

MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT

MOUNIA LALMAS, YAHOO! LABS
HEATHER O'BRIEN, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
ELAD YOM-TOV, MICROSOFT RESEARCH


WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO ENGAGE USERS?

- In today's wired world, users have enhanced expectations about their interactions with technology
 - ... resulting in increased competition amongst the purveyors and designers of interactive systems.
- In addition to utilitarian factors, such as usability, we must consider the hedonic and experiential factors of interacting with technology, such as fun, fulfillment, play, and *user engagement*.
- In order to make engaging systems, we need to understand what user engagement is and how to measure it.



WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO MEASURE AND INTERPRET USER ENGAGEMENT WELL?

... for example



OUTLINE

- **Introduction and Scope**
- **Part I - Foundations**
 1. Approaches based on self-report measures
 2. Approaches based on web analytics
 3. Approaches based on physiological measures
- **Part II – Advanced Aspects**
 1. Measuring user engagement in mobile information searching
 2. Networked user engagement
 3. Combining different approaches
- **Conclusions**
- **Bibliography**



WHO WE ARE

- **Mounia Lalmas, Visiting Principal Scientist, Yahoo! Labs**
 - Research interest: user engagement, social media, search
 - Blog: <http://labtomarket.wordpress.com>
- **Heather O'Brien, Assistant Professor, iSchool, University of British Columbia**
 - Research interests: theories of user engagement; self-report and qualitative methods of evaluating user engagement
 - Website: <http://faculty.arts.ubc.ca/hobrien/>
- **Elad Yom-Tov, Senior Researcher, Microsoft Research**
 - Research interests: learning from user behavior about actions in the physical world
 - Website: <http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/people/eladyt/>



MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT



INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

ENGAGEMENT IS ON EVERYONE'S MIND

User Engagement

Engaged Users spend **115%** more time on sites and return **1.5 times**

<http://iactionable.com/user-engagement/>

rolls out Plus

16 April 2013

Nesta: Public sector must 'catch up' on user engagement

Thomas Bridge

A cultural shift is needed to ensure public services can use public engagement to boost innovation, according to a study.

[MOST READ](#) [MOST COMMENT](#)

Barnet Council wins legal victory over outsourcing plans

<http://www.localgov.co.uk/index.cfm?method=news.detail&id=109512>

70 Percent of Brand Engagement on Pinterest Come From Users [Infographic]

Francis Rey Balolong on May 4th 2013 in [Infographics](#), [Pinterest](#)

<http://socialbarrel.com/70-percent-of-brand-engagement-on-pinterest-come-from-users/51032/>



Korean mobile messaging service **Kakao** is launching a new **Friend** advertising platform built into its **KakaoTalk** messaging app. The platform includes homepage and custom design tools aimed at brands and celebrities.

Heart Foundation uses gamification to drive user engagement

Health organisation reduces drop off rates for its corporate well-being pilot program

Rebecca Merritt (CIO) | 17 April, 2013 15:26 | [Comments](#) | [Like](#) 17 [g+1](#) 4

LinkedIn Makes A \$90 Million Bet On Pulse To Help Drive User Engagement

April 15th, 2013 by Trefis Team

<http://www.trefis.com/stock/lndk/articles/179410/linkedin-makes-a-90-million-bet-on-pulse-to-help-drive-user-engagement/2013-04-15>

<http://www.abc.net.au/article/459294/>
[uses_gamification_drive_user_engagement/](#)

[1 Comment](#)


013/05/03/kakao-talk-rolls-out-plus-friend-home-a-
ct-users-with-their-favorite-brands/7

WHAT IS USER ENGAGEMENT (UE)? (I)

- “The state of mind that we must attain in order to enjoy a representation of an action” so that we may experience computer worlds “directly, without mediation or distraction” (Laurel, 1993, pp. 112-113, 116).
- “Engagement is a user’s response to an interaction that gains maintains, and encourages their attention, particularly when they are intrinsically motivated” (Jacques, 1996, p. 103).
- A quality of user experience that depends on the aesthetic appeal, novelty, and usability of the system, the ability of the user to attend to and become involved in the experience, and the user’s overall evaluation of the experience.
Engagement depends on the depth of participation the user is able to achieve with respect to each experiential attribute
(O’Brien & Toms, 2008).
- “...explain[s] how and why applications attract people to use them” (Sutcliffe, 2010, p. 3).

WHAT IS UE? (II)

- User engagement is a quality of the user experience that emphasizes the positive aspects of interaction – in particular the fact of being captivated by the technology (Attfield et al, 2011).



INCREASED EMPHASIS ON MEASURING UE

Marketers exploiting secrets of the living brain

By Kelly Crowe, CBC News Posted: Jan 1, 2013 8:15 AM ET | Last Updated: Jan 1, 2013 9:01 AM ET 118



Neuromarketing 3:10



Neuromarketing 1:39

the tools of neuroscience to understand the secrets of the consumer brain.

The same primitive impulses that helped early man survive against the evolutionary odds are drawing shopper Denam Drew to a pair of tan suede shoes. At least that's the theory behind neuromarketing, an emerging field that uses

Stay Co

Mobile Face

Act

Tal

Tal

Tal

bar

It's a

10

Facebook 376

Twitter 189

G+ 37

Share 565

Email

TRACKING USER BEHAVIOR

Google Analytics

Search this site


Home **Features** Learn Partners Premium Blog Help

Sign In or [Create an account](#)

Analysis Tools Content Mobile Conversion Social Advertising

Gain insights that matter

Google Analytics not only lets you measure sales and conversions, but also gives you fresh insights into how visitors use your site, how they arrived on your site, and how you can keep them coming back.



Analysis Tools

Google Analytics is built on a powerful, easy to use, reporting platform, so you can decide what data you want to view and customize your reports, with just a few clicks.

[Learn more](#)

Content Analytics

Content reports help you understand which parts of your website are performing well, which pages are most popular so you can create a better experience for your customers.

[Learn more](#)

Social Analytics

The web is a social place and Google Analytics measures success of your social media programs. You can analyze how visitors interact with sharing features on your site (like the Google +1 button) and engage with your content across social platforms.

<http://www.google.ca/analytics/index.html>

HOW DO WE CAPTURE USER ENGAGEMENT?

Bloomberg Businessweek
Technology

Like 109k

Global
Economics

Companies &
Industries

Politics & Policy

Technology

Markets &
Finance

Innovation &
Design

Lifestyle

GigaOm

Why Measuring User Engagement Is Harder Than You Think



Posted on GigaOM

By Mathew Ingram on October 12, 2012



0 Comments

Related



Data: 85% of Mobile Traffic But
39% of Revenue—What Gives?

If you've spent any time in a newsroom, traditional or otherwise, you know that publishers are obsessed with measuring where their Web traffic comes from. Whether it's Google ([GOOG](#)) Analytics or Chartbeat, or comScore, or Omniture, or any one of a dozen other providers, tracking where readers come from is a crucial part of online media—mostly because publishers need to know which channels are worth focusing on, since there are so many to choose from. Is Twitter your biggest source? Then you should tweet more and optimize your content for Twitter. Is Facebook ([FB](#)) a big referrer of

<http://www.businessweek.com/articles/2012-10-12/why-measuring-user-engagement-is-harder-than-you-think>

WHY IS MEASURING UE IMPORTANT?

- User engagement is a complex construct
- Various approaches have been proposed for measuring engagement, but...
 - Not enough emphasis on reliability and validity of individual measures, or triangulation of various approaches.
- Standardization of what user engagement is and how to measure it will benefit research, design, and users.



CONSIDERATIONS IN THE MEASUREMENT OF USER ENGAGEMENT

- Short term (within session) and long term (across multiple sessions)
- Laboratory vs. field studies
- Subjective vs. objective measurement
- Large scale (e.g., dwell time of 100,000 people) vs. small scale (gaze patterns of 10 people)
- UE as process vs. as product

One is not better than other; it depends on what is the aim.



SOME CAVEATS (I)

- **This tutorial assumes that web application are “properly designed”**
 - We do not look into how to design good web site (although some user engagement measurement may inform for an enhanced design).
- **This tutorial is based on “published research” literature**
 - We do not know how each individual company and organization measure user engagement (although we guess some common baselines).
- **This tutorial focuses on web applications that users “chose” to engage with**
 - A web tool that has to be used e.g. for work purpose, is totally different (users have no choice).
- **This tutorial is not an “exhaustive” account of all existing works**
 - We focus on work that we came across and that has influenced us; *if we have missed something important, let us know.*

SOME CAVEATS (II)

- **This tutorial focuses on web applications that are widely used by “anybody” on a “large-scale”**
 - User engagement in the game industry or education have different characteristics.
- **This tutorial does not focus on the effect of advertisements on user engagement**
 - We assume that web applications that display ads do so in a “normal” way so that to not annoy or frustrate users.
- **This tutorial looks at user engagement at web application “level”**
 - Although we use examples and may refer to specific sites or types of applications, we do not focus on any particular applications.
- **This tutorial is not about “how” to increase user engagement ☺**

OUTLINE

- **Introduction and Scope**
- **Part I - Foundations**
 1. Approaches based on self-report measures
 2. Approaches based on web analytics
 3. Approaches based on physiological measures
- **Part II – Advanced Aspects**
 1. Measuring user engagement in mobile information searching
 2. Networked user engagement
 3. Combining different approaches
- **Conclusions**
- **Bibliography**



MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT



PART 1: FOUNDATIONS

CHARACTERISTICS OF USER ENGAGEMENT (I)

Focused attention

(Webster & Ho, 1997; O'Brien, 2008)

- Users must be focused to be engaged
- Distortions in the subjective perception of time used to measure it

Positive Affect

(O'Brien & Toms, 2008)

- Emotions experienced by user are intrinsically motivating
- Initial affective “hook” can induce a desire for exploration, active discovery or participation

Aesthetics

(Jacques et al, 1995; O'Brien, 2008)

- Sensory, visual appeal of interface stimulates user & promotes focused attention
- Linked to design principles (e.g. symmetry, balance, saliency)

Endurability

(Read, MacFarlane, & Casey, 2002; O'Brien, 2008)

- People remember enjoyable, useful, engaging experiences and want to repeat them
- Reflected in e.g. the propensity of users to recommend an experience/a site/a product

CHARACTERISTICS OF USER ENGAGEMENT (II)

Novelty

(Webster & Ho, 1997; O'Brien, 2008)

- Novelty, surprise, unfamiliarity and the unexpected
- Appeal to users' curiosity; encourages inquisitive behavior and promotes repeated engagement

Richness and control

(Jacques et al, 1995; Webster & Ho, 1997)

- Richness captures the growth potential of an activity
- Control captures the extent to which a person is able to achieve this growth potential

Reputation, trust and expectation

(Attfield et al, 2011)

- Trust is a necessary condition for user engagement
- Implicit contract among people and entities which is more than technological

Motivation, interests, incentives, and benefits

(Jacques et al., 1995; O'Brien & Toms, 2008)

- Difficulties in setting up "laboratory" style experiments
- Why should users engage?

