GRADE 96.66%

## Autonomous driving (case study)

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE

96.66%

1. To help you practice strategies for machine learning, in this week we'll present another scenario and ask how you would act. We think this "simulator" of working in a machine learning project will give a task of what leading a machine learning project could be like!

You are employed by a startup building self-driving cars. You are in charge of detecting road signs (stop sign, pedestrian crossing sign, construction ahead sign) and traffic signals (red and green lights) in images. The goal is to recognize which of these objects appear in each image. As an example, the above image contains a pedestrian crossing sign and red traffic



ГоТ "stop sign" "pedestrian crossing sign" 0 "construction ahead sign" "red traffic light"

"green traffic light"

0

Your 100,000 labeled images are taken using the front-facing camera of your car. This is also the distribution of data you care most about doing well on. You think you might be able to get a much larger dataset off the internet, that could be helpful for training even if the distribution of internet data is not the same

You are just getting started on this project. What is the first thing you do? Assume each of the steps below would take

- Spend a few days checking what is human-level performance for these tasks so that you can get an accurate estimate of Bayes error.
- O Spend a few days collecting more data using the front-facing camera of your car, to better understand how much data per unit time you can collect.
- Spend a few days training a basic model and see what mistakes it makes.
- O Spend a few days getting the internet data, so that you understand better what data is available.

As discussed in lecture, applied ML is a highly iterative process. If you train a basic model and carry out error analysis (see what mistakes it makes) it will help point you in more promising directions.

2. Your goal is to detect road signs (stop sign, pedestrian crossing sign, construction ahead sign) and traffic signals (red and 1/1 point green lights) in images. The goal is to recognize which of these objects appear in each image. You plan to use a deep neural network with ReLU units in the hidden layers.

For the output layer, a softmax activation would be a good choice for the output layer because this is a multi-task learning

○ True

False

✓ Correct

Softmax would be a good choice if one and only one of the possibilities (stop sign, speed bump, pedestrian crossing, green light and red light) was present in each image.

3. You are carrying out error analysis and counting up what errors the algorithm makes. Which of these datasets do you think you should manually go through and carefully examine, one image at a time?

- O 10,000 images on which the algorithm made a mistake
- O 500 randomly chosen images
- 0 10,000 randomly chosen images
- 6 500 images on which the algorithm made a mistake

Focus on images that the algorithm got wrong. Also, 500 is enough to give you a good initial sense of the error statistics. There's probably no need to look at 10,000, which will take a long time.

4. After working on the data for several weeks, your team ends up with the following data:

- . 100,000 labeled images taken using the front-facing camera of your car.
- . Each image's labels precisely indicate the presence of any specific road signs and traffic signals or combinations of

them. For example,  $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$ means the image contains a stop sign and a red traffic light.

Because this is a multi-task learning problem, you need to have all your  $y^{(i)}$  vectors fully labeled. If one example is equal

- then the learning algorithm will not be able to use that example. True/False?
- True
- False

As seen in the lecture on multi-task learning, you can compute the cost such that it is not influenced by the fact that some entries haven't been labeled.

