

Title: Miss information CAMPAIGN RIGHT NOW.

Author: Acceptable-Dish5279

Created 2021-09-18 18:07:58 UTC

Permalink: /r/DDintoGME/comments/pqrdqs/miss\_information\_campaign\_right\_now/

Url: [https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/pqrdqs/miss\\_information\\_campaign\\_right\\_now/](https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/pqrdqs/miss_information_campaign_right_now/)

I made a post earlier and with discussion with people i realized that my post was not clear and was missing information with all the discussion i decided to make a more complete one, i hope you enjoy it!

what DRS is ( it's like a physical certificate but not physical! )

[<https://www.sec.gov/fast-answers/answersbookentryhtm.html>](<https://www.sec.gov/fast-answers/answersbookentryhtm.html>)

[<https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/bookentrysecurities.asp>](<https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/bookentrysecurities.asp>)

Hi everyone! I want to start this DD that with some research and even the confirmation of DR.T from tonight talk on twitter, i can confirm that shareholder discussing of a broker change all together is not collusion since we are all already shareholder, just to make everything clear.

First of all i want to bring my point of view here , i will not assume anything, in this post we will take the most conservative view to review our option with Computershare and our transfer and look how and why it's a good idea too but also mentioning a theory of mine that link everything together.

\*TLDR at the end :)\*

**\*\*CYBERATTACK THE FORUM IS UNDER A SIEGE!\*\***

What i am talking about, well you all saw the FUD when it happen, it's pretty obvious but what about the timeframe that we are under attack?

\* When the stock goes up , we have misinformation to make people play with option and sell their option worthless.

\* When the stock goes down, we have FUD to make people sell their share.

\* When we have no up or down but sideways, we can see those big headline in media that the squeeze is done and there will be no more, BUT WAIT there's a catch too!

I realised after seeing many post that people in the subs were noticing too many Computershare post, but what's wrong with it right? Let me start with couple of screenshot took on the most popular subs of GME within 5 minute.

The screenshot down here are from holder not SHILLS , i double checked! But with a lots of research i found a couple of account talking about infinity pool and DRS 20% of their share and funny enough , looking into their historic they seemed to be shills . But why shills want us to DRS ?? They don't but they know we will so my assumption and pure speculation is that it's to make us think that sending 20% is enough or even 40% could be enough. Those screenshot just demonstrate that the narrative did reached holder and impacted their thinking about DRS as only an infinity pool where we need to send a small portion of our share.

[Not a shill , it's a regular holder](<https://preview.redd.it/dpgqvdz24eo71.png?width=877&format=png&auto=webp&s=d976e8b21ca79f4b2c226e5cd831f1b14a3eb0d4>)

What in this screenshot is obvious to you?

[again a regular holder that take for granted the float](<https://preview.redd.it/b5fq3pp44eo71.png?width=656&format=png&auto=webp&s=6070b65553a41670d62249fc0691d1594ec65466>)

What about this one?

There's a correlation between both and it's the fact that we send only a portion of our share and not the majority of it.

So what? I will first show you my view on it and then do the math for you so we can agree on the portion we need to send to have a real impact on our favorite stock. (Remember it's not collusion since we are all already shareholder, it's in our right to discuss this just like when we did when we transferred RB to Fidelity)

The tactics in war, when you know the inevitable is going to happen, your only way out is to divide (we know all what I'm talking about here) and the second thing to do is to spread misinformation in the community to make it straight up assumption from all their member. The misinformation might be the % of share we send. As far as I'm concerned the historical squeeze happens because company DRS their share not a fraction of it but all of it. Keeping you from DRS 90% or even 100% of your share is pure misinformation from my perspective.

We need to stop taking for granted that we own the float many times and take action in consideration that we might not.

We all take for granted that we own 6-7-8-9 times the float name it some even say 10 times! But the reality is, we don't know and no survey or information at our disposition right now can confirm this by any means.

So let's say we own 6-7-8-9 times the float, it is fair to say if we DRS 20%-30% or even 40% of our share, we are good to go right? But remember any of those numbers have any evidence whatsoever!

So to be rational in any situation where we have a lack of information is to take all possibility, review them all, and make sure to considerate ALL OF THEM, not only 1 or 2. Here I am going to do the math for you all so we can review EACH POSSIBILITY without omit any.

We need just a little bit of information before we start speculation!

\* First, not all GME holder will DRS their share, some countries straight up can't, some other countries have the possibility but the fees are too high for low share holder counts, another problem is that retiring account if you remove your share from it because you can't DRS from it you will straight up be charged taxes and some people simply can't afford it, we also have to take in consideration all the people that don't use reddit and are not aware of DRS and probably many other factors that I can't even think about.

\* We can assume from the SI reported in JAN that the float could be 300M shares let's say up to 600M if they kept shorting it. But for the math I will take the most conservative data which is 226% SI so 300M shares floating around

\* I came to the conclusion that around 55% to 70% of the holder at best can DRS their share so when the math comes down to 55% (HOLDER), this is what I will refer to, I take 55% because it's the most conservative number.

\* But wait this is not it, there's 1 more thing to take in consideration before we proceed, NOT 100% OF OUR SHARE WILL BE DRS, we will all conserve a proportion of our share in a broker for the most part! So it's fair to assume that most people will DRS from my own research so far, something between 20%-50% of their share. I did a lot of research on all forums and this seems to be the narrative pushed on the forum (You start seeing me coming???????)

The math below will only take the most conservative number to make sure our view is centered on the worst case scenario and not the best one since the worst is also a possibility.

