$$K_{kj}^{c} = K_{c}(x_{i}, x_{j}) = \langle \varphi_{c}(x_{i}), \varphi_{c}(x_{j}) \rangle$$

$$\Phi_{c}(x) = \Phi(x) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi(x_{i})$$

$$\therefore = \langle \varphi_{c}(x_{i}) \varphi_{c}(x_{j}) \rangle = \left[\varphi(x_{i}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right]^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right)^{T} \left[\varphi(x_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right] - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j}) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi(x_{j})$$

$$= K_{ij} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \Phi^{T}(x_{p}) \cdot \Phi(x_{d}) \cdot - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \Phi^{T}(x_{i}) \cdot \Phi(x_{q}) \cdot + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \Phi^{T}(x_{p}) \cdot \Phi(x_{q}) \cdot - \frac{3}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} K_{pq} \cdot - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} K_{iq} + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} K_{pq} \cdot - \frac{4}{N}$$

$$= K_{ij} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} K_{pj} \cdot - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} K_{iq} + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} K_{pq} \cdot - \frac{4}{N}$$

Here, in \mathbb{E}_{q3} , $\frac{1}{N} \geq \Phi(x_p) \cdot \Phi(x_j)$, for each value of p. $\Phi(x_p)$ is a vector, of which, we find a dot product with $\Phi(x_j)$, if we have only $1^n \times p^n$ then we have $\Phi(x_p) \cdot \Phi(x_j) = K_{pq}$, but we have $p \in \{1, ..., N\}$ which we are averaging over that's why we have $\mathbb{E}_{pq} = \mathbb{E}_{pq}$.

1 (b)

b. $K_c(x_i, t_i) = \langle \Phi_c(x_i), \Phi_c(t_i) \rangle$ We need to contin the data with the braining dataset.

$$= \left(\Phi_{\bullet}(xi) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Phi(x_j) \right)^{T} \left(\Phi_{\bullet}(e_j) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \Phi(x_q) \right)$$

$$= \left[\Phi^{T}(xi) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \Phi^{T}(x_p) \right] \left[\Phi(e_j) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \Phi(x_q) \right]$$

$$= \phi^{T}(x_{i}) \phi(t_{i}) - \frac{1}{N} \phi^{T}(x_{i}) \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \phi^{T}(x_{\nu}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\rho=1}^{N} \phi^{T}(x_{\rho}) \cdot \phi(t_{i})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \phi^{T}(x_{\rho}) \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \phi(x_{\nu}).$$

$$= K(x_{i}, t_{j}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \phi^{T}(x_{i}) \phi(x_{q}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \phi^{T}(x_{p}) \cdot \phi(t_{j}) + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \phi^{T}(x_{p}) \cdot \phi(t_{j})$$

$$= K(x_i, t_j) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} K_{iq} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N} K(x_p, t_j) + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \frac{N}{q=1} K_{pq}.$$
from (train, test) There forms from the Kernel.
$$(\text{Train}, \text{Train}) \qquad \text{Test} \text{ Kernel}. \qquad (\text{Train}, \text{Train}) \text{ Kernel}.$$

(b) Derivation of dual problem

Expressing the primal problem in the saw nical form

min
$$\frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|^2 + \frac{c}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{i}^2$$
.

NOTE: here "b" is included as a cohumn of constants in the vector 'x'

let us define a lagrange variable 2 and derive the Lagrangian due

i.e. min.
$$\frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|^2 + \frac{c}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{i}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i (1 - \gamma_i (\omega^T \times i) - \mathcal{E}_{i}) \cdot \forall i=1...m$$
.

$$= L(\omega, \mathcal{E}_{i}, \lambda) \qquad \text{s.t.} \quad \lambda_i > 0.$$

·Finding the minimal of the lagrangian by finding the derivative 20. The the primal variables and setting the derivative to zero.

$$\nabla_{\omega} L(\omega, \xi, \lambda) = \omega - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i y_i \times i = 0$$

$$\therefore \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda \forall i \times i \quad \underline{\qquad} \mathbf{2}$$

$$\nabla_{\xi_i} L(\omega, \xi_i, \lambda) = C\xi_i - \lambda_i = 0$$
 . $\xi_{ii} = \frac{\lambda_i}{C} - 3$

or $c = \frac{\lambda_i}{\xi_i}$

Substituting 2 and 8 in 1 in we get:

$$\frac{1}{2} \| \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i y_i \times i \|^2 + \frac{y_i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Xi_i} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i (1-y_i (\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j y_j \times_j)^T \times i - \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^2 + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_i (1-y_i (\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j y_j \times_j)^T \times i - \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^2 + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_i (1-y_i (\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j y_j \times_j)^T \times i - \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^2 + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_i (1-y_i (\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j y_j \times_j)^T \times i - \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^2 + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_i (1-y_i (\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j y_j \times_j)^T \times i - \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^2 + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_i (1-y_i (\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j y_j \times_j)^T \times i - \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^2 + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_i (1-y_i (\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j y_j \times_j)^T \times i - \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^2 + \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^2 + \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m} \times \underbrace{\xi_i}_{i=1}^{m}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_i \lambda_j Y_i Y_j \times_i^T \times_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda_i \mathcal{E}_{si}}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \mathcal{E}_{si}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda_{i} \mathcal{E}_{i}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} \mathcal{E}_{i} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_$$

$$= \max_{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \left(1 - \underbrace{\epsilon_{i}}_{2}\right) - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} k(x_{i}, x_{j})}_{j=1} \right)$$
 (The dual problem)

2 (a)

a) By definition, the constraint C>O controls the balance beliveen maximizing the margin & amount of stack needed. also hi >0 : from Eq3.

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $\xi_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{c}$

So, this constraint holds implicitly. \Rightarrow $\lambda i > 0$. $\epsilon > 0$ Hence, its not needed to be included among the constraints in the original among the constraints in the original optimization problem.

2 (c)

C). In this problem, let & be the dual variable, the complementary αί [y(ω[xi)-1 + ξi] = 0 for i=1...m. slocknus conditions are what is the value (or range) of the margin yi (whith) for xi>0 and di = 0.

at the optimal condition (w). It complementary stackness condition holds

Yi (ω*Txi) = 1 - εθί

Yi (w* xi) - 1 + Esi < 0 when **≪**i = 0 their

Yi (ω* xi) < 1 - Ei