FORRESTER RESEARCH – THE FOUR I'S

Involvement

- Presence of a user
- Measured by e.g. number of visitors, time spent

Interaction

- Action of a user
- Measured by e.g. CTR, online transaction, uploaded photos or videos

Intimacy

- Affection or aversion of a user
- Measured by e.g. satisfaction rating, sentiment analysis in blogs, comments, surveys, questionnaires

Influence

- Likelihood a user advocates
- Measured by e.g. forwarded content, invitation to join

FLOW: THE THEORY OF OPTIMAL EXPERIENCE

- **What is “Flow”**

the state in which people are so involved in an activity that nothing else seems to matter; the experience itself is so enjoyable that people will do it even at great cost, for the sheer sake of doing it

(Csikszentmihalyi, 1990, p. 4).

- **Engagement has been called “flow without user control” and “a subset of flow”**

(Webster & Ahuja, 2004, p. 8)

ATTRIBUTES OF FLOW

**Enjoyment , Focused attention, Absorption, Time perception,
Clear goals and feedback, Control**

(Csikszentmihalyi, 1990)

FLOW IN HUMAN COMPUTER INTERACTION (HCI)

- **The “PAT” – Person, Artefact, Task Model**
(Finneran & Zhang, 2003)
- **Attributes and predictors of flow with work-based systems**
(Webster, Trevino & Ryan, 1993)
- **Relationships between flow and the tasks being performed**
 - Ghani & Deshpande, 1994: work tasks
 - Pace, 2004: directed and exploratory search tasks

RELEVANCE OF FLOW TO ENGAGEMENT

Flow	Engagement
Feedback from an activity	Perceived usability vital for engagement to be sustained
Control during an interaction	
Appropriate levels of challenge	
Focused attention	Complete absorption not necessary; getting “sidetracked” may be acceptable and engaging
Intrinsic motivation	May be extrinsic; may be more fruitful to explore motivations as utilitarian and hedonic
Goal-directed behaviour	Have fun , have an experience ; see where the road takes me
Emphasis on the individual and task variables	Personal and task relevance important, but characteristics of system and content precipitate engagement

IN THE GAME INDUSTRY?

Engagement – Engrossment – Total immersion

(Brown & Cairns, 2004)



Dimension	Category	Valence	Codes
Challenge	Hard	Struggle	Challenging, Hard
	Easy	Cope	Easy, Repetitive-Simple
Choice	In-Control	Positive	In-Control, Interactive
	Controlled	Negative	Controlled, No-Choice
Engagement	Interested	Positive	Attached, Anticipation, Curious, Interested, Immersed, In-Zone, Focused
	Bored	Negative	Bored, Out-of-Game, Repetitive-Boredom, Break
Knowledge	Understand	Positive	Aware, Creative, Experimenting, Learning, Understanding
	Confused	Negative	Confused, Don't-Know, Overloaded, Unaware, Unsure-Know
Pleasure	Satisfied	Positive	Cool, Enjoyment, Fun, Happy, Satisfied
	Dissatisfied	Negative	Angry, Annoyed, Disappointed, Frustrated, Irritated
Power	Confident	Cope	Calm, Comfortable, Confident, Normal, OK, Powerful, Safe, Successful
	Cautious	Struggle	Afraid, Cautious, Reserved, Scared, Stressed, Tense, Worried, Useless
Purpose	Purposeful	Positive	Determined
	Aimless	Negative	Disoriented, Lost, No-Plan, No-Direction, Unsure-Plan

(Gow et al, 2010)

MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT

	Measures	Characteristics
Self-reported engagement	Questionnaire, interview, report, product reaction cards, think-aloud	Subjective Short- and long-term Lab and field Small-scale Product outcome
Cognitive engagement	Task-based methods (time spent, follow-on task) Neurological measures (e.g. EEG) Physiological measures (e.g. eye tracking, mouse-tracking)	Objective Short-term Lab and field Small-scale and large-scale Process outcome
Interaction engagement	Web analytics <i>metrics + models</i>	Objective Short- and long-term Field Large-scale Process

MEASURES

... a bit more about them

Subjective perception
of time (Baldauf, Burgarda &
Wittmann, 2009)

- Ask a user to make some estimation of the passage of time during an activity.

Physiological
measures

- Involuntary body responses
- Gaze behaviour, mouse gestures, biometrics (e.g., skin conductance, body temperature, blood volume pulse), facial expression analysis

Follow-on task
performance

(Jennett et al, 2008)

- How well somebody performs on a task immediately following a period of engaged interaction

Online behaviour

- An estimate of the degree and depth of visitor interaction against a clearly defined set of goals
- Based on web analytics (e.g. click-through rate, comments posted)

Search
(evaluation)

- Relate system effectiveness and user satisfaction
- Designing user models is an important and active research area

OUTLINE

- **Introduction and Scope**
- **Part I - Foundations**
 1. Approaches based on self-report measures
 2. Approaches based on web analytics
 3. Approaches based on physiological measures
- **Part II – Advanced Aspects**
 1. Measuring user engagement in mobile information searching
 2. Networked user engagement
 3. Combining different approaches
- **Conclusions**
- **Bibliography**



PART 1: FOUNDATIONS

APPROACHES BASED ON SELF-REPORT MEASURES

INTRODUCTION TO SELF-REPORT MEASURES

- **What are self-report measures?**
 - A type of method commonly used in social science where individuals express their attitudes, feelings, beliefs or knowledge about a subject or situation.
- **Why consider self-reports?**
 - Emphasize individuals' perceptions and subjective experiences of their engagement with technologies.
- **Self-report methods may be discrete, dimensional, and free response.** (Lopatovska & Arapakis, 2011)

ADVANTAGES OF SELF-REPORT MEASURES

- **Flexibly applied in a variety of settings**
- **High internal consistency for well-constructed measures**
- **Convenient to administer**
- **Specificity in construct definition**
- **Quantitative self-report measures, i.e., questionnaires**
 - Enable statistical analysis and standardization
 - Participant anonymity
 - Administered to individuals or groups
 - Paper-based or web-based
 - Function well in large-sample research studies


(Fulmer & Frijters, 2009)



DISADVANTAGES OF SELF-MEASURES

- **Information processing issues**
 - Interpretation of researchers' questions
 - Developmental challenges associated with age or cognitive ability
- **Communication issues**
 - Wording and response options
 - Rapport between interviewer and interviewee
- **Construct issues**
- **Reliability and validity issues**
- **Participants' responses**
 - What does the “neutral” category mean?
 - *Over-estimate* behavior frequency
 - Reliance on recollection.

(Fulmer & Frijters, 2009; Kobayashi & Boase, 2012)




APPROACHES TO STUDYING USER ENGAGEMENT WITH SELF-REPORT MEASURES – OUTLINE

- **Methods**
 - Interviews
 - Think aloud/think after protocols
 - Questionnaires
- **Examples of employing each method to study engagement**
- **Examples of using self-report methods**

INTERVIEWS

- May be structured, semi-structured or unstructured.
- The interview schedule.
- May be one-on-one or one-to-many (focus groups).
- May focus on general or specific events, experiences, or timeframes.



<http://openclipart.org/detail/173434/interview-by-jammi-evil-173434>

USING INTERVIEWS TO MEASURE USER ENGAGEMENT

- **Objectives:**
 1. To develop an operational definition of engagement, and
 2. To identify key attributes of engagement.
- **Who?**
 - 17 online searchers, gamers, learners and shoppers.
- **Why interviews?**
- **How were the questions formulated?**
 - Grounded in interdisciplinary literature review and theory
- **What guided the analysis?**
 - *Threads of Experience* (McCarthy & Wright, 2004)

USING INTERVIEWS TO MEASURE USER ENGAGEMENT: OUTCOMES

- Developed a process-based model of user engagement.
- Identified attributes of engagement:
 - Aesthetic and sensory appeal, affect, feedback, control, interactivity, novelty, focused attention, motivation, interest.
- Mapped attributes to stages in the process model.
- Benefit of using interviews.

THINK ALOUD/THINK AFTER PROTOCOLS

- **Think aloud**
 - Verbalization *during* the human-computer interaction
- **Think after or simulated recall**
 - Verbalization *after* the human-computer interaction
- **Constructive interaction**
 - Involves two verbalizing their thoughts as they interact with each other
- **Spontaneous and prompted self-report**
 - Participants provide feedback at fixed intervals or at other points defined by the researcher



(Branch, 2000; Ericson & Simon, 1984; Kelly, 2009; Van den Haak, De Jong, & Schellens, 2009)

THINK ALOUD/THINK AFTER PROTOCOLS: CONSIDERATIONS

- Automatic processes difficult to articulate.
- Complex/highly visual interactions may be challenging to remember and/or verbalize.
- Think aloud/spontaneous or prompted self-report
 - Unnatural, interruptive
 - Increased cognitive load
- Think after or simulated recall:
 - Relies on memory but attention is less divided
 - Researcher can draw participants' attention to specific features of the interface, activities, etc.

