

Title: Are Billionaires (or Wealthy Public Figures) Being Threatened Away From Publicly Supporting GME?
Author: disoriented_llama
Created 2022-04-19 18:07:20 UTC
Permalink: /r/TheGloryHodl/comments/u7bgsw/are_billionaires_or_wealthy_public_figures_being/
Url: /r/Superstonk/comments/u4jwqy/are_billionaires_or_wealthy_public_figures_being/

Linked Post Content:

\[Note: I'm reposting a segment of my original DD I published today: https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/comments/u48h7r/wall_streets_criminal_legerdemain/]

The original DD post had an ambiguous title because it went over a variety of topics, which is why it might've not gotten a lot of traction. It was also really long, so I've decided to isolate a segment of that DD and repost it here, because I believe this segment to be the most critical information for Apes and would like spread awareness.]\

\-----
-

I've always wondered what happened to the really wealthy/influential public figures that had supported GME in the past, if they ever got threatened/scared out of supporting it like many Apes on Reddit got threatened in the past. It was mostly just a theory, until this happened recently:

Bill Pulte, Founder of PulteGroup, CEO at Pulte Capital, and a philanthropist with a net worth of 9 figures openly supported GME:

<https://preview.redd.it/ki4kvo99trt81.png?width=591&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=48071945ddbeb138766aa971b34d3862e4025513>

He made a 6 figure purchase, and as many of you already know, has gone above and beyond for Apes by providing exceptional support to the community, from free publicity to his 3+ million followers, to supporting the SuperStonk subreddit community. Well, soon after he openly announced his purchase of GME shares, a SHF contacted him:

<https://preview.redd.it/77jg82tbtrt81.png?width=701&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=49a8782b62ee78560003269a9a40bd8d4ddb23c9>

The SHF told him not to buy GME, their exact words were "just looking out for you".

<https://preview.redd.it/m8egtb8dtrt81.png?width=706&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=899989a578a3a36f31ad7efc5dfebcd3d6f59966>

Pulte publicized this information and refused to back down. A little later Pulte Group was shorted heavily, 75,000 puts worth \$20 million purchased, the largest single purchase for options in the market that day.

I made a comment about this shortly after, putting together the pieces:

<https://preview.redd.it/64x44noetrt81.png?width=919&format;=png&auto;=webp&s;=c00f8c74ed556a1f7c6dd5deb689d34209714eb4>

Put yourself in Pulte's shoes for a moment. You're minding your own business, and then you get a call from a hedge fund telling you not to buy GME, and then they tell you "just looking out for you". After you hang up, what is your thought going to be? "Wow, these hedge funds really care about me?" No, it's going to be "wow, that threat sounded ominous".

I've personally been threatened before in real life. In my profession where I once got ambitious on a project, someone that wanted to hijack it told me "they'd offer me protection" or "they just wanted to protect me". Then, because I didn't agree to their terms, they initiated an anonymous smear campaign against me

as a way to attack me. I lost funding/support for that project as a result. I know how this shit works. A hedge fund calling this guy, pushing him to not buy GME, then telling him "just looking out for you", is sending him an indirect threat.

He refused, and got attacked shortly after. Although he has no investments in PulteGroup, it's part of his legacy. Family legacy is very important, especially to those in the upper echelon of society, which is why wealthy criminal organizations tend to use family legacy as leverage against a target of theirs, and the short attack on Pulte Group did affect Pulte:

<https://preview.redd.it/3skblyw9urt81.png?width=714&format=png&auto=webp&s=872dababde160a66b098208b1b82644ca17c4c5a>

<https://preview.redd.it/d8npotw9urt81.png?width=715&format=png&auto=webp&s=01d26a4adc77b5bdca96f0e51c2c7118d0a43d69>

<https://preview.redd.it/t4ybd04itrt81.png?width=632&format=png&auto=webp&s=0815ce0c8f3cb8e6bb8ea73e2a31ca9c1cd70b79>

I know there are many Apes out there that got threatened on Reddit, like me and several others, for things like supporting DRS or exposing shills/criminal activity. There were more public Apes like Kat Stryker that got a Cease & Desist letter from Citadel's lawyers when she wanted to fly a plane banner with #CitadelScandal. There was Christian Andrews, CEO of Initiative Equity Partners, that got threatened by Citadel's lawyers when he publicly stated that Citadel was illegally creating synthetic shares. There was Mo that got under CFA Investigation for exercising his free speech by publicly declaring his disdain for Citadel.

