Title: Thoughts?

Author: RatioAtEngineering

Created 2021-05-26 16:35:13 UTC

Permalink: /r/ratioatblessons/comments/nlla4t/thoughts/

Url: /r/Superstonk/comments/nliz0i/volume glitches surrounding t21 dates finra rule/

Is self: False

-----

Linked Post:

Body:

# Edit: Debunked! Big sad. The posts of glitches were only around T+21 dates so that thew me for a loop. They happen frequently and in other stocks too. I'm not a TOS user so I followed a red herring only to get suplexed by /u/jsmar18

Gonna make this quick since I'm hype AF, want to get my thoughts out, and to get more apes to discuss.

Once again - I am not a financial advisor and I am not providing you financial advice.

# 0. Volume Glitches Close To T+21 Days

Let's get right to it. We've been seeing glitches once in a while of volume on the buy side. They've always confused me and STILL confuse me, but maybe it's finally coming together.

We saw a "[glitch](https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/nkyxt4/the\_gme\_glitch\_of\_63\_million\_s hares\_on\_may/)" yesterday in buy order volume. A glitch of \~63M:

[May 25 Volume Glitch](https://preview.redd.it/j6cztbn42h171.png?width=1899&format;=png&auto;=webp &s;=9b617e53e87a80476cac4ac059016f6da28c1649)

And this isn't the first time it's happened. [We also saw a "glitch" on March 23](https://www.reddit.com/r/G ME/comments/mbs1kb/so\_theres\_a\_643m\_order\_sitting\_on\_tos\_right\_now/?utm\_medium=android\_app& utm;\_source=share). A buy order volume glitch of \~634M:

[March 23 Volume Glitch](https://preview.redd.it/ikjif9uq1h171.png?width=548&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=ab35e481a86012365efa2fbe7d84ecd35b39b921)

[And another "glitch" on February 22nd.](https://www.reddit.com/r/GME/comments/lq0lwu/why\_is\_there\_a \_94\_million\_share\_buy\_order\_in\_for/?utm\_medium=android\_app&utm;\_source=share) A buy order volume glitch of \~94M:

[Feb 22 Volume Glitch](https://preview.redd.it/lo7ujctv1h171.png?width=1856&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=a7bed537ad4f811c7399a66706afcc1da2b23e97)

Notice something.... interesting about the dates? Those are all \*\*very close to T+21 dates\*\*. For a refresh, here's the T+21 days that have happened in 2021:

- \* January 25
- \* February 24 (Glitch on February 22)
- \* March 25 (Glitch on March 23)
- \* April 26
- \* May 25

Ok cool, we have buy order volume glitches. What could they possibly mean?

## # 1. FINRA Trade Report Processing Rule

Our fellow ape /u/afterberner9000 found [a FINRA

rule](https://www.finra.org/rules-guidance/rulebooks/finra-rules/7140) which could explain why we're seeing things ramp up. Why we might now be experiencing T+21 a day later on T+22. Here's a link to their comment:

[https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/nkwhq3/the\\_dd\\_has\\_once\\_again\\_proven\\_to\\_be\\_tru e/gzfa2o5/?utm\\_medium=android\\_app&utm;\\_source=share&context;=3](https://www.reddit.com/r/Super stonk/comments/nkwhq3/the\_dd\_has\_once\_again\_proven\_to\_be\_true/gzfa2o5/?utm\_medium=android\_a pp&utm; source=share&context;=3)

Edit: The rule is still important to note. But disregard the speculation regarding these glitches.

- ~~Essentially, their trade will become 'locked in' (forced) \*\*if it remains open by 2:30PM\*\*. So what does this mean?~~
- ~~\*\*(Speculation coming\*\*) Need more apes to discuss.~~
- 1. ~~\*\*Zoom back to February 22nd.\*\* They get a glitch of 94M \*\*at the end of the day,\*\* meaning the order is actually for February 23rd.~~
- 2. ~~By 2:30PM February 23rd, they need to close that order or it gets locked in. They \*\*need to can-kick this order or it will be forced the next day (Feb 24th)\*\*.~~
- 3. ~~They can-kicked as much as possible but didn't close the entire order of 94M. 2:30PM February 23rd hits, and their buy order is locked-in for the next day, February 24th.~~
- 4. ~~February 24th hits and the remainder of the buy order goes through resulting in a huge spike in price.~~
- ~~Apply this now to March 23rd glitch. They can-kicked tons of that volume order by March 24th. And then, March 25th surge happened. What could this mean for the glitch we just saw? \*\*Well, if the theory is right and the rule applies here, then they need to can-kick a 63M buy order by EOD May 26th (today) or let it go through.\*\*~~
- # 2. Volume Glitches = Portion of SI%?

Edit: The glitches cannot be used to calculate SI% or anything significant. This is a common glitch on TOS as pointed out by our other fellow apes. (They should fix that lol)

- ~~If these are truly buy orders of their can-kicking, then Jesus Christ, what the hell did they do?! I'll provide you with some crazy numbers.~~
- ~~We don't know how much their SI% is, but these glitches might be a big, big hint.~~
- ~~The orders are very spread out, so they could be overlapping here and not be cumulative. So for the sake of this post, we'll assume the \*\*634M\*\* volume order on March 23rd is what they're can-kicking off of their balance sheet. \*\*This doesn't even include their current short position.\*\* But let's say that it is the currently reported 20% SI% plus the 634M order. Know how much SI% just that gives us?~~

```
~~20% SI @ 55m float = 11M~~

~~11M + 634M = 645M~~

~~645M / 55M float = 11.72~~

~~SI% From March 23 Glitch: 1,172%~~

~~Oh boy.~~

~~But wait, there's more!~~
```

~~There was another glitch on March 25. Either this is what they are can-kicking, or this throws the entire theory out the window. Because it is a terrifyingly large number and might not even account for overlap of can-kicking. If this is what they're can-kicking, then [what the hell is this "glitch" on March 25](https://www.reddit.com/r/GME/comments/mdcy3x/185\_billion\_buy\_volume\_18375\_still\_showing\_in/)?~~

[March 25 Volume Glitch](https://preview.redd.it/yvcqcc8k6h171.png?width=1879&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=b0765d8bd6a96dc100292e05e5aefcdb090c87a7)

~~Yeah, that's 1.85 BILLION. \*\*If\*\* these orders are their true short positions that are being suppressed, then that comes out to be..~~

~~SI% From March 25 Glitch: 3,383%~~

~~These guys are going to break the damn stock market if this is true.~~