Title: The Pulte AMA inspired me a consideration, I am looking forward to hear the opinion of other apes Author: efallom

Created 2022-04-13 16:38:00 UTC

Permalink: /r/DDintoGME/comments/u2ugzq/the\_pulte\_ama\_inspired\_me\_a\_consideration\_i\_am/ Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/u2ugzq/the\_pulte\_ama\_inspired\_me\_a\_consideration\_i\_am/

Hi, I am pasting this comment around Superstonk, but no traction, so I am trying making an own post here.

I don't wanna spread FUD, I just want to stay critical for the sake of apes worldwide, and for the sake of systemic change.

I have some concerns about long Hedge Funds trying to spin MOASS in their favour or at any rate against the interests of the majority.

I am also somewhat suspicious of their stake in the Pulte company (sorry I don't recall the actual name of the company) and in the housing market in general. I have a smooth brain and a bias against the ultra-rich, so I might be spewing bullshit here, but I am just playing with my imagination and wondering whether apes as a community should not be wary of HFs that are long on GME (Blackrock and Vanguard) as well as of those that are short.

If we just wanted to make a quick buck out of MOASS they wouldn't have to be extremely concerned, but since there is a lot of talk of systemic change, I can see how such actors might want to prevent it, since they are winning at the current system.

To be clear, I think they might want to prevent the systemic change while still letting MOASS occur, since they would also profit from it.

I am also concerned with the comments from BP about Twitter philanthropy. I am afraid it might be some kind of ape trap aimed for MOASS money to be used in the interest (as philanthropic as it might be) of the highest class in order to defuse its potential for a systemic change.

I don't know anything about Twitter philanthropy, but I believe that if apes want to change the world after MOASS (not just the financial system) the solution is mutualism, not philanthropy. Right now, I can picture Blackrock and Vanguard paperhanding (maybe spread FUD to convince other apes to paperhand?) in order to stunt MOASS at 10,000\$ per share. That would be a huge profit for long HFs, and for retail investors as well, but nothing that would allow X and XX apes to actually contribute to systemic change.

Also, there might be long shills hidden anywhere in superstonk. Even among the Apes that published the best and most credible DD.

How can we make sure that apes will really become a force that changes the world and will not just end up as a tool in a feud between hedge funds?