

Title: Need some directions

Author: CapnBrownPants

Created 2022-05-13 16:35:14 UTC

Permalink: /r/GME/comments/uovpm8/need_some_directions/

Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/GME/comments/uovpm8/need_some_directions/

This is my first ever post in this sub. I have lurked Reddit for the better part of a decade now, but never felt compelled to create an account, always been happy just browsing. Somewhere around March last year I bought a handful of theater tickets. The main reason I bought them was how much cheaper it was than GME so I felt like I was getting more moon tickets. I held those through hitting \$72 at some point at which point I was up 500% or so but I continued to hold waiting for the real rocket. Oddly enough I held those sticky overpriced softer-drink tickets but browsed Superstonk. Anyways at some point someone posted something simply pointing out the difference in float size and it struck me that I was holding the wrong shares. I sold my shares that shall not be named and bought GME. I by no means have a large position, but it is large for me. I have X DRS'd and XX in a broker. I will not go into details, but the main reason I am not 100% DRS is because of my family and work situation (also my broker charges \$300 to DRS!!!).

Enough about me, the reason I am posting is to ask if anyone can point me in the right direction. I was hoping to read some of the DD as to the most compelling/conclusive evidence that shorts have not closed positions as well as gaining a better understanding of how they are hiding short positions now. The DD library on superstonk is extensive and I cannot sift through it all. The main piece of strong evidence I have seen is the SEC report that indicates the price movement in January was not a result of closing short positions.

My main reason for this is that I have convinced a couple of friends to buy into GME. One is opening a XX position and the other XXX. I want to be able to address these aspects of DD better when talking with people.

My approach when talking to people has changed. I find that too often if I talk about it from the perspective of fuckery and corruption it quickly looks like a big conspiracy. I have learned to approach it first and foremost as a value investment. I tell people that the two most compelling reasons it is a good investment for me are: hundreds of new hires poaching talent from big tech companies like Chewy and Amazon, secondly that insiders have not sold and in fact have bought more shares in the last couple months. Once we have talked about that I start to go into the many other reasons I think it is in a great position currently: stock dividend coming up, NFT marketplace, board leadership, etc. after all of that we talk about the cherry on top being the potential for a visit to andromeda. I explain that my position is that I believe a field trip to the outer reaches of our galaxy is on the table/inevitable but that they can investigate that side and come to their own conclusions about that.

Now, I'm pretty smooth brained so it doesn't take much to convince me of things. I see post titles in all caps and colorful charts and memes and I don't want to be left out. I just don't want to feel like I'm wearing my tinfoil hat whenever I talk about our intergalactic trip.

Sorry for the super long winded post.