

Title: α mc Isn't Being Used as a Hedge Against GME [Addressing a SHF Divide & Conquer Strategy]
Author: disoriented_llama
Created 2022-06-22 18:27:25 UTC
Permalink: /r/TheGloryHodl/comments/vibvtr/ α mc_isnt_being_used_as_a_hedge_against_gme/
Url: /r/Superstonk/comments/vfctgw/ α mc_isnt_being_used_as_a_hedge_against_gme/

Linked Post Content:

I posted this yesterday, but it got removed by mods within a few hours of posting. However, u/BadassTrader reached out to me and let me know he'd approve the post, and that I just needed to edit out a few things. Appreciate it, u/BadassTrader! Here's my post:

\-----

α mc Isn't Being Used as a Hedge Against GME \[Addressing a SHF Divide & Conquer Strategy\]

§1: Basket Stocks

§2: Miscellaneous Arguments

§3: Insiders & MSM

§4: The Division

\-----

****§1: Basket Stocks****

For well over a year, there's been [this](https://imgur.com/a/E6TUKH5) strong animosity against α mc and α mc Apes in this sub. More recently, there's been theories circulating that α mc is being used as a hedge by SHFs against GME. I'm probably going to get crucified for saying this, but here it goes:

α mc isn't being used as a hedge against GME.

<https://i.redd.it/q23s08aaye691.gif>

Just hear me out.

I'm a pretty open minded person, but I've been following both of these stocks since forever, and none of these "theories" about α mc being used as a hedge against GME are consistent with the facts. Also, having these theories being postulated as axioms by Apes ultimately undermines all the fundamental DD we've built in the past. Uncovering the truth is what's important.

Let's first start by understanding the basket stocks.

What are the basket stocks? Essentially, they're a group of stocks heavily shorted by SHFs. The shorts on these basket stocks get bundled up together in swaps, which is why we see similar algorithmic price movement with them.

I implore you to read Criand's [Basket Swaps DD](https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/pb22oj/the_puzzle_pieces_of_quarterly_movements_equity/) from last year, which goes over how the basket stocks work, and why we see very similar movement with these stocks.

As Criand puts it in his Basket Swaps DD, "there's a basket of "meme" stocks that move in tandem, signaling that some counterparty (or counterparties) are on the hook for a ton of swaps and that these "meme" stocks are most likely shorted as a basket through Equity Total Return Swaps. \[...\] The prevailing theory is there's a massive amount of Portfolio Swaps against these meme stocks, where so many entities

can be pulled under if these squeezes occur."

[Graph from Criand's Basket Swaps DD displaying comparable price movement between GME & basket stocks last year](<https://preview.redd.it/wqxla7djye691.png?width=2428&format=png&auto=webp&s=342ce97cda03b595913d5e3d1017dfb09159ccb5>)

The major basket stocks are GME, α mc, KOSS, BBBY, & EXPR.

Now that you know about the basket stocks. What can further validate the idea that these basket stocks (including α mc), have been heavily shorted by SHFs?

Well, α mc, along with the other basket stocks, had the buy button removed on January 28, 2021.

GameStop wasn't the only one that had the buy button removed by brokers back in January last year. All the basket stocks had the buy button removed, because the prices taking off would've put SHFs at risk of bankruptcy and initiating MOASS.

Here's leaked texts from RH executives on January 28, 2021 discussing the removal of the buy button for α mc and the other basket stocks:

[PCO: Position Closing Only \[i.e. no buying permitted, only selling\]](<https://preview.redd.it/t6rvf72lye691.png?width=451&format=png&auto=webp&s=418a914d5a2d1d34ed9b3db6b60e4060ec2c1d92>)

<https://preview.redd.it/wgcntvImye691.png?width=477&format=png&auto=webp&s=adcc2a59c165cdda40914e0f1df802f156d9bb3a>

You can find this information, which was released on pages 55 & 58 of the [September, 2021 Class Action Complaint related to antitrust actions involving RobinHood](https://pdfhost.io/v/YPAly8dSy_Microsoft_Word_20210812_Corrected_Antitrust_First_Amended_Complaint_Revised).

