

# Discussion 8

Mengxiang Jiang  
CSEN 5303 Foundations of Computer Science

October 24, 2022

**Problem statement.** Consider the statement:

“There is a person  $x$  who is a student in CSEN 5303 and has visited Mexico”.

Explain why the answer cannot be  $\exists x(S(x) \rightarrow M(x))$ .

$S(x)$  :  $x$  is a student in CSEN 5303.

$M(x)$  :  $x$  has visited Mexico.

“There is a person  $x$  who is a student in CSEN 5303 and has visited Mexico”:  $\exists x(S(x) \wedge M(x))$

| $S(x)$ | $M(x)$ | $S(x) \wedge M(x)$ | $S(x) \rightarrow M(x)$ |
|--------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| T      | T      | T                  | T                       |
| T      | F      | F                  | F                       |
| F      | T      | F                  | T                       |
| F      | F      | F                  | T                       |

Since the truth table values are different, the two statements are not equivalent.

Note:  $\exists x(S(x) \rightarrow M(x))$  is known as the Drinker’s Paradox, and is true in two cases. Case one: there is a CSEN 5303 student who visited Mexico, which intuitively makes sense. Case two: there is a person who is not a CSEN 5303 student (regardless of whether this person visited Mexico or not). This second case is highly unintuitive gives the paradox its name.