

logic.

* Definition: Statement (proposition).

The declarative statement which is either true or false is called proposition.

Ex(i) $2+2=5 \rightarrow$ Proposition.

(ii) Open the door - not a proposition.

Connectives

→ conjunction.

When P and q are any two statements

The proposition P and q denoted by $P \wedge q$ is called conjunction of P and q

Truth Table:

P	q	$P \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

→ Disjunction:

When P and q are two statements the proposition P or q denoted by $P \vee q$

is called disjunction of P and q

Truth Table : (P ∨ q)

P	q	$P \vee q$
T	F	T
T	T	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

→ Negation :

The negation of a proposition P
is a ~~to~~-ve statement of P.

P	Negation
T	F
F	T

Conditional Proposition.

"When P and q are two statements.
If P then q" denoted by $P \rightarrow q$
is called Conditional proposition

Truth Table .

P	q	$P \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Bi-conditional Operation

"P if and only if q" denoted by $P \leftrightarrow q$

Truth Table

P	q	$P \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Algebra of Propositions :

(i) Idempotent law.

$$(a) P \wedge q \equiv P$$

$$(b) P \vee q \equiv P$$

(ii) Identity law.

$$(a) P \vee F = P$$

$$(b) P \wedge T = P$$

(iii) Dominant law.

$$P \vee T = T$$

$$P \wedge F = F$$

- Commutative law

$$(i) P \vee q \equiv q \vee P$$

$$(ii) P \wedge q \equiv q \wedge P$$

- Associative law

$$(i) (P \vee q) \vee r \equiv P \vee (q \vee r)$$

$$(ii) (P \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv P \wedge (q \wedge r)$$

- Distributive law

$$(i) P \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (P \vee q) \wedge (P \vee r)$$

$$(ii) P \wedge (q \vee r) = (P \wedge q) \vee (P \wedge r)$$

- De Morgan's law

$$(i) \neg(P \vee q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg q$$

$$(ii) \neg(P \wedge q) \equiv \neg P \vee \neg q$$

- Equivalences involving conditionals

$$(i) P \rightarrow q \equiv \neg P \vee q$$

$$(ii) P \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg P$$

$$(iii) (P \rightarrow q) \wedge (P \rightarrow r) \equiv P \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$$

$$(i) (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \rightarrow R$$

• Implication formula

$$(i) P \wedge Q \Rightarrow P \vee Q$$

$$(ii) P \rightarrow P \vee Q$$

$$(iii) P \rightarrow Q, P \Rightarrow Q$$

Ques. ① Construct truth table for:

$$D) (P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow R)$$

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow R$	$Q \vee R$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T

Tautology

If it is true for every truth value of P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n then called tautology.

A compound proposition $(P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n)$ is called contradiction. If it is false for every truth values of P_1, P_2 etc.

$P \vee \neg P$ is a tautology

$P \wedge \neg P$ is contradiction.

Q. Determine which of the following compound propositions are tautologies and which of them are contradictions.

$$(1) (\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$$

P	q	$\neg q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg q \wedge p$	$\neg p$	$(\neg q \wedge p) \rightarrow \neg p$
T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	T

Tautology

$$(ii) [(P \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (P \rightarrow r)$$

P	q	r	(a) $P \rightarrow q$	(b) $q \rightarrow r$	(c) $q \wedge r$	(d) $P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

$$(iii) [(P \vee q) \wedge (P \rightarrow r) \wedge (P \rightarrow s)] \rightarrow r$$

P	q	r	$P \vee q$	(a) $P \rightarrow r$	(b) $(q \wedge r)$	(c) $(q \wedge r) \wedge s$	(d) $\neg r$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	F	F	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	F	F	T	F	F	T

Ques Show that

$$(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$$

is a tautology without using truth-table.