Ok, so here's what we know.

1. RH removed the buy button for α mc.
2. Leaked texts reveal RH executives were worried about being crucified for removing the buy button.

Here's the question: if α mc was a hedge against GME, why did brokers (such as RH) put themselves at so much legal and public risk just to prevent anyone from buying α mc?

Well, since we understand the basket stocks, we understand that these particular stocks are heavily shorted by SHFs and pose a serious risk to them. Any 1 of these stocks exploding further in price could've destroyed SHF's margins and initiated MOASS, which is why RH stopped the retail buying of these stocks and informed SHFs to load up their short positions and tank the stocks beforehand; hence, ALL of these basket stocks tanked after the buy button was removed in January, 2021.

****§2: Miscellaneous Arguments****

Now, there are some other arguments that α mc is a hedge, such as the "Citadel has calls on α mc" argument.

Ok, let's take a look at Citadel's 13F then:

[Citadel has more calls than puts for GME.](<https://preview.redd.it/71sqnvynye691.png?width=1683&format=png&auto=webp&s=0982fd5ed5440053fc3083f3123ac2ca86e146b0>)

[Citadel has more calls than puts for α mc.](<https://preview.redd.it/c0t1771pye691.png?width=1679&format=png&auto=webp&s=bc0f221118f378869f311e8d3e0199529de2422e>)

[Source for Citadel 13F α mc calls/puts](<https://imgur.com/a/7V5l2Nx>)

[Source for Citadel 13F GME calls/puts](<https://fintel.io/so/us/gme/citadel-advisors-llc>)

It's clear that Citadel holds more calls than puts for both stocks, so the same argument could actually be made for GME as well. Ergo, this argument doesn't hold up.

Also, none of this matters, because we know that Citadel's massive short positions are all hidden in swaps.

"The way that it allows naked shorting is because the Hedge Fund "borrows" prime brokerage privileges through the swap. **The Hedge Fund is not short on its balance sheet but they are effectively short through the exposure of the derivative**. The counterparty of the swap is the one who is short the underlying. But, because the broker dealer can short for the sake of liquidity, **they do not need to report short interest on the stock by internalizing the orders and selling against their own "inventory,"** \- Criand's Basket Swaps DD.

This is further reinforced from my [interview](https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/v4wxkb/i_spoke_with_a_former_citadel_client_heres_what/) with former Citadel client, Edward Thorp, where we see that Citadel appears to be overperforming to the outside, but in reality is underperforming from within.

They're hiding their losses/short positions in swaps, and as such, are able to make everything look good on their balance sheets.

However, there's other theories that have sprouted, saying that somehow Citadel went "net long" on α mc sometime around June last year, and that the market cap needed to stay similar to GME to act as a hedge.

This is just speculation. It cannot be verified, because we can't look at their swaps and verify if this theory is accurate or not. This type of speculation could also be used against GME, even though we all know this isn't the case.

It's much more reasonable to infer that Citadel has a shit ton of short positions hidden in swaps on α mc similar to GME, though I'd expect much more for GME, nonetheless.

And we know that SHFs aren't interested in closing their short positions. This is self evident with zombie stocks. They attack these brick-and-mortar companies, create countless synthetics, cellar box them, with the goal of taking the stocks to \$0 in the long-run, because then they don't have to buy back any shares and close their positions.

That being said, why would they have decided to go long on α mc months after they prevented retail from buying the stock? Better yet, how would they go net long? I explained in § 5 of my [We Are Unstoppable](https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/t3zp4h/we_are_unstoppable/) DD how there's verification of millions of α mc Apes, and in turn, there's also millions of GME Apes (which is also solidified by the SEC Report).

