1.07 [1.03, 1.11]

1.08 [1.00, 1.18]

## **Angiosperm**

		•	
Dicymbe corymbosa	Mayor & Henkel (2006)	<b>⊢=</b> -	1.02 [0.91, 1.14]
Quercus ellipsoidalis	Fernandez et al (2020)	<del>  •</del>	0.91 [0.70, 1.19]
Quercus ellipsoidalis	Fernandez et al (2020)	<del>  • •  </del>	1.58 [1.13, 2.23]
Acer saccharum	Lang et al (2021)	<b>├-</b>	1.18 [1.04, 1.33]
Betula alleghaniensis	Lang et al (2021)	<b>├</b>	0.97 [0.78, 1.21]
Fraxinus americana	Lang et al (2021)	<del>-</del>	1.25 [0.92, 1.70]
Fagus grandifolia	Lang et al (2021)	<del> </del>	1.09 [0.95, 1.25]
RE Model (Angiosperm):	Z = 2.04, p = 0.041	[+ <b></b>	1.09 [1.00, 1.19]

Heterogeneity: Q = 11.08, df = 6, p = 0.086;  $I^2 = 32.2\%$ 

## **Gymnosperm**

Pseudotsuga menziesii	Entry et al (1991)		1.02 [1.01, 1.02]	
Pseudotsuga menziesii	Entry et al (1991)		1.06 [1.05, 1.06]	
Pseudotsuga menziesii	Entry et al (1991)		1.06 [1.05, 1.06]	
Pseudotsuga menziesii	Entry et al (1991)		1.11 [1.10, 1.12]	
Pinus rigida	Zhu & Ehrenfeld (1996)	<b>├</b>	1.43 [1.17, 1.75]	
Pinus strobus	Fernandez et al (2020)		0.89 [0.61, 1.30]	
Pinus strobus	Fernandez et al (2020)	-	0.74 [0.55, 1.01]	
RE Model (Gymnosperm): Z = 2.73, p = 0.006		- <b>♦</b> -	1.06 [1.02, 1.11]	
Heterogeneity: $Q = 247.26$ , $df = 6$ , $p < 0.001$ ; $I^2 = 99.1\%$				

RE Model (All): Z = 3.58, p < 0.001

ML Model (All): Z = 1.96, p = 0.050

Heterogeneity: Q = 259.45, df = 13, p < 0.001

Subgroup differences:  $Q_M = 0.31$ , df = 1, p = 0.578

