Title: "UPDATE: Found a document basically proving my last DD right. Citadel admitting offshore loopholes allow evading reporting and clearing requirements." By OP u/thabat

Author: Expensive-Two-8128 Created 2021-09-01 02:33:28 UTC

Permalink: /r/DDintoGME/comments/pfkne4/update\_found\_a\_document\_basically\_proving\_my\_last/ Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/DDintoGME/comments/pfkne4/update\_found\_a\_document\_basically\_proving\_my\_last/

\*\*\*ALL CONTENT AND CREDIT BELOW DUE STRICTLY TO WRINKLY BRAINED OP u/thabat\*\*\*

Hello again beautiful apes,

I was snooping around on the google trying to find more stuff on our favorite multi-national crime syndicate.

I found a bunch of documents using some search strings I won't say exactly how but I can prove I found them using simple search terms if I need to. All publicly available. I'm still sifting through them but I found something that literally proves my last DD right. In THEIR OWN WORDS.

The last DD I made was super long so I'm going to make this shorter and straight to the point.

Remember when I said:

\*\*"I believe these form D/A filings are the combination of a paper trail, receipts of the Total Return Swap payments, AND hiding money in the Cayman Islands by selling packaged Debt Securities to it's own shell corporations.\*\*

\*\*Not just for Citadel but for every Hedge fund. This is how they funnel their money by hiding in plain sight."\*\*

and

\*\*"SOOOO According to the rules of Regulation D, they can technically use a Form D/A to sell bonds, CDOs, preferred stock, maybe even shorts and what ever else they want to package in \\*COUGH -- TOTAL RETURN SWAP -- COUGH\\*. AND use exemption from the 1940 Investment Company Act to hide it."\*\*

Well all of that was just speculation. I just assumed they "could" if they wanted to. But had no verifiable proof. It was just a logical / plausible theory.

Check this shit out from 2013:

[https://s3.amazonaws.com/citadel-wordpress-prd102/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/26121830/Citadel-CFT C-Comment-Letter-on-Further-Proposed-Cross-Border-Guidance-FINAL.pdf](https://s3.amazonaws.com/citadel-wordpress-prd102/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/26121830/Citadel-CFTC-Comment-Letter-on-Further-Proposed-Cross-Border-Guidance-FINAL.pdf)

Citadel bitching about other funds doing exactly what I hypothesized. And the only reason they're bitching about it is because they want to see the info reported so THEY can make decisions based on it. Because someone was probably kicking their ass for a minute. \*\*"Ma no fair, he's doing it too"\*\*.

*	*

## CITADEL'S OWN WORDS:

\*We understand that a material volume of swaps market activity is\* \*\*\*conducted by funds that are organized or incorporated outside of the U.S\*\*\*\\*., but that have a U.S. nexus.\\* \*\*\*If the U.S. person

definition were not to apply to such offshore funds***\*, despite their U.S. nexus,\* ***then a core, active portion of the swaps market would fall outside the scope of the transaction-level requirements***\*, including the\* ***clearing and reporting requirements***\*.\*
**
There you have it folks. In Citadel's own words. Offshore funds can and have been trading for YEARS in the Cayman Islands without reporting shit. And apparently it's well known by their inner circle. Hedge funds, SEC, CFTC, all dem. They all knew about this for years and let it go on.
They say "Swaps" but according to form D's rules, they can do it with anything not just Swaps.
And instead of outright banning this shit, they just made the loopholes more convoluted and harder to track. As people find out about this shit, they propose "laws" for transparency, but include easy to get around loopholes.
**
[https://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/\~/media/fc229a42f175480591551fb6c9ff61f4.ashx](https://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/~/media/fc229a42f175480591551fb6c9ff61f4.ashx)
Updated U.S Person definition.
Loophole:
*"The CFTC has indicated that the definition of U.S. person* ***will not include a non-U.S. affiliate of a U.S. person*** *that is guaranteed by that U.S. person. In addition, a commodity pool, pooled account, investment fund, or other collective investment vehicle* ***will not be considered a U.S. person if it is publicly-traded but not offered, directly or indirectly, to U.S. persons.****
In Ape Terms: **Hey sir from The Caymans, can I put my shell corporations in your name instead of mine so I don't have to report anything?**
**
**TL;DR Proof, by their own words that hedge funds can trade offshore without reporting anything. And Citadel bitched about it because someone else was doing it too Imao**
**
Essentially proving my last DD right:
[https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/pcklz0/rolling\_in\_the\_deep\_dive\_hiding\_money\_in\_the/](https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/pcklz0/rolling_in_the_deep_dive_hiding_money_in_the/)
Edit: Some apes have concerns that they seem to be able to do what ever they want so how will they get

Edit: Some apes have concerns that they seem to be able to do what ever they want so how will they get liquidated?

I don't think any of this will affect the MOASS. I think perhaps this is all just ways to save their asses. Not the company but personally. Hiding assets with no record. Selling bad debt to unsuspecting whales. That sort of thing. Not that they can't get margin called, but that when they do, it'll be someone else's problem.

Apparently CFTC released this statement today:

[https://www.cftc.gov/PressRoom/PressReleases/8422-21](https://www.cftc.gov/PressRoom/PressReleases/8422-21)

Granting relief from reporting til 2023.

I think the reporting rules likely has something to do with post-MOASS litigation. Hiding evidence. Giving lawyers time to prepare for the fall out. I think MOASS is inevitable at this point and everything they're doing is simply to keep some money left over. They're hiding shit like crazy using these rules and exemptions and the CFTC seems to be complicit by allowing them to throw the reporting into 2023, thereby making it harder for investigators to look into it and make trials take years.

But that's my personal opinion, I could be wrong.