So, you have millions of α mc Apes holding the stock, having the same "no cell no sell" mentality we have here, high floors, etc. Also, in my [Burning Cash](https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/v0zrni/burning_cash/) DD, we see that the ratio of DRS shareholders between GME Apes & α mc Apes is around 10:1. In essence, we could infer that at least 1 out of every 10 GME Apes holds both stocks (or at least that 10% of Apes that DRS'ed their shares possibly holds both stocks), so there's a lot of similarities to these 2 communities, which begs the question: how would Citadel have gone net long on α mc at any moment in time?

There's just no way this is possible. Again, they built up insanely massive short positions on these brick-and-mortar stocks, because they were reckless and arrogant. They thought it'd be an easy slam-dunk for them, similar to the zombie stocks. You can make an infinite amount of synthetics, but you don't need to spend a dime buying anything back if the company goes bankrupt.

For Citadel to have gone net long on α mc, they would've needed to close a majority of their short positions. They can't close anything without igniting MOASS, because as soon as any closing starts, you have these Apes owning 80-95% of the float (excluding synthetics), majority refusing to sell their shares

until they get extremely high floors, and to close even a fraction of their short positions would have the price skyrocket and take a big hit on their margin, putting them bankrupt, just like with SHFs trying to go net long on GME.

A lot of Apes have asked why αmc had a much bigger run in June last year than GME. This was something I predicted would happen over a year ago, and was actually the reason I chose to focus more on αmc than GME back then. I knew both stocks would squeeze eventually, but αmc 's market cap was significantly less than GME's prior to June, 2021. αmc 's market cap was around \$4 B while GME's market cap was around \$12 B, so αmc had a potential to rally before MOASS. When the market cap is small like that, retail has more sway (power) to make big moves, due to greater ownership with their purchasing power. Furthermore, I took a look at social media sentiment, as well as the Ape communities surrounding both stocks, compared & contrasted them, and my analysis concluded that online activity and retail sentiment for αmc on social media was not consistent with a market cap 1/3rd of GME. Many indicators told me that eventually this heavy retail sentiment was going to reflect on the market cap (via a rally). The data showed me that αmc 's social media sentiment reflected at least a 70% higher market cap than what the market cap was currently at pre June, 2021.

Fundamentals don't reflect on the price, because the price is algorithmically controlled; however, FOMO/retail power can overtake the algorithm, which is what we saw briefly in June, 2021.

There were a few things that were very compelling to me that made me focus on αmc more back then, including that if the 70% increase in retail power reflected on the market cap, it would pass a zone (\$14.5) which was an algorithmic control zone back then, making the stock significantly uncontrollable, ultimately leading to an explosion in price, which is what we saw back then.

SHFs were very desperate at that moment amid the rally. Mudrick Capital even took 8.5 million αmc shares over the counter (which didn't affect the price), and immediately sold them in the beginning of June on the NYSE (affecting the price), in an attempt to control the price action. This, in addition to several halts, and through other means, they were able to prevent it from going too high.

You see, SHFs were able to survive at the time by letting αmc run a little, because the market cap was small, but had αmc passed \$80 back in June, it would've been the equivalent to passing \$400 for GME, which is why they did everything they could to bring the price down to a more controllable range. That run in June, 2021 can't happen again, because if it does, MOASS would start. Simply put, it was a lifeline spent for SHFs. Retail FOMO was too strong for αmc at that time for such a small market cap, that they had to let it rise to a more controllable range, just like GME, lest they start MOASS (same as GME borrow rate increasing exponentially; they had to let price rise a bit to lower the borrow rate). It cannot run like that anymore without igniting MOASS. All the fake squeeze theories for either stock don't work, because their margin wouldn't be able to handle a run up like that anymore.

