

Title: Madoff's Monster (with extra steps)

Author: suddenlyy

Created 2021-09-20 17:55:24 UTC

Permalink: /r/DDintoGME/comments/ps02dj/madoffs\_monster\_with\_extra\_steps/

Url: [https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/ps02dj/madoffs\\_monster\\_with\\_extra\\_steps/](https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/ps02dj/madoffs_monster_with_extra_steps/)

preface: not financial advice.. im a smooth brain.. i really do have autism(and proud of it !)..yadda.

so last night i had a train of thought that i honestly think solves the mystery - it reveals the predicament that our financial system is going to find itself in - or at least "peels back the onion" one more layer from our current understanding. for the msot part im just gonna copy and paste my comments here becuae people requested me to. i know its not that well organized, sorry about that - im not that great at communicating clearly and i dont really have the energy right now to try to fix it anyways. im sure youll get my general idea.

Also, im not claiming ive got all the specfics right, im sure ive got a few details wrong here and there - but i really do think the general idea that i describe below , at least to some degree, describes the predicament to come.

From pg 211:

>This CEO and all the CMKM shareholders suffered from the same delusion - they thought naked short selling was their only problem. I could not make them understand that the system was going to cheat them with or without short sellers, naked or otherwise. \*\*When one broker can sell shares to your broker and simply fail to deliver at settlement, they do not have to bear the expense of short selling with stock borrowing for settlement or bear the cost of monitoring and reporting naked short sales. They simply do not deliver any shares on settlement date and the system lets them. In the meantime, your broker is not required to tell you that he took your money and did not get your shares.\*\* \*\*There will be no record of any short sale.\*\*

The above is from Dr. T's book. Read it carefully, digest it, and understand it before proceeding.

If we admit that she is correct, doesn't it mean that our system is one big ponzi/madoff scheme?

Our problem is not naked short selling - it is FTDs (This is Dr T message throughout her whole book - All NSS result in FTD, \*\*but not all FTD comes from a NSS\*\*). How is her description of perpetual (yes perpetual read the book!) FTDs ANY different from what madoff was doing?

Madoff took your money and sent you a statement showing you had "Shares" - but he never bought or sold any stock.

Isn't that what our brokers are doing? They are taking our money - and not using it to buy any stock. This ISNT an issue of naked short selling. Its an issue of no real stocks are being bought and sold. \*\*Money is being taken, and FTRs\*\* (Failure to Receive, IOU, phantom shares - whatever you want to call it. I prefer to call it THIN AIR) \*\*are being given\*\*. Its Madoffs Monster with extra steps.

So how is all this relevant to the post \[\*im referring to\* u/crind \*post from last night here\*\]? Because all the shareholders DRSing the stock of a company is like all madoffs investors demanding their money all at once. It exposes the fraudulent system. But it isnt ONLY gamestop - these people can sell FTRs (THIN AIR) to people for ANY stock and it doesnt even register as a short sale for god's sake. \*\*If thats true, then there is no pressure that comes from a huge price increase on a stock that you are short(as we have been thinking this whole time) - becuse you arent short!- you just have a bunch of IOU/FTR\*\*! \*\*the pressure comes only when everyone goes to madoff and demands their money( ie float gets DRSd).\*\* While we are at it, why not just sell 10s of thousands of FTRs of apple, amazon, microsoft, etc?? this fraud will never be exposed unless millions of shareholders decide the DRS the float of a particular company.

Think about it this way. Say we eventually DRS the GME float. Now say there still millions of shareholders with millions of GME shares in brokerage accounts(as we all expect to happen). You receive a statement from your broker showing you have XYZ shares of GME. Guess what - thats just words on a page and it can be proven, since the real shares are all accounted for. Your broker just Madoff'd you. He took your money, and did not use it to buy shares, but he DID send you a statement showing your "shares".

And we wonder why DTC made it illegal for companies to ask shareholders to DRS. Their ponzi would come crumbling.

not financial advice yadda

anyways just a few thoughts! its late so i hope i have made sense.

\*Ok, here comes my next train of thought when it really hit me..Sorry for the caps but i was exuberant\*

its the brokers that are going to be the first signs of trouble.

i just realized why brokers felt they had to delete shares for CMKM shareholders. ITS NOT BECUASE IT

WAS GOING TO BE DELISTED - THAT DID NOT COME UNTIL LATER!! READ THE BOOK! CHAPTER 18!

Lets take fidelity as an example.

I am fidelity and I have 5 million GME certificates

I then have shareholders who want to DRS 20 million shares to computershare. I cant DRS 20 million shares becuase i only have 5 million certificates

.. At some point, i am going to have to tell some customres that i was not able to DRS their shares. Or i am going to have to go to papa DTCC and ask for a bail out (ask for some certificates ) - eventaully the dam will burst, and whoever is responsible for the IOUs is going to have to decide what to do.