The distribution of data you care about contains images from your car's front-facing camera; which comes different distribution than the images you were able to find and download off the internet. How should you dataset into train/dev/test sets?      Mix all the 100,000 images with the 900,000 images you found online. Shuffle everything. Split the 1,00 dataset into 980,000 for the training set, 10,000 for the dev set and 10,000 for the test set.	from a 1/		
dataset into 980,000 for the training set, 10,000 for the deviset and 10,000 for the test set.	00,000 images		
Choose the training set to be the 900,000 images from the internet along with 20,000 images from you	ır car's front-		
facing camera. The 80,000 remaining images will be split equally in dev and test sets.			
Choose the training set to be the 900,000 images from the internet along with 80,000 images from you facing camera. The 20,000 remaining images will be split equally in dev and test sets.	ir cars front-		
Mix all the 100,000 images with the 900,000 images you found online. Shuffle everything. Split the 1,000,000 images dataset into 600,000 for the training set, 200,000 for the dev set and 200,000 for the test set.			
Correct Yes. As seen in lecture, it is important that your dev and test set have the closest possible distribut data. It is also important for the training set to contain enough "real"-data to avoid having a data-m problem.			
Assume you've finally chosen the following split between of the data:	1/		
	r of the rithm:		
Training 940,000 images randomly picked from (900,000 internet images + 60,000 car's front-facing camera images) 8.8%			
Training- 20,000 images randomly picked from (900,000 internet images + 60,000 car's front-			
Dev facing camera images)  Dev 20,000 images from your car's front-facing camera 14.39			
Test 20,000 images from the car's front-facing camera 14.89			
You also know that human-level error on the road sign and traffic signals classification task is around 0.5%. following are True? (Check all that apply).	. Which of the		
You have a large variance problem because your training error is quite higher than the human-level er	ror.		
Your algorithm overfits the dev set because the error of the dev and test sets are very close.			
You have a large data-mismatch problem because your model does a lot better on the training-dev set dev set	t than on the		
✓ Correct			
You have a large variance problem because your model is not generalizing well to data from the same distribution but that it has never seen before.	training		
You have a large avoidable-bias problem because your training error is quite a bit higher than the hum error.	nan-level		
✓ Correct			
Based on table from the previous question, a friend thinks that the training data distribution is much easier deviced distribution. What do you think?			
dev/test distribution. What do you think?	r than the		
dev/test distribution. What do you think?  Your friend is right. (I.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)			
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the	ev/test		
Your friend is right. (I.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)	ev/test		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong, (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)	rv/test dev/test se it trained		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's becaus on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sep	ev/test dev/test se it trained arrately on		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.	dev/test  dev/test  se it trained arrately on  11,3%		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  ✓ Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.  8. You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarized discoveries:  Overall deviset error  Errors due to incorrectly labeled data	dev/test  dev/test  se it trained arrately on  ting your  17.  15.3%		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  ✓ Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.  8. You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarized discoveries:  Overall deviset error  Errors due to incorrectly labeled data  Errors due to foggy pictures	dev/test  dev/test  se it trained arrately on  11,3%		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  ✓ Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.  8. You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarized discoveries:  Overall deviset error  Errors due to incorrectly labeled data  Errors due to foggy pictures  Errors due to rain drops stuck on your car's front-facing camera	se it trained arrately on this syour this se it so that shows the second of the second		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  ✓ Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.  8. You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarized discoveries:  Overall deviset error  Errors due to incorrectly labeled data  Errors due to foggy pictures  Errors due to rain drops stuck on your car's front-facing camera	dev/test  dev/test  dev/test  se it trained  sing your  1.5.3%  4.1%  8.0%  2.2%  1.0%		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  ✓ Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.  8. You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarized discoveries:  Overall deviset error  Errors due to incorrectly labeled data  Errors due to foggy pictures  Errors due to rain drops stuck on your car's front-facing camera  Errors due to other causes	dev/test  dev/test  dev/test  se it trained darately on  ling your  1/ 15.3% 4.1% 8.0% 2.2% 1.0% d). For		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  ✓ Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.  8. You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarized discoveries:  Overall deviset error  Errors due to incorrectly labeled data  Errors due to rain drops stuck on your car's front-facing camera  Errors due to other causes  In this table, 4.1%, 8.0%, etc. are a fraction of the total deviset (not just examples your algorithm mislabeled example, about 8.0/15.3 = 52% of your errors are due to foggy pictures.  The results from this analysis implies that the team's highest priority should be to bring more foggy picture training set so as to address the 8.0% of errors in that category. True/False?	se it trained sarately on 1.4  15.3% 4.1% 8.0% 2.2% 1.0% 3). For		
<ul> <li>Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)</li> <li>Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)</li> <li>There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.</li> <li>✓ correct         The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's becaus on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sep both distributions.     </li> <li>You decide to focus on the dev set and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarize discoveries:         Overall dev set error</li></ul>	dev/test  dev/test  dev/test  dev/test  dev/test  1/  dev/test  1/  dev/test  1/  15.3%  4.1%  8.0%  2.2%  1.0%  d). For  des into the  why some  uiz, to		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  ✓ Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.  8. You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarized iscoveries:  Overall deviset error  Errors due to incorrectly labeled data  Errors due to foggy pictures  Errors due to other causes  In this table, 4.1%, 8.0%, etc. are a fraction of the total deviset (not just examples your algorithm mislabeled example, about 8.0/15.3 = 52% of your errors are due to foggy pictures.  The results from this analysis implies that the team's highest priority should be to bring more foggy picture training set so a address the 8.0% of errors in that category. True/False?  Additional Note: there are subtle concepts to consider with this question, and you may find arguments for answers are also correct or incorrect. We recommend that you spend time reading the feedback for this question, and you may find arguments for answers are also correct or incorrect. We recommend that you spend time reading the feedback for this question.	dev/test  dev/test  dev/test  dev/test  1.0  dev/test  1.0  1.5.3%  4.1%  8.0%  2.2%  1.0%  1). For  se into the  why some uiz, to roject.		
Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)  Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)  There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.  ✓ Correct  The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.  8. You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarized discoveries:  Overall deviset error  Errors due to incorrectly labeled data  Errors due to rain drops stuck on your car's front-facing camera  Errors due to other causes  In this table, 4.1%, 8.0%, etc. are a fraction of the total deviset (not just examples your algorithm mislabeled example, about 8.0/15.3 = 52% of your errors are due to foggy pictures.  The results from this analysis implies that the team's highest priority should be to bring more foggy picture training set so as to address the 8.0% of errors in that category. True/False?  Additional Note: there are subtle concepts to consider with this question, and you may find arguments for answers are also correct or incorrect. We recommend that you spend time reading the feedback for this quinderstand what issues that you will want to consider when you are building your own machine learning p	dev/test  dev/test  dev/test  dev/test  1.0  dev/test  1.0  1.5.3%  4.1%  8.0%  2.2%  1.0%  1). For  se into the  why some uiz, to roject.		
<ul> <li>Your friend is right. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower than for the de distribution.)</li> <li>Your friend is wrong. (i.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably higher than for the distribution.)</li> <li>There's insufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong.</li> <li>✓ Correct         The algorithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't know if it's because on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sense, measure human-level error sephoth distributions.     </li> <li>You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarized iscoveries:         Overall deviset error</li></ul>	se it trained sarately on 1.4  15.3% 4.1% 8.0% 2.2% 1.0% 3). For ses into the why some uiz, to roject.		

