Title: The "Citadel Letter" and more.

Author: freecorndog

Created 2022-03-18 20:15:58 UTC

Permalink: /r/GME/comments/the36g/the citadel letter and more/

Url: https://www.reddit.com/r/GME/comments/the36g/the_citadel_letter_and_more/

I made the post on a few subreddits for input, but due to my horrible timing it got buried during the earning report yesterday. Looking for any insight.

So I dug into this https://public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2022-01975.pdf

Did a Ctrl+F "Citadel" and found reference's multiple times to a one,

> **Stephen John Berger, Citadel, dated March 1, 2021 ("Citadel Letter");**

Interesting. "*Citadel Letter*"

I found guite a few of these that all cite a "Citadel Letter"

https://www.sec.gov/comments/4-698/4698-8411798-229501.pdf

https://catnmsplan.com/sites/default/files/2021-04/04.01.21-Response-to-Comments-Regarding-Agreements.pdf

- These are about the CAT proposal
- > The Proposal should be rejected for two primary reasons.

but neither have the correct date I am looking for. Most of the letters I found are seemingly trying to convince the SEC that CAT is a cyber-security risk. I can't find the "Citadel Letter" that was mentioned and cited 8 times in the original link though.

These are the excerpts cited as "Citadel Letter"

- 1. >In addition, the Commission received substantial comment on the Concept Release, in particular concerning the regulatory framework for fixed income electronic trading platforms. Many commenters recognized that certain electronic trading platforms for fixed income securities are not regulated as registered exchanges or ATSs despite performing the same market function as those regulated markets.
- Several commenters expressed support for the Commission to expand the scope of its exchange regulation to encompass more fixed income platforms.
- 3. >One commenter on the 2020 Proposal and Concept Release, for example, stated that multilateral trading venues using RFQ protocols are some of the most significant multilateral trading venues operating in fixed income markets regulated by the Commission, including the U.S. Treasury market.
- 4. > Several commenters in response to the Concept Release expressed

concerns regarding the disparity in regulatory treatment between exchanges, ATSs, and other fixed income platforms.

- 5. > Another commenter stated
- its belief that disparate regulatory treatment across trading platforms impacts market efficiency and competition and introduces potential resiliency risks.8
- 6. > and one commenter stated that the requirements could promote market transparency, resiliency, and integrity.
- 7. > The proposed amendments to Exchange Act Rule 3b-16, which would include Communication Protocol Systems within the definition of exchange, along with the proposed amendments to remove the exemption from compliance with Regulation ATS for Currently Exempted Government Securities ATSs and apply the enhanced disclosure and filing requirements of Rule 304 to all Government Securities ATSs would enhance regulatory oversight and investor protection

All these are sourced from the "Citadel Letter" and referenced to as a "commenter" in the ATS report. Not only that, but most citations from past filings I've seen quote multiple different "Citadel Letter".

I think this shows a few things.

- 1. Citadel does not want this amended.
- 2. Citadel didn't want CAT passed.
- 3. It sure is hard to find a specific document when all your documents are called, "Citadel Letter"
- 4. Comments to the SEC are important.

I'm going to keep searching for this

> "Citadel, dated March 1, 2021 ("Citadel Letter")"

document, will update with any future finds.

Updates

- 1. Link to the ~~unpublished~~ published proposal
- https://www.federalregister.gov/public-inspection/2022-01975/amendments-to-the-definition-of-exchange -alternative-trading-systems-that-trade-us-government
- 2. Link to SEC Proposed Rules (~~should be updated tomorrow with it, along with hopefully a link to the "Citadel Letter"~~ Guess not?)
- https://www.sec.gov/rules/proposed.shtml
- 3. I believe this is an extensive list of "Citadel Letter" citations on Citadels own website (still no March 1st, letter though)
- https://www.citadelsecurities.com/public-policy/?list=true#section-5
- 3. What is ATS or a NMS Stock?
- > Regulation ATS establishes a regulatory framework for ATSs. An **ATS is a trading system that meets the definition of "exchange" under federal securities laws but is not required to register as a national securities exchange** if the ATS operates under the exemption provided under Exchange Act Rule 3a1-1(a)(2).

