

Title: DRS Really seems to be the only way. My thought process/reasons.

Author: KnowItBrother99

Created 2022-01-10 20:35:17 UTC

Permalink: /r/GME/comments/s0tti6/drs_really_seems_to_be_the_only_way_my_thought/

Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/GME/comments/s0tti6/drs_really_seems_to_be_the_only_way_my_thought/

- 1) The existence of Naked shorting has already been proven with other companies.
- 2) The DD that says retail owns the float multiple times. If the system was legal and fair this would NOT be possible, and GME would be much more expensive
- 3) Sec report says the Jan sneeze wasn't from shorts covering, so the shorts must still be out there.
- 4) If DRS works why hasn't RC told us to just DRS from the start: there's a rule in the SEC that literally says it's illegal to ask investors to do this.
- 5) for the first time ever, DRS count was included in A quarterly report (10-Q form)
- 6) the system already acted illegally, and one the court case easy peasy with a judge who's husband was involved with Robinhoods/shitadels legal team. And that blantant conflict of interest was just completely ignored. Absolute corruption.
- 7) based on the point of number 6, why not just do it again, just like the naked shorting it's working
- 8) GS obviously can clearly see AND are legally allowed to report the shares in Computershare. This same ability does NOT apply to your shares in your brokers (otherwise he would have obviously reported those as well)
- 9) the only way to undeniably prove naked shorting is to have the legal amount locked in one spot and then say wtf, how are shares still being traded.