

Title: DRS for MOASS and INFINITY SQUEEZE. THIS is why DRS is so IMPORTANT...

Author: Cataclysmic98

Created 2022-03-09 17:51:56 UTC

Permalink: /r/DDintoGME/comments/tadegv/drs\_for\_moass\_and\_infinity\_squeeze\_this\_is\_why/

Url: [https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/tadegv/drs\\_for\\_moass\\_and\\_infinity\\_squeeze\\_this\\_is\\_why/](https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/tadegv/drs_for_moass_and_infinity_squeeze_this_is_why/)

>\*GME\* fundamentals \*continue to improve, and apes keep uncovering\* proof \*of market corruption and \$GME manipulation. Given this, the amount of short positions, and apes just not selling - we\* know \*GME will have to squeeze at some point. However, there are a lot of recent questions floating on the subreddits about whether the government, market makers and/or brokers will once again manage to screw over retail. Will apes actually get paid their \$tendies\$?\*

# MOASS & The Infinity Squeeze:

If the GME float is shorted 300% (to 1000+%) as reflected by the DD here, and less than 50% of shares are sold during margin calls - there is still 150+% of the float outstanding and this constitutes true MOASS. Not selling, and keeping the float DRS \*prevents\* the capacity for manipulation and contributes to an infinity squeeze.

The options:

1. DRS to lock the float and trigger margin calls equals massive squeeze;
2. Hold, then sell only what you need to during squeeze resulting in not enough sold for shorts to cover equals MOASS;
3. \*DRS the float and hold equals no manipulation\* and then external shares (non-DRS) held against shorts covering would equal infinity squeeze.

>\*\*IMO is is not the realism of an infinity squeeze, but the\*\* \*\*\*conceptual fact\*\*\* \*\*of the infinity squeeze as the preface to the MOASS that is important:\*\*

It is the knowledge of the facts that if GME has a float + 'x' shorts - the float is locked through DRS and cannot be manipulated to create more counterfeit shares - the 'x' shares that are shorted need to be bought back - and they need to be bought back from retail and diamond handed apes.

>

>It is the diamond handed apes that will have the knowledge and confidence of the float being DRS that will allow them to HOLD and HODL until the price reaches phone number territory before selling their 'x' shares back to the shorts.

>

>DRS of the float truly forces the squeeze, and holding the float locked and only selling some or holding off on selling the excess shorted shares back to the hedgies means that the price apes can get for their shares can reach astronomical highs. True MOASS!

\*\*Estimating Retail Share Ownership:\*\* (Excludes Institutional, Insider or other types of ownership):

\* [<https://i.redd.it/zwtz4i3c65h71.png>](<https://i.redd.it/zwtz4i3c65h71.png>)

\* \*\*This sub will no longer allows images: updated survey in progress\*

\*\*Direct Registration of Shares (DRS) = Removal from the DTCC = Removal of the shares that can be manipulated:\*\*

[u/MommaP123](https://www.reddit.com/user/MommaP123/) post titled: 'That FAST contract... yeah.. It doesn't say what everyone says it does. Let's read the WHOLE thing together!'

\* \*This sub no longer allows image\*s so cannot show the supporting ComputerShare.com image.

No SIPC insurance needed with DRS. \*\*You don't need it\*\* because you don't have counter party risk of a broker when you DRS. With Computershare you are the actual owner of your shares. With a broker, your shares are actually registered in 'street name'. If your broker goes bankrupt during MOASS and your shares are not in your name but registered in street name under your broker, that is when you may have to rely on insurance - Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) insurance. This option has its limits and there could actually be a shortfall on recovering the full value of your investment. With DRS there is no broker to go bankrupt. No broker that could liquidate your shares. You are the \*owner\* of your shares. No insurance required. As per the ComputerShare website "\*\*\*\*The Direct Registration System provides an extra safeguard".\*\*\*

\*This sub no longer allows images:\* Referencing tweets: Brokers write the rules. Limitation of liabilities. Forced liquidation. They turned off the buy button again...

>\*\*\*Many apes cannot DRS\*\*\*. For example, I have mid xxx shares in a Canadian RRSP that cannot be DRS. IMO it will either take a longer time as apes continue to buy and DRS, or, we need to get the message of DRS out to mass retail. To force the short positions to close, the number one priority is to get the float DRS. This means \*\*\*getting the word out beyond reddit\*\*\* to other GME holders and getting more shares Directly Registered. Shares that are DRS \*\*\*cannot be liquidated\*\*\* by brokers. Nor can your broker go belly up and avoid paying you.

\*\*TL;DR: The only way for\*\* \*\*\*retail\*\*\* \*\*to force the squeeze is to have the float locked up and the short positions exposed to the point where the world has no choice but to wake up to the issue and the short positions are forced to cover. With the \$GME float direct registered - there are no shares left to further manipulate, a broker\*\* \*\*\*cannot\*\*\* \*\*liquidate your GME on you, and - even if the government, market makers and brokers engage in further manipulation or outright corruption - the stock will need to resume trading at some point, the shorts will need to cover, and APES WILL CONTROL THE PRICE.\*\*

\*I plan on holding the majority of my shares - they simply aren't for sale. I believe in the fundamentals of this company, and that GameStop stock is going to reach record highs unto itself. As per this post, if enough apes feel this way and the float remains locked - the fundamental price will increase dramatically for long-term outstanding gains, plus by holding back these shares apes could reap the benefit of selling a few shares for all the \$tendies\$ they could possibly need.\*

\*\*Buy, Hold DRS & 'Share the Story'\*\*

>To the moon fellow apes