It had nothing to do with αmc becoming a hedge against GME. If I saw that all of a sudden there was massive social media interest surrounding BBBY, and, for example, a BBBY Ape community had 100,000 members (with a significant number of consistently active users), I would see retail FOMO is not reflected on BBBY's \$0.5 B market cap, and would expect FOMO to overtake the algo there and force SHFs to allow a brief rally as well, up to the point where they can afford it without MOASS starting yet. It's the same concept.

Now, social media sentiment surrounding αmc has had much more constrained/logistic growth than GME since June, 2021, which puts GME Apes in a better position. This, in addition to insiders holding strong, the strong DRS movement with GME Apes, fundamentals (virtually no debt for GameStop), RC's turnaround plan, stock split dividend, etc., all put GME in a much stronger position overall. I see GME having a much more explosive MOASS than αmc .

****§3: Insiders & MSM****

As for the sus αmc board and αmc CEO Adam Aron being sus, there were Apes suspicious of AA because of connections with boards and organizations connected to Citadel.

I'll give you the benefit of the doubt. Let's say that the αmc board and AA are up to no good. Does that

mean the basket stock isn't going to squeeze? No. It doesn't change that. Also, DFV invested into GME well before RC bought any shares and became chairman. DFV invested back when the GameStop board was sus and BCG was hired to help cellular box the company. That didn't stop DFV from seeing the potential.

I will admit though, I agree that AA and the α mc board are pretty sus. The board's done a lot of damage to the stock (in terms of excessive share dilution, insiders selling almost everything, etc.). If it's true that they were planted to hurt the stock, then that would be more in line with what BCG was doing (infiltrating heavily shorted companies to damage them from within and help Citadel & Co. profit). But, that would also mean SHFs are heavily short the stock, further supporting the basket stocks DD, that these basket stocks will squeeze upon SHFs closing their short positions, and my main point that α mc isn't a hedge against GME.

I'm not telling anyone to buy α mc, just explaining that even if the board was sus, it doesn't change the fact that it can squeeze. Same with BBBY. There's still sus people in the BBBY board, but doesn't change the fact that it will squeeze (along with the other basket stocks) when MOASS happens.

Why would insiders be selling α mc? The best explanation I could come up with is that SHFs probably cut some sort of deal with insiders—that insiders sell shares, and in return SHFs won't attack the company as hard, or will give them something in return. But, I want to make it clear that if SHFs are short on a stock, they're not going to want insiders to keep holding. If anything, SHFs will incentivize insiders to sell, because it dilutes the stock and also disincentivizes retail from continuing to hold onto the stock, making seem feel more worried and wanting to sell.

AA held onto his shares for several years, and even made this statement on June, 2021:

<https://preview.redd.it/fmxn27cuye691.png?width=961&format=png&auto=webp&s=c9f5adcd4a534ab920b7a35bae0846325bb15f88>

You can find this on pg. 4 of the α mc [Schedule 14A](<https://imgur.com/a/aYG2Obe>) for June, 2021.

So...something fucking happened recently. I don't know what exactly happened, but something happened that made him do a 180**** and sell his shares. My best explanation would be that SHFs offered AA & insiders something in return for selling their shares. What that something was, I don't know. Maybe it was to not have lawsuits hit them like the one BCG hit GameStop with, maybe bribes, maybe it was similar to the threats SHFs made to public figures, which I discussed in my DD [Are Billionaires Being Threatened From Supporting GME](https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/u4jwqy/are_billionaires_or_wealthy_public_figures_being/). But, something happened. Insiders selling doesn't mean that SHFs aren't short the stock. In this case, it tells me that the board is pretty sus or did some back-end deal that we don't know about, which required them to sell their shares, which isn't good obviously.

There was also Trey's Trades, who, if you don't know who he is, is kind of like the discount version of DFV for α mc. I actually used to like Trey, ngl. He had this charisma that brought Apes together. He even did a video discussing one of my DD posts for α mc I did over a year ago, which I thought was pretty nice. But, I found out that months back he did a 180**** and started encouraging Apes to buy puts on α mc, which leads me to believe he took SHF money as well, because anyone buying puts on any of the basket stocks is essentially helping SHFs, but I'll get to that later.