(Branch, 2000; Ericson & Simon, 1984; Kelly, 2009; Van den Haak, De Jong, & Schellens, 2009)

USING THINK ALOUD TO STUDY USER ENGAGEMENT WITH EDUCATIONAL MULTIMEDIA

- Series of studies with educational multimedia and television advertisements
- Think aloud component of the research:
 - Identified salient aspects of engagement with content and media
 - Content: Perceptions driven by personal interest
 - Media: Focus on media preference, presentation, and affordances of control in navigation


QUESTIONNAIRES

- **Closed-ended (quantitative) and open-ended (qualitative).**
- **Effect of mode** (Kelly et al., 2008).
- **Scale development and evaluation is a longitudinal process.**



SCALE DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION


Based on (DeVellis, 2003)



QUESTIONNAIRES FOR MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT


- **Jacques, 1996**
 - 13-items
 - Attention, perceived time, motivation, needs, control, attitudes, and overall engagement
- **Webster & Ho, 1997**
 - 15-items
 - Influences on engagement: including challenge, feedback, control and variety, and
 - Engagement, including attention focus, curiosity, intrinsic interest, and overall engagement.
- **O'Brien & Toms, 2010 – User Engagement Scale (UES)**
 - 31-items
 - Aesthetic appeal, novelty, felt involvement, focused attention, perceived usability, and endurability (overall experience)

USING QUESTIONNAIRES TO STUDY ENGAGEMENT: ROLE OF MEDIA FORMAT: EXAMPLE I



(O'Brien, 2013)

ROLE OF FORMAT IN MEDIA ENGAGEMENT: PREPARATION AND SCREENING OF UES



PRINCIPLE COMPONENTS ANALYSIS (PCA) OF REMAINING UES ITEMS

Component	Description	No. Items	% Variance	Cronbach's alpha
1	Hedonic Engagement	12	47.9	0.95
2	Focused Attention	4	11	0.87
3	Affective Usability	4	5.9	0.75
4	Cognitive effort	2	4.6	0.83

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy = 0.89

Bartlett's Test of Sphericity = $\chi^2=1621.12(231)$, $p<0.001$

FINDINGS FROM THE STUDY

Relationship between Story and Engagement

Component	Story 1: Farming <i>M(SD)</i>	Story 2: Mining <i>M(SD)</i>
Hedonic Engagement	4.06 (1.3)	5.06 (1.05)
Focused Attention	3.3 (1.4)	3.93 (1.3)
Affective Usability	4.69 (1.3)	5.6 (0.9)
Cognitive Effort	4.19 (1.5)	5.29 (1.3)

Relationship between Media Condition and Engagement

Component	Audio <i>M(SD)</i>	Video <i>M(SD)</i>	Transcript <i>M(SD)</i>	Narrative <i>M(SD)</i>
Hedonic Engagement	4.7(1.2)	5(1.1)	3.9(1.4)	4.5(1.2)
Focused Attention	3.6(1.4)	3.8(1.4)	3.5(1.4)	3.5(1.5)
Affective Usability	5(1.2)	5.4(1.1)	4.9(1.3)	5(1.2)
Cognitive Effort	4.5(1.6)	5.5(1.1)	4.1(1.5)	4.8(1.4)

FINDINGS FROM THE STUDY (CONTINUED)

Multivariate Tests for Story and Condition

Effect	Λ	F	df(1)	df(2)	p
Story	0.8	05.45	1	98	.001
Condition	0.78	1.81	3	98	.04
Story x Condition	0.92	0.54	3	98	.88

Significant F-tests for Univariate Follow-up

UES Component	Effect	MS	F	df(1)	df(2)	p
Hedonic Engagement	Story	14.05	9.95	1	98	.002
Focused Attention	Story	10.32	4.78	1	98	.031
Affective Usability	Story	23.76	17.71	1	98	.000
Cognitive Effort	Story	20.02	11.4	1	98	.000
Cognitive Effort	Condition	7.23	4.11	3	98	.009

CONCLUSIONS: MEDIA FORMAT AND ENGAGEMENT

- **Next steps in data analysis.**
- **Value of screening and examining the reliability and principal component structure of the UES items.**
- **Why performance measures would not be significant in this controlled study.**
- **What was learned about users' perceived engagement in this study.**

EMPLOYING MULTIPLE SELF-REPORT METHODS: EXAMPLE II

- How the visual catchiness (**saliency**) of “relevant” information impacts user engagement metrics such as focused attention and emotion (affect)
 - **focused attention** refers to the exclusion of other things
 - **affect** relates to the emotions experienced during the interaction
- Saliency model of visual attention developed by (Itti & Koch, 2000)

MANIPULATING SALIENCY

non-salient condition



salient condition



Web page screenshot



Saliency maps

(McCay-Peet et al, 2012) 50

STUDY DESIGN

- 8 tasks = finding latest news or headline on celebrity or entertainment topic
- Affect measured pre- and post- task using the Positive e.g. “determined”, “attentive” and Negative e.g. “hostile”, “afraid” Affect Schedule (PANAS)
- Focused attention measured with 7-item *focused attention* subscale e.g. “I was so involved in my news tasks that I lost track of time”, “I blocked things out around me when I was completing the news tasks” and perceived time
- Interest level in topics (pre-task) and questionnaire (post-task) e.g. “I was interested in the content of the web pages”, “I wanted to find out more about the topics that I encountered on the web pages”
- 189 (90+99) participants from Amazon Mechanical Turk

PANAS (10 POSITIVE ITEMS AND 10 NEGATIVE ITEMS)

- You feel this way right now, that is, at the present moment
[1 = very slightly or not at all; 2 = a little; 3 = moderately;
4 = quite a bit; 5 = extremely]
[randomize items]

distressed, upset, guilty, scared, hostile,
irritable, ashamed, nervous, jittery, afraid

interested, excited, strong, enthusiastic, proud,
alert, inspired, determined, attentive, active

(Watson, Clark & Tellegen, 1988)

7-ITEM FOCUSED ATTENTION SUBSCALE (PART OF THE 31-ITEM USER ENGAGEMENT SCALE)

5-POINT SCALE (STRONG DISAGREE TO STRONG AGREE)

- 1.** I lost myself in this news tasks experience
- 2.** I was so involved in my news tasks that I lost track of time
- 3.** I blocked things out around me when I was completing the news tasks
- 4.** When I was performing these news tasks, I lost track of the world around me
- 5.** The time I spent performing these news tasks just slipped away
- 6.** I was absorbed in my news tasks
- 7.** During the news tasks experience I let myself go

SALIENCY AND POSITIVE AFFECT

- When headlines are visually non-salient
 - users are slow at finding them, report more distraction due to web page features, and show a drop in affect
- When headlines are visually catchy or salient
 - user find them faster, report that it is easy to focus, and Maintain positive affect
- Saliency is helpful in task performance, focusing/avoiding distraction and in maintaining positive affect

SALIENCY AND FOCUSED ATTENTION

- Adapted focused attention subscale from the online shopping domain to entertainment news domain
- Users reported “easier to focus in the salient condition”
BUT no significant improvement in the focused attention subscale or differences in perceived time spent on tasks
- **User interest in web page content is a good predictor of focused attention, which in turn is a good predictor of positive affect**

SELF-REPORTING, CROWDSOURCING, SALIENCY AND USER ENGAGEMENT

- Interaction of saliency, focused attention, and affect, together with user interest, is complex.
- Using crowdsourcing worked!
- What next?
 - include **web page content as a quality** of user engagement in focused attention scale
 - more “**realistic**” user (interactive) reading experience
 - other measurements: mouse-tracking, eye-tracking, facial expression analysis, etc.

CONSIDERATIONS WHEN EMPLOYING SELF-REPORT MEASURES

- **What is the research question?**
- **What is the most suitable self report method?**
- **How might we use self-report in studies of user engagement?**
 - Gather data explicitly about engagement
 - Other self-report measures may predict, validate, or enrich other measures of engagement
- **Why do self-reports get a bad rap?**

PART 1: FOUNDATIONS

APPROACHES BASED ON WEB ANALYTICS

WEB ANALYTICS

8 INDICES

(Peterson et al, September 2008)

- **Click Depth Index:** page views
- **Duration Index:** time spent
- **Recency Index:** rate at which users return over time
- **Loyalty Index:** level of long-term interaction the user has with the site or product (frequency)
- **Brand Index:** apparent awareness of the user of the brand, site, or product (search terms)
- **Feedback Index:** qualitative information including propensity to solicit additional information or supply direct feedback
- **Interaction Index:** user interaction with site or product (click, upload, transaction)

$$E = \left(\sum_{j=1}^V \frac{w_j (1 + \% \tau_j) + (\sum_e L_e) + (\sum_f F_f) + (\sum_i I_i) + (\sum_b B_b)}{w_w} + \sum_{k=1}^Z \frac{dk}{dz} \right)$$

60

INTRA-SESSION VERSUS INTER-SESSION ENGAGEMENT

- Intra-session engagement measures our success in attracting the user to remain on our site for as long as possible
- “Long-term engagement can be defined as the degree of voluntary use of a system along a wide period of time...” (Febretti and Garazotto, 2009)
- Inter-session engagement can be measured directly or, for commercial sites, by observing lifetime customer value (CTR, etc.).
- Some studies (Lehmann et al, 2011) report some correlation between inter- and intra-session measures, for example, dwell time and number of active days ($\rho=-0.66$)

WHY NOT USE INTRA-SESSION MEASURES EXCLUSIVELY?


- We seek to have users return to the site again and again, and to perceive the site as beneficial to them
- Intra-session measures can easily mislead, especially in for a short time (Kohavi et al, 2012):
 - Consider a very poor ranking function introduced into a search engine by mistake
 - Therefore, bucket testing may provide erroneous results if intra-session measures are used
- Hence inter-session (long-term) engagement is the preferred measure

DEPENDENCY ON USER TYPE

(Lehmann et al, 2012) observed that different users engage with sites differently.

