

**BEFORE THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA, MUMBAI
CONSENT ORDER**

**ON THE APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED BY
SMT. C ANUPAMA REDDY & OTHERS**

**IN THE MATTER OF SHAKTI MET-DOR LIMITED
(CONSENT APPLICATIONS NO. 1886 & 1887 OF 2010)**

1. Vide letters dated February 15, 2010, Smt. C. Anupama Reddy and Smt. M. Uma Raju filed two different consent applications in the matter of M/s Shakti Met-Dor Limited, in terms of the SEBI Circular No. EFD/ED/Cir-1/2007 dated April 20, 2007, on behalf of themselves and the following persons of the promoter group (hereinafter collectively referred to as “the applicants”):
 - (i) Sri M V S S Subba Raju; and
 - (ii) Sri C. Shashidar Reddy
2. The applicants voluntarily filed the abovementioned consent applications proposing the settlement, through a consent order, of delay in compliance of regulation 3(4) of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Substantial Acquisition of Shares and Takeovers) Regulations, 1997 (the Takeover Regulations) in respect of *inter se* transfer of shares of M/s Shakti Met-Dor Limited (the company) on March 04, 2004. It was subsequently discovered that the applicants had inadvertently proposed the settlement of delay in compliance of regulation 3(4) of the Takeover Regulations through consent order. Therefore, the applicants subsequently modified the consent applications and proposed the settlement for possible delay in compliance of regulation 7(1) and 7(1A) of the Takeover Regulations in relation to the *inter se* transfer of shares of the company as described below:

- (a) delay in compliance of regulation 7(1) of the Takeover Regulations by Smt. C. Anupama Reddy and Smt. M. Uma Raju; and
 - (b) delay in compliance of regulation 7(1A) of the Takeover Regulations by Sri M V S S Subba Raju and Sri C. Shashidar Reddy (a part of the promoter group).
- 3. Vide letters dated August 05, 2010, the applicants have proposed the revised consent terms to settle the said delay in compliance of regulation 7(1) of the Takeover Regulations by Smt. C. Anupama Reddy and Smt. M. Uma Raju, and regulation 7(1A) by Sri M V S S Subba Raju and Sri Shashidar Reddy of the promoter group on payment of the settlement charges as mentioned in the said letters.
- 4. The High Powered Advisory Committee, constituted by SEBI, considered the consent terms proposed by the applicants and recommended the case for settlement on the following terms:
 - (a) on payment of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only) each by Smt. C. Anupama Reddy and Smt. M. Uma Raju towards the settlement charges for delay in compliance of regulation 7(1) of the Takeover Regulations; and
 - (b) on payment of 2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakh Only) towards settlement charges by Rs. Sri M V S S Subba Raju and Sri C. Shashidar Reddy, collectively for delay in compliance of regulation 7(1A) of the Takeover Regulations.
- 5. SEBI accepted the abovementioned recommendations of the Committee and communicated the same to the applicant vide letters dated November 4, 2010. Accordingly, the applicants have, sent to SEBI three demand drafts dated November 20, 2010, drawn on the State Bank of India, Secunderabad and payable at Mumbai towards the settlement charges, the details of which are mentioned below:
 - (a) Smt. C. Anupama Reddy – demand draft no. “305144” for a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only);

- (b) Smt. M. Uma Raju – demand draft no. “305143” for a sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only); and
 - (c) Sri M V S S Subba Raju and Sri C. Shashidar, collectively – demand draft no. “305145” for a sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakh Only).
6. In view of the above, the delay in compliance of:
- (a) regulation 7(1) of the Takeover Regulations by Smt. C. Anupama Reddy and Smt. M. Uma Raju; and
 - (b) regulation 7(1A) of the Takeover Regulations by Sri M V S S Subba Raju and Sri C. Shashidar Reddy,
- as enumerated in the aforementioned consent applications read with the letters dated August 05, 2010 and October 14, 2010, is settled as per above consent terms and SEBI shall not initiate any enforcement action against the applicants for the said delay in compliance. Accordingly, the two consent applications filed by the applicants is disposed of by this consent order.
7. This order is without prejudice to the right of SEBI to initiate enforcement actions against the applicants for the abovementioned delay in compliance, if:
- a. any representation made by the applicants in this consent proceeding is subsequently discovered to be untrue; or
 - b. the applicants breach any of the consent terms or undertakings filed in this consent proceeding.
8. This consent order is passed on this the 8th day of December, 2010 and shall come into force with immediate effect.

K.M. ABRAHAM
WHOLE TIME MEMBER

PRASHANT SARAN
WHOLE TIME MEMBER