

# Homework 3

● Graded

**Student**

Kulvir Singh

**Total Points**

30 / 30 pts

## Question 1

Q1

10 / 10 pts

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 1 pt [Algorithm] At most 2 issues.

- 3 pts [Algorithm] 3 or more issues.

- 3 pts [Algorithm] Incorrect time complexity.

- 1 pt [Proof] Incorrect base case

- 1 pt [Proof] Incorrect inductive assumption

- 1 pt [Proof] Incorrect inductive step

- 1 pt [Proof] Incorrect proof of contradiction.

- 1 pt [Proof] Other minor issues.

## Question 2

Q2

10 / 10 pts

✓ + 10 pts Correct

+ 5 pts Correct algorithm, not correct or incomplete proof

+ 0 pts Incorrect

## Question 3

Q3

10 / 10 pts

✓ - 0 pts Correct

- 3 pts Incorrect runtime

- 7 pts Incorrect Algorithm

Question assigned to the following page: [1](#)

## ADA HW 3

### i) Algorithm

Assume do to be VSC and since it's the start point, the value of do become 0.

since we have p miles initially in the car, we can say that

$$\text{stops} = 0$$

$$\text{remaining-gas} = p$$

for ( i = 0, run i from 0 → n-1 )

# calculate the next stop's distance as follows →

$$\text{next-stop} = d_{i+1} - d_i$$

if (remaining-gas is  $\geq$  nextstop) then

$$\text{remaining-gas} = \text{remaining-gas} - \text{nextstop}$$

else

{

  stops ++

$$\text{remaining-gas} = p;$$

}

return stops;

Question assigned to the following page: [1](#)

### Time Complexity Analysis

Assigning initial values, returning, arithmetic operations all work at constant time  $\rightarrow O(1)$

Loop through all the stops  $\rightarrow O(n)$

$$\boxed{\text{Total } TC = O(n)}$$

### Proof of Correctness

i) A is a compatible set.

The algorithm always returns the number of steps and even in the worst case scenario, it cannot return more than  $N$  steps as given in the question. This makes  $A$  a compatible set.

ii) A is an optimal set.

Let there be an optimal solution  $O$  which has a better result than  $A$ . Then by Mathematical Induction.

Base Case

when there is only 1 stop

The Optimal Solution Assume

Optimal set  $\rightarrow 0$  steps taken

A set  $\rightarrow 1$  step taken

Question assigned to the following page: [1](#)

However, (by contradiction)  
if the optimal sol takes 0 stops, then  
the distance between start and  
end has to be at most ' $p$ ' miles



for A to take the stop, the distance  
between  $|USC - SM| > p$



$\therefore A$  will not take the stop.

$\Rightarrow A$  is compatible with O

### ~~Algorithmic~~ Inductive Step

when there are  $k$  stops. (~~worst case~~)

Assume  $O \rightarrow k$  stops

$A \rightarrow k+1$  stops

By contradiction

if the optimal solution takes  $k$  stops  
then  $k$  to Santa Monica can at most  
be at distance of ' $p$ '.

so if from  $k^{\text{th}}$  stop the distance  
to end is at most ' $p$ ', according  
to Algorithm 'A', there cannot be any  
other stops req. to be made.

$\therefore k+1$  stop was never visited.

$\Rightarrow A$  is compatible with O.

Question assigned to the following page: [1](#)

Q

Concluding the induction, we can say that if there exists 2 selections for  $N$  stop with  $D \rightarrow N$  optimal and  $A \rightarrow N+1$ , the  $N+1$ th stop will never be visited.

Hence A is an optimal solution.

Question assigned to the following page: [2](#)

2) given : maximise  $\prod a_i^{b_i}$

A	B
$a_0$	$b_0$
$a_1$	$b_1$
:	:
:	:

Intuition : To maximise the value of  $\prod a_i^{b_i}$  we need to get the maximum possible exponential value which means that we have to max the base and the exponent. This can be done by using  $a^{\max b_i}$ .

Algo :

Step 1  $\rightarrow$   $\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{Sort (A)} \\ \text{Sort (B)} \end{array} \right]$  in descending order

Step 2  $\rightarrow$  ~~Initialise~~ product = 1 (initialise variable to store result)  
for ( $i : 0 \rightarrow n$ ) // essentially looping through the sorted lists A & B.

~~product~~ product = product \*  $(A[i] \wedge B[i])$

Step 3  $\rightarrow$  return product.

