

Title: IQ +1
Author: RatioAtDecidendi
Created 2021-05-18 14:54:37 UTC
Permalink: /r/ratioatblessons/comments/nfcrui/iq_1/
Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/ratioatblessons/comments/nfcrui/iq_1/
Is_self: True

How's it going crew?

This is a fresh account solely for Ratio life; previous lurker until I could figure my own way to contribute...

One thing I see here is that everyone brings their own mind, experience, and ideas to the table. On that note I'm going to start to drop in the sub anything I come across in the path of my own life for those who feel to seek a little extra something they might not have come across naturally in theirs. Who knows what'll come up, I might just link a video, or something small, maybe add a quick outline of my own ideas on it, or maybe not, we'll see...but even if one Ratio gets an IQ +1 point, then I'm good with that.

Here's the first drop, a quick video on the Keynesian Beauty Contest concept...

[illegible]

[The Keynesian Beauty Contest](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j8ZVkVjDPxo)

>"A Keynesian beauty contest is a concept developed by [John Maynard Keynes](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Maynard_Keynes) and introduced in Chapter 12 of his work, [The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_General_Theory_of_Employment,_Interest_and_Money) (1936), to explain [price fluctuations](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Market_trend) in [equity](<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stock>) [markets](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stock_market). It describes a beauty contest where judges are rewarded for selecting the most popular faces among all judges, rather than those they may personally find the most attractive." - ^([wikipedia](#))

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n D_{j,k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right) u \\ & = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n D_{j,k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right) u \\ & = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n D_{j,k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right) u \\ &)^* \end{aligned}$$

I have a theory Apes have reached Nash Equilibrium and are now in Keynesian terms 'Infinite-level thinkers'. Now, the ape term for this is HODL and that is all we have to do. We don't need to armchair philosophy our way around this clusterfuck like the first-level, second-level, and third-level thinkers, especially if we own the float. Though, you know who does have to speculate and bang their heads against the fridge door every morning? HFs and the other money in this game. And, it's not hard to guess they are eyeing each other up ready for some \$\$\$\$. The thing is, they can't HODL. They have to play the 'what level of thinking are the rest of the fuckers on, and how do we win?'. That's why there's so much MSM, HF, broken rudder smoke signal misinformation out there...they're trying to get us to drop back to a lower level of rationality.

Who knows? Either way, don't fall for it.

Peace and love

Edit: spelling, format