

Title: Daily Short Volume - Explained and Theory

Author: Own-Fortune2521

Created 2022-08-26 22:36:27 UTC

Permalink: /r/GME/comments/wymcdv/daily_short_volume_explained_and_theory/

Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/GME/comments/wymcdv/daily_short_volume_explained_and_theory/

I wanted to better understand what Daily Short Volume actually is since it is clearly not people shorting a stock. On any given day, we have X amount of short sales and X amount of long sales. This will always equal 100%.

There really is jack shit on the internet about daily short volume and most people on here and in the main sub don't understand it. I remembered reading about it last year after someone posted it, but I read up on in here: <https://squeezemetrics.com/monitor> (Short is Long)

The crux of it is this: market makers selling us shares, aka us buying, is marked as a short sale. Us buying = market makers selling = price decrease. Us selling = market makers buying = price increase.

Now, are you saying to sell our shares to increase the price? Absolutely not!

T-13 and T-35 come into play. They have 35 days to buy the share back, aka locate it. When they finally do, the price will increase. One problem though. Citadel can internalize all this dogshit. So they don't play by the same rules, although I still believe T-35 still has some effect.

All you fuckers have to do is buy and hold.

Let's look at the split on July 21st.

35 trading days before (May 31st), the short volume was at 33%. This means a lot of paper handed investors selling on May 31st. The day after was 42%, and 47% the next day. They, the market makers, had all this ammo to work with to suppress price on the day of the split thanks to you pussies selling 35 days ago.

The counter argument is the short volume = people selling. On July 21st, 22nd and 23rd the short volume was 65%, 61%, and 51%. July 21st - July 26th, 4 trading days, we went down. However, we then went up for 9 consecutive days starting 7/27. What was the short volume on those 9 days? 57%, 58%, 62%, 60%, 57%, 62%, 59%, 57%, 57%. It doesn't make sense for us to go up on these days if short volume = people selling.

Of the past 35 trading days, not including today, we've had only 1 day below 50% short volume - that was at 47.7%. We've had an insane amount of buying the past 35 trading days, absolutely insane.

EDIT Let's compare AAPL to GME from 1/2/19 - 12/31/19. GME down 46%. AAPL up 86%. The total short volume ratio (total shorts entire year/total volume for the entire year) AAPL = 53%. So you're telling me the short volume ratio was 53% and the stock went up 86%? Yes. GME total short volume ratio for entire year was...65%, yet the price went down 46%.

All comparisons from 1/2/2019 - 12/31/2019:

TGT Short Volume Ratio = 53% --- Price increase = 93%

XRX = 51% --- Price increase = 83%

AMD = 51% --- Price increase = 144%

CMG = 54% --- Price increase = 88%

ISEE (Starting 4.17.2019) = 56% --- Price increase = 520%

AAPL = 53% --- Price increase = 86%

GME = 65% --- Price **DECREASE** = 46%

MOS = 46% --- Price Decrease = 26%

KHC = 41% --- Price Decrease = 26%

DXC = 38% --- Price Decrease = 31%

The only abnormalities I found were other brick and mortar companies, GAP, Macy's, and Kohl's. All of which had % decreases on the year. They were similar to GME as far as being >50% short volume, but not even close to the 65%. Of course, we all know Macy's spiked huge on 1/27/21 when the rest of the market bled.

Shorts are beyond fucked. Citadel(and possibly the other MM's) has been internalizing this shit for years.

That's my theory.

Moon soon.