


Title: Soooo after seeing a couple comments I posted in a thread (calling him out as the world's most obvious paid instigator, basically) u/cryptocached slid into my dm's. I'm not advocating for anything, but thought it was an interesting discussion that people 'round here would have an opinion on

Author: min_da_man

Created 2022-07-01 23:42:36 UTC

Permalink: /r/GME/comments/vpebwt/soooo_after_seeing_a_couple_comments_i_posted_in/

Url: <https://www.reddit.com/gallery/vpebwt>



6:25



cryptocached



Being wrong was sort of the point.

But wouldn't that be kind of over relatively soon? Why keep beating the drum? Added nothing positive to anyone's experience of anything, created unnecessary and distracting discord in the sub.

You shouldn't be doing that work for free! If you're going to unnecessarily cause discord by saying the same obviously untrue things over and over and over again you should be getting paid for it. Some people in this world consider that valuable work!



I didn't think it would take so long to get solid proof and I didn't know how long this whole thing would be going. I took a calculated risk and burned this nym's reputation as a result.

Not sure it added nothing, although the value is subjective. It certainly provided me early access to data that others dug up. It developed camaraderie around trying to prove me wrong. Hopefully it helped some apes learn to critically assess evidence, although it seems to have fallen short on that.

I get paid to understand how complex systems work and how they can be broken. My Cryptocached persona is a tool and self-study/experimentation in

cryptocached is typing



Message





cryptocached



Even though people do seem to have too simplistic a view there sometimes and can latch onto empty hype very easily, I am still encouraged that usually the comment that calls out bs and backs it up with data and documentation will typically rise to the top comment in any bs hype post.

I understand your motivations, I just think that any idiot could go out there and do your kind of experiment. You have knowledge and talents that could be better utilized.

This sub has needed a "counter dd guy" forever. That would be one good use



Any idiot COULD go out there and do it. I fucking did it.

Lol sure but now that it's done we could use your wrinkles. I kind of want to post this conversation in gme (still low on karma for ss) and see what people think. Some might be a little surprised honestly

Wouldn't do that without your ok



I have never stopped speaking my mind. My wrinkles have always been available and offered freely to anyone willing to engage.

I'm more than happy with you sharing this conversation



Message



6:25



cryptocached



It was a bit of an experiment.
Successful enough in that I learned
some things that worked and things
that didn't.

I'd probably approach it differently
given a redo and with the knowledge I
have, but I don't regret the approach I
took.

If you look at some of my earliest posts/
comments on it, I described what I was
doing and stated that it was an
application of Cunningham's law.

[https://meta.m.wikimedia.org/wiki/
Cunningham%27s_Law](https://meta.m.wikimedia.org/wiki/Cunningham%27s_Law)



Being wrong was sort of the point.

But wouldn't that be kind of over
relatively soon? Why keep beating the
drum? Added nothing positive to
anyone's experience of anything,
created unnecessary and distracting
discord in the sub.

You shouldn't be doing that work for
free! If you're going to unnecessarily
cause discord by saying the same
obviously untrue things over and over
and over again you should be getting
paid for it. Some people in this world
consider that valuable work!



I didn't think it would take so long to get
solid proof and I didn't know how long



Message



7:38



cryptocached



I have never stopped speaking my mind.
My wrinkles have always been available
and offered freely to anyone willing to
engage.

I'm more than happy with you sharing
this conversation



Lol I'll just be copying and pasting, it's
you they might not believe lol



I'll deny the whole thing. They won't
know what to disbelieve.



Thank you for this opportunity to
dialogue. 🐼❤️🐼

Lmao 🦵🐼



Message



6:25



cryptocached



paid for it. Some people in this world consider that valuable work!



I didn't think it would take so long to get solid proof and I didn't know how long this whole thing would be going. I took a calculated risk and burned this nym's reputation as a result.

Not sure it added nothing, although the value is subjective. It certainly provided me early access to data that others dug up. It developed camaraderie around trying to prove me wrong. Hopefully it helped some apes learn to critically assess evidence, although it seems to have fallen short on that.

