Title: Incredibly controversial opinion... Have the ETFs been the answer or at least part of the puzzle the entire time?

Author: slimshady1226

Created 2022-01-24 01:05:12 UTC

Permalink: /r/GME/comments/sb98lm/incredibly_controversial_opinion_have_the_etfs/

Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/GME/comments/sb98lm/incredibly_controversial_opinion_have_the_etfs/

I want to preface this by saying I have been experiencing major doubts in the stock and in GME subs lately. My recent post history will clearly show my frustrations and doubt. But I will say a large part of my frustration is that gme subs may have actually gotten too sidetracked, and they completely shut down conversation outside of "buy hold DRS".

I present to you something to challenge your thought patterns:

If gme is being heavily shorted via ETF's like XRT,

would it not make sense to just (hold your gme) but also invest directly in the ETF itself, when we know for a fact (XRT for example) is currently shorted over 700%?

In theory, this should hurt hedgies ability to short as effectively via the ETFs, and may eventually force them to take their shorts somewhere else (just as they had done previously, first by shorting gme stock itself and then switching their shorting efforts over to the ETFs containing GME.)

Someone correct me if I'm way off base here but I think it's at least worth a discussion.

I know gme subs frown on "calls to action" and I'm not suggesting anyone go dump money into ETFs first thing in the morning. The only call to action I'm asking for is to be open minded enough to discuss an idea like this as a community of investors, like we used to, without fear of being called a shill for exploring an idea outside of "buy hold DRS".