$$[\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow \neg p$$

$$\Rightarrow \neg [\neg q \wedge (\neg p \vee q)] \vee \neg p$$

$$\Rightarrow [\neg q \vee (p \wedge \neg q)] \vee \neg p$$

$$\Rightarrow [(\neg q \vee p) \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg q)] \vee \neg p$$

$$\Rightarrow [(\neg q \vee p) \wedge \top] \vee \neg p$$

$$\Rightarrow (\neg q \vee p) \vee \neg p$$

$$\Rightarrow q \vee (p \vee \neg p)$$

$$\Rightarrow q \vee \top$$

$$\Rightarrow \top$$

Ques Prove that

$$(q \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

is a tautology

$$(q \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

$$\Rightarrow \neg(\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \vee (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

$$\Rightarrow T$$

Show that:

$(P \wedge q) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow q)$ is a tautology

$$(P \wedge q) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow q)$$

$$= \neg(P \wedge q) \vee (P \rightarrow q)$$

$$= \neg(P \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \vee q)$$

$$= (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (\neg p \vee q)$$

$$= \neg p \vee (\neg q \vee q)$$

$$= \neg p \vee T = T$$

Show that

$\neg(a \rightarrow s) \wedge s \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$ is a contradiction.

$$\neg(a \rightarrow s) \wedge s \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$$

$$= \neg(\neg a \vee s) \wedge s \wedge (\neg p \vee q)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Rightarrow (q \wedge \neg s) \wedge r \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \\
 & = (q \wedge \neg s) \wedge r \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \\
 & = (q \wedge (\neg s \wedge r)) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \\
 & = (q \wedge F) \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \\
 & = F \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \\
 & = F
 \end{aligned}$$

Dual of a proposition.

The dual of a compound proposition $p(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n)$ is a proposition obtained by replacing each \vee by \wedge , each \wedge by \vee , each T by F and each F by T .

Ex:

The dual of $(P \vee (q \wedge r) \rightarrow T)$ is
 $b \wedge ((q \vee r) \rightarrow F)$

Theory of Inference

A valid conclusion logically follows from a set of given propositions P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n , if $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \models c$

Direct method.

Problem :-

Show that $\neg p$ follows logically from a given set of propositions

$$P \rightarrow q, \quad \neg q \rightarrow \neg p \text{ and } r.$$

1. $P \rightarrow q$ Rule P

2. $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ Rule P

3. r Rule P

4. $\neg q$ Rule T(2,3)

5. $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ (Rule T, 1)

6. $\neg p$ (Rule T, S, 4)

Note: $P \rightarrow q, q \rightarrow r \Rightarrow P \rightarrow r$.

Show that $a \vee b$ follows logically from a given set of propositions

$$P \vee q; (P \vee q) \rightarrow \neg r, \neg r \rightarrow (s \wedge t).$$

$$(s \wedge t) \rightarrow (a \vee b).$$

1. $P \vee q$ (Rule P)

2. $P \vee q \rightarrow \neg r$ (Rule P)

3. $\neg s \rightarrow (s \wedge t)$ Rule P

4. $(s \wedge t) \rightarrow (a \vee b)$ Rule P

5. $\neg r$ (Rule T, 1, 2)

6. $(s \wedge t) \rightarrow (a \vee b)$ (Rule T, 5, 3)

7. $(a \vee b)$ (Rule T, 6, 4)

Show that

$(a \rightarrow b) \wedge (a \rightarrow c), \neg(b \wedge c), d \vee a \Rightarrow d$

(1) $(a \rightarrow b) \wedge (a \rightarrow c)$ | Rule P

(2) $\neg(b \wedge c)$ | Rule P

(3) $a \rightarrow b$ | Rule T(1)

(4) $d \vee a$ | Rule b.

5. $a \rightarrow c$ | (Rule T, 1)

6. $\neg b \vee \neg c$ | (Rule T, 2)

7. $b \rightarrow \neg c$ | (Rule T, 6)

8. $\neg b \rightarrow \neg a$ | (Rule T, 4)

9. $\neg c \rightarrow \neg a$ | (Rule T, 5)

10. $(\neg b \vee \neg c) \rightarrow \neg a$ | (Rule T, 8, 9)

11. $\neg(b \vee c) \rightarrow \neg a$ | (Rule T, 10)

12. $\neg a$ | (Rule T, 10, 0)

13. $(d \vee a) \wedge \neg a$ | (Rule T, 12, 13)

