

Title: Wouldn't this be a way to legitimize all broker shares?

Author: pickinbanjo

Created 2022-08-04 02:50:23 UTC

Permalink: /r/GME/comments/wfpskn/wouldnt_this_be_a_way_to_legitimize_all_broker/

Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/GME/comments/wfpskn/wouldnt_this_be_a_way_to_legitimize_all_broker/

I asked a similar question yesterday, but I don't know if I really had it all processed completely. I am not asking to start a DRS GME debate. There are many of us that are holding a percentage of shares in a broker for a lot of different reasons. A lot of us also have concerns after the split whether our shares were just quadrupled by the broker on our statement, or were actually dispersed 1 to 1 as the shares were distributed to the broker. I feel like DRS is the only way to solve this. If I DRS 100% of my shares and the transfer is approved, they are fully legitimate shares on the Computershare books. If I transfer them back to a broker, there would be a record of them being legitimate shares. I'm not here to start a debate on whether 100% DRS is the solution, but is there legitimacy to a transfer to Computershare and then out of Computershare as a verification of legitimacy? Surely a transfer of a share in my name is proof of ownership at even the shadiest broker?

The only reason I ask is because I think this might help some of us that are reluctant to be fully DRS have a way to feel like taking that step is the right choice.

Anywho, I just like the stonk and I love the community.