $j - 1$  (note that  $P_j(1) = 1$ ), i.e.,

$$q_j(x) = q_j(1)P_j(x).$$

### Remark 4

The three-term recurrence takes the form

$$xq_j(x) = \beta_{j-1}q_{j-1}(x) + \alpha_jq_j(x) + \beta_jq_{j+1}(x).$$

The entries  $\{\alpha_j\}$  and  $\{\beta_j\}$  are known analytically:

$$\alpha_j = 0, \quad \beta_j = \frac{1}{2}(1 - (2j)^{-2})^{-1/2}.$$

- The tridiagonal matrices  $\{\mathbf{T}_j\}$  in the Lanczos process are known as *Jacobi matrices* in the context of orthogonal polynomials.

## 1.1. Comparison to Gram–Schmidt

---

**Algorithm:** Gram–Schmidt for orthogonal polynomials

---

```
for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 
     $q_j(x) = x^{j-1}$ 
    for  $i = 1$  to  $j - 1$ 
         $r_{ij} = \langle x^{j-1}, q_i \rangle$ 
         $q_j(x) = q_j(x) - r_{ij}q_i(x)$ 
    end
     $r_{jj} = \|q_j\|$ 
     $q_j(x) = q_j(x)/r_{jj}$ 
end
```

---

### Remark 5

*The above algorithm constructs the continuous QR factorizations of the “Krylov matrix”*

$$\mathbf{K}_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & x^3 & \cdots \end{bmatrix},$$

*which is obtained by setting  $\mathbf{r} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{A} = x$ .*

## Remark 6

*The Lanczos and Gram–Schmidt processes both obtain the same sequence of orthogonal polynomials  $\{q_j\}$ .*

## Remark 7

*If the inner product is modified by the inclusion of a nonconstant positive weight function  $w(x)$  in the integrand, then one obtains other families of orthogonal polynomials such as Chebyshev polynomials and Jacobi polynomials.*

## 2. Orthogonal polynomials approximation problem

- Find a monic polynomial  $p^j$  of degree  $j$  such that

$$\|p^j(x)\| = \min_{\text{monic } p, \deg(p)=j} \|p(x)\|.$$

According to Theorem 6 of Lecture 15, the unique solution is the characteristic polynomial of the matrix  $\mathbf{T}_j$ .

## Theorem 8

*For  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , the unique solution of the orthogonal polynomials approximation problem is*

$$p^j(x) = \rho_j q_{j+1}(x),$$

*where  $\rho_j$  is the inverse of the leading coefficient of  $q_{j+1}(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Any monic  $p(x)$  of degree  $j$  can be written as

$$p(x) = \rho_j q_{j+1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^j y_i q_i(x),$$

where  $\rho_j$  is a constant – the inverse of the leading coefficient of  $q_{j+1}(x)$ .  
Due to

$$\|p(x)\| = (\rho_j^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|_2^2)^{1/2},$$

the minimum is obtained by setting  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ . □

## Corollary 9

*The zeros of  $q_{j+1}(x)$  are distinct and lie in the open interval  $(-1, 1)$ .*

**Proof.** From Theorem 6 of Lecture 15 and the last theorem, we know  $\rho_j q_{j+1}(x)$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{T}_j$ . It follows from all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{T}_j$  are distinct and real that the zeros of  $q_{j+1}(x)$  are distinct and real.

Now assume there are only  $k < j$  distinct zeros in  $(-1, 1)$ , denoted by  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$ . Consider the polynomial

$$q_{j+1}(x) \prod_{i=1}^k (x - x_i),$$

which has constant sign in  $(-1, 1)$ . This contradicts the following equality

$$\int_{-1}^1 q_{j+1}(x) \prod_{i=1}^k (x - x_i) dx = 0. \quad \square$$



### 3. Gauss–Legendre quadrature

- A  $j$ -point numerical quadrature formula:

$$\mathcal{I}_j(f) = \sum_{i=1}^j w_i f(x_i) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathcal{I}(f) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx.$$

- We call  $\mathcal{I}_j(f)$  has order of accuracy exactly  $m$  if

$$\mathcal{I}(p) - \mathcal{I}_j(p) = 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{P}_m,$$

and there exists at least one polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{m+1}$  such that

$$\mathcal{I}(p) - \mathcal{I}_j(p) \neq 0.$$

- Gauss–Legendre quadrature:  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^j$  are the zeros of  $q_{j+1}(x)$ ,

$$w_i = \int_{-1}^1 \ell_i(x) dx, \quad \ell_i(x) = \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^j (x - x_k) / \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^j (x_i - x_k).$$

## Theorem 10

*The  $j$ -point Gauss–Legendre quadrature formula has order of accuracy exactly  $2j - 1$ , and no  $j$ -point numerical quadrature formula has order of accuracy higher than this.*

*Proof.* Consider the polynomial

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^j (x - x_i)^2, \quad \mathcal{I}(f) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx > 0.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{I}_j(f) = 0$  since  $f(x_i) = 0$ . Thus the quadrature formula has order of accuracy  $\leq 2j - 1$ . For any  $f(x) \in \mathbb{P}_{2j-1}$ , it can be factored in the form

$$f(x) = g(x)q_{j+1}(x) + r(x),$$

where  $g(x) \in \mathbb{P}_{j-1}$  and  $r(x) \in \mathbb{P}_{j-1}$ . In fact,  $r(x)$  is the unique degree  $j - 1$  interpolating polynomial to  $f(x)$  in the points  $\{x_i\}$ .

Since  $q_{j+1}(x)$  is orthogonal to all polynomials of lower degree, we have

$$\mathcal{I}(gq_{j+1}) = 0.$$

At the same time, since

$$g(x_i)q_{j+1}(x_i) = 0$$

for each  $x_i$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}_j(gq_{j+1}) = 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_j$  are linear operators, these identities imply

$$\mathcal{I}(f) = \mathcal{I}(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_j(f) = \mathcal{I}_j(r).$$

Therefore, by

$$\mathcal{I}(r) = \int_{-1}^1 \sum_{i=1}^j r(x_i) \ell_i(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^j w_i r(x_i) = \mathcal{I}_j(r),$$

we have

$$\mathcal{I}(f) = \mathcal{I}_j(f). \quad \square$$

## Theorem 11

Let  $\mathbf{T}_j$  be the  $j \times j$  Jacobi matrix. Let  $\mathbf{T}_j = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^\top$  be an orthogonal diagonalization of  $\mathbf{T}_j$  with

$$\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j\}, \quad \mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{v}_j].$$

Then the nodes and weights of the Gauss–Legendre quadrature formula are given by

$$x_i = \lambda_i, \quad w_i = 2(\mathbf{v}_i)_1^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, j.$$

- G. H. Golub and J. H. Welsch

Calculation of Gauss quadrature rules, Math. Comp. 23 (1969).

The famous  $\mathcal{O}(j^2)$  algorithm for Gauss quadrature nodes and weights via a tridiagonal Jacobi matrix eigenvalue problem.

- G. H. Golub and G. Meurant

Matrices, Moments and Quadrature with Applications

Princeton University Press, 2010