14. $(d \wedge \neg a) \vee (a \wedge \neg a)$ | (Rule T, 13)

15. $(d \wedge \neg a) \vee F$ | (Rule T, 14)

16. $d \wedge \neg a$ | (Rule T, 15)

17 a

(Rule T, 16)

Show that

$$P \vee Q, P \rightarrow R, Q \rightarrow S \Rightarrow S \vee R$$

- (1) $P \vee Q$ Rule P ~~$\neg P \rightarrow Q$~~
- (2) $P \rightarrow R$ Rule P
- (3) $Q \rightarrow S$ Rule P
- (4) $\neg P \rightarrow Q$ Rule T(1)
- (5) $\neg P \rightarrow S$ Rule T(4,3)
- (6) $\neg R \rightarrow \neg P$ Rule T(2)
- (7) $\neg R \rightarrow S$ Rule T(5,6)
- (8) $R \vee S$
- (9) $S \vee R$

Hence Proved.

Show that

$$P \vee Q, Q \rightarrow R, P \rightarrow S; \neg S \Rightarrow R \wedge (P \vee Q)$$

- (1) $P \vee Q$ Rule P (6) $\neg P$ Rule T(4,5)
- 2 $Q \rightarrow R$ Rule P (7) $\neg(\neg P) \vee Q$ Rule T(1)
- 3 $P \rightarrow S$ Rule P (8) $\neg P \rightarrow Q$ Rule T(1)
- 4 $\neg S$ Rule P (9) $\neg P \rightarrow R$ Rule T(6,1)
- 5 $\neg S \rightarrow \neg P$ Rule T(3) (10) R Rule T(6,9)
- 6 $\neg S \rightarrow R$ Rule T(10,1) (11) $R \wedge (P \vee Q)$ Rule T(11,1)

Q1 Prove that $(P \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r, P \wedge s, q \wedge t \Rightarrow r$.

- (1) $(P \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$ Rule P
- (2) $P \wedge s$ Rule P
- 3 $q \wedge t$ Rule P
- 4 $\neg(P \rightarrow q) \vee r$ Rule T(1)
- 5 P Rule T(2)
- 6 q Rule T(3)
- 7 $\neg(\neg P \vee q) \vee r$ Rule T(4)
- 8 $(P \vee \neg q) \vee r$ Rule T(7)
- 9 $(P \vee r) \wedge (\neg q \vee r)$ Rule T(8)
- 10 $\neg q \vee r$ Rule T(9)
- 11 $q \rightarrow r$ Rule T(10)
- 12 r Rule T(11, 10)

Q2 Prove that $P \rightarrow q, (\neg q \vee r) \wedge \neg r, \neg(\neg P \wedge s) \Rightarrow \neg s$.

- (1) $P \rightarrow q$ Rule P
- (2) $(\neg q \vee r) \wedge \neg r$ Rule P
- 3 $\neg(\neg P \wedge s)$ Rule P
- 4 $\neg q \vee r$ Rule T(2)
- 5 $\neg r$ Rule T(2)
- 6 $P \vee \neg s$ Rule T(3)
- 7 $\neg P \rightarrow \neg s$ Rule T(6)

- 8 $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ Rule T(4)
 9 $\neg \gamma \rightarrow \neg \alpha$ Rule T(8)
 10 $\neg \alpha$ Rule T(9, 5)
 11 $\neg \alpha \rightarrow \neg \beta$ Rule T(1)
 12 $\neg \beta$ Rule T(10, 11)
 13 $\neg \gamma$ Rule T(12, 7)

INDIRECT METHOD

Prove that $P \rightarrow \alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \gamma, \neg(\beta \wedge \gamma), P \vee \gamma \Rightarrow \gamma$

- 1) $P \rightarrow \gamma$ Rule P
 2) $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ Rule P
 3) $\neg(P \wedge \gamma)$ Rule P
 4) $P \vee \gamma$ Rule P
 5) $\neg \gamma$ Rule P (additional)
 6) $P \rightarrow \gamma$ Rule T(1, 2)
 7) $\neg P \vee \neg \gamma$ Rule T(3)
 8) $\neg \gamma \rightarrow P$ Rule T(4)
 9) P Rule T(8, 5)
 10) γ Rule T(6, 9)
 11) $\gamma \wedge \neg \gamma$ Rule T(10, 5)
 12) F Rule (r) 11

Q Prove that

$\neg q, p \rightarrow q, p \vee r \Rightarrow \neg r$ wind

indirect method.

