## BEFORE THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA, MUMBAI CONSENT ORDER

#### ON THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY

#### MS. RITABEN R. THAKKAR

### IN THE MATTER OF

# IRREGULARITIES RELATING TO INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS (CONSENT APPLICATION NO.21/2007)

- 1. As a part of its on going surveillance, SEBI had launched an investigation under Section 11C of the SEBI Act, 1992 into the dealings in the shares issued through Initial Public Offerings (IPOs) during 2003-05 before these were listed on the Stock Exchanges. The preliminary investigations, prima facie, revealed that a large number of dematerialized accounts with common addresses had been opened in benami or fictitious names with a view to cornering the shares meant for retail individual investors. A few financiers, including Ms. Ritaben R. Thakkar (hereinafter referred to as applicant), provided money for making IPO applications in fictitious/ benami names. The applicant was, therefore, alleged to have violated Section 12A of the SEBI Act 1992, Regulation 3 of the SEBI (Fraudulent and Unfair Trade Practices relating to Securities Market) Regulations, 2003 and the provisions of the SEBI (Disclosure and Investor Protection) Guidelines, 2000 and to have made a unlawful gain of Rs. 30,98,785 in the process.
- 2. Based on these findings, SEBI passed an ad interim ex parte Order dated April 27, 2006 under Sections 11 and 11B of the SEBI Act, 1992 directing the applicant not to buy, sell or deal in securities market, including IPOs,

directly or indirectly, till further directions. The applicant submitted reply vide letter dated May 09, 2006. SEBI also initiated an adjudication proceedings under Chapter VI A of the SEBI Act, 1992 against the applicant. The Adjudicating Officer issued show cause notice to the applicant on June 16, 2006. The applicant submitted reply to the Adjudicating Officer vide letter dated July 22, 2006 and December 15, 2006.

- 3. While further proceedings in the matter were in progress, the applicant, vide letter dated July 12, 2007 proposed settlement of the pending proceedings through a consent order. The High Powered Advisory Committee, constituted by SEBI, considered the consent terms proposed by the applicant and, after considering the period of prohibition on buying, selling or dealing in securities already undergone by the applicant since April 27, 2006, recommended the case for settlement. As per the terms of settlement, the applicant shall disgorge Rs. 30,98,785 (Rupees thirty lakh ninety eight thousand seven hundred and eighty five only) being the unlawful gain made by her in the alleged irregularity and also pay Rs. 6,20,215 (Rupees six lakh twenty thousand two hundred and fifteen only) as the settlement charges.
- 4. For the sole purpose of settling the matter on hand and without admission or denial of guilt on the part of applicant to the findings of fact or conclusion of law, the applicant has remitted a total sum of Rs. 37,19,000 (Rupees thirty seven lakh nineteen thousand only) comprising Rs. 30,98,785 (Rupees thirty lakh ninety eight thousand seven hundred and eighty five only) towards disgorgement and Rs. 6,20,215 (Rupees six lakh twenty thousand two hundred and fifteen only) towards settlement charges vide demand drafts No. 982666 (Rs. 8,00,000), 982667 (Rs. 8,00,000), 982668 (Rs. 8,00,000), 982669 (Rs. 8,00,000), 982670 (Rs. 5,19,000)

dated October 27, 2008, drawn on State Bank of Patiala, payable at Mumbai.

5. In view of the above, it is hereby ordered that this consent order disposes of the proceedings under Sections 11 and 11B of the SEBI Act, 1992 and the adjudication proceedings against the applicant and revokes the directions in the ad interim ex parte Order dated April 27, 2006 passed by

SEBI to the extent such directions are against the applicant in the matter

of IPO irregularities.

6. This order is without prejudice to the right of SEBI to take enforcement

actions, including commencing / reopening of the pending proceedings

against the applicant, if:

a. any representation made by the applicant in this consent

proceedings is subsequently discovered to be untrue;

b. the applicant breaches any of the consent terms or undertakings

filed in this consent proceedings.

7. This consent order is passed on this day, the 5<sup>th</sup> November, 2008 and shall

come into force with immediate effect.

T. C. Nair

**Whole Time Member** 

M. S. Sahoo Whole Time Member

3 of 3