But Pulte is the first one (that being, an extremely wealthy and influential public figure, up there with billionaires), that openly admitted to being contacted (and indirectly threatened) by a SHF to not purchase GME, and we saw that when he continued to support GME, Pulte Group got shorted heavily.

On top of that, after Pulte Group was shorted, Cramer went on CNBC talking about BBBY & GME, mentioning Pulte out of nowhere.

<https://reddit.com/link/u4jwqy/video/uvx1v43ntrt81/player>

It seems that any big person/company supporting GameStop against SHFs gets targeted as well. It makes sense in the way that anyone allied with Germany (Italy, Japan, etc.) in WWII were considered enemies to the U.S. Basic war tactic. SHFs are fighting bankruptcy here. This is a war to them, their goal is to stay solvent as long as possible (and that means doing their best to prevent MOASS or at least buy themselves time). As financial terrorist, Kenneth Cordele Griffin, best said it, "each thing we did bought us 1 more day", and so anyone providing significant aid to GameStop (in the form of purchased shares, publicity, etc.), is a threat to them, and Citadel/SHFs will probably want to keep that threat on their radar to attack it in the future.

Which begs the question...who else did SHFs call? I doubt Pulte was the first/only one they did this to. I know Mark Cuban was very supportive of Apes in the past. He did an AMA in February and encouraged Apes to keep holding:

<https://preview.redd.it/rh1dfq1strt81.png?width=828&format=png&auto=webp&s=ca72cc61754cab2f3ac6ac1edf4f9aa459911340>

<https://preview.redd.it/sretmauttrt81.png?width=710&format=png&auto=webp&s=96abd995336d25f47ada35930e788c800e5e0afc>

<https://preview.redd.it/yqoiqeyutrt81.png?width=790&format=png&auto=webp&s=b3cac01bfe5aa00ede11fb03e8474119a11da075>

He was put down by MSM for supporting the Ape community, and after his AMA he came on CNBC and said "I wasn't telling anyone to buy more", showing signs of backing away despite his full on support Apes

previously. He never brought up GME again after that or continued supporting it.

Did he get a call? Did he worry about his Shark Tank IPOs or investments getting shorted? Did he worry about being a target for SHFs by association with supporting GameStop? We have no idea what happened, but it raises some questions.

Is shorting being used as a tool for weaponized finance to keep other billionaires in line? Weaponized finance gets used in trade warfare, as described by Carlson in "Bretton Woods & Wall Street" (pg. 351). Internationally, exchange depreciation has been commonly used as leverage to subjugate other countries. It's not a far stretch to say the Wall Street Criminal Club uses sinister methods of financial manipulation, such as shorting a company tied to an individual they deem a threat, to undermine and subjugate that individual, as well as to assert dominance over the entity/individual.

Billionaire Chamath Paliapitiya supported GameStop earlier than Cuban did. He was supporting the heavy rally of GME before RH disabled the buy button.

He publicly purchased calls in support of GME:

<https://preview.redd.it/flevayfwtrt81.png?width=630&format=png&auto=webp&s=40a01f09232660d5992fdc70443684bb8d068f9a>

And although he did sell those calls, he still continued to support GameStop and the Ape community.