(1) $\neg q$ Rule P

(2) $p \rightarrow q$ Rule P

3 $p \vee r$ Rule P

4 $\neg r$ Rule P (additional)

5 $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ Rule $T(2)$

6 $\neg p$ Rule $T(5,1)$

7 $\neg p \wedge \neg r$ Rule $T(4,6)$

8 $\neg(p \wedge r)$ Rule $T(7)$

9 $(p \wedge r) \wedge \neg(p \wedge r)$ Rule $\neg(8,3)$

10. F Rule $T(9)$

C-P rule

If the conclusion is of the form
 $\delta \rightarrow s$, we will take δ as
an additional proposition and
derive s from give proposition
and δ

Prove that

$\neg P \vee q, \neg q \vee r, s \rightarrow r \vdash s \rightarrow p$ using CP rule

- 1 $\neg P \vee q$ Rule P
- 2 $\neg q \vee r$ Rule P
- 3 $s \rightarrow r$ Rule P
- 4 P Rule p (additional)
- 5 $P \rightarrow q$ Rule T(1)
- 6 $q \rightarrow s$ Rule T(2)
- 7 $P \rightarrow s$ Rule T(5, 6)
- 8 $P \rightarrow s$ Rule T(4, 7)
- 9 s Rule (8, 4)
- 10 $P \rightarrow s$ Rule P

using CP rule D.T $P, P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (s \wedge r)) \vdash q \rightarrow s$

- 1 P Rule P
- 2 $P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (s \wedge r))$ Rule P
- 3 q Rule p (additional)
- 4 $q \rightarrow (s \wedge r)$ Rule T(1, 2)
- 5 $s \wedge r$ Rule T(3, 4)
- 6 s Rule T(5)
- 7 $q \rightarrow s$ Rule CP

Consistent and Inconsistent Propositions

A set of proposition P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n is said to be consistent if $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \Rightarrow T$ and is said to be inconsistent if

$$P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \Rightarrow F$$

Show that the following set of propositions is consistent.

- (i) If Rama gets his degree, he will go for a job
- (ii) If he goes for a job, he will get married
- (iii) If he goes for higher studies, he will not get married
- (iv) Rama gets his degree and goes for higher study.

Sofn: P: Rama gets his degree

or: he will go for job

or: he will go for higher studies

s: he will get married.

(i) $P \rightarrow Q$ Rule P

(ii) $Q \rightarrow S$ Rule P

- (i) $\sigma \rightarrow \neg s$ Rule P
- (ii) $P \wedge \gamma$ Rule P.
- (iv) $P \rightarrow s$ Rule T(1,2)
- (vi) $s \rightarrow \neg \gamma$ Rule T(3)
- (viii) γ Rule T(4)
- (ix) $\neg s$ Rule T(7,3)
- (x) P Rule T(4)
- (xi) s Rule T(9,8)
- (xii) $\neg s \wedge s$ Rule T(10,8)
- (xiii) F Rule T(11).

Q Prove that each of the following set of propositions is inconsistent.

- (i) $P \rightarrow q, P \rightarrow \gamma, q \rightarrow \neg \gamma, P$
- (ii) $P \rightarrow q, (q \vee \gamma) \rightarrow s, s \rightarrow \neg p, b \wedge \neg \gamma$

- 1) $P \rightarrow q$ Rule P
- 2) $P \rightarrow \gamma$ Rule P
- 3) $q \rightarrow \neg \gamma$ Rule P
- 4) P Rule P
- 5) $b \rightarrow \neg \gamma$ Rule T(1,3)
- 6) $\neg \gamma$ Rule T(4,5)
- 7) γ Rule T(2,4)
- 8) $\neg \gamma \wedge \gamma$ Rule T(6,7)
- 9) F Rule T(8)

