

Lemma

Let  $n$  and  $m$  be positive integers, and let  $r = \gcd(n, m)$ . Then there exist integers  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $\frac{xn + ym}{\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow} = r$ .

$$n = 91$$

$$m = 287$$

$$\gcd(91, 287)$$

$$= \gcd(14, 91)$$

$$= \boxed{\gcd(\underline{7}, \underline{14})}$$

$$= 7$$

$$7 = x(91) + y(287)$$

$$7 = \underline{1}(7) + \underline{0}(14)$$

$$= 1(91 - 6(14))$$

$$= 91 - 6(14)$$

$$= 91 - 6(287 - 3(91))$$

$$= 91 - 6(287) + 18(91)$$

$$7 = \boxed{19}(91) - \boxed{-6}(287)$$

$$\gcd(12, 18) = \cancel{6} \quad \gcd(6, 12)$$

$$= \underline{\cancel{6}}$$

$$1(6) + 0(12)$$

Extended-Euclid ( $n, m$ ) (18, 30)

if ( $m \bmod n = 0$ )

return  $(1, 0, n)$

else

$x, y, r :=$  Extended-Euclid ( $m \bmod n, n$ )

return  $(y - \lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor \cdot x, x, r)$

Extended-Euclid ( $31, 287$ )

= (19, -6, 7)

$x, y, r =$  Extended-Euclid ( $14, 91$ )

$(y - \lfloor \frac{287}{31} \rfloor \cdot x, x, r)$

$(\cancel{y - 1 - 3(6)}, -6, 7)$

$x = -6$   
 $y = 1$   
 $r = 7$

Extended-Euclid

(14, 91)

$x_1, y_1, r_1 =$  Extended-Euclid ( $7, 14$ )

$(y_1 - \lfloor \frac{91}{14} \rfloor \cdot x_1, x_1, r_1)$

Extended-Euclid ( $7, 14$ ) =  $(1, 0, 7)$

$x_1 = 1, y_1 = 0, r_1 = 7$

Extended-Euclid ( $14, 91$ )  $(0 - 6, 1, 7) = (-6, 1, 7)$

## Extended - Euclid (18,30)

Claims

For arbitrary positive integers  $n$  and  $m$  ( $n \leq m$ ), Extended-Euclid( $n, m$ ) returns three integers  $x, y, r$  such that  $r = xn + ym$  and  $r = \gcd(n, m)$ .

Lemma

Let  $p$  be a prime number and let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers.

Then  $p \mid ab$  iff  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

Backward  
(Trivial)

(Forward)

$p \mid ab$

$p \nmid a$

$$1 = px + ay$$

$$\underline{b} = pba + aby$$

$$b \bmod p = 0$$

$$\therefore p \mid b.$$

Proved

Proof of correctness of Extended - Euclid

If  $\text{Extended - Euclid} (m \bmod n, n) = \langle x, y, r \rangle$

then  $\text{Extended - Euclid} (n, m)$

$$= \langle y - \lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor \cdot x, x, r \rangle$$

$$r = x(m \bmod n) + yn$$

then

$$r = \left( y - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor x \right) \cdot n + x \cdot m$$

$$= yn - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor xn + xm$$

$$= yn - x \left( \left\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \right\rfloor \cdot n - m \right)$$

$$= yn - x \left( \frac{m - m \bmod n}{n} \cdot n - m \right)$$

$$= yn - x(m - m \bmod n - m)$$

$$= yn - x(-m \bmod n)$$

$$= yn + x(m \bmod n)$$

$$= r$$

## Prime Factorization Theorem

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{>1}$  be any positive integer. There exist  $k \geq 0$  prime numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k p_i = n.$$

Further, up to reordering, the prime numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are unique.

B: Proof

By strong induction on  $n$ .

Base case

$$1 = \prod_{i=1}^0 p_i$$

product of zero prime numbers.

Inductive step ( $n \geq 2$ )

Assume every  $n' < n$  has a unique prime factorization.

What about  $n$ ?

Case I

$n$  is prime.

$$\prod_{i=1}^1 p_1$$

$(p_1 = n)$ ,

$$q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_k$$

$k \geq 2$

Each  $q_i > 1$  and  $< n$

$\therefore n$  cannot be prime.

Case II

$n$  is composite

$$q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_k$$

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_r$$

$$q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \cdots \leq q_k$$

$$p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_r$$

Case II a

$$p_1 = q_1$$

$$\frac{n}{p_1} = n$$

$$p_1 \quad \overrightarrow{q_1}$$

Case II (b)

$$p_1 \neq q_1$$

Assume

$$p_1 < q_1$$

without loss of  
generality

We know  
that

$$p_1 \mid n$$

$$\Rightarrow p_1 \mid q_1 q_2 \dots q_k$$

$$\Rightarrow p_1 \mid q_i \text{ for some } i$$

but

$$p_1 > 1$$

$$p_1 < q_i$$

$\Rightarrow q_i$  cannot be  
prime.

Contradiction

The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Knowing  $n \bmod K$  for enough  
values of  $K$  will (almost) let you  
figure out the value of  $n$   
exactly - at least if those  
values of  $K$  are all relatively prime.

$$\begin{array}{ll} n \bmod 2 = 0 \\ n \bmod 3 = 2 \\ n \bmod 5 = 1 \end{array}$$

$$n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 29\}$$

~~16~~, ~~11~~, ~~16~~, ~~21~~, 26

$$26 + 30 + 30 + \dots$$

### Theorem

Let  $n$  and  $m$  be any two relatively prime integers.

For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$

there exists one and only one integer  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{nm}$  such that

that  $\frac{x \bmod n}{x \bmod m} = a$  and  $b$ .

$$\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$$

Exercise