Users were defined according to the number of days per month that a site is used:

- Tourists: 1 day
- Interested: 2-4 days
- Average: 5-8 days
- Active: 9-15 days
- VIP: more than 16 days



Sites from the Yahoo! network were clustered according to the proportion of users from each group.

The figure shows that different sites receive different user types and corresponding usage.

DEPENDENCY ON TASK AND WEBSITE

- Engagement varies by task. For example, a user who accesses a website to check for emails (a goal-specific task) has different engagement patterns from one who is browsing for leisure.
- In one study (Yom-Tov et al, 2013), sessions in which 50% or more of the visited sites belonged to the five most common sites (for each user) were classified as goal-specific.
 - Goal-specific sessions accounted for 38% of sessions
 - Most users (92%) have both goal-specific and non-goal-specific sessions.
 - The average downstream engagement (more later) in goal-specific sessions was 0.16. This is to be contrasted with 0.2 during non-goal-specific sessions.
- Dependence on website is clear: news site will see different engagement patterns than online shopping sites.

LARGE-SCALE MEASUREMENTS OF USER ENGAGEMENT

	Intra-session measures	Inter-session measures
Single site	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Dwell time \ session duration• Play time (video)• Click through rate (CTR)• Mouse movement• Number of pages viewed (click depth)• Conversion rate (mostly for e-commerce)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Fraction of return visits• Time between visits (inter-session time, absence time)• Number of views (video)• Total view time per month (video)• Lifetime value• Number of sessions per unit of time• Total usage time per unit of time• Number of friends on site (Social networks)
Multiple sites	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Downstream engagement• Revisits	

ANOTHER CATEGORIZATION OF MEASURES

- (Lehmann et al, 2012) **used a different categorization of measures:**
 - **Popularity:** Total number of users to a site, number of visits, and number of clicks
 - **Activity:** Number of page views per visit, time per visit (dwell time)
 - **Loyalty:** Number of days a user visits a site, number of times visited, total time spent
- **Each of these categories captures a different facet of engagement, and are therefore not highly correlated**

... more about this later



DWELL TIME AND OTHER SIMILAR MEASURES

- **Definition**


The contiguous time spent site or web page

- **Similar measures**

Play time (for video sites)

- **Cons**

Not clear that the user was actually looking at the site while there



Distribution of dwell times on 50
Yahoo! websites


DISTRIBUTION OF DWELL TIMES ON 50 YAHOO! SITES

- Dwell time varies by site type: leisure sites tend to have longer dwell times than news, ecommerce, etc.
- Dwell time has a relatively large variance even for the same site

(recall tourists,
VIP, active ... users)


Sports, e-commerce

Leisure, homepage



DISTRIBUTION OF USER REVISITS TO A LIST OF YAHOO! SITES (WITHIN SESSION)

- User revisits are common in sites which may be browser homepages, or contain content which is of regular interest to users.
- Goal-oriented sites (e.g., e-commerce) have lower revisits in the time range observed, meaning that revisit horizon should be adjusted by site.



OTHER INTRA-SESSION MEASURES

- **Clickthrough rate (CTR): number of clicks (e.g., on an ad) divided by the number of times it was shown.**
- **Number of pages viewed (click depth): average number of contiguous pages viewed within a site**
 - Can be problematic if the website is ill-designed.
- **Number of returns to the website within a session**
 - Useful for websites such as news aggregators, where returns indicate that the user believes there may be more information to glean from the site.
- **Conversion rate (mostly for e-commerce): fraction of sessions which end in a desired user action (e.g., purchase)**
 - Not all sessions are expected to result in a conversion, so this measure not always informative. However, it has the advantage of being closer to a website manager's goal.


INTER-SESSION ENGAGEMENT MEASURES

In general, these are the preferred measures of engagement

- **Direct value measurement:**
 - Lifetime value, as measured by ads clicked, monetization, etc.
- **Return-rate measurements:**
 - Fraction of return visits: How many users return for another visit?
 - Time between visits (inter-session time, absence time)
 - Number of distinct views (video)
- **Total use measurements:**
 - Total usage time per unit of time
 - Number of sessions per unit of time
 - Total view time per month (video)
 - Number of friends on site (social networks)


ABSENCE TIME AND SURVIVAL ANALYSIS

(Dupret & Lalmas, 2013)



Yahoo! Japan (Answers search)

$$h(t) = h_0(t) \exp(\beta_i \mathbf{1}_{\text{nclicks} = i})$$



Easy to implement and interpret

Can compare many things in one go

No need to estimate baselines

But need lots of data to account for noise

MODELS OF USER ENGAGEMENT BASED ON WEB ANALYTICS

... TOWARDS A TAXONOMY!

Online sites differ concerning their engagement!



Games

Users spend much time per visit




Social media

Users come frequently and stay long




Service

Users visit site, when needed



Search

Users come frequently and do not stay long



Special

Users come on average once



News

Users come periodically

$$\tau_{intra} = 0.61$$

$$\tau_{inter} = 0.23$$

DATA AND MEASURES

Interaction data, 2M users, July 2011, 80 US sites

Popularity	#Users	Number of distinct users	
	#Visits	Number of visits	
	#Clicks	Number of clicks	
Activity	ClickDepth	Average number of page views per visit.	
	DwellTimeA	Average time per visit	 $\tau = 0.33$
Loyalty	ActiveDays	Number of days a user visited the site	
	ReturnRate	Number of times a user visited the site	
	DwellTimeL	Average time a user spend on the site.	


METHODOLOGY

	General models	Time-based models
Dimensions	8 measures	weekdays, weekend 8 metrics per time span
#Dimensions	8	16
<p><i>Kernel k-means with Kendall tau rank correlation kernel</i></p> <p><i>Nb of clusters based on eigenvalue distribution of kernel matrix</i></p> <p><i>Significant metric values with Kruskal-Wallis/Bonferroni</i></p>		
#Clusters (Models)	6	5
<p><i>Analysing cluster centroids = models</i></p>		

MODELS OF USER ENGAGEMENT

[6 GENERAL]

- Popularity, activity and loyalty are independent from each other
- Popularity and loyalty are influenced by external and internal factors
 - e.g. frequency of publishing new information, events, personal interests
- Activity depends on the structure of the site



models based on engagement measures only

TIME-BASED [5 MODELS]

Models based on engagement over weekdays and weekend

	popularity	activity	loyalty
model m_{t5}	{wd}++		
model m_{t4}	{wd}++	{wd}++	
model m_{t3}		{we}++	{we}++
model m_{t2}	{we}++		{wd}++
model m_{t1}	{we}++		{we}++

◆-----◆ average ++ high {wd} weekdays {we} weekends


*hobbies,
interest-specific
weather*

time-based models \neq general models

MODELS OF USER ENGAGEMENT

- User engagement is complex and standard metrics capture only a part of it
- User engagement depends on time (and users)
- First step towards a **taxonomy** of models of user engagement ... and associated measures
- What next?
 - More sites, more models, more measures
 - User demographics, time of the day, geo-location, etc.
 - **Online multi-tasking**

ONLINE MULTI-TASKING



181K users, 2 months browser data, 600 sites, 4.8M sessions

- only 40% of the sessions have no site **revisitation**
- hyperlinking, backpaging and teleporting

leaving a site is
not a “bad thing!”


(fictitious navigation between sites within an online session)

users spend more and more of their online session multi-tasking, e.g. emailing, reading news, searching for information → **ONLINE MULTI-TASKING**

navigating between sites, using browser tabs, bookmarks, etc
seamless integration of social networks platforms into many services

HEART FRAMEWORK: PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

- **Happiness**
 - Satisfaction
- **Engagement**
- **Adoption**
- **Retention**
- **Task success**
 - Efficiency and effectiveness



large-scale behavioral data

- Based on experience in working with user-centered products
- Not all measures appropriate to all products

HEART framework are “more” about user experience

- **Page views**
 - Increase may mean increase of popularity or getting lost
- **Uptime**
 - Outage is bad
- **Latency**
 - Slow is bad
- **Seven-day active users**
 - Number of users who used the application at least once a week
 - Does not differentiate between new and returning users
- **Earnings**
 - Two many steps in purchasing flow is bad
 - Short-term vs. long-term

HAPPINESS

- **Subjective aspects**
 - satisfaction, visual appeal, likelihood to recommend, perceived ease of use
- **Survey**
- **Possibility to track over time**

iGoogle (personalised home page)

weekly in-product survey

major redesign → satisfaction decreases (1...7)

over time → measure recovers

(sign of change aversion)⁸²

ENGAGEMENT

- **Level of involvement**
- **Behavioral proxies**
 - Frequency, intensity, depth of interaction over a time period
- **Reported as an average and not in total**

GMAIL example



strong predictor of long-term retention

ADOPTION AND RETENTION

- Adoption: how many new users for a given period
- Retention: percentage of users still active after some given period
- Useful for new applications or those undergoing change
- Should account for seasonal changes and external events

Google Finance (stock market meltdown 2008)

PULSE
page view ↑
seven-day ↑



Adoption
Retention

HEART

new users interested in the crisis??
current users panicking?


new users staying?

better understanding of
event-driven traffic spikes

TASK SUCCESS

- **Behavioral measures of user experience**
 - efficiency (e.g. time to complete a task); effectiveness (e.g. percent of task completed); error rate
- **Remote usability on a large scale**
- **Difficult with standard log data unless an optimal path exists for a type of task**

Google map



GOALS – SIGNALS - MEASURES

○ **Measures**

- should relate to one or several goals of the application/product
- Used to track progress towards that goal

1. articulate the goal(s) of an application/feature

2. identify signals that indicate success

3. build/choose corresponding measures to track

GOALS – SOME TIPS

- **What are the goals of the product/features in terms of user experience (user engagement)?**
- **What tasks users need to accomplish?**
- **What is the redesign cycle trying to achieve?**

- **Retention or adoption:**
 - Is it more important to acquire new users or to keep existing ones more engaged?
- **Goal associated with a feature is not the same as goal of the whole product**

- **Measures (to be used or developed) should not be used to solely drive the goals**

SIGNALS – SOME TIPS

- **What is success? What is failure?**
- **What feelings and perceptions correlate with success and failure?**
- **What actions indicate that goals are met?**

- **Data sources**
 - logs, surveys, panel of judges

- **Sensitive and specific signals**
 - need to observe some reaction when user experience is better or worse
 - failure often easier to identify than success
 - undo event, abandonment, frustration

MEASURES – SOME TIPS

- Raw counts need to normalised
- Ratios, percentages, and average per users often more useful
- Accuracy of metrics
 - bots, all important actions recovered
- Keep comparing measures with “conventional” ones (e.g. comScore matters)

PART 1: FOUNDATIONS


APPROACHES BASED ON PHYSIOLOGICAL MEASURES

PHYSIOLOGICAL MEASURES

- Eye tracking
- Mouse movement
- Face expression
- Psychophysiological measures

Respiration, Pulse rate

Temperature, Brain wave,
Skin conductance, ...