As for MSM showing support for α mc, yes, there has been some media support for α mc, but the majority of the MSM press has been towards discouraging anyone from buying the stock (this has been going on for years, even today) just like GME:

<https://reddit.com/link/vfctgw/video/zme5h3ugye691/player>

Shill stocks: HOOD, clov, CNK

Not shill stocks: GME, α mc, BBBY

I agree I have seen that MSM has been slightly more lenient towards α mc in the past compared to GME. I assume that, if Apes were to go invest in a basket stock, that SHFs would prefer it be α mc rather than

GME, because they have a little more control over that stock (due to sus insiders, no DRS movement, etc.). Regardless, if one of these stocks squeezes, the other will follow. GME is the leading basket stock, and will have the most explosive MOASS, but it doesn't mean that αmc (et al.) won't squeeze as well.

And the FUD campaigns against αmc have still been strong, nonetheless. Iceberg Research (identical to Glacier Capital), was created as a FUD campaign against αmc \[their tweet on top right\]:

<https://preview.redd.it/ceigl2rvye691.png?width=821&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=8c65309409213b431592ccb81f3b9cb5168dcf76>

****§4: The Division****

Lastly, I want to get into the history of this division, because it'll help us better understand the circumstances we find ourselves in today.

Here's my brief interpretation of the history:

\[note that this is from my perspective; it may be different from someone else's perspective.\]

Up to January, 2021, the original Ape sub had Apes from all basket stocks. It was chill, and infighting was minimal. Apes were united on squeezing SHFs (GME was the primary weapon, nonetheless). In February, 2021, when the original Ape sub got infiltrated and taken down from within, Apes sought refuge elsewhere. Apes broke into factions after that, mostly joining subs that were focused on their specific basket stock. I think this is where the division mainly began. The initial "distraction" theories about αmc were started by Apes, but SHFs like Citadel likely noticed this and started taking advantage of the division. Around March-May, 2021 you had bigger voices in the community like WardenElite come out, incite more division, and help create this mainstream animosity against αmc .

<https://preview.redd.it/5c7qxm1xye691.png?width=828&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=e24a2d3c37bf2d05b2cd1c47a864de6942ee547f>

Charlie'sVids (The YouTuber that has consistently made videos attacking SuperStonk and DRS Apes, saying that it's mostly bots on SuperStonk pushing DRS, and that SuperStonk is a cult) was another one that was inciting division between both Ape communities later on. He even lightly brought up buying puts on αmc last year.

And recently, Citadel decided to capitalize off this division:

<https://preview.redd.it/xnav7hcyye691.png?width=619&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=a771c93a4a897b3849f00c42f54ba044942fc3dd>

[Citadel joined αmc Ape twitter call.](<https://preview.redd.it/wi65l0lzye691.png?width=640&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=c8f37429214764a1f35af8d5292dd5121d100f65>)

This is a very clever reverse-psychology tactic. They want you to think "I was right, αmc is a hedge against GME", further adding fuel to the division.

Why would SHFs want to do this?

1. It's alienating Apes from the community that hold both stocks.
2. It's distracting the community from the bigger picture and the real enemy (SHFs).
3. It could possibly encourage some Apes to buy puts on αmc (virtually shorting the stock) thinking it's necessary to "break the hedge", which in turn would help SHFs.