THIS IS LITERALLY EQUIVILENT OF EVERYONE SHOWING UP AT BERNIES HOUSE AND DEMANDING OUR MONEY. By demanding that we DRS the float, brokers will have to admit all the phantom shares!!

close your eyes and imagine you are the wealthy elite that needs to decide how this will play out. im sure you can think of several options (ALL OF THEM BAD - BUT THEY STILL HAVE TO CHOOSE WHATEVER THEY BELIEVE TO BE THE LEAST BAD OPTION - FOR THEM!).

do we buy back all the ious (moass) - and maybe stash money overseas and flee the country (.. i think theyve been working on this since january probably)?

do we shut off trading?

do we delete shares??

THEY HAVE TO CHOOSE AN OPTION - AND IM SURE THERES MORE OPTIONS THEY CAN SCHEME UP THAT I CANT EVEN IMAGINE, THE ABOVE ARE JUST EXAMPLES - and im sure they will choose whatever they think their best option is )

Anotehr exmple : they can stall by saying there is technical difficulties in DRS the shares.. so they make us wait weeeeks or months while they figure out what to do.. they can say they sent the reequest to computershare but not actually do it, then its a back and forth between us and computershare and the broker.. etc.. I DONT KNOW WHAT THEYLL DO, MY POINT IS THAT IM SURE THEY CAN COME UP WITH A LONG LIST OF POTENTIAL FUCKERY TO TRY

so i dont know what all they will end up trying but im sure they have or will think abotu it and choose whatever is the least shitty option (for them)

\*\*in cmkm instance, they must have felt that their best option was to delte shares becuase thats what happened. and it worked. no body talked about share deletion, becuase the media refused to air the story - and the few people that DID know about the story only ereally learned about the controversy of the company itself and not the OUTRAGE of the share deletion. The powers that be probably had a hand in getting people to talk about the distraction(controversy) and not the outrage(share deletion)\*\*

Sound familiar? With GME, the public is aware of the (FABRICATED) controversy (irresponsible ruthless wallstreetbets gamblers attacking short sellers) but not the (ACTUALLY REAL) outrage (ponzi scheme is real, crime fraud yadda)

think of how much they have to gain by having the system set up as a ponzi.

they can and probably have made billions by selling thin air (FTRs) across the entire stock market - and they will never have to face the consequences of doing so (again - these aren't naked shorts that we are talking about. it's literal thin air), unless one particular company managers to get a large % of their float DRSd

\*Ok, here comes my next train of thought.\*

why wouldn't they just rebuy enough IOUs(FTRs) to satisfy the demand for DRS?

right - so say that there are 50 million certificates (50 million float)

lets say there are 1 billion IOU(FTR, phantom shares, THIN AIR, whatever)

lets say there are a total request from apes of to DRS 120million shares -

well.. if i was the wealthy elite, one option that i would consider in this hypothetical situation would be to rebuy 70 million IOUs. not the whole 1 billion that exist - only 70 million - because that would satisfy the predicament of having more DRS requests than certificates that exist.

Why is this possible that they don't have to rebuy all that exist? because these aren't naked shorts that we are talking about. therefore, the pressure isn't coming from "i am heavily short on gme and it has just jumped a significant amount in value! oh no i've been margin called! " --- the pressure comes from , we are at bernie madoff's door demanding our money. Well, if bernie \*\*has\*\* 50 million dollars, \*\*owes\*\* 1 billion dollars to investors, but only 120 million dollars worth of investors show up at my doorstep.. then idxb for a way to satisfy that 120 million(if possible), and why bother ponying up the full 1 billion?

to be clear, im just trying to .. close my eyes, and pretend im the wealthy elite responsible for this mess.. what is my best option to weasel out of it.

NOT FINANCIAL ADVICE IM NOT A FINANCIAL ADVISOR. i just believe ive figured out the predicament these fuckers are in.

OK FINALLY

First of all let me say something because im sure there will be comments.

I AM NOT SAYING THAT I THINK THEY ARE GOING TO DELETE OUR SHARES. In my OPINION, They COULD(because they have before with cmkm!), IF they HONESTLY BELIEVED that was their best option. But think what would happen - the outrage, the riots, i mean just think how that would play out. If they honestly think thats their best option and actually did it, that just means all their other options were even more mega fucked - WHICH I DOUBT! \*\*any option they choose, they are mega fucked but there has to exist an option for them that would leave them "as least mega fucked as possible" and these people are smart enough to decide to do that - whatever they deem it to be\*\*. Im betting it will involve "delays"/"Technical difficulties" like i explained above. Remember RH halting buys.. remember krip the other day, (i understand a krip went sky high so they had "tech difficulties" and people couldnt sell? yeah , uh huh..

This isnt CMKM. Im sure they thought they could get away with it with CMKM, and they were right! Becuase no one ever heard about the share deletion becuase the media refused to run the story. The few people that did hear about CMKM only heard about the controversy surrounding the company - not the share deletion. I would CONJECTURE that at least PARTLY, this was a successful fud campaign to get people to talk about the CONTROVERSY and not the OUTRAGE. (I MADE A POST ABOUT THIS THE OTHER DAY THAT HAS A LITTLE MORE INFO ON THIS, PLEASE CHECK IT OUT ITS TITLED SOMETHING LIKE "CMKM AND GAMESTOP" - MY SOURCE IS CHAPTER 18)