

Title: Citadel responding so thoroughly to #KenGriffinLied highlights their deafening silence to other claims
Author: Spared-No-Expense
Created 2021-09-29 02:24:38 UTC
Permalink: /r/DDintoGME/comments/pxls3n/citadel_responding_so_thoroughly_to/
Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/pxls3n/citadel_responding_so_thoroughly_to/

Until now, their silence could have been interpreted as being unaware of retail sentiment, or not caring across the board. But now we know that when Citadel believes an accusation to be factually inaccurate (or is just confident that no evidence will be uncovered), they will respond strongly.

So what does that say about their silence to the dozens of other theories and accusations? While it is theoretically possible to "clean up" communications between a limited number of people, the other accusations (namely those involving naked shorting and the theorized volumes of it) , if true, would be impossible to completely destroy the evidence thereof. It would certainly would be unwise to deny that, given that the paper trails for those actions exist *somewhere.* Best not to comment on it at all.

And to boot, if it really was all false, wouldn't they feel compelled to set the record straight in order to PrOtECt rEtAiL iNvEsToRs who might be risking so much money on these "conspiracy theories?"

<https://preview.redd.it/z6x9h8r9tcq71.jpg?width=1554&format=jpg&auto=webp&s=3079db3258937da378b82c343418829b8f57138a>