Divide and conquer is a tactic that's been used for millennia. Caesar used divide and conquer to turn Celtic tribes against each other, so that he could conquer them easier. After Emperor Theodosius divided the Roman Empire into Western & Eastern Rome, West Rome was getting close to collapsing due to Germanic tribes invading. The Eastern Roman Empire offered to help, but the Western Roman Empire had such strong animosity for them that they refused the help and collapsed. During the Atlantic Slave Trade,

the labels "black" & "white" were created to divide & conquer the lower class, having Irish indentured servants fighting Sub-Saharan African slaves instead of uniting together against exploitative slave owners. During the colonization of Rwanda, Rwandans were broken into 2 groups: Hutus & Tutsis. This made it ultimately easier for them to be controlled. Instead of working together as 1 and uniting against the elite, Rwandans became obsessed over these small differences, fighting each other for generations, ultimately leading to the Rwandan genocide.

SHFs/the elite want us divided. They've been using these divide and conquer strategies for generations. Why would I want to fight an Ape? Someone that has suffered through similar bullshit like me, that has been adversely impacted by the criminal negligence and manipulation by SHFs? We all have 1 enemy, yet here we are for over 1 year with Apes fighting each other because of stupid things, because ultimately both stocks are in the basket and they both hurt SHFs.

I agree that GME is a better stock, you won't have an argument from me on that. I agree that GME will be the most explosive MOASS, and all other basket stocks will not squeeze as hard as GME, but does that mean anyone benignly holding any α mc needs to get bullied and alienated from the community? No.

Well, I saw that happen to Kat Stryker. For those of you who don't know who Kat Stryker is, she's an OG Ape that has consistently gone out of her way to get big exposure for Apes through publicly exposing and spreading awareness on Wall Street corruption (there were also some billboards that she put out supporting GME that made it on this sub in the past). She was the one that did the #CitadelScandal plane banner and #KenGriffinLiedUnderOath plane banner, which Kenny's Lawyers sent Cease & Desist Letters to try to shut it down.

<https://preview.redd.it/n6sjsf61ze691.png?width=640&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=514268c21550ae558134bb434922028dc4816bc4>

But Kat persistent and found other avenues around that:

<https://preview.redd.it/q2jedgh2ze691.png?width=975&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=d8242e7d8265efebd570d3b52d12475965b0ebf5>

Kat's done a lot of good for the Ape community, so seeing all the calls from Apes trying to get her banned from the sub for posting here (or trying to alienate her from the community just for holding both stocks) is harmful to the community.

This was a recent comment from Kat in this sub:

<https://preview.redd.it/0ils54v3ze691.png?width=689&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=5616e1ede6b060fb86827dcf30a727fa4e7f079d>

We don't want to alienate people just because they hold both stocks, especially not when they're fighting the same enemy. It's also a slippery slope.

Are we going to alienate Former SEC Commissioner Lisa Braganca from doing AMAs on SuperStonk (or supporting the Ape community) anymore because she holds both stocks? What about Criand, Atobitt, and Dave Lauer? Criand supports both stocks, Atobitt did interviews with α mc YouTubers, and Lauer has also shown support for α mc in the past. Do they get removed as well? I can't imagine how many Apes have felt alienated or disconnected from the community for holding both stocks. Again, I agree that GME is better for many reasons. That doesn't mean α mc Apes need to be bashed or alienated from this community.

This was a tweet RC liked recently:

<https://preview.redd.it/az5ujg85ze691.png?width=595&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=a6f593ee664cd69e8c1eb33b8ac8b2515ab4da6b>

And here's a recent tweet from RC:

<https://preview.redd.it/2ov3ble6ze691.png?width=631&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=8ffa4ff1780796c953c>

b55235b5955bd80a0a6d8

With this information in mind, do you think RC would be more supportive or less supportive of this infighting and division going on in the sub?

\[Due note that RC also bought a significant amount of BBBY calls/shares months back, meaning that he recognizes the basket stocks DD, and that the basket stocks will squeeze during MOASS.\]

We don't need this infighting. Stop wasting your energy fighting each other, and start directing that energy against the real enemy \[Kenny & Co.\].