			shield wiper that help wipe off some of the question, which of the following statements	
			nate of the maximum amount this windshiel	
0			nate of the minimum amount this windshield	
0	2.2	% would be a reasonable estim	nate of how much this windshield wiper will	improve performance.
0	2.2 cas		nate of how much this windshield wiper coul	ld worsen performance in the worst
	<b>~</b>	dataset was infinitely big, 2.2%	prove performance by more than 2.2% by so would be a perfect estimate of the improvi ld wiper that removes the raindrops.	
		clean images to synthesize for		
	fr	image from ront-facing camera	foggy image from the internet	synthesized foggy image
			+	
	The		the 1,000 pictures of fog so long as you are	combing it with a much larger
•	So		ges. oks realistic to the human eye, you can be co ion of real foggy images (or a subset of it), si	
0	Ad	ding synthesized images that lo	ook like real foggy pictures taken from the fr odel improve because it will introduce avoid	
	~		look realistic, then the model will just see th fic signals in a foggy weather. I will very likely	
	tem: You	ents do you agree with? (Check	you've decided to correct the incorrectly lab all that apply). rectly labeled data in the test set, so that the	
	<b>~</b>		e sure that your dev and test data come froi is iterative development process is efficient.	m the same distribution for your
		u should correct incorrectly labore different from your dev set.	eled data in the training set as well so as to	avoid your training set now being even
~		u should not correct the incorre m the same distribution	ectly labeled data in the test set, so that the	dev and test sets continue to come
	!	This should not be selected  No, because you have to corre dev set come from the same of	ect the incorrectly labelled data in the test so distribution.	et to make sure that your test set and
	set		the incorrectly labeled data in the training sidev and test sets. Note that it is important t	
con	reco	gnizing a yellow traffic light. (So	red and green traffic lights. One of your coll ome countries call it an orange light rather t containing yellow lights are quite rare, and ner out using transfer learning.	han a yellow light; we'll use the US
_		o you tell your colleague?		
_			trained on your dataset, and fine-tuning furt	
0			vellow lights, randomly sample 10,000 image your dataset from "swamping" the yellow ligh	
0	You		distribution of data you have is different fro	m hers, and is also lacking the yellow
				g all the data.
0	Re	commend that she try multi-tas	sk learning instead of transfer learning using	
0		commend that she try multi-tas	sk learning instead of transfer learning using	

correct: feedback: This is the correct answer. You should consider the tradeoff between the data accessibility

13. Another colleague wants to use microphones placed outside the car to better hear if there're other vehicles around you.

For example, if there is a police vehicle behind you, you would be able to hear their siren. However, they don't have much to train this audio system. How can you help?

Transfer learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Multi-task learning seems

Multi-task learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Transfer learning seems significantly less promising.     Either transfer learning or multi-task learning could help our colleague get going faster.     Neither transfer learning nor multi-task learning seems promising.  ✓ correct     Yes. The problem he is trying to solve is quite different from yours. The different dataset structures make it	
<ul> <li>Neither transfer learning nor multi-task learning seems promising.</li> <li>Correct</li> </ul>	
✓ Correct	
probably impossible to use transfer learning or multi-task learning.	
14. To recognize red and green lights, you have been using this approach:	1 point
(A) input an image (x) to a neural network and have it directly learn a mapping to make a prediction as to whether there's a red light and/or green light (y).	
A teammate proposes a different, two-step approach:	
(B) In this two-step approach, you would first (i) detect the traffic light in the image (if any), then (ii) determine the color of the illuminated lamp in the traffic light.	
Between these two, Approach B is more of an end-to-end approach because it has distinct steps for the input end and the output end. True/False?	
○ True	
False	
Correct Yes. (A) is an end-to-end approach as it maps directly the input (x) to the output (y).	
	1 point
Large training set	
Multi-task learning problem.	
Large bias problem.     Problem with a high Bayes error.	
Correct Yes. In many fields, it has been observed that end-to-end learning works better in practice, but requires a large amount of data.	