Question assigned to the following page: [2](#)

## Time complexity Analysis

Step 1 → Sorting A & B.

$$1 \text{ sort operation} = O(n \log n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \text{ sort operations} &= O(n \log n) + O(n \log n) \\ &= O(2n \log n) \\ TC &= \underline{O(n \log n)} \end{aligned}$$

Step 2 → loop through the arrays once  
but simultaneously.

$$T.C = \underline{O(N)}$$

Step 3 → return product  $TC = O(1)$

$$\text{Overall } TC = O(N \log N) + O(N) + O(1)$$

$$TC = \boxed{O(n \log n)}$$

Also, power operation (^) takes a time complexity of  $O(\log n)$  which can be dropped as we already have  $(n \log n)$ .  
~~Also~~

The (^) operation run for n times  
Total  $TC$  can be

$$O(N \log N) + O(N \log N) + O(1)$$

$$TC = \boxed{O(n \log n)}$$

Question assigned to the following page: [2](#)

### Proof of correctness

(i) A is an ~~optimal~~ compatible solution as the result of A will always be the product value and not any other abstract value. Also, since the algorithm does not use any repetitions ~~of~~ of the array/list values, the output will be compatible.

(ii) A is an optimal set.

Let there be a solution D which is optimal.

This solution has been achieved by ~~removing~~ removing inversions.

For example

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \text{A} & \text{B} \\ \hline 1 & 10 \\ 2 & 20 \\ 3 & 20 \end{array} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} 10 & 20 & 20 & 10 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

There is an inversion which when solved gives us the optimal solution.

as

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 10 & 20 & 20 & 30 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{array}$$

Our algorithm also produces the same result.

Hence we can say that A is an optimal set.

Question assigned to the following page: [2](#)

~~Another way~~ Another way of proving <sup>1</sup> is discussed below

(ii) A is an optimal set

(iv)  $\Pi(a, b)$  is the optimal set <sup>2</sup>.

Let us consider O to be the optimal set <sup>n</sup>

for the set  $A \otimes B$  of size  $n$

Let  $A'$  be the solution achieved by my algorithm for the list  $A \otimes B$  of size  $n$ .

Then by mathematical induction we can say.

→ Base Case: when  $(n=1)$ , we know that we only have 1 element in  $A \otimes B$ .

By following  $A'$ , we get to:

$$\Pi(a, b) = (\max(A))^{\max(B)}$$

since we sort  $A \otimes B$  in descending order in  $A'$

This value will always be the greatest value for any  $A \otimes B$ .

Hence

$$\Pi(A') \geq \Pi(O)$$

Question assigned to the following page: [2](#)

### Inductive Hypothesis.

for  $n = k$  where  $k$  is a number greater than 1, we get

$$\prod_{i=1}^k a_i^{b_i} = \text{max value } \Leftrightarrow \text{for my}$$

algorithm  $A'$  since we are using the biggest values for base & exponent. This is achieved by getting the max values present in A & B lists.

As per our sorting and iteration, we will always get it.

Hence proved for any optimal Sq. O, we will always have the product.

$$T(A'(k)) \geq T(O(k))$$

### Inductive Step

for  $(n = n)$  we can now say that we will always have the max base and max exponent of A & B in each iteration used with  $A'$  algorithm therefore by ~~copy~~ for any other optimal Sq. O, we will always have

$$T(A(n)) \geq T(O(n))$$

Hence proved.

Question assigned to the following page: [3](#)

Q

### 3) Greedy Algorithm

Always choose the 2 smallest chain links  
after every iteration (when you go through all  
the chain links)

$$2 \ 5 \ 8 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} (2+5) \\ 7 \end{array} \ 8 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} 7+8 \\ \downarrow \\ 15 \end{array}$$

Total = 2200

Question assigned to the following page: [3](#)

Algo :

We create a min-heap ( $H$ ) with all weights mentioned in  $\underline{W}$ .

calories = total = 0  
while ( $\text{size}(H) \neq 1$ )

{  
min-wt-first = extract-min( $H$ )  
min-wt-second = extract-min( $H$ )  
calories =  $100 * (\min\text{-wt\_first} + \min\text{-wt\_second})$

total = total + calories  
insert(min-wt-first + min-wt-second,  $H$ )

return total;

### Time Complexity

- Heap construction →  $O(N)$
- Size of Heap →  $O(1)$  performed  $N$  times →  $O(N)$
- Insert to Heap →  $O(\log N)$  performed  $N$  times →  $O(N \log N)$

Total = Heap construction + size of heap + 2\*insertion.

$$= O(N) + O(N) + 2 \cdot O(N \log N)$$
$$= O(N \log N)$$

faster than  $O(N^2)$