

Suit No.44/10

31.08.2012

DW-4/2: Statement of Shri Sayed Faisal Huda, S/o Shri S.A. Huda, aged 25 years, R/o H-42, Abul Fazal Enclave, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, Delhi.

On SA

I am a forensic expert. My qualification is Bsc. Honours in Forensic Science and Msc. Forensic Science along with the practical training on the subject of Forensic Science. I have appeared more than 225 cases in various court of Delhi as well as NCR. In this case I have taken the photographs of specimen and disputed signatures on dated 07.07.2012 and my definite opinion is that the disputed signatures marked as D-1 to D-14 have not been written by the writer of the specimen signatures marked as S1 and S2. My report is Ex. DW-4/2A dated 12.07.2012 which is running into 13 pages.

Xxxx by Shri Sanjeev Gupta, Id counsel for defendant.

I am giving my opinion for the last three years. I have qualified my B.Sc. Honours in 2009. I have not brought any certificate to this effect today in the record (Vol. I can produce if

:2:

directed by the court). I qualified my M.Sc. In 2011. I was part time student in M.Sc. However, I was regular in B.Sc. The timing of my class in M.Sc was from 10AM to 11AM at B.R. Ambedkar University, Agra. The pro mentioned in my letter head in regard to that the M.Sc. Certificate had not been received till date. It is correct that for comparison for the purpose of handwriting opinion, similar type of material for the same period is best for the comparison. It is correct that the first letter in disputed signature is clearly readable as 'R' whereas in the specimen the word 'R' is missing. (Vol. In specimen and D-1 signature, both the signatures have been written in cursive style that means manner in formation of letter is not clearly deciphered in S-1 signatures, first portion is written in 'alpha'). It is correct that in the present case, the appearance of the specimen signatures are totally different from the disputed signatures. It is also correct since there is no common word between the disputed signatures and the specimen signatures and as such I have not compared any specific word in my report. (Vol. Due to without making any alphabetic pattern). It is correct that unless the words are common, no comparison is

:3:

possible. It is also correct that any person who copied the signature of any other person used to sign in the same manner as closely as possible to that signatures. It is also correct that the specimen signatures and the disputed signatures are entirely different. It is correct that since in the present case there is no common words in the specimen signatures and or in the disputed signatures hence no comparison is possible. (Vol. Report can be given whether the signatures are telly with each other or not. In this case, my report is that the specimen signatures have not been written by the writer of disputed signatures after detailed comparison and observation as mentioned in my report). It is wrong to suggest that my report is not correct. It is wrong to suggest that I am deposing falsely.

RO&AC

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
ADJ-III, Rohini Courts, Delhi
31.08.2012