

Title: Do you seriously think people would lie on the internet? This Steven Haas stuff may be an attempt to tie GME to conspiracy theorists even more. Or just a fuck up. But he seems a bit nutty.

Author: Helpful_Egg2364

Created 2021-09-05 04:43:38 UTC

Permalink: /r/DDintoGME/comments/pi6lt1/do_you_seriously_think_people_would_lie_on_the/

Url:

https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/pi6lt1/do_you_seriously_think_people_would_lie_on_the/

Let me start off by first saying that I know smear campaigns are a real thing and I am not trying to run one here, I am just pointing out some pretty obvious red flags about this dude which doesn't add up.

Either the shills are pushing this Steve Haas stuff to distract, or the confirmation bias is driving this weekend's madness. You cannot take this to the bank. This dude borders on mentally unwell and Dr. T seems to have made a mistake by retweeting an uncorroborated medium article.

So much of what he has written is factually incorrect, but I don't want to spend all Saturday reading his stuff and trying to poke holes in it. I will therefore just briefly share what I have turned up in a 5 minute search of HIM and how I interpret that based on my legal and military intel background.

****Main Points****

1. Anyone can post on medium. There is no proof this guy is who he says he is, that he was raided, anything. His post is rambling with a photo of a raid. Which raid, who was filming, how do we already have a photo, this is thinking 101. WHAT THE FUCK SUPERSTONK!!? THINK!
2. Whistleblower numbers are awarded when they accept your filing. This does not mean that they have awarded you for effectively whistleblowing. He spent years trying to blow his whistle and then he finally got his number? That sounds like he finally figured out what they required or he finally filed one which wasn't frivolous.
3. As a former legal professional the enormous amount of pro se cases he has brought is a huge red flag. "I was former CEO of a company that had an IPO and had a desk at 1 wall street" does NOT JIVE WITH PRO SE litigation. You know who files pro se? Nuts. Seriously. If he was who he says he was, then he can afford a lawyer. And in his complaints he says he was the head of a company who Bain contracted with to unload of etoys assets. But in other posts he says he was the CEO, previously having a desk at 1 wall st. This guy is all over the map
4. Look at this complaint. [http://petters-fraud.com/3rd_amended_complaint_v10.pdf](http://petters-fraud.com/3rd_amended_complaint_v10.pdf)

He put his house as the address which is not a nice house by wall st/CEO standards [https://www.zillow.com/homedetails/108-E-Jewell-St-Delmar-DE-19940/76312293_zpid/](https://www.zillow.com/homedetails/108-E-Jewell-St-Delmar-DE-19940/76312293_zpid/) maybe it isn't his, still weird to use that as your address in a legal claim. His email address is a yahoo address with laser in it.

This guy is your classic conspiracy theorist. [https://www.dailykos.com/stories/2012/5/7/1089576/-Romney-is-Lying-on-Bain-Exit-in-1999-He-was-CEO-of-Bain-in-2001#comment_46003027](https://www.dailykos.com/stories/2012/5/7/1089576/-Romney-is-Lying-on-Bain-Exit-in-1999-He-was-CEO-of-Bain-in-2001#comment_46003027)

I skimmed this blog-post from a right wing Christian conspiracy theorist from 2016 <https://newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh282.htm>. In it he talks about the new world order, assassinations ordered by Romney and how his candidate will save us all.

This guy just screams conspiracy nut to me.

Here's the rub. A lot of what we are discovering about wall st is not super far off from this kind of stuff, but we must carefully examine our sources. Conspiracies exist, hell, we're in one.

****Lastly****

Idk about you guys but Dr. T retweeting this is a pretty significant blow to my trust in her. This is an uncorroborated Medium article and if you look the dude up briefly, he's at the very least weird.

\----

The lens I always see this stuff through is "network integrity". Is Bain capital involved in all the seedy shit, pretty much certainly. Is this guy a reliable source. I have serious doubts.

Edit: A lot of people seem to be downvoting this. Maybe they are upset I am undermining the sweet ambrosia of uncorroborated confirmation bias. Or maybe they are shills. Or maybe I am missing something...

Also, to avoid stolen valor shit, I was never directly in the intel world but was raised in it and spent my early 20s working with a man who was pushed out of the intel world for exactly this type of "inconvenient whistle blowing." So I know what the real deal looks like.