- source: https://www.sec.gov/divisions/marketreg/form-ats-n-filings.htm#:~:text=Rule%20304%20of%20R egulation%20ATS,notices%20of%20cessations%20of%20operations.
- A lot of suspicious names on this list Virtu (That have GME on their 13F's)
- Citadel + Virtu Systematic risk article Nov 3rd, 2021 https://franknez.com/citadel-and-virtu-are-creating-massive-systemic-risk/
- Virtu Americas = KCG Americas = Knights Capital Americas https://www.sec.gov/cgi-bin/browse-edgar? action=getcompany&filenum;=013-00175&owner;=exclude&count;=40

As far as I can tell, OTC orders are held back (delayed) for the self reported ATS orders data

- > FINRA publishes **over-the-counter (OTC) trading information on a delayed basis for each alternative trading system (ATS) and member firm with a trade reporting obligation under FINRA rules.**
- > **The trading information is derived directly from OTC trades that ATSs/member firms report to FINRA's

Security-specific information for firms with "de minimis" volume outside of an ATS is aggregated and published on a non-attributed basis. equity trade reporting facilities.** source: https://www.finra.org/filing-reporting/otc-transparency Kenneth Griffin sure wants to keep ATS (Darkpools) unchanged, I wonder why? Title: **Enhancing Competition, Transparency, and Resiliency in U.S. Financial Markets** > Competition for order flow is fierce, with sixteen exchanges and numerous alternative trading systems ("ATSs" or "dark pools") and individual market makers vying to provide the best execution quality to retail investors. (Citadel LOVES the dark pools. All in the name of competition and retail.) > In our view, in a market with many competing market centers (as opposed to the U.S. options market where order flow must be executed on-exchange), **permitting transparent and fully disclosed PFOF arrangements is far

preferable to attempting to effectuate a complete prohibition.**

(I thought Kenny said PFOF cost him money and was okay with getting rid of it?)

source: https://s3.amazonaws.com/citadel-wordpress-prd101/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2021/05/031304 57/Enhancing Competition Transparency and Resiliency in USF in ancial Markets.pdf

Citadel sure does "recommend" a lot to the SEC.

(Maybe Unrelated) Browsing Citadels Website I found some interesting info.

https://www.citadelsecurities.com/rule-605-606-statements/

>**Under Rule 605, market centers that trade national market system securities are required to make

available to the public monthly electronic reports that include uniform statistical measures of execution quality.**

https://www.sec.gov/rules/final/34-43590.htm

>broker-dealers that route customer orders in equity and option securities will be required to make publicly available quarterly reports that, among other things, **identify the venues to which customer orders are routed for execution**. In addition, broker-dealers will be required to disclose to customers, on request, the venues to which their individual orders were routed

Another quick Ctrl+F "GME" on their January 2022 report.

Market Place|Market Center Code|Date|Security Ticker Symbol|Order Type Code|Order Size Code|Total Covered Orders|Total Covered Shares|Cancelled Shares|Market Center Executed Shares|Away Executed Shares|Shares from 0 to 9 Seconds|Shares from 10 to 29 Seconds|Shares from 30 to 59 Seconds|Shares from 60 to 299 Seconds|Shares from 5 to 30 Minutes|Average Realized Spread (\$)|Average Effective Spread (\$)|Price-Improved Shares|Price Improved Average Amount (\$)|Price Improved Average Time (seconds)|At-the Quote Shares|At-the-Quote Average Time (seconds)|Outside-the-Quote Shares|Outside-the-Quote Average Amount (\$)|Outside-the-Quote Average Time (seconds)

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|11|21|7883|1320479|800|1317996|1683|1319679|0|0|0|0.1071|0.1389|1307353| 0.0957|0|11479|0|847|0.0969|0

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|12|21|14255|1864389|1150715|707899|5775|713674|0|0|0|0|0.194|0.2239|44354 2|0.053|0|258704|0|11428|0.0785|0

