

Centre of Excellence in Cyber Security

DIGITAL FORENSICS EXAMINATION REPORT PREVIEW

Verified by Secure (Division of Cybersecurity and Digital Forensics of VIT Bhopal University)

Disclaimer: The chosen case scenario is for learning purposes only and any association to an actual case and litigation is purely coincidental. The evidence presented in the case scenario is fictitious and is not intended to reflect actual evidence. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, processes, or services by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the Indian Central and State governments, and the information and statements shall not be used for the purposes of advertising.



S. no.	Contents	Sheet no.
1	Investigating Officers	3
2	Case Details	4
3	Case Background	5
4	Suspect Summary	5
5	Legal Issues	5

Report sheet | 2

Investigator (s):

Name: Devangana Sujay **Badge/ID:** 21MEI10007

Specialization: Evidence Management

Date when deputed on the case: September 13, 2022

Deputed by: VIT Bhopal University Relieving Date: October 4, 2022

Name: Arpit Sivakumar Badge/ID: 21MEI10018

Specialization: Asset Analyst

Date when deputed on the case: September 13, 2022

Deputed by: VIT Bhopal University Relieving Date: October 4, 2022 Name: Raghunandan Tomar

Badge/ID: 21MEI10024

Specialization: Evidence Examiner

Date when deputed on the case: September 13, 2022

Deputed by: VIT Bhopal University Relieving Date: October 4, 2022

Name: Muskaan Bhotika Badge/ID: 21MEI10029

Specialization: Lead Digital Investigator

Date when deputed on the case: September 13, 2022

Deputed by: VIT Bhopal University Relieving Date: October 4, 2022

Name: Ananya Das **Badge/ID:** 21MEI10033

Specialization: Digital Forensic Examiner

Date when deputed on the case: September 13, 2022

Deputed by: VIT Bhopal University Relieving Date: October 4, 2022

Name: Sujal Kothari Badge/ID: 21MEI10061

Specialization: Evidence Examiner

Date when deputed on the case: September 13, 2022

Deputed by: VIT Bhopal University Relieving Date: October 4, 2022

Case Details

Case Number: 221.2

FIR Date: May 3, 2022

Lead Investigating Officer: Dr. Shishir Kumar Shandilya

Prime Offense: Murder

IPC Sections: **204, 205, 302, 328, 354 D, 419, 507**

IT Act Sections: 66A,66D,66E

Other Acts: Cyberbullying, Impersonation

Crime Scene Location: Room # 342, Highway Garden Hotel, NH Bypass,
Padivattom, Edappally, Cochin, Kerala, India – 682024

Of Evidence seized:

Of Digital Evidence seized: 4

Special Note (if any)

Date of Request of Examination: September 6, 2022

Date of Conclusion: October 4, 2022

Abstract A body of a girl was found in a hotel room that was turning

blue, indicating that the victim had died due to poisoning. This ruled out health issues as cause of death. During the investigation, it was revealed that the victim, Anju Srivastava was murdered by Mohit Sharma as she had bullied his sister, Mallika Sharma as a result of which Mallika had committed suicide. Mohit vowed to avenge her death. So, he planned to get close to Anju and then kill her.

Accused #1 Name: Mohit Sharma

Offence: Murder, Cyberbullying, Impersonation

Report Sheet | 4

Case Background (Detailed)			A body of a girl was found in a hotel room that was turning blue, indicating that the victim had died due to poisoning. This ruled out health issues as cause of death. During the investigation, it was revealed that the victim, Anju Srivastava was murdered by Mohit Sharma as she had bullied his sister, Mallika Sharma as a result of which Mallika had committed suicide.					
Suspect Summary								
Priority	Sı	uspect	Connection	Cha	rges	Bail Bond		
1	Moh	it Sharma	Boyfriend	nd Murder Cyberbullying Impersonation		Non-bailable cognizable offence		
2		hutosh vastava	Father	Mui	rder	Non-bailable cognizable offence		
3		nnishka vastava	Mother	Mui	rder	Non-bailable cognizable offence		
Legal Issues								
Topic		Αι	uthority/Rule			Description		
Admissibility of Evidence		Section 65 B(1)			Information contained in electronic record, which is being stored, recorded or copied as a computer output shall be deemed as document and shall be admissible as evidence.			
Authenticating Evidence		Section 65 B(4)			It may be an issue as the court may not be able to understand the complexity of the various digital evidence.			