Furthermore, this division hurts the flow of information within the community. When you recognize that these basket stocks exist because they're heavily shorted by SHFs, you can build DD on that. You see that if some activity is happening to one basket stock, it may affect the other basket stocks, and vice versa. The division in the sub hinders the flow of information to be able to better understand SHF manipulation tactics, short positions, the algorithm, MOASS, etc.

What's worse is that, if I'm right that αmc isn't a hedge against GME, and eventually SHFs push a campaign to try getting Apes buying puts on αmc to "break the hedge", any Ape trying to short αmc would, effectively, be helping SHFs make money by shorting one of the basket stock SHFs are heavily shorted on.

There's so much more I could talk about related to this topic, but I'd end up exceeding the character limit on this post, and I'd rather not have to make more posts about this, because I know how controversial this topic can be.

You might read this post and still choose to believe αmc is a hedge against GME, but at least remember this post for future reference. The biggest evidence you'll get of me being right about this is when the GME MOASS happens and you see all the other basket stocks squeeze violently. Then, you'll look back, see that I was right, and realize how much time was wasted fighting and alienating each other in the past, when we could've accomplished so much more united. It's also easier to encourage αmc Apes to hold GME as well when you're nice to them, instead of trashing the stock or ostracizing them.

GME will MOASS regardless, so there's no need for anyone to get defensive about this. SHFs shorted multiple brick-and-mortar companies to get them bankrupt. They didn't solely choose 1 company. Nonetheless, this is a GME sub, and the focus here should be on GME. GME is in the best position for MOASS, in my opinion, but the infighting between basket stocks is harmful to the community, and the toxicity and alienation of other Apes for holding both stocks doesn't benefit us in any way, shape, or form.

Posts explaining why GME is the best (most preferable) basket stock is good, but not these speculative theories being propagated as axioms that αmc is a hedge against GME, which is then used to shame Apes that hold both stocks, further creating a divide in the community, making other basket stock Apes feeling unwelcome and discouraged from helping provide any further support to us.

I know this particular divide in the community has been going on for over a year, and I don't expect this post to change that, but at least you'll know there was someone that tried to help ameliorate the stark dichotomy within the community.

\-----

TL;DR: the theories that αmc is a hedge against GME are not consistent with the facts. αmc is a basket stock, algorithmically controlled like the other basket stocks, and heavily shorted by SHFs. It had the buy button removed, massive FUD campaigns and MSM attacks against it, and the same SHFs manipulation tactics are being used against αmc just like GME. Although GME is a better stock, and in a much better position for MOASS, αmc , as well as the other basket stocks, will squeeze during the GME MOASS. The divide and conquer push in Ape communities pitting Apes against Apes ultimately alienates Apes, distracts us from the real enemy, and (worse) could encourage GME Apes to short αmc (one of the basket stocks) thinking it will "break some hedge", which in turn would only benefit SHFs.

\-----

One final thing:

I just found out about this today. It honestly looks like I was right. MSM ENCOURAGING Apes to short α mc!

<https://preview.redd.it/n783xjp8ze691.png?width=640&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=72cda07e339f6c4e3c91d3681695cf9ca3a5c85d>

That's why these divide and conquer campaigns have been pushed hard. If they start convincing Apes to short α mc, it'll only end up helping SHFs profit. Any Ape shorting a basket stock is GIVING their money to SHFs! Don't get caught up in SHF divide & conquer campaigns.

Buy, Hold, & DRS GME.

\-----

Edit: I anticipated this would happen, and I'll take the hate for it. I'm grateful I at least got the opportunity to present a counter-argument on how α mc isn't a hedge against GME.

Note: I'm not advertising α mc here. As a community built on DD, it's important to get the facts straight, so when I see countless posts about how α mc is a hedge against GME make it straight to the top of the sub, and when it's not consistent with the facts, the right thing for me to do is to properly address it.

I can see that the majority of Apes aren't interested in this topic being brought up. I'll respect that and not post about it anymore. I apologize for the drama this has caused. Take care.