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|13|21|19009|2374599|1815785|547445|11217|511857|27097|8630|10012|961|0. 146||||||||

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|11|22|2162|1729490|28016|1698125|3349|1701474|0|0|0|0|0.0066|0.1248|16613 96|0.1027|0|32011|0|8067|0.1232|0

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|12|22|1500|1288559|818677|460707|9175|469882|0|0|0|0|0.3963|0.2436|333907| 0.0454|0|126367|0|9608|0.0606|0.1

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|13|22|1236|1007739|800616|201084|6039|164293|18830|7218|14467|1615|-0.06 4|||||||

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|14|22|72|51934|28142|23792|0|11797|3599|3700|4696|0|0.2852|||||||||

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|15|22|123|94018|54783|38235|1000|11229|8084|7696|12226|0|0.557|||||||||

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|11|23|219|546681|9000|537681|0|537681|0|0|0|0|-0.0098|0.2601|415903|0.0561|0|60388|0|61390|0.1455|0.1

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|12|23|147|388241|269369|118872|0|117919|153|800|0|0|-0.1967|0.2378|92745|0.0244|0|25185|1.4|942|0.1338|2.8

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|13|23|117|361383|310312|51071|0|24854|12630|8840|4747|0|0.8412|||||||||

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|14|23|5|12623|5370|7253|0|3000|0|0|2253|2000|-0.3972|||||||||

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|15|23|1|3000|0|3000|0|0|600|2400|0|0|-0.8722||||||||

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|11|24|20|116339|0|116339|0|116339|0|0|0|0|-0.3741|0.4325|56990|0.0222|0|14362|0.1|44987|0.2643|0.1

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|12|24|30|177970|78111|99859|0|92719|4399|2741|0|0|0.411|0.3485|45713|0.016|0|29064|0|25082|0.1329|8.5

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|13|24|42|277959|239659|38300|0|33204|5000|96|0|0|-0.7049||||||||

TITCDRG|202201|GME|14|24|1|5000|5000|0|0|0|0|0|0|0|0||||||||||

T|TCDRG|202201|GME|15|24|1|6000|0|6000|0|0|6000|0|0|0|1.1699|||||||

source: https://s3.amazonaws.com/citadel-wordpress-prd101/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2022/02/28155928/TCDRG202201.txt
I found a post on this very thing in a subreddit I can not link to by u/AnnihilationGod but this is how they
F1: Market Place F2: Market Center Code F3: Date F4: Security Ticker Symbol F5: Order Type Code F6: Order Size Code F7: Total Covered Orders F8: Total Covered Shares F9: Cancelled Shares F10: Market Center Executed Shares F11: Away Executed Shares F12: Shares from 0 to 9 Seconds F13: Shares from 10 to 29 Seconds F14: Shares from 30 to 59 Seconds F15: Shares from 60 to 299 Seconds F16: Shares from 5 to 30 Minutes F17: Average Realized Spread (\$) F18: Average Effective Spread (\$) F19: Price-Improved Shares F20: Price Improved Average Amount (\$) F21: Price Improved Average Time (seconds) F22: At-the Quote Shares F23: At-the-Quote Average Time (seconds) F24: Outside-the-Quote Shares F25: Outside-the-Quote Average Time (seconds)
There is no more raw data for February or March so far.
Added info on how to contact SEC about this:
On the SEC website it has instructions:
https://www.sec.gov/regulatory-actions/how-to-submit-comments
and this is the document that you would leave comments on
https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2022-01975
but after clicking the "SUBMIT A FORMAL COMMENT" button you get this message,
>Submitting a formal comment directly via federalregister.gov is not available for this document.
and redirects you the the SEC how-to-submit-comments link.
> DATES: > Comments should be received on or before April 18, 2022. > ADDRESSES: > Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods: >
> Electronic Comments > • Use the Commission's internet comment form (https://www.sec.gov/■regulatory-actions/■how-to-submit-comments); or >
> • Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. **Please include File Number S7-02-22 on the subject line.**