

1.

CC No. VK-790/04 & VK-794/04

DW3: Sh. Sayad Faizal Huda, S/o S. A. Huda, aged about 25 years,  
R/o H-42, Abul Fazal Enclave, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, Delhi.

On SA

I am a forensic expert and my qualification is B. Sc (Hons) in forensic science from AMITY University Noida and M Sc. Forensic sciency from Agra University alongwith the practical training on the subject of forensic science. I have already submitted opinion in more than 225 case in various courts of Delhi and appeared as an expert witness also. In this case, I have examined the ink used in writing of date, amount, figure and signatures appearing on cheque in question. Thereafter, I am on considered opinion that the ink used in writing of amount, name and figure is different from the ink used in putting signature. I filed my report Ex. DW3/A containing details reason for my aforesaid opinion.

XXXXXX By Sh. Jagdish Sharma, counsel for complainant.

I work professionally in partnership with my brother namely

2.

Sayad Faisal Huda. I am not registered as expert partnership firm with registrar of firm. Ld. Counsel for accused had asked me to take photographs of cheque in question and give expert opinion. I do not maintain any diary regarding number of expert opinion given in various cases. Vol. I maintain data in my computer. It is correct that there is no reference/serial number put up my report. It is correct that I did not put my signature on page 2 of my report. Vol. I have made my signature alongwith my stamp on each page. It is correct that I have not examined the fact who had signed the cheque in question. It is wrong to suggest that my report is false and fabricated because I am not authorized by any government authority for giving expert opinion. It is further wrong to suggest that I did not put serial/reference number on my report because I did not give any expert opinion in any case. It is wrong to suggest that I have file false report in connivance of accused.

RO & AC

(Rakesh Kumar Rampuri)

MM, NI Act, (East)/KKD

01.09.2012

CC No. VK-790/04 & VK-794/04

01.09.2012

Pr: Complainant in person with counsel.

Accused in person.

DW3, handwriting expert opinion, examined, cross examined and discharged. DE stands closed. Accordingly, let matter be put up for final argument on 04.10.2012.

Meanwhile, counsel for complainant submits that accused was burdened with cost of Rs. 4,000/- vide order dt. 04.05.2012. Meanwhile, counsel for accused moved an application for waiving of previous cost of Rs. 4,000/-. Same is opposed by the counsel for complainant. However, in view of health condition of counsel for complainant accused is directed to pay cost of Rs. 2,000/- to the complainant and cost of Rs. 2,000/- qua DLSA is waived.

(Rakesh Kumar Rampuri)

MM, NI Act, (East)/KKD

01.09.2012