# CO/ISD/466/26/2008

# BEFORE THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA, MUMBAI

# **CONSENT ORDER**

#### ON THE APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED BY

# L.L.PHULWANI-HUF, Mr.LAXMINARAIN L. PHULWANI AND Mrs.MEENAKSHI L. PHULWANI

#### IN THE MATTER OF

### **IRREGULARITIES RELATING TO INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS**

- As a part of on going surveillance activity by SEBI into the various aspects of working of securities market, SEBI had initiated a probe and advised Bombay Stock Exchange (for short "BSE") and National Stock Exchange (for short "NSE") to look into the dealings in the shares issued through Initial Public Offerings (IPOs) before the shares are listed on the Stock Exchange. Accordingly, SEBI appointed an Investigating Authority under Section 11C of the SEBI Act. The Investigating Authority thereafter, carried out a detailed investigation by calling for data from various entities such as DPs, Banks, the Depositories and the Registrars to the Issues etc. During the investigation, it was found that large numbers of multiple dematerialized accounts with common addresses were opened in the name of benami or fictitious entities and/or persons by a few operators who played major role in cornering the shares meant for retail investors. These operators were being funded during the IPO process by certain financiers who provided money to the operators for making IPO applications in fictitious/benami names. The financiers received the IPO shares from operators before the listing date. On noticing the irregularities and the widespread abuse, SEBI had passed an ad interim ex parte Order dated April 27, 2006 directing the entities/ persons including the applicants who were alleged to have been responsible for the irregularities not to buy, sell or deal in securities market including in IPO's, directly or indirectly, till further directions.
- 2. The applicants were identified as financiers in the order. The applicants had been observed to have made notional undue gains by allegedly committing irregularities in IPO process as indicated below.

SI. No	Name of the applicant	Notional undue gain Rs.
1	L.L.Phulwani-HUF	23,607.50
2	Laxminarain L Phulwani	3,72,750.00
3	Meenakshi L Phulwani	99,400.00

- 3. Proceedings under Section 11 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 and adjudication were initiated against the applicants. The Adjudicating Officer issued show cause notices against the applicants on June 16, 2006. The said proceedings are pending against the applicants.
- 4. In the meanwhile, the applicants vide letters dated January 30, 2008 proposed settling of the pending proceedings through a consent order. Consent terms proposed by the applicants were placed before the High Powered Advisory Committee (HPAC) and the HPAC after considering the period of prohibition in buying, selling and dealing securities undergone by the applicants from April 27, 2006, recommended the case for settlement. The details of terms of settlement are as follows:

SI. No	Name of the applicant	Settlement Charges in Rs.	Amount to be disgorged in Rs.
1	L.L.Phulwani-HUF	4,000.00	24,000.00
2	Laxminarain L Phulwani	38,000.00	3,74,000.00
3	Meenakshi L Phulwani	10,000.00	1,00,000.00

The above terms of settlement were communicated to the applicants vide letter dated July 3, 2008.

- 5. In terms of the applicants' proposal, for the sole purpose of settling the matters on hand and without admission or denial of guilt on the part of the applicants to the finding of fact or conclusion of law, the applicants have remitted the abovementioned amounts towards the terms of the consent in the matter.
- 6. In view of the above, it is hereby ordered that:
  - i) this consent order disposes of directions in the Ex parte ad interim Order dated April 27, 2006 passed by SEBI to the extend such directions are against the applicants in the matter of investigations in IPO irregularities and
  - ii) passing of this order by SEBI is without prejudice to the right of SEBI to take enforcement action including commencing / reopening of the pending proceedings against the applicants, if SEBI finds that;
    - a. any representations made by the applicants in the consent proceedings are subsequently discovered to be untrue.

- b. the applicants have breached any of the clauses / conditions of undertakings/waivers filed during the current consent proceedings.
- 6. This consent order is passed on this the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of July 2008 and shall come into force with immediate effect.
- 7. The Adjudicating Officer will pass separate orders disposing of the adjudication proceedings.

C. B. Bhave

Chairman

T.C.Nair

**Whole Time Member**