WHAT IS PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGY?

- The branch of physiology dealing with the relationship between physiological processes and thoughts, emotions, and behavior.



Reaction to The body responds to psychological processes.

we exercise → we sweat

we get embarrassed → our cheeks get red and warm

- Examples of measurements

- Electroencephalography (**EEG**) – measures the electrical activity of the brain through the scalp.
- Cardiovascular measures – heart rate, **HR**; beats per minute, **BPM**; heart rate variability, **HRV**; vasomotor activity
- Respiratory sensors – monitors oxygen intake and carbon dioxide output.
- Electromyographic (**EMG**) sensors – measures electrical activity in muscles
- Electrogastrogram (**EGG**) – measures changes in pupil diameter with thought and emotion (pupillometry) and eye movements
- Galvanic skin response (**GSR**) sensors – monitors perspiration/sweat gland activity (also called Skin Conductance Level – **SCL**)
- Temperature sensors – measures changes in blood flow and body temperature
- Functional magnetic resonance imaging (**fMRI**) – measures brain activity by detecting associated changes in blood flow

PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGY – PROS AND CONS

Pros

- More objective data (not dependent on language and memory)
- Can be performed continuously during message/task processing
- Can provide information on emotional and attentional responses not available to conscious awareness

Cons

- Equipment expensive and can be cumbersome, and obtrusive
- Rarely a one-to-one correspondence between specific behaviors and physiological responses
- Difficult to operationalize and isolate a psychological construct
- Not applicable to large-scale



<http://flavor.monell.org/~jlundstrom/research%20behavior.html>



WHAT IS EYE TRACKING?

- Process of measuring either the point of gaze (where one is looking) or the motion of an eye relative to the head.
- Eye tracker is a device for measuring eye positions and eye movement.
- Used in research on the visual system, in psychology, in cognitive linguistics and in product design.

Examples of measures:

Time to First Fixation
Fixations Before
First Fixation Duration
Fixation Duration
Total Fixation Duration
Fixation Count
Visit Duration
Visit Count

whole screen or AOI (area of interest)



(Lin et al, 2007)

94

EYE TRACKING – ATTENTION AND SELECTION

Greece hopes for tourism rebound amid crisis

ATHENS, Greece - Many tourists see Athens as a launching pad for visiting the beaches and cute whitewashed buildings of the Greek islands. And the Aegean archipelago can be a great escape, especially during the nation's current economic crisis.

Thousands protest budget cuts at Calif. colleges



LONG BEACH, Calif. - More than 10,000 people marched, waved signs and occupied buildings at college campuses across California on Wednesday in a show of opposition to state budget cuts to education that could lead to ...

Colin Powell: Obama blew away the birthers

ORANGEBURG, S.C. - Colin Powell told graduates of South Carolina's premier historically black university that they were graduating during a tumultuous time that saw a royal wedding, a pope's beatification and a U.S. military assault that killed Osama bin Laden, "the worst person on earth."

Calif. woman shows off newly transplanted hand



LOS ANGELES - For the first time in five years, Emily Pennell has two hands.

Writer Harper Lee denies taking part in memoir



NEW YORK (AP) - American writer Harper Lee, who rose to fame a half-century ago with her first and only novel, "To Kill A Mockingbird," denied Tuesday that she had agreed to take part in a ...

Apple updates software to fix tracking glitch



SAN FRANCISCO (Reuters) - Apple Inc on Wednesday released a software update to fix a problem that enabled its mobile devices to collect and store customers' location data, making good on a promise it made last ...

Kvitova upsets Azarenka to take Madrid tennis title



MADRID (AFP) - Petra Kvitova defeated Victoria Azarenka 7-6 (7/3), 6-4 on Sunday to win the ATP-WTA Madrid Masters women's title and earn herself a place in the top 10 in the world rankings.

Obamas attend baptist church on Easter Sunday



WASHINGTON (AFP) - President Barack Obama and his family marked Easter Sunday by attending a service at an African-American baptist church in Washington, standing to clap the 120-strong choir.

Attention (gaze)
interest has no role
position > saliency

Selection
mainly driven by interest
position > attention

18 users, 16 tasks each
(chose one story and rate it)

eye movement recorded

EYE TRACKING – PROS AND CONS

○ Pros

- Lots of details (fine-grained data/resolution)
- Offers direct measure of user attention + what they are looking at
- Offers insights into how people consume & browse web pages + why they fail at clicking on something

○ Cons

- Not scalable
- Slow and expensive
- Not natural environment (e.g. at home)
 - Behavior ARE can be different in a lab setting

Can mouse movement act as a (weak) proxy of gaze?

WHAT IS MOUSE TRACKING?

(also known as cursor tracking)

- Using software (JavaScript) to collect user mouse cursor positions on computer/web interface
- Aim to provide information about what people are doing, typically to improve the design of an interface
- How does gaze is measured by an eye tracker relates to mouse movement as recorded
- Studies and applications
 - Attention on web pages
 - Relevance of search results
 - As a proxy of relevance
 - As additional and complimentary signal



MOUSE VS GAZE – ATTENTION ON WEB PAGES

- 90 users on 6 Yahoo! Finance articles – rich media content
- 3 treatments:
 - ad always on top; ad top right + random; random (6 positions)
- Reading tasks + post-questionnaires

ad avoidance

similar patterns

shift of attention from top-left to right as ad position change

similar patterns

more at top position
and longer dwell
left better than right

similar patterns

visit ad sooner & more time to process content when ad position moves

Similar patterns between gaze and mouse in terms of user attention when manipulating conditions (here ads)
Interesting results for “ads”

RELEVANCE IN MULTIMEDIA SEARCH

YAHOO!

WEB IMAGES VIDEO MORE

Top Images Latest (9)

Applied Filters: Medium

ADS


Leo Messi Jersey
mbjerseys.bizrate.com - Get Your Favorite Sports Gear. Save on Leo Messi Jersey!



FILTER

Reset Filters

All Sizes
Wallpaper
Large
Medium
Icon
All Colors
Black & White
Color
Hide Filters



PEOPLE WHO SEARCHED FOR LEO MESSI ALSO SEARCHED FOR



Multimedia search activities often driven by entertainment needs, not by information needs

CLICK-THROUGH RATE AS PROXY OF RELEVANCE

YAHOO!
UK & IRELAND

Angel Lane surgery Dunmow

Search

3,110 results

WEB IMAGES VIDEO NEWS SHOPPING MORE ▾

Search: the Web only in UK only in Ireland

FILTER BY TIME

Anytime
Past day
Past week
Past month

Angel Lane Surgery - Essex
Welcome to Angel Lane Surgery . We aim to provide you and your family with the best possible healthcare. Angel Lane Surgery Angel Lane Great Dunmow Essex CM6 1AQ
www.angellanesurgery.co.uk - [Cached](#)

Useful Contacts - Angel Lane Surgery - Essex
Angel Lane Surgery: Appointments/Enquiries: 01371 872 122: Hospitals ... Registrar of Births, Deaths and Marriages (Dunmow) ...
www.angellanesurgery.co.uk/useful.asp - [Cached](#)

Overview - Angel Lane Surgery - NHS Choices
Angel Lane Surgery. Telephone: 01371 872122 Address: Angel Lane , Great Dunmow, Dunmow, Essex, CM6 1AQ Website: Website address not added
www.nhs.uk/Services/gp/Overview/DefaultView.aspx?id=6F... - [Cached](#)
[More results from nhs.uk »](#)

I just wanted the phone number ... I am totally satisfied ☺ 100

GAZE AND CURSOR RELATIONSHIP

- Small difference on part of page user attends to (5 users)
- Better correlation when cursor moves and when there is lots of movement (23 users + reading instructions)

(Chen et al, 2011; Hauger et al, 2011)

- Search result page

- Correlate more along the y-axis than x-axis

Correlate more when cursor placed over search results


(32 users – 16 search tasks; 10 users and 20 search tasks)

(Rodden et al, 2008; Guo & Agichtein, 2010)

BUT

1. Search result page and result page
2. Some factor?

GAZE VS MOUSE - DISTANCE




GAZE VS CURSOR - FACTORS

(Huang et al, 2012)

- 38 users and 32 search tasks (navigational + informational)
- Age or gender does not seem to be a factor
- Task does not seem to be a factor (others found the opposite)
(using click entropy to classify a query)
- User individual behavior seem to matter more
- Gaze leads the cursor
- Stronger alignment when search result page loads
- Cursor behaviors: alignment increases

inactive < examining < reading < action < click
58.8% 31.9% 2.5% 5.7%



classification (heuristic-based) informed by watching replay of user interactions

CAN WE PREDICT GAZE?

better prediction when accounting for cursor behaviors and time in addition to cursor only




Figure 6. The cursor position (orange), the gaze position predicted by the linear regression model (purple), and the gaze position as determined by the eye-tracker (green) are drawn over the SERP presented to the subject following the query “rent a stretch limo hummer” from our study. The figure omits the right and left columns of the SERP.