I get paid to understand how complex systems work and how they can be broken. My Cryptocached persona is a tool and self-study/experimentation in social engineering.

I wouldn't want to get paid for this, but understand other are. This is my attempt to better understand them.

I do take issue with your description as "obviously untrue". None of the so-called proof presented was verifiable. All could have been manufactured. Sure, the preponderance of evidence was against me, but if I'm going to 💎 something on principle (verifiable proof), well god damn it, I'm going 💎 that shit.



Message



6:30



cryptocached



Assessing information, not accessing.
Although I guess that's true too.

I have a certain respect for this, because I also have issues with people in that sub being easily bamboozled. But that's why the community needs open minded skeptics, talented mathematicians and people like yourself to contribute and keep things in line. Even though people do seem to have too simplistic a view there sometimes and can latch onto empty hype very easily, I am still encouraged that usually the comment that calls out bs and backs it up with data and documentation will typically rise to the top comment in any bs hype post.

I understand your motivations, I just think that any idiot could go out there and do your kind of experiment. You have knowledge and talents that could be better utilized.

This sub has needed a "counter dd guy" forever. That would be one good use



Any idiot COULD go out there and do it. I fucking did it.

Lol sure but now that it's done we could use your wrinkles. I kind of want to post this conversation in gme (still low on karma for ss) and see what people think. Some might be a little surprised honestly



Message



6:28



cryptocached



Damn mobile



I only wanted verification of their connection to GameStop. Not the whole moass scenario.

I'm 💎🐻 GME on an entirely different set of principles

To be perfectly honest, I still have concerns wrt to Loopring.

I don't plan on pushing that, but I suspect we'll come to find out that it doesn't play as big a role in GameStop's plans as the general consensus seems to think. I could be wrong on that.

Even though I don't trust Loopring and it's now proven that they're at least involved in the beta, that doesn't really affect my position on GameStop. I have concerns about ALL crypto but realistically accept that if GameStop is integrating with many L1s and L2s, as they've explicitly stated, then they'll be touching some that I think are shit.

I can view that as a type of diversification.

I guess in summary: Am I an asshole? Undoubtedly. Did I take things too far? I don't think so, but you might feel differently. Do I have ulterior motives? Personal ones, almost academically, yes. But no one is paying me and I'm not hostile or intentionally malicious.



Message



6:27



cryptocached



I can appreciate that I suppose. And yes, the whole thing is designed for us to not to be able to verify.

But it's like any complex interconnected system. You can't do things on the scale of their memestock manipulation without the evidence being EVERYWHERE.

As the evidence increases it makes other explanations less and less likely. My own conviction with the company and its situation has increased dramatically in the last 12 months or so for that reason.

I do question the validity of your premise in our post modern context. In the age of a, flat earthers and generally insane conspiracy theories why would you want to go online spreading information you know is likely to be false? We have a major problem in our society (globally, not just in the us) and anyone just casually doing "social engineering" by willingly spreading false information can only be making that problem worse imo

Meant to say "age of q" not "age of a."
Damn mobile



I only wanted verification of their connection to GameStop. Not the whole moass scenario.



Message



6:24



cryptocached



cryptocached

redditor for 3 y • 17,514 karma
Active in [r/GME](#), [r/Superstonk](#), and [r/btc](#)

FRI 7:45 AM



For what it's worth, never been paid to
shill in my life.

Then why do you insist on adopting
kind of silly positions that provide you
nothing but ridicule, and stand on the
soap box long after it's obvious to
anyone that you are wrong?

Money is the answer that makes most
sense to me but I'll hear ya out



My conclusion was wrong; my
reasoning was consistent. I asked for
verifiable proof and stuck to that. My
intent was to draw out more
information. Taking a strong stance and
provocative tack, I was successful in
encouraging others to dig up and share
data.

It was a bit of an experiment.
Successful enough in that I learned
some things that worked and things
that didn't.



Message

