

BEFORE THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA

CONSENT ORDER

On Application No. 2454 of 2011 submitted by

Shah Investor's Home Ltd.

(PAN: AAFCS4436C)

1. Shah Investor's Home Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as 'the applicant') having its registered office at B/105, 1st Floor, Sahayog Tower, above Central bank of India, S.V. Road, Kandivali (West), Mumbai -400067 had filed an application, vide its letter dated October 12, 2011 in terms of the SEBI Circular No. EFD/ED/Cir-1/2007 dated April 20, 2007, proposing to settle, through a consent order, the Appeal No. 187 of 2011 filed by the applicant before the Hon'ble Securities Appellate Tribunal (hereinafter referred to as '**SAT**') challenging an order of the Adjudicating Officer dated August 25, 2011 which found that the applicant had violated Clauses A(1),(2) and (5) of the Code of Conduct prescribed for stock brokers as specified in Schedule II under Regulation 7 of the SEBI (Stock Brokers and Sub-brokers) Regulations, 1992 in view of the fact that orders were placed from the terminal of the applicant which resulted in reversal of trades and facilitated creation of artificial volume in the scrip of Compuage Infocom Ltd., Transpek Industry Ltd. and Alkyl Amine Chemicals Ltd.
2. The representatives of the applicant had a meeting with the Internal Committee of SEBI on February 09, 2012 and thereafter the applicant vide its letter dated February 09, 2012 proposed the revised consent terms of Rs.3,00,000/- (Rupees Three Lakhs only) towards settlement charges and Rs. 1,00,000/ (Rupees One Lakh only) towards legal expenses to settle the proceedings mentioned above.
3. The High Powered Advisory Committee (HPAC), considered the consent terms proposed by the applicant and recommended the case for settlement upon payment of Rs.3,00,000/- (Rupees Three Lakhs only) towards settlement

charges and Rs. 1,00,000/ (Rupees One Lakh only) towards legal expenses. The Panel of Whole Time Members of SEBI had accepted the said recommendations of the HPAC and the same was communicated to the applicant vide letter dated September 05, 2012.

4. Accordingly, the applicant has, vide Demand Draft no. 000024 dated September 11, 2012 drawn on HDFC Bank and payable at Mumbai, remitted a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakhs only) towards the settlement charges.
5. When the matter was taken up for hearing before the Hon'ble SAT on October 30, 2012, the Hon'ble Tribunal, taking note of the fact that the consent application filed by the appellant before SEBI has been accepted and the amount required to be paid under the consent proceedings has also been paid and that the amount has been received by SEBI, granted the Appellant permission to withdraw the appeal with the observation that SEBI may pass necessary consent orders shortly. In view of the above, the proceeding initiated by SEBI which culminated in the passing of the adjudication order dated August 25, 2011 and which was impugned before the Hon'ble SAT stands settled.
6. This order is without prejudice to the right of SEBI to initiate enforcement actions against the applicant for the abovementioned delay in compliance, if:
 - a. any representation made by the applicant in this consent proceeding is subsequently discovered to be untrue or incomplete; or
 - b. the applicant breaches any of the consent terms or undertakings filed in this consent proceeding.
7. This consent order is passed on this the 05th day of December, 2012 and shall come into force with immediate effect.

RAJEEV KUMAR AGARWAL
WHOLE TIME MEMBER

PRASHANT SARAN
WHOLE TIME MEMBER