

State vs. Vinod Kumar Yadav
FIR no.312/2009
PS Khajuri Khas
U/sec.302 IPC and 25 Arms Act
12.05.2011

DW-7 Sayed Faizal Huda s/o Sh. S. A. Huda r/o H. no.H-42, Abul Fazal
Enclave, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, Delhi, occupation: Forensic Expert

On SA

I am a Forensic Expert possessing Degree of B. Sc(Hons) Forensic Science from Amity University, Noida. The relevant certificates are mark A to D. I have scientifically examined three sets of Hindi writing, marked as D1, A1 and A2 and I have come to the following definite opinion that the disputed Hindi writing marked as D1 has been written by the same person, who has written the admitted Hindi writing marked as A1 and A2.

My detailed report is Ex. DW7/A running into eight pages, there are six pages of enlarged photographs of disputed as well as admitted handwriting alongwith four pages of document examined by us. My report bears my signature at point A, it also bears my brother's signature of Syed Faisal at point B. I identifies his signature as I have seen him writing during the course of official work and this report has been prepared by us jointly. XXXX by Addl. PP for the State.

It is correct that the certificate marked A to D is related to my marksheet and degree. It is correct that today I have not brought the original. I am handwriting expert. It is correct that I have no certificate to show that I am handwriting expert except the degree referred above. It is incorrect to suggest that I am not a handwriting expert.

It is correct that I have affixed advertisement sticker in Karkarooma Court complex. We used to take money from the person who used to come to us for taking opinion. It is correct that I was approached by the defence counsel, but I have not charged and fee from him.

Q As to what was the reason that you had not taken the fees from the defence counsel?

Ans. On the request of defence counsel I have given opinion. Defence counsel approached me and informed me that since his client is very poor and is not in a position to pay the fee therefore I did not charge any fee for giving opinion.

It is incorrect to suggest that handwriting of two persons can be similar. It is correct that I have given my opinion on photostate copy I have not seen the original file. It is incorrect to suggest that handwriting expert cannot not judge the flow of speed without seeing the original documents. It is incorrect to suggest that the word "Ka" is different in document D1 and A1/A2. Vol. The word "Ka" has been written at various places. It is incorrect to suggest that the oval portion of work "Ka" is bigger in size in Ex. A1 whereas it is very small in Ex. D1. It is wrong to suggest the word chosen by me for the purpose of comparison is different in two documents. I did not take the radius or the measurement of the

words. Vol. As it was not possible. It is incorrect to suggest that it was possible to take the radius or measurement of the words or that it was compulsory to take the same for handwriting expert. I have not examined regarding the lifting of pen in completing a word. Vol. Pen lifts retouching conceal joining is examined only in defective line quality of writing whether it is admitted or disputed. So far I have given my handwriting opinion in more than sixty cases. I cannot tell the details of those cases at present. It is incorrect to suggest that after taking money from the defence counsel I have prepared a false report. It is incorrect to suggest that a dispute handwriting marked as D1 and A1 and A2 as admitted handwriting made by two different persons or that it can be easily judged by an ordinary person with his naked eyes. It is incorrect to suggest that I am deposing falsely or that I have prepared a false report at the instance of counsel for accused.

RO & AC

(Sunita Gupta)

District Judge & Addl. Sessions Judge,
I/C North East Distt., Karkardooma Courts,
Delhi/12.05.2011