\*\*\*1st POSSIBILITY, we own 1 x times the float.\*\*\*

worst case If we own 1 time the float which is 56M share and we DRS 20% of our share we would have 11,2M share in our name. But only 55%(HOLDER) will DRS so we drop down to 6,16M share

best case If we own 1 time the float which is 56M share and we DRS 50% of our share we would have 28M share in our name. But only 55%(HOLDER) will DRS so we drop to 15,4M share

\*\*\*2nd POSSIBILITY, we own 1.5x time the float.\*\*\*

worst case If we own 1.5 time the float which is 84M share and we DRS 20% of our share we would have 16.8M share in our name. But only 55%(HOLDER) will DRS so we drop down to 9,24M share

best case if we own 1.5 time the float which is 84M share and we DRS 50% of our share we would have 42M share in our name . but only 55%(HOLDER) will DRS so we drop down to 23.1M share

\*\*\*3rd POSSIBILITY, we own 2x time the float.\*\*\*

worst case if we own 2 time the float which is 112M share and we DRS 20% of our share we would have 22,4M share in our name. But only 55%(HOLDER) will DRS so we drop down to 12,32M share

best case if we own 2 time the float which is 112M share and we DRS 50% of our share we would have 56M share in our name. but only 55%(HOLDER) will DRS so we drop down to 30,8M share

\*\*\*4th POSSIBILITY, we own 2.5x time the float.\*\*\*

worst case if we own 2.5 time the float which is 140M share and we DRS 20% of our share we would have 28M share in our name. but only 55%(HOLDER) will DRS so we drop down to 15.4M share

best case if we own 2,5 time the float which is 140M share and we DRS 50% of our share we would have 70M share in our name. but only 55%(HOLDER) will DRS so we drop down to 38.5M share

\* I will take a pause there , i think i made my point from here, thinking that 20-50% of our share DRS is enough is already saying that those 4 possibility are not realistic ( but they are....). In reality to make those 4 possibility in our favor to squeeze, we would need to send not less 90% of our share. So just like the meme anything below 50M is FUD, i will create the anything below 90% share DRS is indeed misinformation to the shareholder.

\* If we own 1.5 time the float it doesn't mean no squeeze guys just to be clear , there's plenty of room for a massive squeeze like the MOASS, we could sell 30% of our share and still be ok to infinity. They still need to buy-back all the synthetic + the exceeding of the float that we own.

Now can you see why it's in the best interest of MM and SHF to push the narrative of the infinity pool and sending 20-50% of our share to registration is probably misinformation? Because there's a possibility that we own between 1 time to 6 time the float and in all those possibility, we will never squeeze if we send only 20-50% of our share. To be proactive i will take the most bearish view and assume we have 1.1 time the float so on my behalf i will send 90% of my share to make sure if it's the case we will still squeeze. The blessing of the freedom to chose how many share we DRS!

\*\*BLUE PILL OR RED PILL?\*\*

Let's think about the moment SHF or even MM have not enough collateral and they collapse. I keep seeing post like the 350\$ is the point where they collapse , HOW DO YOU KNOW? really i want to know, show me your evidence for fuck sake? In reality their breakpoint might be 2000\$ and we will never know it until we reach it.

So assuming a market crash will indeed cause the squeeze is on my opinion totally wrong. There's only 2 options to me that are realistic for the squeeze to happen.

1. RC recall share for any reason like NFT dividend, switch to on blockchain broker instead of DTCC holding the share or who know what he got in his sleeve. I'm sure he have something but i don't know what

and i don't know when maybe soon maybe not!

2. We DRS the float , case close.

3. I know there's other possibility but i discard them as very unlikely to be honest with you.

Which rational scenario do you prefer the most? I honestly think that DRS 90% of our share is not that hard... We would stop talking about it in 1month at best right if indeed we own at least 1.2 time the float? They can still borrow at that point phantom share and prevent the squeeze but this will show the criminal side of their game in literally plain sight. It's like requesting all share certificate and we are still seeing share trading on the market , from this point theses criminal are completely fucked. The redemption of the justice!

**\*\*THE ILLUSION OF THE CHOICE.\*\***

I see many of you telling me hey but when the squeeze happen, it will be hard to sell with Computershare and i rather sell with my broker. This is all illusion , you take for granted liquidity in the market, you take for granted that when you will want to sell your share there will be a buyer. At millions per share, there might be absolutely no liquidity with broker or Computershare , it doesn't matter , it won't work how you want it to work. At this point Computershare or broker doesn't matters.

It's an illusion that you have that liquidity with GME is forever and ever. Let me tell you when the recall will start. There will be not even FOMO simply because share won't be accessible. The only entities that will buy will be the SHF or MM that are short on the stock so your fear of DRS should down from here.

Not just that but remember in the squeeze the price will probably still be wrong and the only way of selling at our price point will be to wait a long time before it reach our price point. At example 1M per share with Computershare it might take month and it won't drop down from 1M to 20\$ in a week , o hell no!!!! So even if it takes a day to sell because of too many people trying to sell , the broker will have the same problem.

**\*\*CONCLUSION AND TLDR\*\***

I take a conservative approach to the DRS. And with basic math show that 20-50% share DRS won't be enough in many possibility regarding the float that we might own which is very different from the float of share floating in the market. The 20-50% is probably number pushed by MM and SHF to make sure we don't DRS enough share. They create problems that don't even exist and make you doubt that 90% of your share in Computershare is a good idea.

I like feedback on this post i make correction when i'm wrong or insinuate something i don't want to. I just want everybody to be on the same page.