CLICK VS CURSOR – HEATMAP

- Estimate search result relevance

(Bing - Microsoft employees – 366,473 queries; 21,936 unique cookies; 7,500,429 cursor move or click)

Click positions



the role of hovering?

Cursor movement positions



Figure 2. Heatmaps of all click positions (left) and recorded cursor positions (right) for the query *[lost finale explanation]*. Heavy interaction occurs in red/orange/yellow areas.

MOUSE MOVEMENT – WHAT CAN HOVERING TELL ABOUT RELEVANCE?

Table 3. Correlations between click and hover features and relevance judgments for queries with and without clicks.

Result clicks or no clicks	Feature source	Correlation with human relevance judgments
Clicks (N=1194)	Clickthrough rate (c)	0.42
	Hover rate (h)	0.46
	Unclicked hovers (u)	-0.26
	Max hover time (d)	-0.15
	Combined ¹	0.49
No clicks (N=96)	Hover rate	0.23
	Unclicked hovers	0.06
	Max hover time	0.17
	Combined ²	0.28

Clickthrough rate:
% of clicks when URL Shown (per query)

Hover rate:
% hover over URL (per query)

Unclicked hover:
Media time user hovers over URL but no click (per query)

Max hover time:
Maximum time user hover Over a result (per SERP)

MOUSE MOVEMENT – WHAT CAN HOVERING TELL ABOUT ABANDONMENT?

(Huang et al, 2011)

- Abandonment (an engagement metric in search) is when there is no click on the search result page
 - User is dissatisfied (bad abandonment)
 - User found result(s) on the search result page (good abandonment)
- 858 queries (21% good vs. 79% abandonment manually examined)
- Cursor trail length
 - Total distance (pixel) traveled by cursor on SERP
 - Shorter for good abandonment
- Movement time
 - Total time (second) cursor moved on SERP
 - Slower when answers in snippet (good abandonment)
- Cursor speed
 - Average cursor speed (pixel/second)
 - Slower when answers in snippet (good abandonment)

RELEVANCE & CURSOR

... we recall that in search

- **Clickthrough rate (CTR) – in a search result**
 - Ranking bias
 - Various way to deal with it such as “interleaving”
 - Presentation bias
 - Perceived relevance from reading the snippet



- **Dwell time – on landing page (post search result)**
 - Although a good indicator of user interest/relevance, not reliable on its own
 - Time spent reading a document (result) has shown to improve search quality
 - Short dwell time a good indication of non-relevance
 - BUT
 - Interpreting long dwell-time not so straight-forward
(user spends a long time localising the relevant part in long document!)

RELEVANCE & CURSOR



(a) relevant (dwell time: 30s)



(b) non-relevant (dwell time: 30s)

“reading” cursor heatmap of relevant document vs “scanning” cursor heatmap of non-relevant document (both dwell time of 30s)

RELEVANCE & CURSOR



(a) relevant (dwell time: 70s)



(b) non-relevant (dwell time: 80s)

“reading” a relevant long document vs “scanning” a long non-relevant document


WHAT WORKS? – PREDICTING RELEVANCE

... learning a model with:

- **Dwell time**
- **Cursor movement**
 - number, total distance traveled (and x- and y-axis), speed (-), maximal coordinate
- **Scroll**
 - frequency (-) and speed (-)
- **Predefined areas of interest (AOI)**
 - Where main content lies
- **Actual rank way less informative**

in combination even better

FACIAL EXPRESSION AND SEARCH




16 subjects, facial expressions recorded while performing search tasks of various levels of difficulty.

learned model (based on support vector machine) shows that facial expressions provide good cues on topical relevance.

Potential application: personalised relevance feedback based on implicit cues.

FACEBOOK AND EMOTIONAL ENGAGEMENT (FLOW)



relaxation (3mn, panorama pictures) → Facebook (3mn, free navigation) → stress (4mn, arithmetic tasks)

30 students



Lang model of emotions

SC = skin conductance

EMG = electromagnetic activity




FIG. 9. The averaged data from all subjects for each epoch (relaxation, Facebook use, and stress) are plotted distributing the SC values along the Arousal axe (Y axe), and the EMG of CS along the Valence axe (X axe).

OUTLINE

- **Introduction and Scope**
- **Part I - Foundations**
 1. Approaches based on self-report measures
 2. Approaches based on web analytics
 3. Approaches based on physiological measures
- **Part II – Advanced Aspects**
 1. Measuring user engagement in mobile information searching
 2. Networked user engagement
 3. Combining different approaches
- **Conclusions**
- **Bibliography**





MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT

22nd International World Wide Web Conference

Windsor Barra Hotel • 13th-17th, May

PART 2: ADVANCED ASPECTS

MOBILE INFORMATION SEEKING

MOBILE USER ENGAGEMENT

- Mobile devices are changing the ways in which we are learning, working, and communicating.
- The role of device has not been considered in (published) studies of user engagement.
- However ... related work has been done in the UX literature.



DIARY STUDIES

1. Komaki et al, 2012

- Context heavily influenced search behavior

2. Nylander et al, 2009

- General preference for using mobile, even when an alternative was available (51% of instances)
- Mobile use influenced by: technical ease and functionality, and convenience, laziness, and integration with social life and daily activities

3. Church & Smythe, 2009; Church & Oliver, 2011

- Emphasized location and time as key factors in mobile use

FIELD STUDIES


- **Oulasvirta et al, 2005**
 - Attention shifting between the mobile device and the external environment
- **Gökera & Myrhaugb, 2008**
 - Context closely tied to perceived relevance and value of information
- **Battarbee & Koskinen, 2005**
 - Emotional response of information sharing and communication with friends in everyday life

BUILDING A MODEL OF ENGAGEMENT BASED ON UX LITERATURE

- User experience (UX) literature suggests that:
 - Users must focus attention on the mobile task *and* the external environment (Oulasvirta et al., 2005).
 - 63% of mobile searches were social in nature (Teevan et al. 2011).
 - Mobile devices with constant connectivity are often ‘habit-forming’ (Oulasvirta et al., 2012)
 - Time motivates mobile phone use (Tojib & Tsarenko, 2012).

Therefore ...

MOBILE USER ENGAGEMENT



STUDYING MOBILE USER ENGAGEMENT (IN PROGRESS)

While conversing about their carbon footprints, Mary and John could not decide which of their cars are more energy-efficient

Point of Engagement



Upon returning home, John decides to search more about hybrid cars on his computer

Re-engagement


Period of Sustained Engagement

"Let's look it up!"

Disengagement

"We're late for class. Better go!"

MOBILE USER ENGAGEMENT: EXPLORATORY STUDY METHODS



ENGAGEMENT WITH MOBILE APPS

- **Focused on branded mobile apps, interactive marketing tools**
- **Methodology: identification and analysis of branded apps**
 - 2010 Interbrand Top 100 Global Brands + iTunes app store
 - Analysis of *features* and *content* on the branded app according to: vividness, novelty, motivation, control, customization, feedback, and multiplatforming
 - Distinguished product and service branded apps
- **Almost all apps incorporated at least one of the seven engagement attributes:**
 - control (97.2%), customization (85.8%), vividness (78.3%: entire app, 86.8%: entry page), multiplatforming (70.8%), motivation (62.3%), feedback (55.7%), and novelty (11.3%).

(Kim, Lin & Sung, 2013)



MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT

22nd International World Wide Web Conference

Windsor Barra Hotel • 13th-17th, May

PART 2: ADVANCED ASPECTS

NETWORKED USER ENGAGEMENT

DOWNSTREAM ENGAGEMENT

or website
NO MAN IS AN ISLAND, ENTIRE OF ITSELF

- **Basic premises:**

- The success of a web site depends not only on itself, but also on its environment.
- This is particularly relevant for companies running networks of properties or services


USER BEHAVIOR WITHIN A NETWORK OF SITES



NETWORKED USER ENGAGEMENT: ENGAGEMENT ACROSS A NETWORK OF SITES


- Large online providers (AOL, Google, Yahoo!, etc.) offer not one service (site), but a network of sites
- Each service is usually optimized individually, with some effort to direct users between them
- Success of a service depends on itself, but also on how it is reached from other services (**user traffic**)
- Users switch between sites within an online session, several sites are visited and the same site is visited several times (**online multi-tasking**)

MEASURING DOWNSTREAM ENGAGEMENT




DOWNSTREAM ENGAGEMENT

- Varies significantly across sites
- Exhibits different distributions according to site type
- Is not highly correlated with other engagement measures such as dwell time
- Optimizing downstream engagement will have little effect on user engagement within that site



DISTRIBUTION OF DOWNSTREAM ENGAGEMENT SCORES


- Downstream engagement is not highly correlated with intra-site measures of engagement such as dwell time ($\rho = -0.05$, $p < 10^{-5}$).
- Downstream engagement is negatively correlated with inter-session measures such as revisits ($\rho = -0.26$, $p < 10^{-5}$).



(19.4M sessions, 265,000 users, 50 sites)


CLUSTERED DISTRIBUTION OF DOWNSTREAM ENGAGEMENT SCORES

There are different modes of downstream engagement according to site type. There are no obvious characteristics of websites that would indication their downstream distribution.



