BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA, MUMBAI CONSENT ORDER

On the Application submitted by

Networth Stock Broking Ltd.

In the matter of G Tech Info Training Ltd.

CO/CFD-DCR/1968/AO/VSS/ 13 /2009

- 1. Securities and Exchange Board of India (hereinafter referred to as the 'SEBI') conducted investigation into the alleged irregularity in the trading in the scrip of G-Tech Info Training Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as 'G-Tech') for the period from July 1, 2003 to December 31, 2003. The investigation alleged, inter-alia, that Networth Stock Broking Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as the "Noticee") traded on behalf of Sicorp Finlease (Director - Mr. Sirish Shah) and placed large orders in the shares of G-Tech and created artificial volumes and manipulated the price of the scrip. Therefore, adjudication proceedings were initiated against the Noticee to inquire into and adjudge under sections 15HA and 15HB of Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 the alleged violation of the provisions of regulations 4(2)(a) and 4(2)(e) of SEBI (Prohibition of Fraudulent and Unfair Trade Practices) Regulations, 2003 and clauses A(1), A(2), A(3) and A(4) of the Code of Conduct specified in schedule II of SEBI (Stock Brokers and Sub brokers) Regulations, 1992 read with regulation 7 of SEBI (Stock Brokers and Sub brokers) Regulations, 1992. Consequently, a Show Cause Notice No. EAD-5/VSS/RK/134047/2008 dated August 04, 2008 was issued under rule 4 of SEBI (Procedure for Holding Inquiry and Imposing Penalties by Adjudicating Officer) Rules, 1995.
- While the adjudication proceedings were pending, the Noticee made an application dated September 10, 2008 and an affidavit of "undertakings and waivers" in terms of SEBI Circular No. EFD/ Cir.-1/2007 dated 20th April, 2007 for

Consent Order in respect of the aforesaid SCN before SEBI. Subsequently, the Noticee vide letter dated November 19, 2008 proposed revised consent terms, without admitting or denying the guilt and subject to clauses of the undertakings and waivers, submitting that he was willing to pay a sum of Rs.4,00,000/-(Rupees four lakh only) towards settlement charges in the matter. The terms as proposed by the Noticee was placed before the High Powered Advisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as 'HPAC') on December 12, 2008. The HPAC considered the facts and circumstances of the case, revised consent terms offered by the Noticee and the material brought before it by SEBI and recommended that the case may be settled on payment of Rs.4,00,000/-(Rupees four lakh only). The recommendations of HPAC were placed before the two Whole Time Members of the Board who have agreed to the terms of consent as recommended by the HPAC.

- In pursuance of the aforesaid consent terms, the Noticee has remitted a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- (Rupees four lakh only), vide Demand Draft No.123911 dated January 6, 2009 drawn on ICICI Bank Limited, Mumbai, towards the terms of consent in the matter.
- 4. In view of above, it is hereby ordered that,
 - This consent order disposes of the said proceedings pending against the Noticee under Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992, and
 - ii) Passing of this order is without prejudice to the right of SEBI to take enforcement actions including commencing / reopening of the pending proceedings against the Noticee, if SEBI finds that
 - a. any representation made by the Noticee in the consent proceedings is subsequently discovered to be untrue.

- b. the Noticee has breached any of the clauses / conditions of undertakings / waivers filed during the current consent proceedings.
- 5. This consent order is passed on the February 03, 2009 and shall come into force with immediate effect.

V S Sundaresan Adjudicating Officer