

Name:

Entry No.:

1. [0.5 marks] Prove the validity of $S \rightarrow \forall x Q(x) \vdash \forall x (S \rightarrow Q(x))$, using natural deduction, where S is a nullary predicate (essentially, a propositional atom).
2. [0.5 marks] Prove the validity of $P(b) \vdash \forall x (x = b \rightarrow P(x))$, using natural deduction.
3. [0.5 marks] Consider the following predicate-logic sentences.

$$\phi_1: \quad \forall x P(x, x)$$

$$\phi_2: \quad \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow P(y, x))$$

$$\phi_3: \quad \forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z))$$

These sentences express that P is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Show that transitivity is not semantically entailed by the other two properties. In other words, give a model (an assignment) that satisfies ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , but does not satisfy ϕ_3 .

4. Consider a predicate logic formula $\phi := \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \wedge \psi_3$, where

$$\psi_1: \quad \forall x \exists y R(x, y)$$

$$\psi_2: \quad \forall x \neg R(x, x)$$

$$\psi_3: \quad \forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x, y) \wedge R(y, z) \rightarrow R(x, z))$$

- [0.5 marks] Is ϕ satisfiable? Justify your answer.
- [1 marks] Can ϕ have a finite model (i.e., an assignment where the universe has only finitely many elements)? Give such a finite model, or argue otherwise.