(19.4M sessions, 265,000 users, 50 sites)

DISTRIBUTION OF DOWNSTREAM ENGAGEMENT TO A LIST OF YAHOO! WEBSITES



Varies across and within websites (19.4M sessions, 265,000 users, 50 sites) ¹³²

INFLUENTIAL FEATURES

general
structure
layout

- Time of day
- Number of (non-image/non-video) links to Yahoo! sites in HTML body
- Average rank of Yahoo! links on page
- Number of (non-image/non-video) links to non-Yahoo! sites in HTML body
- Number of span tags (tags that allow adding style to content or manipulating content, e.g. JavaScript)

- **Link placements and number of Yahoo! links can influence downstream engagement**
 - Not new, but here shown to hold also across sites
- **Links to non-Yahoo! sites have a positive effect on downstream engagement**
 - Possibly because when users are faced with abundance of outside links they decide to focus their attention on a central content provider, rather than visiting multitude of external sites

NETWORKED USER ENGAGEMENT

- **Using downstream engagement to study the network effect on Yahoo! sites shows:**
 - Downstream engagement
 - Varies significantly across sites
 - Exhibits different distributions according to site type
 - Can be modified through changes in page stylistics
 - Certain user sessions are more amenable to downstream engagement optimization, so personalization is important
- **Links offer powerful means to influence sites within and across sites**
- **Next:**
 - Can we quantify the network effect?

MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT



22nd International World Wide Web Conference

Windsor Barra Hotel • 13th-17th, May

PART 2: ADVANCED ASPECTS


COMBINATIONS OF APPROACHES

MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT – WE RECALL

	Measures	Characteristics
Self-reported engagement	Questionnaire, interview, report, product reaction cards, think-aloud	Subjective Short- and long-term Lab and field Small-scale Product outcome
Cognitive engagement	Task-based methods (time spent, follow-on task) Neurological measures (e.g. EEG) Physiological measures (e.g. eye tracking, mouse-tracking)	Objective Short-term Lab and field Small-scale and large-scale Process outcome
Interaction engagement	Web analytics + “data science” <i>metrics + models</i>	Objective Short- and long-term Field Large-scale Process

COMBINATION OF APPROACHES

SEVERAL STUDIES



STUDY I: GAZE AND SELF-REPORTING

- News + comments
- Sentiment, interest
- 57 users (lab-based)
- Reading task (114)
- Questionnaire (qualitative data)
- Record mouse tracking, eye tracking, facial expression, EEG signal (quantitative data)

Daughter's Death Is Revealed On Facebook

sky NEWS Sky News – 19 hours ago

Email Recommend 235 Tweet 24 Print

View Photo

Daughter's Death Is Revealed On Facebook

A mother has lodged an official complaint with police after finding out from Facebook that her daughter had died.

Karla James, 30, collapsed and died at a flat in Tredegar, south Wales on July 23.

But before police called to break the news, her mother Cheryl Jones, 49, saw the Facebook tribute "RIP Karla", posted by her daughter's friends.

Mrs Jones said her nephew rang her to say he had read on the social networking site that her daughter had died.

"I was in a terrible state," she said. "I kept asking my nephew what had happened but he didn't want to tell me. I suppose he wanted to save my feelings in case it wasn't true."

She said that she then called her daughter's mobile phone only to be told by a police officer that someone would be round shortly.

Mrs Jones accepts her daughter, once an aspiring model, had taken drugs including heroin after getting mixed up with the "wrong circles".

The cause of her death remains unknown and an inquest will be held.

With a surname like that.... Amish hair attackers guilty

Blowfish silence, dead-fish brain science - winners of

£4.3m gold haul found at dead loner's Nevada home

Psychos have poor sense of smell - study

Sale on virgin atlantic

Three metrics: gaze, focus attention and positive affect

INTERESTING CONTENT PROMOTE USERS ENGAGEMENT METRICS

- **All three metrics:**
 - focus attention, positive affect & gaze
- **What is the right trade-off?**
 - news is news 😊
- **Can we predict?**
 - provider, editor, writer, category, genre, visual aids, ..., **sentimentality**, ...
- **Role of user-generated content (comments)**
 - As measure of engagement?
 - **To promote engagement?**



LOTS OF SENTIMENTS BUT WITH NEGATIVE CONNOTATIONS!

- Positive effect (and interest, enjoyment and wanted to know more) correlates
 - Positively (\uparrow) with sentimentality (lots of emotions)
 - Negatively (\downarrow) with positive polarity (happy news)

SentiStrength (from -5 to 5 per word)

sentimentality: sum of absolute values (amount of sentiments)

polarity: sum of values (direction of the sentiments: positive vs negative)

(Thelwall, Buckley & Paltoglou, 2012)

EFFECT OF COMMENTS ON USER ENGAGEMENT


- **6 ranking of comments:**

- most replied, most popular, newest
- sentimentality high, sentimentality low
- polarity plus, polarity minus

- **Longer gaze on**

- newest and most popular for interesting news
- most replied and high sentimentality for non-interesting news

- **Can we leverage this to prolong user attention?**



GAZE, SENTIMENTALITY, INTEREST

- Interesting and “attractive” content!
- Sentiment as a **proxy** of focus attention, positive affect and gaze?
- Next
 - Larger-scale study
 - Other domains (beyond daily news!)
 - Role of social signals (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
 - Lots more data: mouse tracking, EEG, facial expression

STUDY II: MOUSE TRACKING AND SELF-REPORTING

- **324 users from Amazon Mechanical Turk** (between subject design)
- Two domains (BBC News and Wikipedia)
- Two tasks (reading and search)
- “Normal vs Ugly” interface
- **Questionnaires (qualitative data)**
 - focus attention, positive effect, novelty,
 - interest, usability, aesthetics
 - + demographics, handeness & hardware
- **Mouse tracking (quantitative data)**
 - movement speed, movement rate, click rate, pause length, percentage of time still

“Ugly” vs “Normal” Interface (BBC News)

The image shows two side-by-side screenshots of BBC News websites. The left screenshot, titled "Ugly", has a dark blue header with a navigation bar for News, Sport, Weather, Travel, Future, TV, Radio, More, and Search. It features a banner for "YO parts" and a large central box with the text "Want to play Now?". Below this is a news article by Richard Black about a UN report on environmental decline. The right screenshot, titled "Normal", has a white header with a similar navigation bar. It features a banner for "NEWS SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENT". Below this is the same news article by Richard Black. The "Normal" version includes a sidebar with "Top Stories" and "Features & Analysis" sections, while the "Ugly" version does not.

BBC News | Sport | Weather | Travel | Future | TV | Radio | More | Search

SCIENCE & ENVIRONMENT

Want to play Now?

6 June 2012 Last updated at 13:33 GMT

Green decline continuing, says UN report

By Richard Black
Environment correspondent, BBC News

With forests and fish stocks declining, water demand rising and lack of action on climate change, humanity's path is anything but sustainable, the UN warns.

The Global Environmental Outlook fifth edition (GEO-5) says that significant progress is being made on only four out of 90 environmental goals.

The UN Environment Programme (Unep) concludes that global treaties with specific targets can be effective.

It urges leaders to agree tough goals at this month's Rio+20 summit.

Negotiations leading up to the summit appear mired in problems, with governments failing to find agreement since January on issues such as eliminating subsidies on fossil fuels, regulating fishing on the high seas and obliging corporations to measure their environmental footprint.

"GEO-5 reminds world leaders and nations meeting at Rio+20 why a decisive and defining transition to awards a low-carbon, resource-efficient, job-generating 'green economy' is urgently needed," said Achim Steiner, Unep's executive director.

"If current trends continue, if current patterns of production and consumption of natural resources prevail and cannot be reversed, then governments will preside over unprecedented levels of damage and degradation."

Features & Analysis

Mabo's legacy
How one man changed Au history

Question of fairness
Has skin whitening in India gone too far?

Climate change threatens to make life worse in areas such as sub-Saharan Africa

Top Stories

Spain rejects ballooning speculation

US defends Pakistan drone strikes

Author Ray Bradbury dies, aged 91

Homes boost for W Bank settlement NEW

LinkedIn passwords 'are leaked'

ROUND HOUSE THEATRE
BETHESDA & SILVER SPRING
240.544.1100 or
roundhousetheatre.org

Related Stories

Stockholm: Birth of the green generation

The joylessness of shopping

Nations need food security goals

Most Popular

Shared **Read** **Video/Audio**

New EU plan to stop banks failing

Trillions of net names enabled

Venus makes rare trek across Sun

Ancient Greek solution for debt crisis

More body parts found in Canada

“Ugly” vs “Normal” (Wikipedia)

Tim Berners-Lee

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Sir Timothy John "Tim" Berners-Lee, OM, KBE, FRS, FREng, FRSA (born 8 June 1955),^[1] also known as "TimBL", is a British computer scientist and the inventor of the World Wide Web. He wrote a proposal for an information management system in March 1989,^[2] and on 25 December 1990, with the help of Robert Cailliau and a young student at CERN, he implemented the first successful communication between a Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) client and server via the Internet.^[3]

Berners-Lee is the director of the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), which oversees the Web's continued development. He is also the founder of the World Wide Web Foundation, and is a senior researcher and holder of the Founders Chair at the MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (CSAIL).^[4] He is a director of the Web Science Research Initiative (WSRI),^[5] and a member of the advisory board of the MIT Center for Collective Intelligence.^[6]

In 2004, Berners-Lee was knighted by Queen Elizabeth II for his pioneering work.^[7] In April 2009, he was elected a foreign associate of the United States National Academy of Sciences.^{[8][9]} He was honoured as the "Inventor of the World Wide Web" during the 2012 Summer Olympics opening ceremony, in which he appeared in person, working at a NetT Computer at the London Olympic Stadium.^[10] He invited "This is for everyone",^[11] which was instantly spelled out in 100 lights attached to the chairs of the 80,000 people in the audience.^[12]

Contents [edit]

- 1 Early life
- 2 Career
- 3 Current work
- 4 Recognition
- 5 See also
- 6 Publications
- 7 Notes
- 8 Further reading
- 9 External links

Designing I/O control to serve all of your needs

I/O and Control

Early life

Berners-Lee was born in southwest London, England, on 8 June 1955, one of four children born to Conway Berners-Lee and Mary Lee Woods. His parents worked on the first commercially-built computer, the Ferranti Mark 1. He

