

CC No. VK-1710/06

DW1: Sh. Sayad Faisal Huda, recalled for cross examination.

On SA

XXXX By Sh. Arvind Bhardwaj, counsel for complainant.

I am handwriting expert since 2009. It is correct that the report Ex. DW1/1 bears signature of two experts. It is wrong to suggest that the report Ex. DW1/1 is not my independent report. It is wrong to suggest that the joint report of two experts is not admissible evidence in law.

I have examined the ink of enlarged photograph of cheque in question. I have taken the photograph of cheque in question with the help of digital camera of Cannon company. It is correct that there are so many digital camera of various company available in Indian market. I do not have the knowledge whether each and every digital camera has got its own impression. I do not know whether each and every digital camera has different impression from that of other digital camera. It is correct that I have not examined original cheque in question. Vol. I have examined enlarged photograph of cheque in question. It is correct that the examination of the original cheque and the examination of photograph of original cheque is altogether different. Vol. The report is based on ocular observation not on the examination of original document. It is correct

2.

that the report of examination of photograph of any document and that of examination of original document may or may not differ.

I have analyzed the photograph of cheque in question under computer software adobe photoshop in various channels such as equalizer etc.. It is correct that I have not mentioned above procedure in my report. It is correct that I have prepared report in question at the request of counsel for accused. It is wrong to suggest that I have prepared the report as per the wish and whims of the accused or the counsel for accused.

I do not have any licence for working as handwriting expert in any court of law. It is correct that job of handwriting expert is a profession. It is wrong to suggest that I have manipulated the report Ex. DW1/1 to suit the defence requirement of accused. It is further wrong to suggest that the report Ex. DW1/1 has been filed by me without seeking any permission from the Hon'ble court or that I have not been permitted by this court to prepare the report Ex. DW1/1. It is wrong to suggest that I am deposing falsely as being appointed handwriting expert from the accused.

RO & AC

(Rakesh Kumar Rampuri)
MM, NI Act, (East)/KKD
14.02.2013