- (i) 1) $P \rightarrow \alpha$ Rule P
 2) $\alpha \vee \delta \rightarrow s$ Rule P
 3) $s \rightarrow \neg p$ Rule P
 4) $p \wedge \neg \delta$ Rule P
 5) $(\alpha \vee \delta) \rightarrow \neg p$ Rule T(2,3)
 6) $\neg(\alpha \vee \delta) \vee \neg p$ (Rule T, 5)
 7) $(\neg \alpha \wedge \neg \delta) \vee (\neg p)$ (Rule T, 6)
 8) $(\neg \alpha \vee \neg p) \wedge (\neg \delta \vee \neg p)$ Rule T(7)
 9) p Rule T(4)
 10) α Rule T(9,1)
 11) $P \rightarrow \neg s$ Rule T(3)
 12) $\neg s$ Rule T(11,9)
 13) $\neg s \rightarrow \neg(\alpha \vee \delta)$ Rule T
 14) $\neg(\alpha \vee \delta)$ Rule T(12,13)
 15) $\neg \alpha \wedge \neg \delta$ Rule T(14)
 16) $\neg \alpha$ Rule T(15)
 17) $\neg \alpha \wedge \alpha$ Rule T(16,10)
 18) F Rule T(17)

Predicate and Quantifier.

Now consider the following statement

x is greater than,

" x is greater than 1" is called predicate;

x : a number.

$G(x)$: x is greater than,

Ex:- x^2 is positive for any x .

" x for all x " is called quantifier
(universal quantifier)

It is denoted by $\forall x$.

$x^2 - 2$ is positive for some x .

" x for some x " is called existential quantifier.

It is denoted by $\exists x$.

Ex - () All men are good.

$m(x)$: x is a man

$G(x)$: x is good.

$\forall x (m(x) \rightarrow g(x))$.

Ever

(2) Everybody loves z

$L(x, z)$: x loves z

$\forall x L(x, z)$.

B) Everybody loves Somebody

$L(x, y)$: x loves y

$\forall x \exists y L(x, y)$.

Note:- ① $\forall A = \exists$

② $\exists E = A$

Rule Vs

It is a rule which states that one can conclude that $P(c)$ is true whenever $\forall x P(x)$

Rule Es

It is a rule which states that one can conclude that $P(c)$ is true whenever $\exists x P(x)$.

Rule UG

The generalisation of any proposition using metatheoretical universal quantifier is called UG Rule.

Rule EG

The generalisation of any proposition using existential quantifier is EG Rule.

Q Prove that

$$\exists x ((C(x) \wedge J(x)), \forall x (J(x) \rightarrow H(x)). \\ \Rightarrow \exists x ((C(x) \wedge H(x)).$$

- (1) $\exists x (C(x) \wedge J(x))$.
- (2) $\forall x (J(x) \rightarrow H(x))$.
- (3) $((\alpha) \wedge J(\alpha))$ Rule ES(1)
- (4) $J(\alpha) \rightarrow H(\alpha)$. Rule T(2).
- (5) $J(\alpha)$ Rule T(3)
- (6) $C(\alpha)$. Rule T(3)
- (7) $H(\alpha)$ Rule T(4,5)
- (8) $C(\alpha) \wedge H(\alpha)$ Rule T(7,6)
- (9) $\exists x ((x) \wedge H(x))$ Rule EG(8).

Qn Prove that

$$\forall x (\neg p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$$

$$\forall x (\delta(x) \rightarrow \neg q(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x (\delta(x) \rightarrow \neg p(x))$$

1 $\forall x (\neg p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$ Rule P.

2 $\forall x [\delta(x) \rightarrow \neg q(x)]$ Rule P.

3 $p(a) \rightarrow q(a)$ Rule US(1).

4 $\delta(a) \rightarrow \neg q(a)$ Rule US(2).

5 $\neg q(a) \rightarrow \neg p(a)$ Rule T(3).

6 $\delta(a) \rightarrow \neg p(a)$ Rule T(4,5).

7 $\forall x [\delta(x) \rightarrow \neg p(x)]$ Rule T(G,6).