

1.

CC No. VK-2487/05

DW3: Sh. Sayed Faizal Huda, S/o Sh. S. A. Huda, aged about 26 years, R/o H-42, Abul Faizal Enclave, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, Delhi.

On SA

I am a forensic expert and my qualification is B. Sc. (Honos) forensic science and M. Sc. In forensic science alongwith practical training on the subject of forensic science. I have already submitted expert opinion in more than 175 court cases and appeared as an expert witness in various court of Delhi.

After examination I have filed my report Ex. DW3/1 containing my considered opinion. After careful examination and comparison, I am of considered opinion that the disputed English handwriting of complainant marked as D1 has been written by the same person who had written the admitted signatures marked as A1 to A6.

XXXXXXX By Sh. Yashwant Jain, counsel for complainant.

I use the chamber of my uncle namely Iqbar Khare who is advocate as office. I have not brought copy of my academic degree. However, I can produce the same if directed by the court. I can not say whether my opinion given in aforesaid 175 cases had been accepted by the court or not. It is correct that I use to take the samples of admitted

2.

and disputed signatures and writing. It is correct that I have taken the sample of signature from the document Ex. DW2/1 produced by the accused. It is correct that the handwriting of Har Govind Jain is on document Ex. DW2/1. I made comparative study of admitted signatures marked A1 to A6 with disputed signature marked D1. It is wrong to suggest that I am deposing falsely and contrary to my own report Ex. DW3/1. I have not compared the disputed word “Har” with admitted signature. It is correct that I had compared the word “Govind” only with admitted signature. It is correct that I have not mentioned in my report regarding word “Jain”. It is incorrect to suggest that even the word “Govind” is not matching with admitted signature. It is incorrect to suggest that the word “G” in document marked D1 is not matched with the word “G” appearing document A1 to A6. It is incorrect to suggest that my report is incomplete. It is wrong to suggest that complainant Govind Jain did not write or signed document marked DW2/1. It is wrong to suggest that my report Ex. DW3/1 is not trustworthy as same is full of contradiction.

RO & AC

(Rakesh Kumar Rampuri)
MM, NI Act, (East)/KKD
19.04.2012