Tim Berners-Lee

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Sir Timothy John "Tim" Berners-Lee, OM, KBE, FRS, FREng, FRSA (born 8 June 1955),^[1] also known as "TimBL", is a British computer scientist and the inventor of the World Wide Web. He made a proposal for an information management system in March 1989,^[2] and on 25 December 1990, with the help of Robert Cailliau and a young student at CERN, he implemented the first successful communication between a Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) client and server via the Internet.^[3]

Berners-Lee is the director of the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), which oversees the Web's continued development. He is also the founder of the World Wide Web Foundation, and is a senior researcher and holder of the Founders Chair at the MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (CSAIL).^[4] He is a director of the Web Science Research Initiative (WSRI),^[5] and a member of the advisory board of the MIT Center for Collective Intelligence.^[6]

In 2004, Berners-Lee was knighted by Queen Elizabeth II for his pioneering work.^[7] In April 2009, he was elected a foreign associate of the United States National Academy of Sciences.^{[8][9]} He was honoured as the "Inventor of the World Wide Web" during the 2012 Summer Olympics opening ceremony, in which he appeared in person, working at a NetT Computer at the London Olympic Stadium.^[10] He invited "This is for everyone",^[11] which was instantly spelled out in 100 lights attached to the chairs of the 80,000 people in the audience.^[12]

Contents [edit]

- 1 Early life
- 2 Career
- 3 Current work
- 4 Recognition
- 5 See also
- 6 Publications
- 7 Notes
- 8 Further reading
- 9 External links

Early life


Berners-Lee was born in southwest London, England, on 8 June 1955, one of four children born to Conway Berners-Lee and Mary Lee Woods. His parents worked on the first commercially-built computer, the Ferranti Mark 1. He attended Eton Mount Primary School, and then went on to attend south west London's independent Finemere School from 1969 to 1973.^[13] A keen teenager as a child, he learnt about electronics from tinkering with a model railway.^[14] He studied at Queen's College, Oxford, from 1973 to 1976, where he received a first-class degree in physics.^[15]

Career

After graduation he worked at the telecommunications company Plessey in Poole and in 1978 joined D. G. Nash in

MOUSE TRACKING CAN TELL ABOUT

- Age
- Hardware
 - Mouse
 - Trackpad
- Task
 - Searching: *There are many different types of phobia. What is Gephyrophobia a fear of?*
 - Reading: (Wikipedia) Archimedes, Section 1: *Biography*



MOUSE TRACKING COULD NOT TELL MUCH ON

- focused attention and positive affect
- user interests in the task/topic
- **BUT BUT BUT BUT**
 - “ugly” variant did not result in lower aesthetics scores
 - although BBC > Wikipedia
- **BUT – the comments left ...**
 - Wikipedia: “*The website was simply awful. Ads flashing everywhere, poor text colors on a dark blue background.*”; “*The webpage was entirely blue. I don't know if it was supposed to be like that, but it definitely detracted from the browsing experience.*”
 - BBC News: “*The website's layout and color scheme were a bitch to navigate and read.*”; “*Comic sans is a horrible font.*”

MOUSE TRACKING AND USER ENGAGEMENT

- Task and hardware
- Do we have a Hawthorne Effect???
- “Usability” vs engagement
 - “Even uglier” interface?
- Within- vs between-subject design?

- What next?
 - Sequence of movements
 - Automatic clustering

(Warnock & Lalmas, 2013)

STUDY III: SELF-REPORT AND BEHAVIOURAL DATA

- **Information Visualization System**
 - McGill Library Catalogue: Engineering Subject Area
 - Version 1: visualization
 - Version 2: visualization + audio
- **Participatory Design Study**
- **Experiment**
 - $n=24$ engineering students
 - Tasks: six information retrieval and hierarchical navigation tasks
 - Data collected: self-report and performance metrics

FINDINGS

- No difference in performance accuracy or time on task
- Aesthetics and Perceived Usability was higher for the audio-visual system.
- Perceived ease of use was also rated higher for the audio-visual system.
- Open-ended comments offered insights into participants' perceptions and interactions.

STUDY IV: ONLINE NEWS INTERACTIONS

(O'Brien & Lebow, in press)

The screenshot shows the homepage of CBC News. At the top, there's a weather widget for Vancouver (8°C sunny) and a promotional banner for the "Play On! Street Hockey Tournament" in Kelowna, May 25-26 at Prospera Place, with a call to action to "REGISTER TODAY TO PLAY!". Below the banner is a navigation bar with links for News, Sports, Music, Radio, TV, My Region, More, Watch, Listen, Search, Sign Up, and Log In.

The main headline is "LIVE Canada can't account for \$3.1B in anti-terror funding, AG finds". Below the headline is a large photo of Michael Ferguson, Auditor General of Canada. A caption under the photo states: "The Canadian government isn't monitoring whether billions spent on anti-terrorism measures are meeting the desired objectives, and can't account for \$3.1 billion. Auditor General Michael Ferguson says in his spring report released today." There are also links to other news items: "Feds can't account for \$3.1B in anti-terrorism cash: AG" and "Police dismantle Canada's largest known bootleg DVD operation".

On the right side, there's a sidebar titled "IN THE NEWS" with links to "Tax season", "Boston bombings", "Rate My Hospital", and "B.C. votes". Below that is an advertisement for Canadian Western Bank with the slogan "WE SEE THINGS THE SAME WAY YOU DO." and "CLICK FOR A SHARED PERSPECTIVE.". At the bottom, there's a "Stay Connected with CBC News" section featuring icons for Mobile, Facebook, Podcasts, Twitter, Alerts, and Newsletter, and a "Must Watch" section with thumbnail images.

<http://www.cbc.ca/news/>

SELF-REPORT, BEHAVIOR AND PHYSIOLOGICAL DATA: MEASURES

- **Pre-task questionnaire**
 - Demographics + news behaviours
- **Interaction with website**
 - Performance: Time on task, reading time, browsing time, number of pages visited within site, whether participants clicked on links to recommended content
 - Physiological: heart rate (HR), electrodermal activity (EDA), electromyogram (EMG) [subset of participants]
- **Post-session questionnaire**
 - User Engagement Scale (UES) (O'Brien & Toms, 2010)
 - Cognitive Absorption Scale (CAS) (Argawal & Karahanna, 2000)
 - System Usability Scale (SUS) (Brooks, 1997)
- **Think-After Interview**
 - Questions about the items selected for the task
 - Questions about overall experience

SELF-REPORT, BEHAVIOR AND PHYSIOLOGICAL DATA: RESULTS

○ Self-report UES, CAS and SUS

- Positive correlations support criterion validity of the measures
- Designation of “low,” “medium” and “high” scores for each group based on median
- All questionnaires were positively correlated with aggregate interest in the articles

○ UES and Physiological Data

	HR	EDA	EMG
UES	-0.38	-0.25	-0.21

SELF-REPORT, BEHAVIOR AND PHYSIOLOGICAL DATA: RESULTS

○ UES and Behavioural Data

	High M(SD)	Medium M(SD)	Low M(SD)	Kruskal Wallis (χ^2)	p
Reading time	6:03 (2:34)	6:05 (1:56)	6:56 (3:29)	1.15	0.56
Browsing time	4:03 (2:29)	5:17 (3:49)	7:29 (4:09)	3.98	0.13
Total time	10:07 (3:37)	11:23 (5:10)	14:26 (5:02)	5.09	0.07
# pages visited	9.5 (5.0)	10.3 (3.6)	16.3 (8.4)	3.89	0.14

- Use of Links
 - UES scores were not significantly different between those who clicked on links ($M=3.8$, $SD=0.95$) and those who did not ($M=4.29$, $SD=0.52$)
 - $U(1)=51.5$, $p=0.15$

THINK-AFTER INTERVIEW

- **Did participants' experiences with online news fit the process model of user engagement (O'Brien & Toms, 2008)?**
- **What attributes of user engagement were significant to participants in the online news environment?**
 - Novelty, affect, usability, personal interest and relevance
- **Evidence of two types of engagement (O'Brien, 2011)**
 - Content engagement
 - Interface engagement

OUTLINE

- **Introduction and Scope**
- **Part I - Foundations**
 1. Approaches based on self-report measures
 2. Approaches based on web analytics
 3. Approaches based on physiological measures
- **Part II – Advanced Aspects**
 1. Measuring user engagement in mobile information searching
 2. Networked user engagement
 3. Combining different approaches
- **Conclusions**
- **Bibliography**



MEASURING USER ENGAGEMENT



22nd International World Wide Web Conference

Windsor Barra Hotel • 13th-17th, May

CONCLUSIONS

OPEN RESEARCH QUESTIONS

... IN NO PARTICULAR ORDER

- Be careful of the WEIRD syndrome (Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and Democratic)
- A great deal of emphasis on users and systems, but less evidence about the role of task, device, and context on user engagement.
- We tend to focus on characteristics of users *in the moment* of interaction. But are their individual differences that may predict the level of engagement that can be achieved?

CONCLUSIONS

- We covered a range of self-report, performance and physiological metrics.
- We focused on different characteristics of measures, including short- vs. long-term; subjective vs. objective; process- vs. product-based, small- vs. large-scale; and lab vs. field.

Take-Aways

- No one measure is perfect or complete.
- All studies have different constraints.
- More details on methods used in published literature will enhance communication around UE measures, which will advance study of UE.
- Need to ensure methods are applied *consistently* with attention to reliability.
- More emphasis should be placed on using mixed methods to improve the validity of the measures.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Dr. Lalmas work in collaboration with Ioannis Arapakis, Ricardo Baeza-Yates, Berkant Cambazoglu, Georges Dupret, Janette Lehmann and others at Yahoo! Labs.

- Dr. O'Brien's work is supported by the Social Science and Humanities Research Council (SSHRC) of Canada and the Networks of Centres of Excellence Graphics, Animation and New Media (NCE GRAND) Project (<http://www.grand-nce.ca/>).