

1.

CC No. VK-2020/07

DW2: Sayed Faisal Huda, S/o S. A. Huda, R/o H-42, Abul Faizal Enclave, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, New Delhi.

On SA

I am a forensic expert. My qualification is B. Sc. (H) forensic science and M. Sc. Forensic science alongwith the practical training on the subject of forensic science. I have given opinion more than 240 cases in various courts of Delhi as well as NCR. In this case I have taken the photographs of the disputed and admitted signature from the judicial file on 25.08.2012 after obtaining the permission of Hon'ble court. I have scientifically examined disputed and admitted signature (details are mentioned in my report) and my definite opinion is that the disputed signature appearing on document Ex. CW1/D3 at point A has been written by same person who had written admitted signature as marked A-1 to A-11. I file my detail report Ex. DW2/1 containing 14 pages including CD.

XXXXX By Sh. R. S. Goswami, counsel for complainant.

I have been engaged by counsel for accused for giving expert opinion in this case. I can not produce any receipt or agreement regarding engaging my as expert by accused. The counsel for accused did not state anything when I was engaged for examination of the various signatures. However, the particulars of case were given to me. Again

2.

said the counsel for accused gave the details when I took the photographs from the court file of this case. Accused had paid my due fee after taking aforesaid photographs. It is wrong to suggest that I was paid just after taking aforesaid photographs for giving favourable report. I did not move any application before this Hon'ble court and did not seek permission to get the photographs. I did not ask ahlmad concerned for identifying disputed and admitted signature of person concerned. I did not take written acknowledgment regarding taking of photographs in his presence. It is wrong to suggest that I did not take aforesaid photographs from the case file and which is why there is no written acknowledgment of presence of ahlmad concerned.

I can not tell and deny if I had given any adverse report against the person who engaged me for giving expert opinion. It is wrong to suggest that I filed favourable report towards accused as I has been filing favourable report towards the person who engaged me. It is wrong to suggest that due to favourable report I did not prepare the report after required scientific analysis. It is wrong to suggest that my report is false one and under the instructions of counsel for accused who paid fee to me. It is wrong to suggest that I am deposing falsely.

RO & AC

(Rakesh Kumar Rampuri)

MM, NI Act, (East)/KKD

02.11.2012