

# Day 6 - Building Complex Models Using the Functional API

☰ Tags	Complex Models	Functional API
	Wide and Deep Neural Network Architecture	



## Building Complex Models Using the Functional API

Author: **Chandan Kumar**

[enchandan.com](http://enchandan.com)

[Building Complex Models Using the Functional API](#)

[Wide & Deep Neural Network Architecture](#)

[Train the Model with different variations](#)

1. With `sgd` and `mean_squared_error`
2. With `adam` and `mean_squared_error`
3. With `adam` and `huber_loss`

[Summary](#)

[References](#)

## Wide & Deep Neural Network Architecture

- Let's go back to California Housing dataset and process the dataset using a wide & deep neural network architecture

- From notes of Day 4 - Performance of a simple model with Sequential API

```
Epoch 30/30
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 0.3510 - val_loss: 0.4280

MSE loss on Test data
>>>
0.34973791241645813
```

- Create our network based on Wide & Deep architecture

```
input_ = keras.layers.Input(shape=X_train.shape[1:])
hidden1 = keras.layers.Dense(30, activation='relu')(input_)
hidden2 = keras.layers.Dense(30, activation='relu')(hidden1)
concat = keras.layers.Concatenate()([input_, hidden2])
output = keras.layers.Dense(1)(concat)

model = keras.Model(inputs=[input_], outputs=[output])
```

- Create a Input layer and specify the input\_shape
- Create a Dense layer (part of hidden layers) with 30 neurons, using the ReLU activation
  - We call this dense layer like a function, that's why it's called a functional api approach
  - By passing the Input layer, we are telling `keras` how to connect the layers
- Then we create the second Hidden layer, and pass the output of the first hidden layer
- Next, we create a `Concatenate` layer and we use it like a function to concatenate Input layer directly and output of the second Hidden layer

```
model.summary()

>>>
Model: "functional_1"
```

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #	Connected to
input_1 (InputLayer)	[(None, 8)]	0	
dense (Dense)	(None, 30)	270	input_1[0][0]

dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 30)	930	dense[0][0]
<hr/>			
concatenate (Concatenate)	(None, 38)	0	input_1[0][0] dense_1[0][0]
<hr/>			
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 1)	39	concatenate[0][0]
<hr/>			

=====  
 =  
 Total params: 1,239  
 Trainable params: 1,239  
 Non-trainable params: 0

## Train the Model with different variations

### 1. With `sgd` and `mean_squared_error`

- Compile the Model

```
model.compile(optimizer='sgd', loss='mean_squared_error')
```

- Train the Model

```
history = model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=30, validation_data=(X_valid, y_valid))

>>>
Epoch 1/30
363/363 [=====] - 1s 1ms/step - loss: 0.8421 - val_loss: 12.7057
Epoch 2/30
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 0.9727 - val_loss: 2.2081
Epoch 3/30
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 0.4301 - val_loss: 13.6059
.
.
Epoch 17/30
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 6.0010 - val_loss: 1042.3330
Epoch 18/30
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 6405.5396 - val_loss: 295.5580
.
.
Epoch 30/30
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 0.6182 - val_loss: 1.0933
```

- A lot of fluctuations in loss function with `optimizer='sgd'` and `loss='mean_squared_error'`
- Probably, because of high learning rate (0.01 by default in sgd)

<https://keras.io/api/optimizers/sgd/>

```
tf.keras.optimizers.SGD(
    learning_rate=0.01, momentum=0.0, nesterov=False, name="SGD", **kwargs
)
```

- From <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/37232782/nan-loss-when-training-regression-network>

```
'''
Historically, one key solution to exploding gradients was to reduce the learning rate, but with t
he advent of per-parameter adaptive learning rate algorithms like Adam, you no longer need to set
a learning rate to get good performance. There is very little reason to use SGD with momentum any
more
'''
```

## 2. With `adam` and `mean_squared_error`

- `Adam` has default learning rate as 0.001 slightly smaller than `sgd`

```
tf.keras.optimizers.Adam(
    learning_rate=0.001,
    beta_1=0.9,
    beta_2=0.999,
    epsilon=1e-07,
    amsgrad=False,
    name="Adam",
    **kwargs
)
```

- Compile and train the model

```
model.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='mean_squared_error',)
history = model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=30, validation_data=(X_valid, y_valid))

>>>
Epoch 1/30
363/363 [=====] - 1s 2ms/step - loss: 1.2900 - val_loss: 0.7870
Epoch 2/30
363/363 [=====] - 1s 1ms/step - loss: 0.4763 - val_loss: 0.4150
.
.
.
Epoch 29/30
```

```
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 0.2884 - val_loss: 0.3280
Epoch 30/30
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 0.2824 - val_loss: 0.8150
```

- Evaluate the Model

```
mse_test = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test)
mse_test

>>>
0.30665069818496704
```

### 3. With `adam` and `huber_loss`

- Compile and train the model

```
model.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='huber_loss')
history = model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=30, validation_data=(X_valid, y_valid))

>>>
Epoch 1/30
363/363 [=====] - 1s 2ms/step - loss: 0.3898 - val_loss: 0.1890
Epoch 2/30
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 0.1755 - val_loss: 0.1636
.
.
.
Epoch 30/30
363/363 [=====] - 0s 1ms/step - loss: 0.1238 - val_loss: 0.1280
```

- Evaluate the Model

```
mse_test = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test)
mse_test

>>>
0.12629371881484985
```

- Pretty impressive!
- `huber_loss` works well especially if your dataset is skewed

## Summary

---

- Using wide and deep neural network architecture, we make our model to learn the complex patterns as well as simple rules
- optimizer `adam` performs well, we'll learn about this algorithm in detail in coming sections
- `huber_loss` improves the performance especially if our dataset is skewed (which happens all the time in real world data)

## References

---

[https://keras.io/guides/functional\\_api/](https://keras.io/guides/functional_api/)

<https://keras.io/api/optimizers/adam/>