He was also put down by MSM for his decision to support Apes:

<https://reddit.com/link/u4jwqy/video/g8f7u25ntrt81/player>

Chamath's support and the free publicity he gave GameStop in January helped lead to SHFs losing a lot of money. So, it makes me wonder if SHFs wanted to punish him after they regained control of the stock in February in order send a message to him and other billionaires considering threatening their short positions on GME. Because a few weeks after that interview, this happened:

<https://preview.redd.it/7rod0t4ytrt81.png?width=978&format=png&auto=webp&s=889209a9728aee69055834f577dcd151633de629>

Chamath's SPACs got shorted hard, similarly to PulteGroup after Pulte continued supporting GME despite warnings from a SHF.

Did Chamath get a call? Was the shorting of Chamath's SPACs an organized shorting by SHFs as a punishment for Chamath messing with their margin positions in January? Again, you need to understand that SHFs collude. They work together as a club. Anyone that messes with their club gets punished. Anyone that doesn't go along with them, or crosses them, can get made an enemy quickly. Think of it like the Mafia of the financial industry. Again, I can't definitively say anything, but after what happened to Pulte, these things can't be ignored anymore.

There was also Dave Portnoy, 9 figure net worth and founder of Barstool Sports. He bought GME, and although he sold at a loss of \$700k (and, believe me, I was roasting this dude with my memes back in the day, especially when Payne called him a 'little bitch'), he did publicly oppose SHFs and straight up called for Cohen to go to prison. Steve Cohen replied to his tweet about him needing to go to prison:

<https://preview.redd.it/x30zwdwztrt81.png?width=562&format=png&auto=webp&s=760365cc6074a80cb5a1eefefa84adda3f82516>

We don't have all the details of what happened after that argument, but Portnoy's tweet sharing that he's afraid of Cohen is telling...

<https://preview.redd.it/86vudy31urt81.png?width=720&format=png&auto=webp&s=ccc28a0f233c45ed447d1dee951fd2328cdf78ba>

Did Cohen send one of his people to 'call' Portnoy? Did he get threatened? Why is Portnoy afraid of Cohen after he was bold enough to call for Cohen's arrest and tag him on Twitter?

There were others like the billionaire Winklevoss Twins and Musk that offered support to GameStop in the past, but kept quiet later.

I know Musk has been threatened against his will in the past (by the SEC), and his company was attacked heavily by SHFs to the point where it was hurting Tesla badly, so he most likely resonates with what GameStop is going through. He also replied to Dave Lauer in February, acknowledging that synthetic shorts exist, which is big.

<https://preview.redd.it/xfrmgkg3urt81.png?width=599&format=png&auto=webp&s=12887721d038e0d8177ade0b32265cc6679ce9b8>

Musk obviously knows about the GameStop situation and is the type of person to want to support Apes, but hasn't really been publicly supporting GME since January last year. Is he restricted in some way from supporting it? Will Citadel dump all their shares and tank Tesla stock or will a SHF get the SEC to threaten him with market manipulation? Who knows, but it's something to think about.

The most important thing here is that we should start asking questions about why very big public figures in the past like Cuban stopped continuing to support the Ape community, even though they seemed very adamant with their support in the past. And Pulte should also be protected. Any regular big name public figure, 9/10 figure philanthropist would've stopped supporting Apes as soon as they got a threatening call from a SHF or saw that by associating with Apes, their legacy was being attacked, but despite all the attacks, Pulte continues to fight for Apes. That is a very unique and remarkable trait, and I have a lot of respect for someone like that. Pulte and any other big name that fights for Apes needs to be protected.

\-----

TL;DR: There is a preponderance of the evidence that suggests the Wall Street Crime Club actively holds heavy influence to what is said by public entities, organizations, and big names outside the club, possibly using more sinister means via weaponized finance.

\-----

Additional Citation

Carlson, Valdemar. "Bretton Woods and Wall Street." *The Antioch Review*, vol. 4, no. 3, 1944, pp. 349–57, <https://doi.org/10.2307/4609021>. Accessed 15 Apr. 2022.